

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

INVERSE LIMITS OF INDECOMPOSABLE CONTINUA

J. H. REED

INVERSE LIMITS OF INDECOMPOSABLE CONTINUA

J. H. REED

Let $\{X_\lambda, f_{\lambda\mu}, A\}$ denote an inverse limit system of continua, with inverse limit space X_∞ . Capel has shown that if each X_λ is an arc (simple closed curve), then X_∞ is an arc (simple closed curve) provided that A is countable and the bonding maps are monotone and onto. It is shown in this paper that a similar result holds when each X_λ is a pseudo-arc. In fact, the restrictions that the bonding maps be monotone and onto may be deleted.

Two theorems are proved which lead to this result. First, it is shown that if the maps of an inverse system of indecomposable continua are onto, then the limit space is an indecomposable continuum. Next, it is shown that with no restrictions on the bonding maps, a similar statement is true for hereditarily indecomposable continua.

1. Definitions and notation. All spaces are assumed to be Hausdorff. The notation $\{X_\lambda, f_{\lambda\mu}, A\}$ represents an inverse limit system with factor spaces X_λ , bonding maps $f_{\lambda\mu}$ and directed set A . The inverse limit space of the system $\{X_\lambda, f_{\lambda\mu}, A\}$ is denoted by X_∞ . Definitions of these terms may be found in [2]. For each $\lambda \in A$, H_λ denotes the projection function of $\prod_{\lambda \in A} X_\lambda$ onto X_λ , restricted to X_∞ .

A *continuum* is a compact connected Hausdorff space. A continuum is *indecomposable* if it cannot be expressed as the union of two proper subcontinua. It is *hereditarily indecomposable* if each of its subcontinua is indecomposable.

A *chain* is a finite collection of open sets U_1, \dots, U_n such that $U_i \cap U_j \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $|i - j| \leq 1$. A space X is said to be *chainable* if each open covering of X has a chain refinement. Hence a chainable space is a continuum.

If X is a metric space and U_1, \dots, U_n is a chain covering of X such that for some $\varepsilon > 0$, diameter $U_i < \varepsilon$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$, then the chain U_1, \dots, U_n is said to be an ε -*chain covering* of X . A metric space X is *snakelike* if for each $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists an ε -chain covering of X .

2. Preliminary results. The following basic results will be needed. When proofs are omitted, they may be found in the references as indicated.

2-1. Let $\{X_\lambda, f_{\lambda\mu}, A\}$ be an inverse system of compact metric spaces, where A is countable. Then X_∞ is a metric space.

Proof. Since A is countable, we may choose a countable, linearly ordered cofinal subset A' of A , such that if $\lambda_i, \lambda_j \in A'$ and $i < j$, then $\lambda_i < \lambda_j$. Let d_i be the diameter of X_{λ_i} . Then a metric for $P_{\lambda_i \in A'} X_{\lambda_i}$ is defined as follows: For $\{x_{\lambda_i}\}$ and $\{y_{\lambda_i}\} \in P_{\lambda_i \in A'} X_{\lambda_i}$, set

$$\rho(\{x_{\lambda_i}\}, \{y_{\lambda_i}\}) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} 2^{-i} d_i^{-1} \rho_i(x_{\lambda_i}, y_{\lambda_i})$$

where ρ_i is the metric on X_{λ_i} . Then since ρ is a metric on $P_{\lambda_i \in A'} X_{\lambda_i}$, it is also a metric for X'_{∞} . Thus X_{∞} is a metric space, since X_{∞} is homeomorphic to X'_{∞} by [2, 2.11].

2—2. Let $\{X_{\lambda}, f_{\lambda\mu}, A\}$ be an inverse system of continua, with limit space X_{∞} . If X_{λ} is chainable for each $\lambda \in A$, then X_{∞} is chainable.

Proof. X_{∞} is a continuum by [2, 2.5 and 2.10]. Mardesic [4] has shown that X_{∞} is chainable if each $f_{\lambda\mu}$ is onto. We use this result here.

Let $A_{\lambda} = \Pi_{\lambda}(X_{\infty})$ and $g_{\lambda\mu} = f_{\lambda\mu}|A_{\lambda}$. Then by [2, 2.8], $\{A_{\lambda}, g_{\lambda\mu}, A\}$ is an inverse system, each $g_{\lambda\mu}$ is onto and the limit space A_{∞} of this system is X_{∞} . Since each subcontinuum of a chainable continuum is chainable, A_{λ} is chainable for each $\lambda \in A$. Thus $A_{\infty} = X_{\infty}$ is chainable by [4].

3. Inverse limits of indecomposable continua.

3—1. THEOREM. Let $\{X_{\lambda}, f_{\lambda\mu}, A\}$ be an inverse limit system of indecomposable continua, where each function $f_{\lambda\mu}$ is onto. Then the inverse limit space X_{∞} is an indecomposable continuum.

Proof. X_{∞} is a continuum by [2, 2.5 and 2.10]. Suppose X_{∞} is decomposable, i.e., suppose there exist proper subcontinua H and K of X_{∞} such that $X_{\infty} = H \cup K$.

We show first that there exists $\gamma \in A$ such that $\Pi_{\gamma}(H) \subsetneq X_{\gamma}$. If not, then for all $\lambda \in A$, $\Pi_{\lambda}(H) = X_{\lambda}$. Let $\{x_{\lambda}\} \in X_{\infty}$ such that $\{x_{\lambda}\} \in H$, and let N be any neighborhood of $\{x_{\lambda}\}$. Then there exist indices $\lambda_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ and neighborhoods N_{λ_i} of each $x_{\lambda_i} \in X_{\lambda_i}$ such that $N = \{\{y_{\lambda}\} \in X_{\infty} \mid y_{\lambda_i} \in N_{\lambda_i}, i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Since A is a directed set, there exists $\lambda_0 \in A$ such that $\lambda_0 > \lambda_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Let $U_{\lambda_0} = \bigcap_{i=1}^n f_{\lambda_0 \lambda_i}^{-1}(N_{\lambda_i})$. Then U_{λ_0} is an open subset of X_{λ_0} and $N = \{\{y_{\lambda}\} \in X_{\infty} \mid y_{\lambda_0} \in U_{\lambda_0}\}$. Now since $\Pi_{\lambda}(H) = X_{\lambda}$ for all $\lambda \in A$, there exists a point $\{x'_{\lambda}\} \in H$ such that $\Pi_{\lambda_0}(\{x'_{\lambda}\}) \in U_{\lambda_0}$, and hence $\{x'_{\lambda}\} \in N$. Thus $\{x_{\lambda}\}$ is a limit point of H . This is a contradiction, since H is closed and $\{x_{\lambda}\} \in H$. Thus there exists $\gamma \in A$ such that $\Pi_{\gamma}(H) \subsetneq X_{\gamma}$.

Similarly, there exists $\beta \in A$ such that $\Pi_\beta(K) \not\subseteq X_\beta$. Since A is a directed set, there exists $\delta \in A$ such that $\delta > \beta$ and $\delta > \gamma$. We show that $\Pi_\delta(H) \not\subseteq X_\delta$. For if $\Pi_\delta(H) = X_\delta$, then $\Pi_\gamma(H) = f_{\delta\gamma}(X_\delta)$ since $f_{\delta\gamma}\Pi_\delta = \Pi_\gamma$. But $f_{\delta\gamma}$ is onto and hence $f_{\delta\gamma}(X_\delta) = X_\gamma$. Thus we have $\Pi_\gamma(H) = X_\gamma$, a contradiction. Therefore, $\Pi_\delta(H) \not\subseteq X_\delta$, and similarly $\Pi_\delta(K) \not\subseteq X_\delta$.

Now since Π_δ is continuous, $\Pi_\delta(H)$ and $\Pi_\delta(K)$ are subcontinua of X_δ . Also, $\Pi_\delta(X_\infty) = X_\delta$ [2, 2.6]. Therefore

$$X_\delta = \Pi_\delta(X_\infty) = \Pi_\delta(H \cup K) = \Pi_\delta(H) \cup \Pi_\delta(K).$$

This is a contradiction, since X_δ is indecomposable.

3—2. THEOREM. *Let $\{X_\lambda, f_{\lambda\mu}, A\}$ be an inverse limit system of hereditarily indecomposable continua. Then the limit space X_∞ is hereditarily indecomposable.*

Proof. X_∞ is a continuum by [2, 2.5 and 2.10]. Let M be any subcontinuum of X_∞ . We show that M is indecomposable.

Let $M_\lambda = \Pi_\lambda(M)$ and let $g_{\lambda\mu} = f_{\lambda\mu} | M_\lambda$. Each M_λ is a subcontinuum of X_λ and hence indecomposable. Also, by [2, 2.8], $\{M_\lambda, g_{\lambda\mu}, A\}$ is an inverse system, each $g_{\lambda\mu}$ is onto and the limit space M_∞ of this system is M . Thus M is indecomposable by Theorem 3—1.

3.—3. COROLLARY. *Let $\{X_\lambda, f_{\lambda\mu}, A\}$ be an inverse limit system of hereditarily indecomposable continua. Then the inverse limit space X_∞ is an indecomposable continuum.*

Corollary 3—3 shows that Theorem 3—1 remains valid when the functions $f_{\lambda\mu}$ are not onto, provided that each X_λ is hereditarily indecomposable.

3—4. THEOREM. *Let $\{X_\lambda, f_{\lambda\mu}, A\}$ be an inverse limit system of pseudo-arcs. Let X_∞ be the inverse limit space. Then X_∞ is a chainable, hereditarily indecomposable continuum. If A is countable and X_∞ is nondegenerate, then X_∞ is a pseudo-arc.*

Proof. X_∞ is a hereditarily indecomposable continuum by Theorem 3—2. For metric spaces, the definitions of chainable and snakelike continua are equivalent. Thus each X_λ is chainable and hence X_∞ is chainable by 2—2.

If A is countable, then X_∞ is a metric space by 2—1, and thus snakelike. Therefore, X_∞ is a hereditarily indecomposable snakelike continuum, and hence a pseudo-arc if it is nondegenerate [1].

REFERENCES

1. R. H. Bing, *Concerning Hereditarily Indecomposable Continua*, Pacific J. Math. **1** (1951), 43-51.
2. C. E. Capel, *Inverse limit spaces*, Duke Math. J. **21** (1954), 233-245.
3. S. Eilenberg and N. Steenrod, *Foundations of Algebraic Topology*, Princeton, 1952.
4. S. Mardesic, *Chainable Continua and Inverse Limits*, Glasnik Mat. Fizicki Astronomski, Zagreb (1959), 219-232.

Received June 7, 1966.

UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH FLORIDA

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

H. ROYDEN
Stanford University
Stanford, California

J. DUGUNDJI
Department of Mathematics
Rice University
Houston, Texas 77001

J. P. JANS
University of Washington
Seattle, Washington 98105

RICHARD ARENS
University of California
Los Angeles, California 90024

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
* * *
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
CHEVRON RESEARCH CORPORATION
TRW SYSTEMS
NAVAL ORDNANCE TEST STATION

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be typewritten (double spaced). The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. It should not contain references to the bibliography. Manuscripts may be sent to any one of the four editors. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, Richard Arens at the University of California, Los Angeles, California 90024.

50 reprints per author of each article are furnished free of charge; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is published monthly. Effective with Volume 16 the price per volume (3 numbers) is \$8.00; single issues, \$3.00. Special price for current issues to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: \$4.00 per volume; single issues \$1.50. Back numbers are available.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley 8, California.

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), 7-17, Fujimi 2-chome, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 23, No. 3

May, 1967

A. A. Aucoin, <i>Diophantine systems</i>	419
Charles Ballantine, <i>Products of positive definite matrices. I</i>	427
David Wilmot Barnette, <i>A necessary condition for d-polyhedrality</i>	435
James Clark Beidleman and Tae Kun Seo, <i>Generalized Frattini subgroups of finite groups</i>	441
Carlos Jorge Do Rego Borges, <i>A study of multivalued functions</i>	451
William Edwin Clark, <i>Algebras of global dimension one with a finite ideal lattice</i>	463
Richard Brian Darst, <i>On a theorem of Nikodym with applications to weak convergence and von Neumann algebras</i>	473
George Wesley Day, <i>Superatomic Boolean algebras</i>	479
Lawrence Fearnley, <i>Characterization of the continuous images of all pseudocircles</i>	491
Neil Robert Gray, <i>Unstable points in the hyperspace of connected subsets</i>	515
Franklin Haimo, <i>Polynomials in central endomorphisms</i>	521
John Sollion Hsia, <i>Integral equivalence of vectors over local modular lattices</i>	527
Jim Humphreys, <i>Existence of Levi factors in certain algebraic groups</i>	543
E. Christopher Lance, <i>Automorphisms of postliminal C^*-algebras</i>	547
Sibe Mardesic, <i>Images of ordered compacta are locally peripherally metric</i>	557
Albert W. Marshall, David William Walkup and Roger Jean-Baptiste Robert Wets, <i>Order-preserving functions: Applications to majorization and order statistics</i>	569
Wellington Ham Ow, <i>An extremal length criterion for the parabolicity of Riemannian spaces</i>	585
Wellington Ham Ow, <i>Criteria for zero capacity of ideal boundary components of Riemannian spaces</i>	591
J. H. Reed, <i>Inverse limits of indecomposable continua</i>	597
Joseph Gail Stampfli, <i>Minimal range theorems for operators with thin spectra</i>	601
Roy Westwick, <i>Transformations on tensor spaces</i>	613
Howard Henry Wicke, <i>The regular open continuous images of complete metric spaces</i>	621
Abraham Zaks, <i>A note on semi-primary hereditary rings</i>	627
Thomas William Hungerford, <i>Correction to: "A description of $\text{Mult}_i(A^1, \dots, A^n)$ by generators and relations"</i>	629
Uppuluri V. Ramamohana Rao, <i>Correction to: "On a stronger version of Wallis' formula"</i>	629
Takesi Isiwata, <i>Correction: "Mappings and spaces"</i>	630
Henry B. Mann, Josephine Mitchell and Lowell Schoenfeld, <i>Correction to: "Properties of differential forms in n real variables"</i>	631
James Calvert, <i>Correction to: "An integral inequality with applications to the Dirichlet problem"</i>	631
K. Srinivasacharyulu, <i>Correction to: "Topology of some Kähler manifolds"</i>	632