# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

A MAXIMUM PRINCIPLE AND GEOMETRIC PROPERTIES OF LEVEL SETS

THOMAS ALLAN COOTZ

Vol. 26, No. 1

November 1968

# A MAXIMUM PRINCIPLE AND GEOMETRIC PROPERTIES OF LEVEL SETS

# THOMAS A. COOTZ

There are many results in function theory which relate the behavior of a function in the interior of a domain to its behavior on the boundary. A well known result of this sort is the theorem of study: if the map of the unit disc under a univalent analytic function f(z) is convex, then the map of every concentric disc contained therein is also convex. This theorem has been generalized in many different directions including more general properties of univalent functions, and the convex and star-shaped properties for level surfaces of harmonic functions in  $E^3$ . The results for univalent functions depend basically upon Schwarz's lemma, while the results for level surfaces of harmonic functions have been shown previously by means of rather complicated forms of the maximum principle.

In §1, we give a simple and direct proof of a very general theorem, depending upon a form of the maximum principle, which is then shown in §2 to easily give the known results as well as several new ones. Some related new problems are discussed in §3.

### 1. Main result.

THEOREM 1. Let  $C_{0j}$  and  $C_{1j}$ ,  $j = 0, 1, \dots, n$ , be closed subsets of Euclidean m-space,  $E^m$ , such that  $C_{0j} \cap C_{1j} = \emptyset$ , and define:

$$egin{array}{lll} p = (P_1,\,\cdots,\,P_n),\,P_j\in E^m\ ,\ A_j = \partial C_{0j},\,B_j = \partial C_{1j},\,D_j = E^m - (C_{0j}\cup C_{1j})\ ,\ C_0 = C_{01} imes\,\cdots\, imes\,C_{0n} \qquad C_1 = C_{11} imes\,\cdots\, imes\,C_{1n}\ . \end{array}$$

Suppose continuous functions  $f_j(P_j): E^m \to E^1$  and  $T(p): E^{mn} \to E^m$  are given such that the following conditions are satisfied for  $j = 1, \dots, n$ :

(i) The sets  $C_{0j}$  and  $C_{1j}$  are level sets of  $f_j(P_j)$  such that

$$f_j(P_j) = egin{cases} 0 & for \ P_j \in C_{0j} \ K & for \ P_j \in C_{1j} \end{bmatrix} \qquad egin{array}{c} j = 0, \ \cdots, n \ K \in (0, \ \infty] \ . \end{cases}$$

 $0 < f_j(P_j) < K$  for all  $P_j \in D_j$ ,  $j = 0, 1, \dots, n$ (ii)  $H_j(p) \equiv f_j(P_j) - f_i(T(p))$  takes its maximum over all

$$p \in N_j \equiv \{p: P_j \in D_j, \ T(p) \in D_0, f_j(P_j) \leq f_i(P_i), \ i \neq j\}$$

in the set  $\partial M_j \cap \partial N_j$ , where  $M_j \equiv \{p: P_j \in D_j, T(p) \in D_0\}$ .

(iii) Define 
$$D(k) = interior \{p: f_j(P_j) \ge k, j = 1, \dots, n\}$$
  
 $D_0(k) = interior \{P_0: f_0(P_0) \ge k\}$   
 $D(0) = \{p: f_j(P_j) > 0, j = 1, \dots, n\}$   
 $D_0(0) = \{P_0: f_0(P_0) > 0\}$ 

for  $k \in (0, K]$ . We assume that  $T(p) \in D_0(0)$  whenever  $p \in D(0)$  and  $T(p) \in D_0(K)$  whenever  $p \in D(K)$ .

(iv) If  $K = \infty$  we assume that  $H_j(p)$  approaches a nonpositive limit at every point of  $\partial D(K)$ .

Conclusion:  $T(p) \in D_0(k)$  whenever  $p \in D(k)$  for  $k \in [0, K]$ .

*Proof.* The conclusion is assumed to be true when k = 0 or k = 0K in hypothesis (iii). If  $k \in (0, K)$ , suppose  $p \in D(k)$ . Let j be such that  $f_i(P_i) \leq f_i(P_i)$  for  $i = 1, \dots, n$ . We know that  $p \in D(k) \Rightarrow$  $p \in D(0) \Longrightarrow T(p) \in D_0(0)$ . If  $T(p) \in C_{10}$  then obviously  $H_j(p) \leq 0$  because  $0 < f_i(P_i) < K$ . If  $P_i \in C_{1i}$  then by our choice of j we have  $f_i(P_i) \ge C_{1i}$  $f_j(P_j) = K$  and so  $p \in C_1$ , therefore  $T(p) \in D_0(K) = C_{10}$ , and  $H_j(p) = 0$ . Thus  $p \in N_i$  is the only case left to consider and we argue as follows. Hypothesis (ii) then says that  $H_j(p) \leq H_j(Q)$  for some  $Q \in \partial M_j \cap \partial N_j$ . The point Q must be such that either  $Q_j \in A_j$  or  $Q_j \in B_j$  as we have eliminated above the other possibilities. In the former case  $H_i(Q) \leq 0$ holds because  $f_j(Q_j) = 0$  and  $f_0(P_0) \ge 0$ . In the latter case we know that  $f_i(Q_i) \ge f_j(Q_j) = K$ , and thus  $Q \in \overline{D(K)}$ . This implies that  $T(Q) \in \overline{D_0(K)}$  and therefore  $H_i(Q) \leq 0$ . When  $K = \infty$ , hypothesis (iv) allows us to draw this conclusion. Thus  $H_j(p) \leq 0$ , which means that  $T(p) \in D_0(k)$  as required.

**THEOREM 2.** Hypothesis (ii) in Theorem 1. is implied by the following conditions:

(ii)' Let  $J = \{1, \dots, n\}, S \subset J, I = J - S = \{i1, \dots, ih\}$ 

 $p = (P_{i1}, \dots, P_{ih}), q = (Q_{i1}, \dots, Q_{ih})$ .

For every  $j \in J$ , S such that  $j \notin S$ , and Q such that  $f_s(Q_s) = f_j(Q_j)$  for  $s \in S$ , we assume that there exists a function  $t(p) = (t_0(p), \dots, t_n(p))$  such that:

$$t_i(p) = P_i \text{ for } i \in I$$
  
 $t_s(q) = Q_s \text{ and } f_s(t_s(p)) = f_j(P_j) \text{ for } s \in S$ 

 $H_i(t(p)) = f_i(P_i) - f(T(t(p)))$  takes its maximum over all

 $p \in N_{i}(S) = \{p: P_{j} \in D_{j}, T(t(p)) \in D_{0}, f_{j}(P_{j}) < f_{i}(P_{i}), i \in I, i \neq j\}$ 

in the set  $\partial N_j(S)$ .

Comment. This condition gives us a means of eliminating those

boundary points of  $N_j$  for which  $f_j(P_j) = f_i(P_i)$  for some  $i \neq j$ . In our applications the functions t(p) will be rotations of level surfaces of the functions  $f_j$ .

*Proof.* When n = 1, there is only one  $f_j(P_j)$  and the theorem is clearly true; no functions t(p) are needed. For n > 1, the argument proceeds by induction on n; the assumed existence of functions t(p) is exactly what is needed at each step in the induction.

2. Applications. The first of the following two theorems is due to Beckenbach and Graham [2], whose proof depends upon Schwarz's lemma. The second is a doubly connected version of the first and is closely allied with the Hadamard Three Circles Theorem.

THEOREM 3. Let the analytic function f(z) with f(0) = 0, regular in the unit circle |z| < 1, map |z| < 1 in a one-to-one way on a plane domain, and let the map of  $|z| < r, 0 < r \leq 1$ , be denoted by D(r). Let the constants  $r_j, j = 0, 1, \dots, n$ , satisfy  $0 < r_j \leq 1$ , w denote the vector  $(w_1, \dots, w_n), w_j = f(z_j)$ , and T(w) be a regular analytic function of the n complex variables  $w_1, \dots, w_n$  with  $D(r_j)$  as range of the variable  $w_j$ , and T(0) = 0. Suppose that for each vector w with  $w_j$  in  $D(r_j)$ , the point  $T(w) = w_0$  is in  $D(r_0)$ . Then for each w with  $w_j$  in  $D(r_jr)$ ,  $0 < r \leq 1$ , the point  $T(w) = w_0$  is in  $D(r_0r)$ , whenever  $r_0$  satisfies  $r_0 \geq \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i r_i$ , where  $a_i$  is the coefficient of  $w_i$  in the expansion of T(w).

THEOREM 4. Let the analytic function f(z), regular in the annulus  $\rho < |z| < 1$ , map  $\rho < |z| < 1$  in a one-to-one way on a plane domain bounded by two simple closed curves such that the inner curve B is the map of  $|z| = \rho$ , the outer curve A is the map of |z| = 1, and the orientation is preserved. Let D(r) denote the interior of the image of |z| = r, the constants  $r_j, j = 0, 1, \dots, n$ , satisfy  $\rho \leq r_j \leq 1$ , and T(w) be a regular analytic function of the n complex variables  $w_1, \dots, w_n$ , with  $D(r_j)$  as range of the variable  $w_j$ . Suppose that for each w with  $w_j$  in  $D(r_j)$ , the point  $T(w) = w_0$ is in  $D(r_0)$ , and for each w with  $w_j$  in  $D(\rho)$  the point T(w) is in  $D(\rho)$ . Then for each w with  $w_j$  in  $D(r_j(\rho/r_j)^o), 0 \leq \sigma \leq 1$ , the point  $T(w) = w_0$  is in  $D(r_0(\rho/r_0)^o)$ .

Proof of Theorem 3. We define the functions

$$egin{array}{ll} f_j(w_j) &= -\log |f^{-1}(w_j)| + \log r_j, j = 0, 1, \, \cdots, n \ A_j &= f\{z; \, |\, z \, |\, = r_j\}, \, B_j = \{f(0)\} = \{0\}, \, ext{and} \, \, K = \, \infty \end{array}$$

Hypothesis (i) of Theorem 1 is clearly satisfied and (iii) is assumed to

be true. We shall give explicitly a function t(p) satisfying (ii)' of Theorem 2. Let  $p = (w_1, \dots, w_n), q = (w_{01}, \dots, w_{0k})$  and define the constants  $\alpha_s$  by  $z_{0s} = \exp(i\alpha_s)z_{0j}$ . The function  $t(p) = (t_1(w_j), \dots, t_n(w_j))$ , where  $t_s(w_j) \equiv f(f^{-1}(w_j) \exp(i\alpha_s))$ , satisfies the requirements in Theorem 2 because the  $t_s(w_j)$  are analytic and thus  $T(t(w_j))$  satisfies the principle of the maximum. It remains to show that hypothesis (iv) holds. We observe that by the construction of the domain  $N_j$  we have  $f_j(w_j) \leq f_i(w_i)$  and thus  $r_i^{-1} |f^{-1}(w_i)| \leq r_j^{-1} |f^{-1}(w_j)|$ , from which

$$egin{aligned} H_j(t(w_j)) &\equiv f_j(w_j) - f_{ ext{c}}(T(t(w_j))) \ &= \log \Big| rac{r_j f^{-1}(T(t(w_j)))}{r_{ ext{o}} f^{-1}(w_j)} \Big| &\leq 0 \end{aligned}$$

whenever  $w_j$  is small enough and  $r_0 \ge \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i r_i$ , since T(0) = 0 and t(0) = 0.

Proof of Theorem 4. Let

$$f_j(w_j) = (\log |f^{-1}(w_j)| - \log r_j) / (\log \rho - \log r_j)$$

and K = 1. Then hypothesis (iv) is unnecessary and the other hypotheses follow just as in the proof of Theorem 3, with the same functions  $t(w_j)$ .

Theorem 3 contains a result of Ford [4] as a special case. A domain is said to be star-shaped if it satisfies hypothesis (iii) with  $T(P_1) = \lambda P_1$ , and convex if  $T(P_1, P_2) = \lambda P_1 + (1 - \lambda)P_2$  for  $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ . Beckenbach and Graham [2] derive many well known (and some not well known) results from Theorem 3. These include the theorem of Study [24] and Radó [16] for convex domains, and the similar results of Takahashi [25], Seidel [22], and Nabetani [13] for star-shaped domains. The analogous results for doubly connected domain were given by Komatu [12], who used very precise estimates obtained from the theory of elliptic functions in the proof. His theorems (Theorem 5) follow directly from Theorem 4.

THEOREM 5. If D(1) and  $D(\rho)$  are both star-shaped with respect to a point inside the map of  $|z| = \rho$  then D(r),  $\rho \leq r \leq 1$  is also starshaped with respect to that point. If D(1) and  $D(\rho)$  are both convex, then D(r) is convex.

A different approach has been used by Walsh [26, 27, 28] to study the shape of level curves of the Green's function of a function of a complex variable in terms of its curvature.

We now prove a theorem, concerned with functions which satisfy

elliptic partial differential equations and the star-shaped and convex properties in  $E^3$ , which contain the previously known results in harmonic functions.

THEOREM 6. Let f(P) be defined on a doubly connected domain  $D \subset E^3$ , and suppose that f(P) and  $f(\lambda P)$  satisfy the same elliptic partial differential equation there. Let A and B be the outer and inner boundary surfaces of D and suppose

$$f(P) = \frac{0 \quad for \quad P \in A}{K \quad for \quad P \in B} \quad where \quad K \in (0, \infty]$$

We allow the case  $K = \infty$  only when  $B = \{0\}$ , and assume that f is sufficiently well behaved at 0 that  $f(\lambda P) \ge f(P)$  for  $\lambda \le 1$  and |P| sufficiently small in this case.

*Proof.* We first observe that if  $K = \infty$ , then hypothesis (iv) of Theorem 1 is satisfied because

 $|\lambda P| \leq \lambda |P| \leq |P|$  and  $|\lambda P_1 + (1 - \lambda)P_2| \leq \max(|P_1|, |P_2|)$ .

Hypotheses (i) and (iii) are satisfied by assumption so we turn our attention to the verification of condition (ii)'. First of all, solutions of elliptic partial differential equations satisfy the maximum and minimum principles (see e.g., Hopf [9]) and  $H(P) = f(P) - f(\lambda P)$ satisfies an elliptic equation by hypothesis. Thus the proof is completed for the star-shaped case because no functions t(p) are needed. It remains to be shown that, in the convex case, there is a function t(p) satisfying (ii)'. But since D(0) and D(K) are convex, they are also star-shaped with respect to any point  $R \in D(K)$ . We can define  $t(P_i)$  as the unique point obtained by rotating  $E^3$  about R such that  $Q_i$  goes into  $Q_s$  (here j = 1 or 2 and  $s \neq j$ ) followed by a projection along the ray through R upon the level surface determined by  $P_{j}$ , because every level surface is star-shaped by the first part of this theorem. We now note that the function  $H_i(t(P_i))$  satisfies the maximum principle because the function  $t(P_j)$  is one-to-one in a neighborhood of  $P_j$  and a maximum of  $H_j(t(P_j))$  at  $P_j$  would thus imply a maximum of  $H_j(P)$  at  $P = t(P_j)$ , contradicting the theorem of Hopf. Therefore (ii)' is satisfied and the proof is complete.

We list some special cases of Theorem 6 which have occurred in the literature. For the Greens function of a star-shaped domain: Gergen [7] and Warschawski [29]; for the Greens function of a convex domain: Gabriel [5,6]; for convex logarithmic potential surfaces: Nikliborc [14]; for harmonic functions and doubly connected domains when the two given level surfaces are star-shaped: Brunner [3]; and for harmonic functions on doubly connected domains when the two given level surfaces are convex: Stoddart [23].

Theorem 6 can be easily extended to many other classes of functions.  $f_1(P), \dots, f_n(P)$  could be subfunctions and  $f_0(P)$  could be a superfunction of such a solution of an elliptic equation. Solutions of certain parabolic equations also are known to satisfy a maximum principle (see e.g., Nirenberg [15]), as well as various types of hyperbolic and mixed elliptic-hyperbolic equations (Germain and Bader [8]; Agmon, Nirenberg and Protter [1]), from which mutations of Theorem 6 may be formed. The condition that f(P) and  $f(\lambda P)$  satisfy the same equation can be relaxed; all that is needed is that H(P) = $f(P) - f(\lambda P)$  be a solution of an elliptic partial differential equation in the star-shaped case. A similar comment applies to the convex case.

3. Some general problems. We have investigated the geometric behavior of a real valued function f(P) in domains D(k) bounded by level sets (curves, surfaces, etc.) on which f(P) has a constant value k > 0. If D(K) and D(0) have a property, then what can be said about D(k),  $0 \le k \le K$ ? In general, very little can be said about D(k), but we have shown in Theorem 1, under certain conditions on the function and geometric property, that D(k) also has the same property.

We shall discuss the situation in the plane, where the level sets are closed curves. The analogous problems for level sets in higher dimensional space, in particular surfaces in  $E^3$ , have been investigated only to the extent given in this paper.

For certain geometric properties (those characterized by an analytic function T(w)) Theorem 3 allows us to conclude that if D(1) has property T, then D(r) has property T for  $0 \leq r \leq 1$ . We might ask for the largest  $r, 0 \leq r \leq 1$ , such that D(r) has property S when D(1)has property T, and call this r the radius of property S. There are many results of this type in the theory of univalent functions; most of them are concerned with the star-shaped and convex properties (e.g., radius of convexity when D(1) is star-shaped) and variants of them (typically real, close to star, close to convex, starlike of order  $\alpha$ , etc.). Recently Hummel [10, 11] and Robertson [17, 18, 19] have used the variational techniques of Schiffer [20, 21] to develop a systematic approach to these problems, in terms of the variation of functions of positive real part. Extensions of the results of this paper (Theorems 1 and 3) to radius of property S have not as yet been accomplished, and provide interesting future research possibilities.

### References

1. S. Agmon, L. Nirenberg, and M. H. Protter, A maximum principle for a class of hyperbolic equations and applications to equations of mixed elliptic-hyperbolic type, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 6 (1953), 455-470.

2. E. F. Beckenbach and E. W. Graham, On Subordination in Complex Variable Theory, Proc. of a Symposium, 247-254. Nat. Bur. of Standards, Appl. Math. Ser. No. 18, U. S. Government Printing Office, Wash., D. C., 1952.

3. W. Brunner, Sur Les Surfaces de Niveau du Potentiel, Enseignment 28, 59-60.

4. L. R. Ford, On properties of regions which persist in subregions bounded by level curves of the Green's function, Duke Math. J. 1 (1935), 103-104.

5. R. M. Gabriel, A result concerning convex level surfaces of 3-dimensional harmonic functions, J. London Math. Soc. **32** (1957), 286-294.

6. \_\_\_\_\_, An extended principle of the maximum for harmonic functions in 3dimensions, J. London Math. Soc. **30** (1955), 388-401.

7. J. J. Gergen, Note on the Green function of a star-shaped three-dimensional region, Amer. J. Math. **53** (1931), 746-452.

8. Germain and Bader, Sur Quelques Problèmes à l'équation de type mixte de Tricomi, Office Nationale d'Etudes Recherches Aeronautiques, Publication No. 54 (1952).

9. E. Hopf, A Remark on Linear Elliptic Differential Equations of Second Order, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 3 (1952), 791-793.

10. J. A. Hummel, A variational method for starlike functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 9 (1958), 82-87.

11. \_\_\_\_\_, Extremal problems in the class of starlike functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 11 (1960), 741-749.

12. Y. Komatu, On conformal mapping of a domain with convex or starlike boundary, Kōdai Math. Sem. Rep. **9** (1957), 105-139.

13. K. Nabetani, On Study's theorem in the theory of conformal representation, Tohoku Math. J. 41 (1934), 406-410.

14. W. Nikliborc, Uber die Niveaukurven Logarithmischer Flachenpotentiale, Math. Zeitsch. **36** (1932), 641-646.

15. L. Nirenberg, A strong maximum principle for parabolic equations, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 6 (1953), 167-177.

16. T. Radó, Bemerkung Über die Konformen Abbildungen Konvexer Gebiete, Math. Ann. 102 (1929), 428-429.

17. M. S. Robertson, Extremal problems for analytic functions with positive real part and applications, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **106** (1962), 236-253.

18. ———, Variational methods for functions with positive real part, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **102** (1961), 82-93.

19. \_\_\_\_, Some Radius of Convexity Problems, Michigan Math. J. 10 (1963), 231-236.

20. M. Schiffer, Applications of variational methods in the theory of conformal mapping, Proc. of Symposia in Appl. Math. 8 (1958), 93-113.

21. \_\_\_\_, A method of variation within the family of simple functions, Proc. London Math. Soc. 44 (1938), 432-449.

22. W. Seidel, Über die Ränderzuordnung bei Konformen Abbildungen, Math. Ann. 104 (1931), 182-243.

23. A. W. J. Stoddart, The shape of level surfaces of harmonic functions in threedimensions, Michigan Math. J. 11 (1964), 225-229.

24. E. Study, Konforme Abbildung Einfach Zusammenhängender Bereiche, B. G. Teubner, Leipzig and Berlin, 1913.

25. S. Takahashi, Bemerkung zu Einer Arbeit von Herrn Radó, Japanese J. Math. 7 (1930), 161-162.

26. J. L. Walsh, Note on the shape of level curves of Green's functions, Amer. Math.

Monthly 60 (1963), 671-674.

27. \_\_\_\_\_, On the circles of curvature of the images of circles under a conformal map, Amer. Math. Monthly **46** (1939), 472-485.

28. \_\_\_\_, Note on the shape of level curves of Green's function, Duke Math. J. **20** (1953), 611-615.

29. S. E. Warschawski, On the Green function of a star-shaped three-dimensional region, Amer. Math. Monthly. 57 (1950), 471-473.

Received March 21, 1967. This paper is a portion of a doctoral dissertion written at the University of California at Los Angeles under the direction of Professor E. F. Beckenbach. The author wishes to thank Professor Beckenbach for his guidance and encouragement, and the National Science Foundation, Grant GP 5279, for partial support during the period of preparation of that dissertation. Completion of this work was partially supported by the Douglas Aircraft Company under the Independent Research and Development funds.

DOUGLAS AIRCRAFT CO., INC.

# PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

# EDITORS

H. ROYDEN

Stanford University Stanford, California J. DUGUNDJI

Department of Mathematics University of Southern California Los Angeles, California 90007

R. R. PHELPS University of Washington Seattle, Washington 98105 RICHARD ARENS University of California

Los Angeles, California 90024

### ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH B. H. NEUMANN

F. Wolf

K. YOSIDA

# SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF OREGON OSAKA UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF UTAH WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON \* \* \* AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY CHEVRON RESEARCH CORPORATION

CHEVRON RESEARCH CORPORATION TRW SYSTEMS NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

Printed in Japan by International Academic Printing Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan

# Pacific Journal of Mathematics Vol. 26, No. 1 November, 1968

| Efraim Pacillas Armendariz, <i>Closure properties in radical theory</i>                 | 1   |
|---|-----|
| Friedrich-Wilhelm Bauer, Postnikov-decompositions of functors                           | 9   |
| Thomas Ru-Wen Chow, <i>The equivalence of group invariant positive definite</i>         |     |
| functions   | 25  |
| Thomas Allan Cootz, A maximum principle and geometric properties of                     |     |
| level sets  | 39  |
| Rodolfo DeSapio, Almost diffeomorphisms of manifolds                                    | 47  |
| R. L. Duncan, Some continuity properties of the Schnirelmann density                    | 57  |
| Ralph Jasper Faudree, Jr., Automorphism groups of finite subgroups of                   |     |
| division rings  | 59  |
| Thomas Alastair Gillespie, An invariant subspace theorem of J.                          |     |
| Feldman   | 67  |
| George Isaac Glauberman and John Griggs Thompson, Weakly closed direct                  |     |
| factors of Sylow subgroups  | 73  |
| Hiroshi Haruki, On inequalities generalizing a Pythagorean functional                   |     |
| equation and Jensen's functional equation   | 85  |
| David Wilson Henderson, <i>D-dimension</i> . I. A new transfinite dimension             | 91  |
| David Wilson Henderson, <i>D-dimension</i> . II. Separable spaces and compactifications | 109 |
| Julien O. Hennefeld, A note on the Arens products                                       | 115 |
| Richard Vincent Kadison, Strong continuity of operator functions                        | 121 |
| J. G. Kalbfleisch and Ralph Gordon Stanton, Maximal and minimal                         |     |
| coverings of $(k-1)$ -tuples by k-tuples  | 131 |
| Franklin Lowenthal, On generating subgroups of the Moebius group by                     |     |
| pairs of infinitesimal transformations  | 141 |
| Michael Barry Marcus, Gaussian processes with stationary increments                     |     |
| possessing discontinuous sample paths   | 149 |
| Zalman Rubinstein, On a problem of Ilyeff   | 159 |
| Bernard Russo, Unimodular contractions in Hilbert space                                 | 163 |
| David Lee Skoug, Generalized Ilstow and Feynman integrals                               | 171 |
| William Charles Waterhouse, <i>Dual groups of vector spaces</i>                         | 193 |