Pacific Journal of Mathematics

ALMOST DIFFEOMORPHISMS OF MANIFOLDS

RODOLFO DESAPIO

Vol. 26, No. 1 November 1968

ALMOST DIFFEOMORPHISMS OF MANIFOLDS

R. DE SAPIO

Let $f: M^n \to X^n$ be a homotopy equivalence between two closed, differentiable (of class C^{∞}) n-manifolds such that finduces, from the stable normal bundle $V_k(X^n)$ of X^n in the (n+k)-sphere S^{n+k} , a bundle over M^n that is equivalent to the stable normal bundle $V_k(M^n)$ of M^n in S^{n+k} . Then it is found that the disjoint union $X^n \cup M^n$ bounds a differentiable (n+1)manifold W^{n+1} with a retraction $r: W^{n+1} \to X^n$ such that the restriction $r \mid M^n$ is equal to the given homotopy equivalence f. Furthermore, let n=2q-1 or 2q, where $q \ge 3$, and suppose that X^n is simply connected if n=2q-1, and that X^n is 2-connected if n=2q. Then, if the restrictions of the bundles $r^*V_k(X^n)$ and $V_k(W^{n+1})$ to the (q-1)-skeleton of W^{n+1} are equivalent, where $r^*V_k(X^n)$ is the bundle induced by $r: W^{n+1} \to X^n$ and $V_k(W^{n+1})$ is the stable normal bundle of W^{n+1} in S^{n+k} , then M^n and X^n are diffeomorphic up to a point. In particular, M^n and X^n are homeomorphic.

It was S. P. Novikov who first gave sufficient conditions for homotopically equivalent, closed, simply connected n-manifolds M^n and X^n to be homeomorphic, provided that $n \geq 5$. In this paper one of his sufficient conditions is replaced by a weaker condition. The other condition of Novikov is assumed here, namely that the given homotopy equivalence $f: M^n \to X^n$ has the property that the induced bundle $f^*V_k(X^n)$ is equivalent to $V_k(M^n)$.

The homeomorphism obtained here is actually an almost diffeomorphism (as was obtained by Novikov) in the following sense: an almost diffeomorphism of closed n-manifolds M^n and X^n is a homeomorphism $g\colon M^n \to X^n$ that is a diffeomorphism except possibly at a single point. For $n\neq 3,4$ this is equivalent to the statement that there is a homotopy n-sphere V^n such that M^n is diffeomorphic to the connected sum $X^n \sharp V^n$. Of course Novikov's conclusion is stronger than the one obtained here in that he concludes that the homotopy n-sphere V^n bounds a parallelizable manifold, which may not be the case here. This is due to the fact that we have removed one of Novikov's conditions on the homotopy equivalence.

The reason for removing this condition is clear from the following example. Let n=9 or 13 and let M^n be the tangent (n-1)/2-sphere bundle to the (n+1)/2-sphere. Let X^n be the connected sum of M^n with a homotopy n-sphere that does not bound a parallelizable manifold; such homotopy n-spheres exist at least for n=9,13, and 15 (see [5]). Then M^n and X^n have the same homotopy type (they are almost

diffeomorphic) and have trivial stable normal bundles in a high dimensional (n+k)-sphere S^{n+k} . But for any homotopy n-sphere V^n that bounds a parallelizable manifold, the manifolds M^n and $X^n \not\equiv V^n$ are never diffeomorphic (see [2]). A more trivial example of this would be to take $M^n = S^n$ the standard n-sphere, and to take X^n to be a homotopy n-sphere that does not bound a parallelizable manifold, say for n = 9, 13, or 15.

In other words, what is desired is a necessary and sufficient condition for two closed n-manifolds M^n and X^n of the same homotopy type to be almost diffeomorphic. A necessary condition is that there exists a homotopy equivalence $f : M^n \to X^n$ such that f induces, from the stable normal bundle of X^n in S^{n+k} , a bundle over M^n that is equivalent to the stable normal bundle of M^n in S^{n+k} . This follows immediately from the fact that homotopy n-spheres have trivial stable normal bundles in S^{n+k} (i.e. they are π -manifolds). However, in general this necessary condition does not appear to be sufficient, although it is in fact a sufficient condition for almost diffeomorphism in either one of the following two cases:

- 1. n=2q>4 and M^n is (q-1)-connected (see [6]).
- 2. n = 2q + 1, where q is even and M^n is (q 1)-connected and stably parallelizable such that $H_q(M^n)$ is cyclic (see [2]).

In general the necessary condition above does imply the existence of a cobordism between M^n and X^n with a certain nice property. Then in order to conclude that M^n and X^n are almost diffeomorphic we make an assumption about this cobordism. In all honesty, it must be said that this assumption is really quite unsatisfactory at least because it is not a necessary condition in general.

Differentiable (or smooth) will mean of class C^{∞} and all manifolds are assumed to be differentiable, compact, and oriented (except as noted).

1. The cobordism. For a space X and integer $n \ge 0$ there is defined the n-dimensional unoriented bordism group $\mathfrak{N}_n(X)$ as developed by Conner and Floyed [1]. The abelian group $\mathfrak{N}_n(X)$ consists of bordism classes $[M^n, f]_2$ of singular n-manifolds (M^n, f) , where M^n is a closed (possibly nonorientable) n-manifold and $f: M^n \to X$ is a map.

Suppose that M^n and X^n are closed n-manifolds and that $f : M^n \to X^n$ is a map. Then the singular n-manifold (M^n, f) determines an element $[M^n, f]_2 \in \mathfrak{R}_n(X^n)$. Now the identity map $id : X^n \to X^n$ also determines an element $[X^n, id]_2 \in \mathfrak{R}_n(X^n)$ and in general $[M^n, f]_2 \neq [X^n, id]_2$. However, Conner and Floyd [1] give a necessary and sufficient condition for $[M^n, f]_2 = [X^n, id]_2$ in terms of the so called Whitney numbers of a map. If it is true that $[M^n, f]_2 = [X^n, id]_2$, then by definition there is a compact (n+1)-manifold W^{n+1} , possibly

nonorientable, with boundary the disjoint union $X^n \cup M^n$ and a map $r: W^{n+1} \to X^n$ such that $r \mid M^n = f$ and $r \mid X^n$ is the identity on X^n . That is, r is a retraction of W^{n+1} onto X^n .

We have a map $f: M^n \to X^n$ of closed n-manifolds. Let $\mu_n \in H_n(M^n; Z_2)$ be the fundamental class. Then for any $\gamma \in H^n(M^n; Z_2)$ there is defined the Kronecker index $\langle \gamma, \mu_n \rangle \in Z_2$. Now let $w_0 = 1, w_1, \dots, w_n$ denote the Stiefel-Whitney classes of M^n . Choose any cohomology class $c^m \in H^m(X^n; Z_2)$; then for any partition $i_1 + \dots + i_k = n - m$ the product $w_{i_1} \cdots w_{i_k} f^*(c^m)$ lies in $H^n(M^n; Z_2)$ and hence $\langle w_{i_1} \cdots w_{i_k} f^*(c^m), \mu_n \rangle \in Z_2$ is defined. Following [1], this integer mod 2 is called a Whitney number of the map f associated with $c^m \in H^m(X^n; Z_2)$. We remark that the Whitney numbers are also defined when M^n is non-orientable in exactly the same way. There are also defined the Whitney numbers of the map $id: X^n \to X^n$. Now a result of [1, Th. 17.2, p. 47] asserts that $[M^n, f]_2 = [X^n, id]_2$ if and only if $f: M^n \to X^n$ and $id: X^n \to X^n$ have the same Whitney numbers.

NOTATION. Let $V_k(X)$ denote the stable normal bundle of a manifold X in euclidean space.

LEMMA 1. Let $f: M^n \to X^n$ be a homotopy equivalence such that the induced bundle $f^*V_k(X^n)$ is equivalent to $V_k(M^n)$. Then there is an (n+1)-manifold W^{n+1} with boundary the disjoint union $X^n \cup M^n$ and a retraction $r: W^{n+1} \to X^n$ such that $r \mid M^n = f$.

Proof. Since $f^*V_k(X^n)$ is equivalent to $V_k(M^n)$, f induces the stable tangent bundle of M^n from the stable tangent bundle of X^n . Hence by the naturality of the Stiefel-Whitney classes we have

$$f^*(\widetilde{w}_i) = w_i ,$$

where \widetilde{w}_i is the *i*-th Stiefel-Whitney class of X^n . By previous remarks it is sufficient to show that $f \colon M^n \to X^n$ and $id \colon X^n \to X^n$ have the same Whitney numbers, as follows. If $\mu_n \in H_n(M^n; Z_2)$ and $\widetilde{\mu}_n \in H_n(X^n; Z_2)$ are the fundamental classes, then

$$f_*(\mu_n) = \tilde{\mu}_n$$

since f is a homotopy equivalence and hence, given $c^m \in H^m(X^n; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ and $i_1 + \cdots + i_k = n - m$, we have

$$\langle w_{i_1} \cdots w_{i_k} f^*(c^n), \mu_n \rangle = \langle \widetilde{w}_{i_1} \cdots \widetilde{w}_{i_k} c^n, f_* \mu_n \rangle$$

= $\langle \widetilde{w}_{i_1} \cdots \widetilde{w}_{i_k} c^n, \widetilde{\mu}_n \rangle$

as desired.

2. The condition.

THEOREM. Let $f: M^n \to X^n$ be a homotopy equivalence of simply connected, closed n-manifolds such that $f^*V_k(X^n)$ is equivalent to $V_k(M^n)$. Then:

- (a) The disjoint union $M^n \cup X^n$ bounds an (n+1)-manifold W^{n+1} with a retraction $r: W^{n+1} \to X^n$ such that $r \mid M^n = f$.
- (b) Let $r: W^{n+1} \to X^n$ be as in (a) and suppose that the restrictions of the bundles $r^*V_k(X^n)$ and $V_k(W^{n+1})$ to the [(n-1)/2]-skeleton of W^{n+1} are equivalent. Then M^n and X^n are almost diffeomorphic for $n \geq 5$, provided that X^n is 2-connected when n is even.

REMARK. Here [x], where x is a real number, denotes the greatest integer less than or equal to x. Furthermore, if $H_*(X^n)$ has torsion consisting entirely of elements of order two, then, by considering the Pontrjagin numbers of the map $f \colon M^n \to X^n$ (cf. [1, p. 48]), we may apply [1, Th. 17.5, p. 49] to conclude that the manifold W^{n+1} of Lemma 1 (and hence of (a) in the theorem) may be taken to be orientable; hence by application of the method of Browder and Novikov as employed in the following proof, the manifold W^{n+1} of (a) in the theorem may be taken to be simply connected.

Proof. For convenience write n = 2q - 1 or $2q, q \ge 3$. In the first place if $r^*V_k(X^n)$ is equivalent to $V_k(W^{n+1})$, then it can be shown that this condition is equivalent to the condition of Novikov and hence it follows from the theorem of Novikov that M^n and X^n are almost diffeomorphic. However, the assumptions made here are sufficient to insure that we may apply the technique of Browder and Novikov (see [6] or [8]) to kill the kernel (which is finitely generated at each stage) of $r_*: \pi_i(W^{n+1}) \longrightarrow \pi_i(X^n)$ in dimensions i < q by spherical modifications of W^{n+1} . It is only necessary to check that each element in the kernel of r_* for i < q is represented by a smooth embedding $S^i \to W^{n+1}$ with trivial normal bundle. The existence of the embedding is due to Whitney-Haefliger (since n+1 > 2i+1 for i < q) and the fact that it has trivial normal bundle follows from an argument similar to one due to Milnor [7, Th. 3] (the assumption concerning the (q-1)-skeleton of W^{n+1} plays a role here to conclude that $r^*V_k(X^n)$ and $V_k(W^{n+1})$ are equivalent when restricted to an open submanifold of W^{n+1} that contains the (q-1)-skeleton of W^{n+1}). Hence we may assume that $r_*: \pi_i(W^{n+1}) \longrightarrow \pi_i(X^n)$ is an isomorphism for i < q and therefore, from considering the exact homotopy sequence of the pair (W^{n+1}, X^n) , it follows that $\pi_i(W^{n+1}, X^n) = 0$ for i < q. Since $r \mid M^n$ is a homotopy equivalence it follows similarly that $\pi_i(W^{n+1}, M^n) = 0$ for i < q.

It follows from handlebody theory that W^{n+1} may be obtained

from X^n (or M^n) by successively attaching a finite number of "handles" to $X^n \times I$ (or $M^n \times I$, where I = [0, 1] is the unit interval) or, what is the same thing, by performing a finite sequence of spherical modifications on X^n (or M^n). The argument now splits up into two cases, n = 2q - 1 and n = 2q. Both arguments use the fact that

$$\pi_i(W^{n+1}, X^n) = \pi_i(W^{n+1}, M^n) = 0 , \qquad i < q .$$

Case 1. n=2q-1. It follows from (1) and [9, p. 539] that W^{n+1} is diffeomorphic to

$$(X^n imes I)igcup_{arphi}\left(igcup_{j=1}^s D^q_j imes D^q
ight)$$
 ,

where $\varphi: \bigcup_{j=1}^s (\partial D_j^q \times D^q) \to X^n \times 1$ is an embedding of s copies of $\partial D^q \times D^q = S^{q-1} \times D^q$ (D^q the unit q-disc in real q-space) disjointly in $X^n \times 1$ and where the handles $D_j^q \times D^q$ are attached along $X^n \times 1$ by means of φ . Now in the portion of the exact homotopy sequence

$$\pi_q(W^{n+1}, X^n) \xrightarrow{\partial} \pi_{q-1}(X^n) \xrightarrow{i_*} \pi_{q-1}(W^{n+1})$$

where $i\colon X^n \to W^{n+1}$ is the inclusion and i_* is an isomorphism, we see that ∂ is trivial and hence $\varphi \mid \partial D^q \times 0$ is homotopically trivial. Thus φ is homotopically trivial and, since X^n is simply connected, it follows from the engulfing theorem of [4] that $\varphi(\bigcup_j \partial D^q_j \times 0)$ is contained in the interior of a combinatorial n-disc embedded in $X^n \times 1$. The boundary of this disc may be smoothed in $X^n \times 1$ to conclude that $\varphi(\bigcup_j \partial D^q_j \times D^q)$ is contained in a smooth n-disc in $X^n \times 1$. Hence W^{n+1} is diffeomorphic to the boundary connected sum $(X^n \times I, X^n \times 1) \sharp (W^{n+1}_2, \partial W^{n+1}_2)$, where $W^{n+1}_2 = D^{n+1} \bigcup_{\varphi} (\bigcup_{j=1}^s D^q_j \times D^q)$. That is, W^{n+1}_2 is a handlebody such that $\partial W^{n+1}_2 \sharp X^n$ is deffeomorphic to M^n . It is well known that ∂W^{n+1}_2 is a simply connected n-manifold and since X^n and X^n have the same homotopy type, $X^n \cap Y^n \cap Y^n$

REMARK. I should like to thank M. Hirsch for informing me that the engulfing theorem of [4] could be applied here. I later discovered that this engulfing theorem could also be applied to the more complicated situation found in Case 2 below.

Case 2. n=2q. It follows from (1) and [9] that there is a smooth embedding

$$\varphi : \bigcup_{i=1}^{s} \left(\partial D_{i}^{q} \times D^{q+1} \right) \longrightarrow X^{n} \times 1$$

that (by the same argument as that of Case 1) embeds $\bigcup_{j=1}^s \partial D_j^q imes D^{q+1}$

into the interior of an *n*-disc D^n that is embedded in $X^n \times 1$, and there is a smooth embedding

$$\psi\colon igcup_{i=1}^t \left(\partial D_i^{q+1} imes D^q
ight) {\longrightarrow} \left(X^n imes 1
ight) \sharp \partial W_2^{n+1}$$
 ,

where $W_2^{n+1} = D^{n+1} \bigcup_{\varphi} (\bigcup_{j=1}^s D_j^q \times D^{q+1})$, such that W^{n+1} is diffeomorphic to the boundary connected sum

$$[(X^n imes I,X^n imes 1)\, \sharp\, (W_2^{n+1},\, \partial\, W_2^{n+1})]igcup_{yb} \left[igcup_{i=1}^t D_i^{q+1} imes D^q
ight]$$
 ,

with the handles $D_i^{q+1} \times D^q$ attached by means of ψ . We shall show that we may assume that ψ embeds $\bigcup_i \partial D_i^{q+1} \times D^q$ into ∂W_2^{n+1} -point, from which it will follow that W^{n+1} is diffeomorphic to $(X^n \times I, X^n \times 1) \sharp (N^{n+1}, \partial N^{n+1})$, where

$$N^{n+1}=\ W_2^{n+1}igcup_i\left(igcup_i\ D_i^{q+1} imes D^q
ight)$$
 ,

and hence M^n is diffeomorphic to $X^n \# \partial N^{n+1}$; but, as in Case 1, ∂N^{n+1} is a homotopy n-sphere.

LEMMA 2. If A and B are simplicial complexes such that A is simply connected and B is (q-1)-connected, then $\pi_i(A \vee B) = \pi_i(A) + \pi_i(B)$ for $1 \leq i \leq q$.

This is a well known result in homotopy theory and follows from the fundamental fact that

$$\pi_i(A \vee B) = \pi_i(A) + \pi_i(B) + \pi_{i+1}(A \times B, A \vee B) \qquad (i > 1)$$

and the relative Hurewicz theorem, noting that $H_{i+1}(A \times B, A \vee B) = 0$ for $i \leq q$. We shall apply this lemma to the case where

$$A=X^{\scriptscriptstyle n} imes 1$$
-point , $B=\partial\, W_{\scriptscriptstyle 2}^{\scriptscriptstyle n+1}$ -point .

Since $A \vee B$ has the homotopy type of $(X^n \times 1) \sharp \partial W_2^{n+1}$ with a point removed, we shall write for convenience

$$A\,ee\,B=[(X^{\scriptscriptstyle n} imes 1)\,\sharp\,\partial\,W_{\scriptscriptstyle 2}^{\scriptscriptstyle n+1}] ext{-point}$$
 .

We begin by showing that we can assume that $r \mid A \lor B$ is the identity on $A = X^n \times 1$ -point and is, after changing the q-handles in the decomposition of W^{n+1} if necessary, homotopically trivial on $B = \partial W_2^{n+1}$ -point. It will then follow that the homomorphism

$$(2) \hspace{1cm} \pi_{q}(A) + \pi_{q}(B) = \pi_{q}(A \vee B) {\longrightarrow} \pi_{q}(A)$$

that is induced by $r \mid A \lor B$ is the identity on $\pi_q(A)$ and is zero on

 $\pi_q(B)$. We shall divide this argument into two steps. For convenience introduce the notation

$$V_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}^{\scriptscriptstyle n+1} = (X^{\scriptscriptstyle n} imes I) igcup_{arphi} \left(igcup_{j} D_{\scriptscriptstyle j}^{\scriptscriptstyle q} imes D^{\scriptscriptstyle q+1}
ight)$$
 ;

then ∂V_1^{n+1} is the disjoint union of the "lower" boundary $X^n = X^n \times 0$ and the "upper" boundary $Y_1^n = (X^n \times 1) \# \partial W_2^{n+1}$.

Step 1. Consider the portion of the exact homotopy sequence of the pair (V_1^{n+1}, X^n) :

$$0 \longrightarrow \pi_q(X^n) \stackrel{i_*}{\longleftrightarrow} \pi_q(V_1^{n+1}) \stackrel{h_*}{\longleftrightarrow} \pi_q(V_1^{n+1}, X^n) \longrightarrow 0$$
 ,

where h_* is induced by the inclusion. Since r is a retraction, this short exact sequence splits and θ is the unique homomorphism such that $r_*\theta$ is zero and $h_*\theta$ is the identity. By the relative Hurewicz theorem the q-cells $D_j^q \times 0$ attached by means of φ represent a set of free generators $x_j (j=1,\cdots,s)$ for $\pi_q(V_1^{n+1},X^n)$.

Let

$$z_i = \theta(x_i)$$
 $(j = 1, \dots, s)$

and note that, by the theorems of Haefliger, each z_j may be represented by a q-sphere S_j^q that is smoothly embedded in the interior of V_1^{n+1} . We may suppose that the S_j^q are pairwise disjoint and disjoint from $X^n \times [0,1/2]$. Now choose an n-disc that is smoothly embedded in $X^n \times \frac{1}{2}$ and then, for each $j=1,\cdots,s$, choose a smooth (q-1)-sphere within this n-disc in $X^n \times \frac{1}{2}$ such that these (q-1)-spheres are pairwise disjoint. For each $j=1,\cdots,s$ run a tube of the form $S^{q-1} \times I$ in the complement of $X^n \times [0,1/2]$ in V_1^{n+1} from the j-th (q-1)-sphere in $X^n \times \frac{1}{2}$ to S_j^q , these tubes being pairwise disjoint, such that the result is the manifold $X^n \times \frac{1}{2}$ with q-cells attached. We may then thicken these q-cells so that the result is a manifold of the form

$$V_{\scriptscriptstyle 2}^{\scriptscriptstyle n+1} = (X^{\scriptscriptstyle n} imes [0,1/2]) igcup_{\scriptscriptstyle 2} \left(igcup_{\scriptscriptstyle j=1}^s D_{\scriptscriptstyle j}^{\scriptscriptstyle q} imes D^{\scriptscriptstyle q+1}
ight)$$

that is smoothly embedded in V_1^{n+1} ; here λ is a smooth embedding of $\bigcup_{j=1}^s \partial D_j^q \times D^{q+1}$ into an *n*-disc in $X^n \times \frac{1}{2}$. Now let

$$B^{q}_{j}\subset D^{n+1}\subset X^{n} imes [0,1/2]$$

be a q-disc with $\partial B_j^q = \lambda(\partial D_j^q \times 0)$ such that $B_j^q \bigcup_{\lambda} (D_j^q \times 0)$ is a q-sphere embedded in

R. DE SAPIO

$$W_{\scriptscriptstyle 3}^{\scriptscriptstyle n+1} = D^{\scriptscriptstyle n+1} igcup_{\scriptscriptstyle 2} \left(igcup_{\scriptscriptstyle j} D_{\scriptscriptstyle j}^{\scriptscriptstyle q} imes D^{\scriptscriptstyle q+1}
ight)$$
 .

Clearly, we may suppose that the q-sphere $B_j^q \bigcup_{\lambda} (D_j^q \times 0)$ represents the element z_j in $\pi_q(V_1^{n+1})$. But then

$$r_*(z_j) = r_*\theta(x_j) = 0$$
 $(j = 1, \dots, s)$

and so $r \mid B_j^q \bigcup_{\lambda} (D_j^q \times 0)$ is homotopically trivial for each j and hence $r \mid W_3^{n+1}$ -point is homotopically trivial. In particular, $r \mid \partial W_3^{n+1}$ -point is homotopically trivial.

Step 2. We shall now show that we can isotopically deform the manifold V_2^{n+1} onto V_1^{n+1} , the isotopy lying within V_1^{n+1} and leaving fixed a neighborhood of $X^n \times 0$. It will then follow from Step 1 that, by replacing W_2^{n+1} by W_3^{n+1} if necessary, we can assume that $r \mid A \vee B$ is homotopically trivial on $B = \partial W_2^{n+1}$ -point. The closure $C^l(V_1^{n+1} - V_2^{n+1})$ of the complement of V_2^{n+1} in V_1^{n+1} is an (n+1)-manifold with simply connected "lower" boundary $Y_2^n = (X^n \times 1/2) \# \partial W_3^{n+1}$ and simply connected "upper" boundary $Y_1^n = (X^n \times 1) \sharp \partial W_2^{n+1}$. It is enough to show that $Cl(V_1^{n+1}-V_2^{n+1})$ is diffeomorphic to the product $Y_2^n\times I$; by the h-cobordism theorem of Smale this is equivalent to showing that $Cl(V_1^{n+1}-V_2^{n+1})$ is an h-cobordism between Y_2^n and Y_1^n . Hence we must show that the groups $H_i(Cl(V_1^{n+1}-V_2^{n+1}), Y_k)$ are zero for all iand k=1,2. Now by excision, $H_i(Cl(V_1^{n+1}-V_2^{n+1}), Y_2)$ is isomorphic to $H_i(V_1^{n+1}, V_2^{n+1})$ and thus is zero if we show that the inclusion $\alpha: V_2^{n+1} \subset V_1^{n+1}$ induces isomorphisms of homology in all dimensions. The inclusion α induces a map of split short exact sequences of homology groups:

$$0 \longrightarrow H_i(X^n) \stackrel{i_*}{\longleftrightarrow} H_i(V_1^{n+1}) \stackrel{h_*}{\longleftrightarrow} H_i(V_1^{n+1}, X^n) \longrightarrow 0 \ \downarrow 1 \qquad \uparrow \alpha_* \qquad \uparrow \alpha_* \ 0 \longrightarrow H_i(X^n) \stackrel{i_*}{\longleftrightarrow} H_i(V_2^{n+1}) \stackrel{h_*}{\longleftrightarrow} H_i(V_2^{n+1}, X^n) \longrightarrow 0$$

The maps i_*, r_*, h_*, θ of this commutative diagram are denoted by the same symbols as those used in the homotopy sequence of (V_1^{n+1}, X^n) appearing above, and no confusion should arise because of this. Now V_2^{n+1} and V_1^{n+1} each have the homotopy type of $X^n \vee (\mathbf{V}_j S_j^q)$ and $\alpha: V_2^{n+1} \subset V_1^{n+1}$ is the identity on $X^n = X^n \times 0$. Hence $\alpha_*: H_i(X^n) \to H_i(X^n)$ is the identity for all i and $H_i(V_2^{n+1}, X^n) = H_i(V_1^{n+1}, X^n) = 0$ for all $i \neq q$. In dimension i = q we recall the set of free generators $\{x_j \mid j \neq 1, \cdots, s\}$ for $H_q(V_1^{n+1}, X^n)$ and that the element

$$z_j = \theta(x_j) \in H_q(V_1^{n+1})$$

was represented by the smoothly embedded q-sphere $B_j^q \bigcup_{\lambda} (D_j^q \times 0)$ in V_1^{n+1} . But $B_j^q \bigcup_{\lambda} (D_j^q \times 0)$ also represents an element $z_j' \in H_q(V_2^{n+1})$ such that $\alpha_*(z_j') = z_j$. Furthermore, the elements $h_*(z_j')$ $(j=1,\cdots,s)$ form a set of free generators for $H_q(V_2^{n+1},X^n)$ such that $\alpha_*h_*(z_j') = h_*\alpha_*(z_j') = x_j$ for each $j=1,\cdots,s$ and hence $\alpha_*\colon H_q(V_2^{n+1},X^n)\to H_q(V_1^{n+1},X^n)$ is an isomorphism. It follows that $\alpha_*\colon H_i(V_2^{n+1})\to H_i(V_1^{n+1})$ is an isomorphism for all i and hence, as noted above, $H_i(\operatorname{Cl}(V_1^{n+1}-V_2^{n+1}),Y_2)=0$ for all i. Now by successive applications of the Poincaré duality theorem and the universal coefficient theorem we see that $H_i(\operatorname{Cl}(V_1^{n+1}-V_2^{n+1}),Y_1)\approx H^{n+1-i}(\operatorname{Cl}(V_1^{n+1}-V_2^{n+1}),Y_2)$ is zero for all i, and thus it follows that $\operatorname{Cl}(V_1^{n+1}-V_2^{n+1})$ is an h-cobordism between Y_2^n and Y_1^n , as claimed.

We now turn to the study of the embedding ψ . Note that $\psi \mid \partial D_i^{q+1} \times 0$ represents an element in $\pi_q(A \vee B)$ and clearly $r\psi \mid \partial D_i^{q+1} \times 0$ is homotopically trivial. Therefore, since the homomorphism of (2) is the identity on $\pi_q(A)$ and zero on $\pi_q(B)$, $\psi \mid \partial D_i^{q+1} \times 0$ is homotopic to a map $\partial D_i^{q+1} \times 0 \to B$ and thus ψ is homotopic to a map

$$igcup_{i=1}^t (\partial D_i^{q+1} imes 0) {\longrightarrow} B = \partial W_2^{n+1} ext{-point}$$
 .

We should again like to apply the engulfing theorem of Hirsch and Zeeman [4] and conclude that ψ is isotopic to an embedding with image in B. To this end note that B is a (q-1)-connected 2q-manifold and hence has the homotopy type of a wedge of q-spheres $\bigvee_k S_k^q$ embedded in B, each q-sphere S_k^q smoothly embedded in B. Hence ψ is homotopic to a map with image in $\bigvee_k S_k^q$ and it follows that in the language of [4], $\psi(\bigcup_i \partial D_i^{q+1} \times 0)$ is $(\bigvee_k S_k^q)$ -inessential. Since $A \vee B$ is 2-connected and $\pi_i(A \vee B, \bigvee_k S_k^q) = 0$ for $i \leq 2$ (recall that $A = X^n \times 1$ -point is 2-connected by hypothesis) all of the conditions of the engulfing theorem [4] are satisfied and hence $\psi(\bigcup_i \partial D_i^{q+1} \times 0)$ is contained in a regular neighborhood of $\bigvee_k S_k^q$ in

$$A \vee B = [(X^{\scriptscriptstyle n} \times 1) \, \sharp \, \partial \, W_{\scriptscriptstyle 2}^{\scriptscriptstyle n+1}]$$
-point .

It is well known that the boundary of this regular neighborhood may be smoothed in $A \vee B$ and hence we can assume that $\psi(\bigcup_i \partial D_i^{q+1} \times 0)$ is contained in a smooth regular neighborhood of $\bigvee_k S_k^q$ in $A \vee B$. Now

$$\partial \, W_{\scriptscriptstyle 2}^{\,n+1}$$
-interior of smooth $n ext{-}\mathrm{disc} \subset \partial \, W_{\scriptscriptstyle 2}^{\,n+1} ext{-}\mathrm{point} = B$

is a smooth regular neighborhood of $V_k S_k^q$ in B and hence in $A \vee B$. But a result of [3, Th. 1] asserts that any smooth regular neighborhood may be isotopically deformed onto any other, and hence $\psi(\bigcup_i \partial D_i^{q+1} \times 0)$ may be isotopically deformed into B, completing the

proof of the theorem.

COROLLARY. Let $f: M^n \to X^n$ be a homotopy equivalence of simply connected n-manifolds such that $f^*V_k(X^n)$ is equivalent to $V_k(M^n)$. Then:

- (a) The disjoint union $X^n \cup M^n$ bounds a (n+1)-manifold W^{n+1} such that there is a retraction $r: W^{n+1} \to X^n$ with $r \mid M^n = f$.
- (b) Let W^{n+1} be as in (a) and suppose that $\pi_i(W^{n+1}, X^n) = 0$ for $i \leq \lfloor (n-1)/2 \rfloor$. Let $n \geq 5$ and if n is even, then assume that X^n is 2-connected. Then M^n and X^n are almost diffeomorphic.

The corollary follows from the proof of the theorem.

REMARK. It can be shown that any homotopy n-sphere bounds a simply connected (n+1)-manifold N^{n+1} . In view of the above theorem the following question arises naturally: Can N^{n+1} be taken to be [(n-1)/2]-connected? If the answer is yes, then the condition on W^{n+1} given in (b) of the theorem is necessary and sufficient for M^n and X^n to be almost diffeomorphic $(n \ge 5 \text{ here})$.

However, the answer is negative for n=2q-1 and $q\equiv 3,5,6,7 \pmod 8$ since in this case N^{n+1} would necessarily be parallelizable if it were (n-1)/2-connected. This is so because $\pi_{q-1}(SO)=0$ for $q\equiv 3,5,6,7 \pmod 8$. Hence the homotopy sphere obtained in (b) of the theorem bounds a parallelizable manifold for n=2q-1 and $q\equiv 3,5,6,7 \pmod 8$, and thus the condition given there is not in general necessary for M^n and X^n to be almost diffeomorphic.

REFERENCES

- 1. P. E. Conner and E. E. Floyd, *Differentiable Periodic Maps*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete 33, Springer, Berlin-Göttingen-Heidelberg, 1964.
- 2. R. De Sapio, Actions of θ_{2k+1} , Michigan Math. J. 14 (1967), 97-100.
- 3. M. W. Hirsch, Smooth regular neighborhoods, Ann. of Math. 76 (1962), 524-530.
- 4. M. W. Hirsch and E. C. Zeeman, *Engulfing*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **72** (1966), 113-115.
- 5. M. Kervaire and J. Milnor, Groups of homotopy spheres: I, Ann. of Math. 77 (1963), 503-537.
- 6. R. K. Lashof, Some theorems of Browder and Novikov on homotopy equivalent manifolds (mimeographed notes), The University of Chicago.
- 7. J. Milnor, A procedure for killing homotopy groups of differentiable manifolds, Proceedings of Symposia in Pure Math., A.M.S., Vol. III, 1961, 39-55.
- 8. C. T. C. Wall, An extension of results of Novikov and Browder, Amer. J. Math. 88 (1966), 20-32.
- 9. A. H. Wallace, A geometric method in differential topology, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 68 (1962), 533-542.

Received March 7, 1967. The preparation of this paper was sponsored in part by NSF Grant GP-5860.

University of California, Los Angeles

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

H. ROYDEN

Stanford University Stanford, California

R. R. PHELPS

University of Washington Seattle, Washington 98105 J. Dugundji

Department of Mathematics University of Southern California Los Angeles, California 90007

RICHARD ARENS

University of California Los Angeles, California 90024

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN F. WOLF

K. Yosida

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF UTAH WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY CHEVRON RESEARCH CORPORATION TRW SYSTEMS NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

Printed in Japan by International Academic Printing Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 26, No. 1 November, 1968

Efraim Pacillas Armendariz, Closure properties in radical theory	1
Friedrich-Wilhelm Bauer, Postnikov-decompositions of functors	9
Thomas Ru-Wen Chow, The equivalence of group invariant positive definite	
functions	25
Thomas Allan Cootz, A maximum principle and geometric properties of	
level sets	39
Rodolfo DeSapio, Almost diffeomorphisms of manifolds	47
R. L. Duncan, Some continuity properties of the Schnirelmann density	57
Ralph Jasper Faudree, Jr., Automorphism groups of finite subgroups of	
division rings	59
Thomas Alastair Gillespie, An invariant subspace theorem of J.	
Feldman	67
George Isaac Glauberman and John Griggs Thompson, Weakly closed direct	
factors of Sylow subgroups	73
Hiroshi Haruki, On inequalities generalizing a Pythagorean functional	
equation and Jensen's functional equation	85
David Wilson Henderson, <i>D-dimension</i> . <i>I. A new transfinite dimension</i>	91
David Wilson Henderson, <i>D-dimension</i> . <i>II. Separable spaces and</i>	
compactifications	109
Julien O. Hennefeld, A note on the Arens products	115
Richard Vincent Kadison, Strong continuity of operator functions	121
J. G. Kalbfleisch and Ralph Gordon Stanton, <i>Maximal and minimal</i>	
coverings of $(k-1)$ -tuples by k -tuples	131
Franklin Lowenthal, On generating subgroups of the Moebius group by	
pairs of infinitesimal transformations	141
Michael Barry Marcus, Gaussian processes with stationary increments	
possessing discontinuous sample paths	149
Zalman Rubinstein, On a problem of Ilyeff	159
Bernard Russo, Unimodular contractions in Hilbert space	163
David Lee Skoug, Generalized Ilstow and Feynman integrals	171
William Charles Waterhouse, Dual groups of vector spaces	193