Pacific Journal of Mathematics

DECOMPOSITION SPECTRA OF RINGS OF CONTINUOUS FUNCTIONS

CARL W. KOHLS

Vol. 23, No. 1 March 1967

DECOMPOSITION SPECTRA OF RINGS OF CONTINUOUS FUNCTIONS

CARL W. KOHLS

Let S be a subset of a completely regular Hausdorff space X. Sufficient conditions on S and X are obtained for the ring of continuous real-valued functions on S to be isomorphic to an inverse limit of quotient rings of the ring of continuous functions on X, or, alternatively, of the ring of bounded continuous functions on X. An application to the theory of rings of quotients of rings of continuous functions is given.

A decomposition spectrum of a set with some kind of structure is an inverse system of quotient structures of the same type. Decomposition spectra have been discussed recently by various authors: For topological spaces by Flachsmeyer [2], Pasynkov [4], and Vegrin [6]; and for ordered sets by Rinow [5]. Vegrin also considers briefly decomposition spectra of rings of continuous functions; however, the question he investigates is different from those considered here.

DEFINITION. A decomposition spectrum of a ring A is an inverse system of quotient rings of A.

The ring of all continuous real-valued functions on a completely regular Hausdorff space X will be denoted by C(X), and the subring of bounded functions by $C^*(X)$.

The inverse limit of a decomposition spectrum of a ring is, of course, a ring. In the papers on decomposition spectra mentioned above, it often turns out that the inverse limit is an *extension* of the original structure (topological space or ordered set). Now if S is a subset of X, C(S) is often an extension of C(X); and C(X) is always an extension of $C^*(X)$. This suggests the following questions: (1) For a subset S of X, when is C(S) isomorphic to the inverse limit of some decomposition spectrum of C(X)? (2) When is C(X) isomorphic to the inverse limit of some decomposition spectrum of $C^*(X)$?

The first question has the trivial answer: When S is C-embedded in X, that is when every function in C(S) can be extended to a function in C(X); for then, in fact, C(S) is isomorphic to a quotient ring of C(X), since the restriction mapping is a homomorphism onto C(S). (This observation also leads naturally to the first question.) So some particular answers are: When S is compact, or when S is closed and S is normal, or when S is open-and-closed. The second question has the trivial answer: When S is compact; for in that case,

 $C(X) = C^*(X)$. We show below that there are some nontrivial answers to both questions.

For any $f \in C(X)$ and any subset B of X, the restriction of f to B will be designated by $f \mid B$, and the image of B under f will be written f[B]. The constant function in C(X) whose value is r will be denoted by r, and the greatest lower bound of f and g in the lattice C(X) will be symbolized by $f \land g$. When we say that a collection $\{B_r\}$ of subsets of a space Y containing a point p determines the topology of Y at p, we mean that if f is a real-valued function on Y and $f \mid B_r$ is continuous for all B_r , then f is continuous at p.

The following lemma is used in obtaining all of our results on decomposition spectra. A parallel statement to the one given explicitly is indicated by the symbols in square brackets.

LEMMA. Let S be a subset of a completely regular Hausdorff space X. Suppose there exists a collection $\{T_{\gamma}\}$ of subsets of S with the following properties:

- (1) $\{T_r\}$ is closed under finite unions;
- (2) For each $p \in S$, the collection of all sets in $\{T_{\tau}\}$ containing p determines the topology of S at p;
- (3) For each $f \in C(S)$ and each T_r , the function $f \mid T_r$ can be extended to a function in C(X) $[C^*(X)]$.

Then C(S) is isomorphic to the inverse limit of a decomposition spectrum of C(X) $[C^*(X)]$.

Proof. One obtains the proof of the parallel statement by replacing "C(X)" with " $C^*(X)$ " throughout the following proof.

From (1), $\{T_{\gamma}\}$ is directed by the relation \supset . For each γ , let I_{γ} be the ideal $\{h \in C(X) : h[T_{\gamma}] = \{0\}\}$. Now each $C(X)/I_{\gamma}$ is isomorphic to $\{g \mid T_{\gamma} : g \in C(X)\}$, so we shall view each element of $C(X)/I_{\gamma}$ as an element of $\{g \mid T_{\gamma} : g \in C(X)\}$. Thus, if $T_{\gamma} \supset T_{\delta}$, then the natural homomorphism defined by $g \mid T_{\gamma} \to g \mid T_{\delta}$ for $g \in C(X)$ may be considered a homomorphism of $C(X)/I_{\gamma}$ onto $C(X)/I_{\delta}$. Also, the transitivity property is clearly satisfied by restriction mappings. Hence $\{C(X)/I_{\gamma}\}$ and the natural homomorphisms comprise a decomposition spectrum of C(X).

Now let $f \in C(S)$ be given. We define an element $(f_r) \in \lim_{\longleftarrow} (C(X)/I_r)$ as follows: For each γ , f_r is the image in $C(X)/I_r$ of a function in C(X) whose restriction to T_r coincides with $f \mid T_r$; the existence of such a function is ensured by (3). Then $(f_r) \in \lim_{\longleftarrow} (C(X)/I_r)$, because $T_r \supset T_\delta$ implies $f_r \mid T_\delta = (f \mid T_r) \mid T_\delta = f \mid T_\delta = f_\delta$. The mapping $\sigma: f \longrightarrow (f_r)$ embeds C(S) in $\lim_{\longleftarrow} (C(X)/I_r)$, since $f \neq g$ implies $f(p) \neq g(p)$ for some $g \in S$, whence $f_r \neq g_r$ for any γ such that $g \in T_r$. Furthermore, σ is

an isomorphism, because $(f+g)_{r}=f_{r}+g_{r}$ and $(fg)_{r}=f_{r}g_{r}$ for each γ .

To prove that σ is surjective, let $(b_r) \in \lim_{\longleftarrow} (C(X)/I_r)$ be given. Then b_r is the restriction of a function in C(X) to T_r , and, since $\gamma < \delta$ implies that b_r maps to b_δ under the natural homomorphism, b_r is an extension of b_δ . By (2), $\{T_r\}$ covers S, so (b_r) may be associated with a function b on S. Since b is continuous on each T_r , (2) implies that $b \in C(S)$; and $\sigma(b) = (b_r)$.

THEOREM 1. If X is a first countable space and S is any subset of X, then C(S) is isomorphic to the inverse limit of a decomposition spectrum of C(X) $[C^*(X)]$.

Proof. Let $\{T_{\gamma}\}$ be the collection of all subsets of S consisting of a finite number of points of S together with sequences converging to those points. It is clear that (1) holds; (2) holds because X, and hence S, is first countable; and (3) holds because each T_{γ} is compact. Hence the Lemma is applicable.

COROLLARY 1. If X is a first countable space, then C(X) is isomorphic to the inverse limit of a decomposition spectrum of $C^*(X)$.

Theorem 2. If X is a locally compact space, and S is any open subset of X, then C(S) is isomorphic to the inverse limit of a decomposition spectrum of $C^*(X)$.

Proof. Let $\{T_{\gamma}\}$ be the collection of finite unions of some family of compact neighborhoods of the points of S. It is evident that (1) and (2) hold; and (3) holds because each T_{γ} is compact. Hence the Lemma is applicable.

COROLLARY 2. If X is a locally compact space, then C(X) is isomorphic to the inverse limit of a decomposition spectrum of $C^*(X)$.

THEOREM 3. If X is any completely regular Hausdorff space, and S is any open subset of X, then C(S) is isomorphic to the inverse limit of a decomposition spectrum of C(X).

Proof. Let $p \in S$. By complete regularity, there exists an $h_p \in C(X)$ such that $h_p[X - S] = \{0\}$, $h_p(p) = 2$, and $0 \le h_p \le 2$. Hence the nonnegative function $g_p = h_p \wedge 1$ has the properties $g_p[X - S] = \{0\}$ and $g_p[U_p] = \{1\}$, where U_p is a neighborhood of p. Choose one such neighborhood for each point of S, and let $\{T_p\}$ be the collection

of finite unions of these neighborhoods. Again, (1) and (2) are clear. To see that (3) holds, consider a particular $T_r = U_{p_1} \bigcup \cdots \bigcup U_{p_n}$. The nonnegative function $g_{p_1} + \cdots + g_{p_n}$ is zero on X - S and at least one on T_r , whence $g_r = (g_{p_1} + \cdots + g_{p_n}) \wedge 1$ has the properties $g_r[X - S] = \{0\}, g_r[T_r] = \{1\}$, and $0 \le g_r \le 1$. If $f \in C(S)$, we define $f_r \in C(X)$ by $f_r[X - S] = \{0\}$ and $f_r(x) = \tan ((g_r(x))(\arctan(f(x))))$ for $x \in S$. Then $f_r \mid T_r = (\tan \circ \arctan \circ f) \mid T_r = f \mid T_r$, as required. Thus (3) holds, and again the Lemma is applicable.

REMARK. If S is a cozero-set in X, say $S = \{x \in X : h(x) \neq 0\}$, where $h \in C(X)$, then the decomposition spectrum can be formed from an ω^* -sequence of quotient rings of C(X). For each positive integer n, we set $T_n = \{x \in X : |h(x)| \geq 1/n\}$. There exists a function $g_n \in C(X)$ such that $g_n[X - S] = \{0\}$, $g_n[T_n] = \{1\}$, and $0 \leq g_n \leq 1$, since T_n is completely separated from X - S [3; 1.15]. The proof that the collection $\{T_n\}$ satisfies (3) then concludes as in the proof of Theorem 3. Now (1) is evident, and (2) holds because each $p \in S$ is in the interior of some T_n ; so the Lemma is applicable to this situation too.

We now give an application of Theorem 3. First recall that the maximal ring of quotients of a commutative ring A with identity may be obtained as the direct limit of the A-homomorphisms of dense ideals of A into A [1; 1.9], and that the classical ring of quotients may be obtained similarly from the A-homomorphisms of dense principal ideals [1; 1.10]. Fine, Gillman, and Lambek have shown that (1) the maximal ring of quotients of C(X) has a representation as the direct limit of rings C(U), U ranging over the dense open sets in X, and that (2) the classical ring of quotients of C(X) has a representation as the direct limit of rings C(U), U ranging over the dense cozero-sets in X [1; 2.6]. Combining Theorem 3 with these facts yields the following result.

COROLLARY 3. If X is any completely regular Hausdorff space, then both the maximal and classical rings of quotients of C(X) have representations as a direct limit of inverse limits of quotient rings of C(X).

References

^{1.} N. J. Fine, L. Gillman, and J. Lambek, Rings of quotients of rings of functions, Monograph form, McGill University Press, Montreal.

^{2.} J. Flachsmeyer, Zur Spektralentwicklung topologischer Räume, Math. Ann. 144 (1961), 253-274.

^{3.} L. Gillman and M. Jerison, Rings of Continuous Functions, van Nostrand, Princeton, 1960.

- 4. B. A. Pasynkov, On spectral decomposition of topological spaces, Matemat. Sbornik **66** (1965), 35-79 (Russian).
- 5. W. Rinow, Zerlegungsspektren geordneter Mengen, Z. Math. Logik Grundlagen Math. 10 (1964), 331-360.
- 6. L. D. Vegrin, *Direct spectra of topological spaces*, Vestnik Moskov. Univ. Ser. I Mat. Meh. 1961, 20-24 (Russian).

Received January 3, 1966.

SYRACUSE UNIVERSITY, SYRACUSE, N. Y.

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

H. ROYDEN

Stanford University Stanford, California

J. P. JANS

University of Washington Seattle, Washington 98105 J. Dugundji

Department of Mathematics

Rice University

Houston, Texas 77001

RICHARD ARENS

University of California Los Angeles, California 90024

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. Wolf

K. Yosida

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF UTAH WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY CHEVRON RESEARCH CORPORATION TRW SYSTEMS NAVAL ORDNANCE TEST STATION

Printed in Japan by International Academic Printing Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 23, No. 1

March, 1967

M. J. C. Baker, A spherical Helly-type theorem	1
Robert Morgan Brooks, On locally m-convex *-algebras	5
Lindsay Nathan Childs and Frank Rimi DeMeyer, On automorphisms of	
separable algebras	25
Charles L. Fefferman, A Radon-Nikodym theorem for finitely additive set	
functions	35
Magnus Giertz, On generalized elements with respect to linear	
operators	47
Mary Gray, Abelian objects	69
Mary Gray, Radical subcategories	79
John A. Hildebrant, On uniquely divisible semigroups on the two-cell	91
Barry E. Johnson, AW*-algebras are QW*-algebras	97
Carl W. Kohls, Decomposition spectra of rings of continuous functions	101
Calvin T. Long, Addition theorems for sets of integers	107
Ralph David McWilliams, On w*-sequential convergence and	
quasi-reflexivity	113
Alfred Richard Mitchell and Roger W. Mitchell, Disjoint basic	
subgroups	119
John Emanuel de Pillis, Linear transformations which preserve hermitian	
and positive semidefinite operators	129
Qazi Ibadur Rahman and Q. G. Mohammad, Remarks on Schwarz's lemma	139
Neal Jules Rothman, An L ¹ algebra for certain locally compact topological	
semigroups	143
F. Dennis Sentilles, Kernel representations of operators and their	
adjoints	153
D. R. Smart, Fixed points in a class of sets	163
K. Srinivasacharyulu, Topology of some Kähler manifolds	167
Francis C.Y. Tang, On uniqueness of generalized direct decompositions	171
Albert Chapman Vosburg, On the relationship between Hausdorff dimension	
and metric dimension	183
James Victor Whittaker, Multiply transitive groups of transformations	189