

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

POLYNOMIALS IN LINEAR RELATIONS

MICHAEL JOSEPH KASCIC, JR.

POLYNOMIALS IN LINEAR RELATIONS

M. J. KASCIC, JR.

We point out an error in a paper on linear relations by R. Arens. We also re-establish, in a weakened form, a result of Arens on the polynomials in a closed linear relation which was placed in jeopardy by this error.

The error in question is in the proposition [1, 3.52], restated below as 3.1. It was detected by Professor P. R. Masani, who also constructed a counter example. We take the liberty of presenting his example below.

The theorem on closed linear relations, viz. [1, 3.8], which depended on this proposition 3.1 was to be a generalization of the theorem of A. E. Taylor [7, 6.1] who proved that if T is a closed operator with a nonempty resolvent in a Banach space, then each polynomial in T is also a closed operator.

Our weakened form, viz. Theorem 3.16 below, of Arens' purely algebraic proposition involves topological linear space concepts, and is still a generalization of Taylor's theorem [7, 6.1].

2. Linear relations. Let X_1, X_2 , and X_3 be linear spaces. A *relation* between X_1 and X_2 is a subset of $X_1 \oplus X_2$. A *linear relation* between X_1 and X_2 is a linear subspace of $X_1 \oplus X_2$. These concepts generalize the notions of function and linear operator respectively. If T is a relation, the definitions of the domain and range of $T, D(T)$ and $R(T)$ respectively, are obvious.

If S and T are relations between X_1 and X_2 ,

$$S + T = \{(x_1, x_2 + x_3) : (x_1, x_2) \in S \text{ and } (x_1, x_3) \in T\}$$

is a relation between X_1 and X_2 . If S is a relation between X_1 and X_2 , and T is a relation between X_2 and X_3 , $T \circ S = \{(x_1, x_3) : (x_1, x_2) \in S \text{ and } (x_2, x_3) \in T \text{ for some } x_2 \in X_2\}$ is a relation between X_1 and X_3 . For any relation T , $T^{-1} = \{(p, q) : (q, p) \in T\}$. If T is linear, T^{-1} is also. If S and T are linear, $S + T$ and $S \circ T$ are also. If λ is an element of the field, we may consider λ as the linear relation between X_i and X_i , namely $\{(x, \lambda x) : x \in X_i\}$. We write λT for $\lambda \circ T$.

If X_i and $Y_i, i = 1, 2$, form a dual pair, i. e., there exists a canonical bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : X_i \oplus Y_i \rightarrow F$ (where F is the field) such that $\langle x, y_0 \rangle = 0$ for every $x \in X_i$ implies $y_0 = 0$ and $\langle x_0, y \rangle = 0$ for every $y \in Y_i$ implies $x_0 = 0$, and T is a relation between X_1 and X_2 , we may define the adjoint of $T, T^* = \{(y_2, y_1) : \text{for every}$

$$(x_1, x_2) \in T, \langle x_1, y_1 \rangle = \langle x_2, y_2 \rangle\}$$

which is a relation between Y_2 and Y_1 . Again if T is linear, T^* is also. We can also consider $X_1 \oplus X_2$ and $Y_1 \oplus Y_2$ to form a dual pair with $\langle (x_1, x_2), (y_1, y_2) \rangle = \langle x_1, y_1 \rangle + \langle x_2, y_2 \rangle$. Thus we may speak of T^\perp for T a relation. From [1, 3.3] it is obvious that $T^{**} = T^{\perp\perp}$. A relation is called *regularly closed* if and only if $T = T^{\perp\perp} = T^{**}$.

If X and Y form a dual pair over C , we consider X as a class of linear functionals on Y . An obvious topology of importance on X is that of pointwise convergence on Y . This topology is denoted $\sigma(X, Y)$. It can be shown that the dual of $(X, \sigma(X, Y))$ is Y . The interested reader is referred to Schaefer [6], page 122 et seq. A locally convex vector topology on X, Ω , such that the dual of (X, Ω) is Y is called a consistent topology for the dual pair $\langle X, Y \rangle$. It was shown by Mackey [5] that there exists a finest such topology. Arens [2] identified it with the topology of uniform convergence on absolutely convex $\sigma(Y, X)$ compact sets. This topology is denoted $\tau(X, Y)$. Thus a locally convex vector topology on X is consistent if and only if it is coarser than $\tau(X, Y)$ and finer than $\sigma(X, Y)$.

It was first shown by Banach [3] that if T is a linear subspace, and thus in particular, a linear relation, it is regularly closed if and only if it is closed in the Cartesian product of any two consistent topologies. Thus the question of closed linear relations can be attacked from either viewpoint. Granted that the algebraic approach does not depend on the field, we will see that the topological approach can lead to stronger results in special cases.

3. Closed products of linear relations. In the course of investigating when the product $S \circ T$ of two closed linear relations is closed, Arens [1, 3.52] states the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 3.1. Let X_i and $Y_i, i = 1, 2, 3$ be dual pairs. Let T be a linear relation between X_1 and X_2 and S a linear relation between X_2 and X_3 . If $D(S^*) = X_3$ or $R(T^*) = X_1$, then $(S \circ T)^* = T^* \circ S^*$. The following counterexample is due to Masani:

3.11. Let $X_i = Y_i =$ Hilbert space $i = 1, 2, 3$, with its own natural duality. Let M be a closed subspace of X such that the dimension of $M = \infty$. Let P_M be the projection onto M and $T_1 = P_M^{-1} \circ P_M$. Let S_1 be any closed one-to-one operator such that $D(S_1) \perp M$ and $R(S_1)$ is not closed. S_1 may even be chosen to be continuous. We shall first establish that $S_1 \circ T_1$ is not closed. Let $z \in \overline{R(S_1)} - R(S_1)$ and z_n a sequence such that $z_n \rightarrow z$ and $z_n \in R(S_1)$. Let $y_n = S_1^{-1}(z_n)$. Certainly the sequence y_n does not converge (since $z \notin R(S_1)$). However,

$y_n \in D(S_1) \subseteq N(T_1)$. Since $T_1 = T_1^{-1}$, $(0, y_n) \in T_1$. Since $(y_n, z_n) \in S_1$, we have $(0, z_n) \in S_1 \circ T_1$. Now since $z \notin R(S_1) \subseteq R(S_1 \circ T_1)$, $z \notin R(S_1 \circ T_1)$. Thus $(0, z) \notin S_1 \circ T_1$. However $(0, z_n) \rightarrow (0, z)$ and $(0, z_n) \in S_1 \circ T_1$. Thus $S_1 \circ T_1$ is not closed.

Now let $S = T_1^*$ and $T = S_1^*$. Then $D(T_1) = D(S^*) = X$. But $T^* \circ S^* = S_1^{**} \circ T_1^{**} = S_1 \circ T_1$ which we have shown to be not closed. But $(S \circ T)^*$ is necessarily closed since all adjoints are; hence $(S \circ T)^* \neq T^* \circ S^*$.

The reader should note that the above counter example also disproves Arens [1, 3.7] on the closedness of $S \circ T$.

As Masani has suggested, there is a weakened form of 3.1 which is true and we present it next (3.12). We remark that 3.12 cannot be used in place of 3.1 in [1] to validate the proposed generalization [7, 6.1].

PROPOSITION 3.12. If $T \subseteq X_1 \oplus X_2, S \subseteq X_2 \oplus X_3$ and either $R(T^*) = Y_1$ and $D(S) \subseteq R(T)$ or $D(S^*) = Y_3$ and $R(T) \subseteq D(S)$, then $(S \circ T)^* = T^* \circ S^*$.

Proof. First note that if one hypothesis is sufficient for the intended result, the other is also. This is an easy consequence of the fact that $(S \circ T)^* = T^* \circ S^*$ if and only if

$$(T^{-1} \circ S^{-1})^* = (S^{-1})^* \circ (T^{-1})^* .$$

Thus let us suppose $R(T^*) = Y_1$ and $D(S) \subseteq R(T)$. It is trivial that $T^* \circ S^* \subseteq (S \circ T)^*$. Thus we are reduced to showing that

$$(S \circ T)^* \subseteq T^* \circ S^* .$$

Let $(y_3, y_1) \in (S \circ T)^*$. Certainly $(y_2, y_1) \in T^*$ for some $y_2 \in Y_2$ since $R(T^*) = Y_1$. If we can show $(y_3, y_2) \in S^*$, we are finished. To do this we must show for every $(x_2, x_3) \in S$, $\langle x_2, y_2 \rangle = \langle x_3, y_3 \rangle$. Since $D(S) \subseteq R(T)$, for each $(x_2, x_3) \in S$, there exists $x_1 \in X$, such that $(x_1, x_2) \in T$. Thus $\langle x_1, y_1 \rangle = \langle x_2, y_2 \rangle$ since $(y_2, y_1) \in T^*$. Next note that $(x_1, x_3) \in S \circ T$ and thus $\langle x_1, y_1 \rangle = \langle x_3, y_3 \rangle$. Thus $\langle x_2, y_2 \rangle = \langle x_3, y_3 \rangle$ as was to be shown.

This proposition can be used to establish the closedness of some, but not very many, products.

PROPOSITION 3.13. If S is a closed relation between X_1 and X_2 , T a closed relation between X_2 and X_3 , and either $R(T) = X_3$ and $D(S^*) \subseteq R(T^*)$ or $D(S) = X_1$ and $R(T^*) \subseteq D(S^*)$, then $T \circ S$ is a closed relation between X_1 and X_3 .

Proof. Certainly $S^* \circ T^* \subseteq (T \circ S)^*$. Hence $(T \circ S)^{**} \subseteq (S^* \circ T^*)^*$. If we can show that $(S^* \circ T^*)^* \subseteq T^{**} \circ S^{**} = T \circ S$, we are finished, for then $(T \circ S)^{**} \subseteq T \circ S$. But direct application of 3.12, substituting S^* for S and T^* for T , asserts that $(S^* \circ T^*)^* \subseteq T^{**} \circ S^{**}$.

This proposition is true for any field, the proof given above being valid in such generality.

However, we now shift to the employment of properly topological methods to obtain a result for topological linear spaces which is stronger than 3.13.

PROPOSITION 3.14. Let X_i and Y_i be dual pairs over C . If S is a closed relation between X_1 and X_2 , $T \subseteq X_2 \oplus X_3$ and either $R(T)$ is a closed subspace of X_3 and $D(S^*) \subseteq R(T^*)$ or $D(S^*)$ is a closed subspace of Y_2 and $R(T^*) \subseteq D(S^*)$ then $T \circ S$ is closed.

Proof. We shall only consider the first set of hypotheses. The other set is handled as in 3.12. Suppose (d_γ, c_γ) is a net in $T \circ S$ and $(d_\gamma, c_\gamma) \rightarrow (d, c)$ in $\sigma(X, Y) \oplus \sigma(X, Y)$. We wish to show $(d, c) \in T \circ S$. Since $R(T)$ is closed, there exists $b \in X_2$ such that $(b, c) \in T$. If $(d, b) \in S$, we are finished. Since S is closed, $(d, b) \in S$ if and only if for every $(x, y) \in S^*$, $\langle d, y \rangle = \langle b, x \rangle$. Since $(d_\gamma, c_\gamma) \in T \circ S$ there exists $b_\gamma \in X_2$ such that $(d_\gamma, b_\gamma) \in S$ and $(b_\gamma, c_\gamma) \in T$. Since $D(S^*) \subseteq R(T^*)$, there exists w such that $(w, x) \in T^*$. Thus $\langle d_\gamma, y \rangle = \langle b_\gamma, x \rangle$. But $\langle b_\gamma, x \rangle = \langle c_\gamma, w \rangle$. Thus since $\langle d_\gamma, y \rangle \rightarrow \langle d, y \rangle$ and $\langle c_\gamma, w \rangle \rightarrow \langle c, w \rangle$, $\langle d, y \rangle = \langle c, w \rangle$. However $\langle b, x \rangle = \langle c, w \rangle$ since $(b, c) \in T$ and $(w, x) \in T^*$. Hence $\langle d, y \rangle = \langle b, x \rangle$ as was to be shown.

PROPOSITION 3.15. Let X_i and Y_i be dual pairs over C . If S is a closed relation between X_1 and X_2 and $T \subseteq X_2 \oplus X_3$ such that $R(T)$ is closed and T^{-1} is singlevalued and continuous from

$$(R(T), \sigma(X, Y) |_{R(T)}) \rightarrow (X, \sigma(X, Y)),$$

then $T \circ S$ is closed.

Proof. First note that the hypotheses on T necessarily imply that T is closed. Let (d_γ, c_γ) be a net in $T \circ S$ such that $(d_\gamma, c_\gamma) \rightarrow (d, c)$ in $\sigma(X, Y) \oplus \sigma(X, Y)$. Since $c_\gamma \rightarrow c$ in $\sigma(X, Y)$, $T^{-1}(c_\gamma) \rightarrow T^{-1}(c)$. Since T is closed, $(T^{-1}(c), c) \in T$ and since S is closed, $(d, T^{-1}(c)) \in S$. Hence $(d, c) \in T \circ S$.

We now use 3.15 to prove a generalization of Taylor's theorem concerning polynomials in linear operators.

THEOREM 3.16. Let X and Y be a dual pair over C . If T is a closed linear relation on X such that for some $\lambda \in C$, $R(T - \lambda)$ is

a closed subspace of X and $(T - \lambda)^{-1}$ is singlevalued and continuous from $(R(T), \sigma(X, Y)|_{R(T)})$ to $(X, \sigma(X, Y))$, then for every polynomial P , $P(T)$ is a closed linear relation on X .

Proof. We will use induction and the fact that if S is closed, $S + \alpha$ is closed for every $\alpha \in \mathcal{C}$. Thus we may assume that for every polynomial Q of degree $\leq n$, $Q(T)$ is closed. Let $P(z)$ be an $(n + 1)$ degree polynomial. Then there exists a polynomial Q of degree n such that for some $\alpha \in \mathcal{C}$, $P(z) - \alpha = (z - \lambda) \circ Q(z)$, $z \in \mathcal{C}$. Hence by [1, 2. 3], $P(T) - \alpha = (T - \lambda) \circ Q(T)$. Now apply 3.15 with T replaced by $T - \lambda$ and S by $Q(T)$. Then $P(T) - \alpha$ is closed and hence $P(T)$ is closed.

4. **Further investigation.** In our doctoral dissertation [4], we have treated this theorem and related topics in greater detail.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. Richard Arens, *Operation calculus of linear relations*, Pacific J. Math. **11** (1961).
2. ———, *Duality in linear spaces*, Duke Math. J. **14** (1947), 787-794.
3. S. Banach, *Theorie des Operations Lineaires*, Monografie Matematyczne, Warsaw, 1932.
4. M. J. Kascic, Jr., *Polynomials in closed linear relations*, Doctoral Dissertation, U. C. L. A. Mathematics Dept., (1967).
5. G. W. Mackey, *On convex topological linear spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **60** (1946).
6. H. H. Schaefer, *Topological Vector Spaces*, Macmillan Series in Advanced Mathematics and Theoretical Physics, 1966.
7. A. E. Taylor, *Spectral analysis of closed distributive operators*, Acat Math. **84** (1950).

Received February 12, 1967.

DARTMOUTH COLLEGE

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

H. ROYDEN
Stanford University
Stanford, California

J. DUGUNDJI
Department of Mathematics
Rice University
Houston, Texas 77001

J. P. JANS
University of Washington
Seattle, Washington 98105

RICHARD ARENS
University of California
Los Angeles, California 90024

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
* * *
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
CHEVRON RESEARCH CORPORATION
TRW SYSTEMS
NAVAL ORDNANCE TEST STATION

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, double spaced with large margins. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. It should not contain references to the bibliography. Manuscripts may be sent to any one of the four editors. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, Richard Arens, University of California, Los Angeles, California 90024.

Each author of each article receives 50 reprints free of charge; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is published monthly. Effective with Volume 16 the price per volume (3 numbers) is \$8.00; single issues, \$3.00. Special price for current issues to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: \$4.00 per volume; single issues \$1.50. Back numbers are available.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley 8, California.

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), 7-17, Fujimi 2-chome, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners of publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 24, No. 2

June, 1968

John Suemper Alin and Spencer Ernest Dickson, <i>Goldie's torsion theory and its derived functor</i>	195
Steve Armentrout, Lloyd Lesley Lininger and Donald Vern Meyer, <i>Equivalent decomposition of R^3</i>	205
James Harvey Carruth, <i>A note on partially ordered compacta</i>	229
Charles E. Clark and Carl Eberhart, <i>A characterization of compact connected planar lattices</i>	233
Allan Clark and Larry Smith, <i>The rational homotopy of a wedge</i>	241
Donald Brooks Coleman, <i>Semigroup algebras that are group algebras</i>	247
John Eric Gilbert, <i>Convolution operators on $L^p(G)$ and properties of locally compact groups</i>	257
Fletcher Gross, <i>Groups admitting a fixed-point-free automorphism of order 2^n</i>	269
Jack Hardy and Howard E. Lacey, <i>Extensions of regular Borel measures</i> ...	277
R. G. Huffstutler and Frederick Max Stein, <i>The approximation solution of $y' = F(x, y)$</i>	283
Michael Joseph Kascic, Jr., <i>Polynomials in linear relations</i>	291
Alan G. Konheim and Benjamin Weiss, <i>A note on functions which operate</i>	297
Warren Simms Loud, <i>Self-adjoint multi-point boundary value problems</i>	303
Kenneth Derwood Magill, Jr., <i>Topological spaces determined by left ideals of semigroups</i>	319
Morris Marden, <i>On the derivative of canonical products</i>	331
J. L. Nelson, <i>A stability theorem for a third order nonlinear differential equation</i>	341
Raymond Moos Redheffer, <i>Functions with real poles and zeros</i>	345
Donald Zane Spicer, <i>Group algebras of vector-valued functions</i>	379
Myles Tierney, <i>Some applications of a property of the functor Ef</i>	401