

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

NOTE ON AN EXTREME FORM

MANORANJAN PRASAD

NOTE ON AN EXTREME FORM

MANORANJAN PRASAD

The purpose of this paper is to find a positive definite quadratic form $f_n(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ which is extreme and for which each of the binary form $f_2(x_i, x_j)$ is an extreme form. In other words we intend to seek an extreme n -ary form $f_n(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ which remains extreme when it is reduced to a binary form $f_2(x_i, x_j)$, by setting all but two of the x 's equal to zero.

Let $f_n(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ be a quadratic form in n variables,

$$(1.1) \quad x_1, x_2, x_3 \dots x_n \quad : f_n(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = \sum a_{ij} x_i x_j$$

with determinant $D = |a_{ij}|$ and $a_{ij} = a_{ji} \cdot f_n(x_1, x_2 \dots x_n)$ is positive-definite that is the roots of the characteristic equation

$$(1.2) \quad |a_{ij} - \lambda \delta_{ij}| = 0$$

are all positive, where

$$\delta_{ij} = 1 \quad \text{if } i = j; \quad \delta_{ij} = 0 \quad \text{if } i \neq j .$$

Let M denote the minimum value of $f_n(x_1, x_2 \dots x_n)$ for integers x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n , not all zero. This M is the same for all forms derived from $f_n(x_1, x_2 \dots x_n)$ by unimodular linear transformations. Let $2s$ denote the number of times this minimum is attained that is the number of solutions of the Diophantine equation:

$$(1.3) \quad f_n(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = M$$

Let $2s$ sets of (1.3) be given by

$$(1.4) \quad X = \pm M_k = \pm(m_{1k}, m_{2k}, \dots, m_{nk})$$

(known as minimal vectors) where $k = 1, 2, \dots, s$.

Taking one of the two sets, considered not distinct, we have

$$(1.5) \quad \sum a_{ij} m_{ik} m_{jk} = M$$

$$k = 1, 2, \dots, s .$$

We consider (1.5) as equations in a_{ij} and suppose that (1.5) has an infinitude of sets of solutions in a_{ij} . This means that the auxiliary equation

$$(1.6) \quad \sum p_{ij} x_i x_j = 0$$

with $p_{ij} = p_{ji}$, has an infinitude of sets of solutions.

Let us define $g(X) = \sum p_{ij}x_ix_j$ and write $h(X)$ as

$$(1.7) \quad h(X) = f(X) + pg(X)$$

$h(X)$ is positive-definite if p lies in a certain interval $-\delta' < p < \delta$. If $\delta = +\infty$ we then find that $-\delta'$ is finite and then changing $g(X)$ to $g(-X)$ we get the interval $-\delta < p < \delta'$. G. Voronoi has shown that the set $h(X)$ with $0 < p < \delta$ contains a form

$$(1.8) \quad f'(X) = f(X) + P_1g(X)$$

such that the minimum of $f^2(X)$ is also M and has all the representations of $f(X)$ and at least one more representation. Hence there is a series f, f^1, f^2, f^3, \dots of positive definite quadratic forms such that if S_r is the number of representations of the minimum M of f^r then

$$(1.9) \quad S < S_1 < S_2 \dots < S_r < S_{r+1} \dots$$

It is known that the number of representations of the minimum of an n -ary positive definite quadratic form is at most $2^n - 1$. Hence the series (1.9) terminates say with f^r , then f^r is determined by its minimum and the representations of its minimum. It is obvious that (1.5) has a unique solution if

$$(1.10) \quad S \geq \frac{1}{2}n(n+1)$$

We call f^r a perfect form.

A perfect form $f(X)$ is said to be extreme if and only if it is eutactic, i.e; if its adjoint $F(X)$ is expressible as

$$(1.11) \quad F(X) = \sum_1^s \rho_k \sum (m_{ik}y_i)^2$$

where all the ρ_k are positive.

2. We may replace f_2 , if need be, by an equivalent f_2 (by applying an unimodular integer substitution) to secure that $f_2(x_i, x_j)$ is reduced. Combined with $x_r \rightarrow x_r$ ($r \neq i$ or j), the substitution is unimodular and integer in the full n variables and therefore converts f_n to an equivalent f_n . Since extreme forms remain extreme under the group of unimodular integer transformations, f_n and f_2 still remain extreme. Finally we may take

$$(2.1) \quad f_2(x_i, x_j) = a_{ii}x_i^2 + 2a_{ij}x_ix_j + a_{jj}x_j^2$$

which is reduced and extreme for every $i \neq j$.

3. By the principle of homogeneity, we may take, without loss of any generality the minimum M of $f_n(x_1, x_2 \dots x_n)$ as unity.

It is well-known that all binary extreme forms constitute a single class of forms equivalent to $x^2 + xy + y^2$. Two positive definite binary quadratic forms f and f' of the same determinant are equivalent if and only if their respective reduced forms ϕ and ϕ' are either identical or form one of the special pairs of equivalent reduced forms. Therefore the form (2.1) and $x^2 + xy + y^2$ are of the same determinant and (2.1) is equivalent to $x^2 + xy + y^2$ if and only if the form (2.1) is identical with either of $x^2 \pm xy + y^2$. Alternatively, as $a_{ii}x_i^2 + 2a_{ij}x_ix_j + a_{jj}x_j^2$ is reduced we have

$$(3.1) \quad -a_{ii} < 2a_{ij} \leq a_{ii} \quad a_{jj} \geq a_{ii}$$

and also

$$\begin{vmatrix} a_{ii} & a_{ij} \\ a_{ij} & a_{jj} \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & 1 \end{vmatrix} = \frac{3}{4}.$$

Also it is known that a perfect form is a multiple of an integral form and the minimum of (2.1) is unity, $2a_{ij}$ is an integer, say b .

Thus the above relation gives rise to the Diophantine equation of the type (where a_{ii} , a_{jj} and b are integers)

$$4a_{ii}a_{jj} - b^2 = 3$$

or

$$4a_{ii}a_{jj} = 3 + b^2.$$

This shows that $4 \mid 3 + b^2$; therefore b is an odd integer, say $2m + 1$, where m is integer.

$$\begin{aligned} 4a_{ii}a_{jj} &= 3 + 4m^2 + 4m + 1 \\ a_{ii}a_{jj} &= m^2 + m + 1. \end{aligned}$$

Also from (3.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} a_{ii}a_{jj} &\geq b^2 = 4m^2 + 4m + 1 \\ m^2 + m + 1 &\geq 4m^2 + 4m + 1 \\ 3m(m + 1) &\leq 0 \end{aligned}$$

that is $m = 0$ or -1 .

Thus the form (2.1) becomes

$$(3.3) \quad x_i^2 \pm x_ix_j + x_j^2$$

The two forms are equivalent and extreme. We now distinguish the various cases.

4. Case 1.

$$\begin{aligned}
 a_{ij} &= 1 && \text{if } i = j \\
 a_{ij} &= -\frac{1}{2} && \text{if } i \neq j
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{for all } i \text{ and } j$$

In this case

$$\begin{aligned}
 f_n(x_1, x_2 \cdots x_n) &= x_1^2 - x_1x_2 - x_1x_3 - \cdots - x_1x_n \\
 &+ x_2^2 - x_2x_3 \cdots - x_2x_n \\
 &+ x_3^2 - \cdots - x_3x_n \\
 &\cdots \\
 &\cdots + x_n^2 .
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{4.1}$$

The determinant of (4.1) is

$$\Delta = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & \cdots & -\frac{1}{2} \\ -\frac{1}{2} & 1 & -\frac{1}{2} & \cdots & -\frac{1}{2} \\ -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & 1 & \cdots & -\frac{1}{2} \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & \cdots & 1 \end{vmatrix} = (-1)^{2n-1} \cdot \frac{1}{2^n} \cdot 3^n \cdot (n-3)$$

From (4.2) it is clear that (4.1) does not serve our requirement in general.

5. Case 2.

$$\begin{aligned}
 a_{ij} &= 1 && \text{if } i = j \\
 a_{ij} &= 1/2 && \text{if } i \neq j
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{for every } i \text{ and } j).$$

In this case the form is

$$\begin{aligned}
 f_n(x_1, x_2 \cdots x_n) &= x_1^2 + x_1x_2 + x_1x_3 + \cdots + x_1x_n \\
 &+ x_2^2 + x_2x_3 + \cdots + x_2x_n \\
 &+ x_3^2 + \cdots + x_3x_n \\
 &\cdots + x_n^2 .
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{5.1}$$

The determinant of (5.1) is

$$\Delta = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \cdots & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & 1 & \frac{1}{2} & \cdots & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 1 & \cdots & \frac{1}{2} \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \cdots & 1 \end{vmatrix} = \frac{1}{2^n} (n+1) .$$

Clearly we have the following $S = \frac{1}{2}n(n + 1)$ representations

$$\begin{aligned} x_i &= 1 & x_j &= 0 & i &\neq j \\ (x_i, x_j) &= (1, -1) & i &\neq j \end{aligned}$$

and the rest zero.

We notice that

$$(5.3) \quad \phi_n = x_1^2 - x_1x_2 + x_2^2 - x_2x_3 + \dots + x_n^2$$

has determinant $1/2^n \cdot (n + 1)$ and is equivalent to (5.1). In this case the minimal vectors are:

$$(1, 0, 0, 0, \dots, 0)_n, (1, 1, 0, 0, \dots, 0)_{n-1}, \dots, (1, 1, 1, \dots, 1, 0)_2$$

and $(1, 1, 1, \dots, 1)_1$, where $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)_t$ represents the minimal vectors obtained by the cyclic permutation of the variables $0, 1, 2, 3, \dots, t - 1$ times. Let $u\phi_n = \phi_{n-1} - x_t x_n + \frac{1}{2}t(1 - u^{-1})x_n^2$

$$(5.4) \quad (n = tu - 1 > 1, u > 1) .$$

It is known that the reciprocal of (5.3) is ${}^{n+1}\phi_n$ and ${}^{n+1}\phi_n$ can be expressed in the form (1.11) and hence (5.1) is extreme, and serves our purpose.

In this connection, it is interesting to note that (5.1) does serve our purpose but its equivalent (5.3) does not serve the requirement of § 2 as can be seen by $f_2(x_1, x_3) = x_1^2 + x_3^2$ which is disjoint, hence not perfect.

6. Case 3.

$$\begin{aligned} a_{ij} &= 1 & i &= j \\ a_{ij} &= 1/2 \text{ or } -1/2 & i &\neq j \end{aligned} \quad (\text{in arbitrary manner}).$$

LEMMA. *Let*

$$(6.1) \quad \Delta_1 = a - x, \Delta_2 = \begin{vmatrix} a - x & h \\ h & a - x \end{vmatrix}, \Delta_3 = \begin{vmatrix} a - x & h & g \\ h & b - x & f \\ g & f & c - x \end{vmatrix},$$

$$\Delta_4 = \begin{vmatrix} a - x & h & g & l \\ h & b - x & f & m \\ g & f & c - x & n \\ l & m & n & d - x \end{vmatrix} \text{ and so on.}$$

Then the roots of

$$(6.2) \quad \Delta_1 = 0, \Delta_2 = 0, \Delta_3 = 0, \Delta_4 = 0 \text{ and so on}$$

are all real and the roots of any one of them are separated by those of the preceding equation.

The set (6.2) coincides with those of the characteristic equations of quadratic form for various values of n . The lemma tells that all the roots are real, but not necessarily positive in this case when $2a_{ij} = +1$ or -1 arbitrarily. Further from the lemma it follows that if $\Delta_t = 0$ has a zero root or a negative root then none of the equations

$$\Delta_{t+1} = 0, \Delta_{t+2} = 0, \Delta_{t+3} = 0 \quad \text{and so on}$$

has all roots positive. We therefore first ascertain the condition under which $\Delta_t = 0$ has all roots positive and then examine the possibility that the equation $\Delta_{t+1} = 0$ has all roots positive. In this connection we note that if in Δ_r we put $x = 0$ and Δ_r is negative then the corresponding quadratic form is not positive-definite and in this case the roots of $\Delta_r = 0$ are not all positive.

For $n = 2$ we have only $x^2 \pm xy + y^2$ which are equivalent and the determinant of the form is

$$D_2 = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & +\frac{1}{2} \\ +\frac{1}{2} & 1 \end{vmatrix}.$$

For $n = 3$ we consider the determinant

$$D_3 = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & \frac{1}{2} & a_{13} \\ \frac{1}{2} & 1 & a_{23} \\ a_{13} & a_{23} & 1 \end{vmatrix} = \frac{1}{2^3} \begin{vmatrix} 2 & 1 & 2a_{13} \\ 1 & 2 & 2a_{23} \\ 2a_{13} & 2a_{23} & 2 \end{vmatrix}.$$

We put $2a_{13} = t_1$; $2a_{23} = t_2$ where the numerical value of $t_i (i = 1, 2)$ is unity.

$D_3 = (1/2^4)\{9 - (2t_2 - t_1)^2\}$. The permissible values of t_1 and t_2 which keep D_3 nonzero and positive are

$$\left. \begin{matrix} t_1 = 1 \\ t_2 = 1 \end{matrix} \right\} \text{ or } \left. \begin{matrix} -1 \\ -1 \end{matrix} \right\}.$$

The corresponding positive definite ternary forms are equivalent. We may have then

$$D_3 = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & 1 & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 1 \end{vmatrix}.$$

Similarly

$$D_4 = \frac{1}{2^{10}} \cdot \frac{1}{3^2} \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 2^3 [12\{2(t_1 t_2 + t_2 t_3 + t_3 t_1) - 1\}]$$

Where, as before, $2a_{i_4} = t_i$ $i = 1, 2,$ and 3 and the numerical value of t_i is unity. The permissible values of t_1, t_2, t_3 which keep D_4 positive, are obtained by $t_1 t_2 + t_2 t_3 + t_3 t_1 = 3$. We get again two quaternary positive definite forms which are equivalent. Proceeding in this way we have

$$T_n = \begin{vmatrix} 2 & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 & \dots & 1 \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & \dots & 2 \end{vmatrix} = (n + 1) \quad (T_n = 2^n D_n).$$

And we investigate

$$T_{n+1} = \begin{vmatrix} 2 & 1 & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 & t_1 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 & t_2 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 & 1 & \dots & 1 & t_3 \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & \dots & 2 & t_n \\ t_1 & t_2 & t_3 & \dots & t_n & 2 \end{vmatrix} = 2T_n - \sum \sum A_{ij} t_i t_j.$$

A_{ij} is the cofactor of a_{ij} in $|a_{ij}|$ where $T_n \equiv |a_{ij}|$. From easy calculation it follows that, in this case $A_{ij} = n$ for every i and $A_{ij} = -1$ for $i = j$

$$T_{n+1} = 2T_n - \{n(t_1^2 + t_2^2 + t_3^2 + \dots + t_n^2) - 2(t_1 t_2 \dots + t_1 t_n + t_2 t_3 + \dots)\} \\ = 2(n + 1) - \{n^2 - 2(t_1 t_2 + t_1 t_3 \dots + t_1 t_n + t_2 t_3 + \dots)\}.$$

We have n quantities t_i where the numerical value of each $t_i = 1$ say r of them are each $+1$ and the remaining s are each -1 ; so $r + s = n$ (r, s are positive integers) then the value of the expression $(t_1 t_2 \dots + t_1 t_n + t_2 t_3 + \dots)$ is

$$\frac{r(r - 1)}{2} + \frac{s(s - 1)}{2} - rs \\ = \frac{n^2}{2} - \frac{n}{2} - 2rs. \quad [r \text{ and } s \text{ are not zero simultaneously}]$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
 T_{n+1} &= 2(n + 1) - \{n^2 - (n^2 - n - 4rs)\} \\
 &= 4s^2 - 4ns + n + 2 .
 \end{aligned}$$

This expression is to be positive.

Therefore $s < \alpha$ or $s > \beta$ where α and β (where $\alpha < \beta$) are the roots of

$$\begin{aligned}
 &4s^2 - 4ns + (n + 2) = 0 \\
 \beta &= \frac{n + \sqrt{n^2 - n - 2}}{2} > n - 1 \quad \text{if } n > 2
 \end{aligned}$$

in this case $s = n$

$$\alpha = \frac{n - \sqrt{n^2 - n - 2}}{2} < 1 \quad \text{if } n > 2$$

in this case $s = 0$

($s = n$) and ($s = 0$) give two equivalent forms and

$$T_{n+1} = \begin{vmatrix} 2 & 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ 1 & 1 & & \dots & 2 \end{vmatrix} .$$

From the above discussion it follows that the solutions of the problem of this paper are given by the forms

$$(6.3) \quad \frac{1}{2}\{x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \dots + x_n^2 + (x_1 \pm x_2 \pm \dots \pm x_n)^2\}$$

The forms (6.3) are all equivalent to the form U_n of Korkine and Zolotareff.

7. REMARK. In this connection it is worth-while to note that the problem of this paper is capable of the following generalization. Find a positive definite (extreme) form f_n such that each $f_r(x_i, x_j, \dots, x_k)$ is extreme (when the number of variables in $f_r(x_i, x_r, \dots, x_k)$ is r ; $r < n$).

It is clear that the form (5.1) gives one answer in every case. Other forms may also be admissible.

For $r = 3$ the problem may be tackled in more or less the same way as it is known that all ternary quadratic extreme forms are equivalent to a single class.

$$x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 - x_1x_2 - x_2x_3 .$$

When $r = 4, 5$ and 6 the problem may be tackled with great dif-

faculties as we know that

(a) When $r = 4$ there are two classes of extreme forms equivalent to

$$(1) \quad x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 + x_4^2 + x_1x_2 + x_1x_3 + x_1x_4 + x_2x_3 + x_2x_4 + x_3x_4$$

$$(2) \quad x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 + x_4^2 \pm x_1x_4 \pm x_2x_4 \pm x_3x_4.$$

(b) When $r = 5$ there are three extreme forms

$$(1) \quad x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 + x_4^2 + x_5^2 + x_1x_2 + x_1x_3 + x_1x_4 + x_1x_5 + x_2x_3 \\ + x_2x_4 + x_2x_5 + x_3x_4 + x_3x_5 + x_4x_5.$$

$$(2) \quad x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 + x_4^2 + x_5^2 - \frac{1}{2}x_1x_2 - \frac{1}{2}x_1x_3 - \frac{1}{2}x_1x_4 - \frac{1}{2}x_1x_5 \\ + \frac{1}{2}x_2x_3 + \frac{1}{2}x_2x_4 - x_2x_5 + \frac{1}{2}x_3x_4 - x_3x_5 - x_4x_5.$$

$$(3) \quad x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 + x_4^2 + x_5^2 + x_1x_3 + x_1x_4 + x_1x_5 + x_2x_3 + x_2x_4 \\ + x_2x_5 + x_3x_4 + x_3x_5 + x_4x_5.$$

(c) When $r = 6$ Professor Barnes has shown that the following extreme forms exist.

$$(1) \quad \phi_0 = \sum_1^6 x_i^2 + \sum_{i < j} x_i x_j$$

$$(2) \quad \phi_1 = \phi_0 - x_1x_2$$

$$(3) \quad \phi_3 = \phi_0 - \frac{1}{2}(x_1x_2 + x_3x_4 + x_5x_6) \quad (\text{Kneser and Barnes}).$$

$$(4) \quad \phi_4 = \phi_0 - \frac{1}{2}(x_1x_2 + x_3x_4 + x_3x_5 + x_3x_6 + x_4x_5 + x_4x_6 + x_5x_6) \\ (\text{Coxeter}).$$

$$(5) \quad \phi_2 = \phi_0 - x_1x_2 - x_1x_3.$$

$$(6) \quad \phi_6 = \phi_0 - \frac{1}{2}(2x_1x_2 + x_1x_3 + x_1x_6 + x_2x_5 + x_4x_6 + 2x_5x_6) \quad (\text{Barnes}).$$

For $r \geq 7$ the number of extreme forms is not known (for still higher values of r ($r \geq 11$) f_r is in a genus of more than one class) and even if these are known, the problem becomes very complex as the number of extreme forms increases with r .

I wish to thank the referee for his helpful suggestions.

REFERENCES

1. Barnard and Child, *Higher Algebra*.
2. E. S. Barnes, Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London, 1957.
3. L. E. Dickson, *History of Number Theory*, Vol. III
4. A. Korkine and G. Zolotareff, Math. Annalen XI Band, 1877.

Received February 24, 1967.

UNIVERSITY OF RANCHI
BEHAR, INDIA

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

H. ROYDEN

Stanford University
Stanford, California

J. P. JANS

University of Washington
Seattle, Washington 98105

J. DUGUNDJI

Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, California 90007

RICHARD ARENS

University of California
Los Angeles, California 90024

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
* * *
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
CHEVRON RESEARCH CORPORATION
TRW SYSTEMS
NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

Glen Eugene Bredon, <i>Cosheaves and homology</i>	1
Robin Ward Chaney, <i>A chain rule for the transformation of integrals in measure space</i>	33
Colin W. Clark, <i>On relatively bounded perturbations of ordinary differential operators</i>	59
John Edwin Diem, <i>A radical for lattice-ordered rings</i>	71
Zeev Ditzian, <i>On a class of convolution transforms</i>	83
Dennis Garoutte and Paul Adrian Nickel, <i>A note on extremal properties characterizing weakly λ-valent principal functions</i>	109
Shwu-Yeng Tzeng Lin, <i>Fixed point properties and inverse limit spaces</i>	117
John S. Lowndes, <i>Some dual series equations involving Laguerre polynomials</i>	123
Kirti K. Oberai, <i>Sum and product of commuting spectral operators</i>	129
J. N. Pandey and Armen H. Zemanian, <i>Complex inversion for the generalized convolution transformation</i>	147
Stephen Parrott, <i>Isometric multipliers</i>	159
Manoranjan Prasad, <i>Note on an extreme form</i>	167
Maciej Skwarczyński, <i>A representation of a bounded function as infinite product in a domain with Bergman-Shilov boundary surface</i>	177
John C. Taylor, <i>The Šilov boundary for a lattice-ordered semigroup</i>	185
Donald Reginald Traylor and James Newton Younglove, <i>On normality and pointwise paracompactness</i>	193
L. Tzafiriri, <i>Quasi-similarity for spectral operators on Banach spaces</i>	197