

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

AUTOMORPHISM GROUPS OF FINITE SUBGROUPS OF DIVISION RINGS

RALPH JASPER FAUDREE, JR.

AUTOMORPHISM GROUPS OF FINITE SUBGROUPS OF DIVISION RINGS

R. J. FAUDREE

If a finite group G can be embedded in the multiplicative group of a division ring, then G can be embedded in a division ring D generated by G such that any automorphism of G can be uniquely extended to be an automorphism of D . It seems natural then to investigate the relation between the automorphism group of G and the automorphism group of D .

We will prove that the automorphism group of G determines the automorphism group of D modulo the inner-automorphism group of D (i.e. every automorphism of D can be written as a product of an inner-automorphism of D and an automorphism of G). The automorphism group of G does not completely determine the automorphism group of D for the rational quaternions contain an isomorphic copy of Q_8 , the quaternion group of order 8. There are infinitely many automorphisms of the rational quaternions but the automorphism group of Q_8 is finite.

Amitsur determined which finite groups can be embedded in a division ring [2]. We will use his conditions, but first some definitions will be given and certain algebraic structures will be discussed.

Let m and r be relatively prime integers, $s = (r - 1, m)$ $t = m/s$ and $n =$ minimal integer satisfying $r^n \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$.

$$G_{m,r} = Gp(A, B \mid A^m = 1, BAB^{-1} = A^r, B^n = A^t) .$$

\mathfrak{T} , \mathfrak{O} , and \mathfrak{I} will denote the binary tetrahedral, binary octahedral and binary icosahedral groups.

If ε_m is a primitive m^{th} root of unity and σ_r is the automorphism of $Q(\varepsilon_m)$ determined by the map $\varepsilon_m \rightarrow \varepsilon_m^r$, then

$$\mathfrak{A}_{m,r} = (Q(\varepsilon_m), \sigma_r, \varepsilon_m^t)$$

will denote the cyclic algebra determined by the field $Q(\varepsilon_m)$, the automorphism σ_r and the element ε_m^t . The map $A \rightarrow \varepsilon_m$ and $B \rightarrow \sigma_r$ determines an isomorphic embedding of $G_{m,r}$ into the algebra $\mathfrak{A}_{m,r}$. Under this identification we have

$$\mathfrak{A}_{m,r} = (Q(A), B, A^t) .$$

The algebra $\mathfrak{A}_{m,r}$ is a division algebra if and only if $G_{m,r}$ can be embedded in a division ring [2]. The following diagram gives some subalgebras of $\mathfrak{A}_{m,r}$ which will be of importance in this paper. Here $Z_{m,r}$ denotes the center of $\mathfrak{A}_{m,r}$.

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \mathfrak{U}_{m,r} \\
 \downarrow \\
 Q(A) \\
 \downarrow \\
 Z_{m,r} \\
 \downarrow \\
 Q(A^t) \\
 \downarrow \\
 Q
 \end{array}$$

For a discussion of the algebra $\mathfrak{U}_{m,r}$ and a proof of the following proposition see [2].

PROPOSITION 1. A finite group G can be embedded in a division ring if and only if G is isomorphic to one of the following:

- (1) Cyclic group
- (2) $G_{m,r}$ where m and r satisfy condition C .
- (3) A direct product of \mathfrak{X} and $G_{m,r}$ where $G_{m,r}$ is cyclic of order m or of the preceding type, $(6, |G_{m,r}|) = 1$, and 2 has odd order (mod m).
- (4) \mathfrak{D} and \mathfrak{S} .

Additional notation must be given before condition C can be stated. Let p be a fixed prime dividing m . $\alpha = \alpha_p$ is the highest power of p dividing m . η_p is the minimal integer satisfying $r^{\eta_p} \equiv 1 \pmod{mp^{-\alpha}}$. μ_p is the minimal integer satisfying $r^{\mu_p} \equiv p^{\mu_p} \pmod{mp^{-\alpha}}$ some integer μ' . $\delta'_p = \mu_p \delta_p / \eta_p$.

Condition C. Integers m and r satisfy condition C if either

(I) $(n, t) = (s, t) = 1$

or (II) $n = 2n', m = 2^\alpha m', s = 2s'$ where $\alpha \geq 2$, m', s' , and n' are odd integers; $(n, t) = (s, t) = 2$ and $r \equiv -1 \pmod{2^\alpha}$.

and either (III) $n = s = 2$ and $r \equiv -1 \pmod{m}$

or (IV) For every $q \mid n$ there exists a prime $p \mid m$ such that $q \nmid \eta_p$ and that either

(1) $p \neq 2$ and $(q, (p^{\delta'_p} - 1)/s) = 1$ or

(2) $p = q = 2$, II holds and $n/4 \equiv \delta'_2 \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$.

A group G has property E if G can be embedded in the multiplicative group of a division ring, property EE if G can be embedded in some division ring D generated by G such that any automorphism of G can be extended uniquely to D , and property EEE if the automorphism group of G determines the automorphism group of D modulo

the inner-automorphism group of D .

A relation between the above properties is given by

PROPOSITION 2. A finite group with property E has property EE . For a proof see [3].

We will prove

THEOREM. A finite group with property E has property EEE .

In the remaining discussion G will denote a finite group with property E , $A(G)$ will denote the group of automorphisms of G , and $I(G)$ will denote the group of inner-automorphisms of G . If G has property EE with respect to a division ring D , then $I_D^*(G)$ will denote the subgroup of elements of $A(G)$ which can be extended to an inner-automorphism of D . $A(D)$ and $I(D)$ will denote the automorphism group and inner-automorphism group of D respectively. $Z(G)$ and $Z(D)$ will denote the center of G and D respectively.

A slightly stronger statement than Proposition 2 is true. A finite group with property E has property E with respect to a division ring D of characteristic 0 which is uniquely determined up to isomorphism and G has property EE with respect to D , [2] and [3]. Thus $A(G)$ can be considered as a subgroup of $A(D)$. Since D is uniquely determined up to isomorphism, $I_D^*(G)$ does not depend upon D and $I_D^*(G)$ can be replaced by $I^*(G)$. It is easily seen that $A(G)$ determines $A(D)$ modulo $I(D)$ if and only if $[A(G):I_D^*(G)] = [A(D):I(D)]$.

We will break the proof of the Theorem into 9 lemmas.

LEMMA 1. All finite cyclic groups G have property EEE .

Proof. Assume G has order m . Let ε_m be a primitive m^{th} root of unity. Each automorphism of the field $\mathbb{Q}(\varepsilon_m)$ is determined by the map $\varepsilon_m \rightarrow \varepsilon_m^r$ where $(r, m) = 1$. Each of these maps also determines an automorphism of the cyclic group (ε_m) .

LEMMA 2. The groups \mathfrak{D} and \mathfrak{F} have property EEE .

Proof. \mathfrak{D} can be embedded in $\mathfrak{A}_{8,-1}$ [2, Th. 6b]. $|A(\mathfrak{D})| = 48$, and $|I(\mathfrak{D})| = 24$ and there is an automorphism of \mathfrak{D} which can be extended to $\mathfrak{A}_{8,-1}$ and which does not leave $Z(\mathfrak{A}_{8,-1})$ elements-wise fixed [3, Lemma 3]. Therefore $[A(\mathfrak{D}):I^*(\mathfrak{D})] = 2$. But $[A(\mathfrak{A}_{8,-1}):I(\mathfrak{A}_{8,-1})] = [Z(\mathfrak{A}_{8,-1}):\mathcal{A}]$ where \mathcal{A} is the fixed field of $A(\mathfrak{A}_{8,-1})$ [5, p. 163]. $[Z(\mathfrak{A}_{8,-1}):\mathbb{Q}] = 2$, thus

$$[A(\mathfrak{A}_{8,-1}):I(\mathfrak{A}_{8,-1})] = 2 = [A(\mathfrak{D}):I^*(\mathfrak{D})],$$

\mathfrak{F} can be embedded in $\mathfrak{A}_{10,-1}$ [2, Th. 6c]. Since $|A(\mathfrak{F})| = 120$, $|I(\mathfrak{F})| = 60$ and there is an automorphism of \mathfrak{F} which is not an inner-automorphism of $\mathfrak{A}_{10,-1}$, [3, Lemma 4], $[A(\mathfrak{F}): I^*(\mathfrak{F})] = 2$. Since $[Z(\mathfrak{A}_{10,-1}): Q] = 2$, $[A(\mathfrak{A}_{10,-1}): I(\mathfrak{A}_{10,-1})] = 2 = [A(\mathfrak{F}): I^*(\mathfrak{F})]$.

LEMMA 3. *Let H be the subgroup of the automorphism group of $Q(A)$ determined by the integers $\{l \mid (l, m) = 1, l \equiv 1 \pmod{n}\}$. Let Δ_H be the subfield of $Q(A)$ left fixed by the group H . If $G_{m,r}$ has property E , then Δ_H contains the fixed field of the subgroup of $Gp(A(G), I(\mathfrak{A}_{m,r}))$ of $A(\mathfrak{A}_{m,r})$. In particular, $Q(A^l)$ contains the fixed field of $Gp(A(G), I(\mathfrak{A}_{m,r}))$.*

Proof. If $(l, m) = 1$ and $l \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$, then the map $A \rightarrow A^l$ and $B \rightarrow A^{t(l-1)/n}B$ determines an automorphism of G . Thus by Proposition 2, the map of $Q(A)$ determined by $A \rightarrow A^l$ be extended to be an automorphism in $Gp(A(G), I(\mathfrak{A}_{m,r}))$. Hence Δ_H contains the fixed field of $Gp(A(G), I(\mathfrak{A}_{m,r}))$.

For $(l, m) = 1$, the map of $Q(A)$ determined by $A \rightarrow A^l$ leaves A^t fixed if and only if $A^{tl} = A^t$ or $l \equiv 1 \pmod{s}$. But if $l \equiv 1 \pmod{s}$, then $l \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ and $Q(A^t) \supseteq \Delta_H$.

LEMMA 4. *A group $G_{m,r}$ with m and r satisfying (I) of Condition C has property EEE .*

Proof. Let σ be an automorphism of $\mathfrak{A}_{m,r}$ and $A' = \sigma(A)$ and $B' = \sigma(B)$. Then $\sigma^{-1}(A^t) = A'^w$ with $(w, m) = 1$.

The map $A' \rightarrow A^t$ determines an automorphism τ of $Q(A')$ onto $Q(A)$ if $(l, m) = 1$. There is an integer l such that $l \equiv 1 \pmod{t}$, $wl \equiv 1 \pmod{s}$ and $(l, m) = 1$. Therefore by Lemma 3 and [5, p. 162, Th. 1], τ can be extended to an automorphism of $\mathfrak{A}_{m,r}$ in $Gp(A(G), I(\mathfrak{A}_{m,r}))$. We will denote this extension also by τ .

Thus $\tau\sigma(A) = A^t$ and $\tau\sigma(B) = B''$. If $l \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ then by Lemma 3 and [5, p. 162, Th. 1] $\tau\sigma$ is in $Gp(A(G), I(\mathfrak{A}_{m,r}))$. And hence σ is in $Gp(A(G), I(\mathfrak{A}_{m,r}))$. Assume $l \not\equiv 1 \pmod{n}$. $B'' = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 B + \dots + \alpha_{n-1} B^{n-1}$ for α_i in $Q(A)$. Since $B''A = A^r B''$,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \alpha_i A^r B^i = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \alpha_i A^r B^i.$$

Thus $\alpha_i = 0$ for $i \neq 1$, and $B'' = \alpha B$ for α in $Q(A)$. $(\alpha B)^n = (A^t)^n$ and therefore $\alpha\theta(\alpha) \dots \theta^{n-1}(\alpha) = A^{t(l-1)}$ where θ is the automorphism of $Q(A)$ induced by B . Since $l \not\equiv 1 \pmod{n}$, this contradicts the fact that $\mathfrak{A}_{m,r}$ is a division algebra [1, p. 75, Th. 12 and 14, p. 149, Th. 32].

LEMMA 5. *Let G be a finite group with property EE with respect*

to the division ring D . Let H be a characteristic subgroup of G such that D' , the subdivision ring of D generated by H , contains $Z(D)$. Let μ be the map $A(G) \rightarrow A(H)$. Let R be the subgroup of $\mu(A(G))$ which $\tau \rightarrow \tau/H$ leaves $Z(D)$ element-wise fixed. Then

$$[\mu(A(G)): R] = [A(G): I^*(G)] .$$

Proof. If τ is in $A(G)$, then τ is in $I(D)$ and hence in $I^*(G)$ if and only if τ leaves $Z(D)$ element-wise fixed, [5, p. 162]. Since $Z(D) \subset D'$, τ is in $I^*(G)$ if and only if $\mu(\tau)$ leaves $Z(D)$ element-wise fixed. Therefore $\mu(I^*(G)) = R$ and the lemma follows from an elementary theorem of group theory.

LEMMA 6. Let $G_{m,r}$ be a group with property E in which (A) is a characteristic subgroup. Let Δ_A be the fixed field of $A(\mathfrak{U}_{m,r})$ and Δ_G the fixed field of $Gp(A(G_{m,r}), I(\mathfrak{U}_{m,r}))$, then

$$[\Delta_G: \Delta_A][A(G_{m,r}): I^*(G_{m,r})] = [A(\mathfrak{U}_{m,r}): I(\mathfrak{U}_{m,r})] .$$

Proof. In the notation of the previous lemma let $G = G_{m,r}$, $H = (A)$ and $D = \mathfrak{U}_{m,r}$. Then $D' = Q(A)$ and $Z(D) = Z_{m,r}$. If σ is the automorphism of $\mathfrak{U}_{m,r}$ induced by B , $R = \mu((\sigma))$. Therefore by Lemma 5, $[A(G_{m,r}): I^*(G_{m,r})] = [\mu(A(G_{m,r})): \mu((\sigma))]$. Δ_G is the subfield of $Q(A)$ left fixed by $\mu(A(G_{m,r}))$, thus by Galois theory $[\mu(A(G_{m,r})): \mu((\sigma))] = [Z_{m,r}: \Delta_G]$.

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} [A(\mathfrak{U}_{m,r}): I(\mathfrak{U}_{m,r})] &= [Z_{m,r}: \Delta_A] \text{ by [5, p. 163]} \\ &= [Z_{m,r}: \Delta_G][\Delta_G: \Delta_A] \\ &= [A(G_{m,r}): I^*(G_{m,r})] \cdot [\Delta_G: \Delta_A] . \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 7. A group $G_{m,r}$ where m and r satisfy (II) and (III) of Condition C has property EEE .

Proof. Let u and v be integers with $0 \leq u, v < m$ and $(u, m) = 1$. The map of $G_{m,r}$ determined by $A \rightarrow A^u$ and $B \rightarrow A^v B$ is an automorphism of $G_{m,r}$. Therefore any automorphism of (A) can be extended to an automorphism of $G_{m,r}$. Hence Q is the fixed field of $Gp(A(G_{m,r}), I(A_{m,r}))$ and of $A(A_{m,r})$.

$A^l B$ has order 4 for any integer l . Thus if $m > 4$, (A) is a characteristic subgroup of $G_{m,r}$. Therefore by Lemma 6,

$$[A(G_{m,r}): I^*(G_{m,r})] = [A(\mathfrak{U}_{m,r}): I(\mathfrak{U}_{m,r})] .$$

If $m = 4$, then $G_{m,r}$ is isomorphic to Q_8 , the quaternions. Since the

center of $\mathfrak{U}_{4,-1}$ is Q , all automorphisms of $\mathfrak{U}_{4,-1}$ are inner-automorphisms [5, p. 162]. Thus Q_8 trivially has property *EEE*.

LEMMA 8. *If m and r satisfy (II) and (IV) of Condition C, then $G_{m,r}$ is isomorphic to $Q_8 \times G_{m',r'}$ where $G_{m',r'}$ is cyclic of order m' or satisfies Condition C, $(6, |G_{m',r'}|) = 1$ and 2 has odd order (mod m').*

Proof. By (IV), r has even order (mod (m/p^α)) for any prime $p \mid m$ and $p \neq 2$. Therefore r has odd order (mod $m/2^\alpha$), $m/4 \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$, and $\alpha = 2$.

By the above remarks r and hence r^4 has order $n/2 \pmod{m/4}$. Therefore $Gp(A^4, B^4)$ is isomorphic to $G_{m',r'}$ where $m' = m/4$ and $r' = r^4$. Also $Gp(A^{m/4}, B^{n^8/4})$ is isomorphic to Q_8 .

Direct calculation verifies that appropriate elements commute and hence $G_{m,r} = Gp(A^4, B^4) \times Gp(A^{m/4}, B^{n^8/4}) \cong G_{m',r'} \times Q_8$. $(6, |G_{m',r'}|) = 1$ and 2 having odd order (mod m') follows from [4, Corollary, Th. 2].

LEMMA 9. *A group G satisfying (3) of Proposition 1 or (II) and (IV) of Condition C has property *EEE*.*

Proof. By Lemma 8, G is isomorphic to $H \times G_{m,r}$ where H is Q_8 or \mathfrak{I} . \mathfrak{I} contains an isomorphic copy of Q_8 . In either case G can be embedded in \mathfrak{U}_{4m,r_1} where $r_1 \equiv r \pmod{m}$ and $r_1 \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$. [2, Th. 6a]. \mathfrak{U}_{4m,r_1} is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{U}_{4,-1} \otimes_Q \mathfrak{U}_{m,r}$. Therefore by proper identification, there is no loss of generality in assuming that $H \subset \mathfrak{U}_{4,-1}$, $G_{m,r} \subset \mathfrak{U}_{m,r}$. Since $Z(\mathfrak{U}_{4,-1}) = Q$, and $Z(\mathfrak{U}_{4m,r_1}) = Z(\mathfrak{U}_{m,r}) = Z_{m,r}$,

$$\mathfrak{U}_{4m,r_1} = (\mathfrak{U}_{4,-1}, Z_{m,r}) \otimes_{Z_{m,r}} \mathfrak{U}_{m,r};$$

where $(\mathfrak{U}_{4,-1}, Z_{m,r})$ is a normal division algebra of order 4 over $Z_{m,r}$ and $\mathfrak{U}_{m,r}$ is a normal division algebra of order n^2 over $Z_{m,r}$.

Let θ be an automorphism of \mathfrak{U}_{4m,r_1} . Since $(4, n^2) = 1$ there is an automorphism τ of \mathfrak{U}_{4m,r_1} over $Z_{m,r}$ (i.e. the elements of $Z_{m,r}$ are left point-wise fixed) such that $\tau\theta(\mathfrak{U}_{m,r}) = \mathfrak{U}_{m,r}$ and $\tau\theta((\mathfrak{U}_{4,-1}, Z_{m,r})) = (\mathfrak{U}_{4,-1}, Z_{m,r})$ [1, p. 77]. τ is in $I(\mathfrak{U}_{4m,r_1})$ [5, p. 162]; and $\tau\theta$ restricted to $\mathfrak{U}_{m,r}$ is in $A(\mathfrak{U}_{m,r})$. Thus the fixed field of $A(\mathfrak{U}_{m,r})$ is equal to the fixed field of $A(\mathfrak{U}_{4m,r_1})$. Therefore

$$[A(\mathfrak{U}_{4m,r_1}): I(\mathfrak{U}_{4m,r_1})] = [Z_{m,r}: \mathcal{A}] = [A(\mathfrak{U}_{m,r}): I(\mathfrak{U}_{m,r})],$$

where \mathcal{A} is the fixed field of $A(\mathfrak{U}_{m,r})$, [5, p. 113].

$$A(G) = A(H) \times A(G_{m,r}), \quad \text{and since } Z(\mathfrak{U}_{4,-1}) = Q,$$

all automorphisms of $\mathfrak{U}_{4,-1}$ are inner-automorphisms and $I^*(H) = A(H)$. If θ is in $A(G)$ but not in $I^*(H) \times I^*(G_{m,r})$, then θ moves an element

of $Z_{m,r}$. Consequently $I^*(G) = I^*(H) \times I^*(G_{m,r})$ and $[A(G): I^*(G)] = [A(G_{m,r}): I^*(G_{m,r})]$. Since $(|G_{m,r}|, 6) = 1$, m and r satisfy (I) of condition C. Thus by Lemma 4, $[A(G_{m,r}): I^*(G_{m,r})] = [A(\mathfrak{U}_{m,r}); I(\mathfrak{U}_{m,r})]$ and $[A(\mathfrak{U}_{4m,r_1}): I(\mathfrak{U}_{4m,r_1})][A(G): I^*(G)]$.

The theorem is a consequences of Lemmas 1, 2, 4, 7 and 9.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. A. A. Albert, *Structure of Algebras*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ. Vol. 24, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I., 1939.
2. S. A. Amitsur, *Finite subgroups of division rings*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **80** (1955), 361-386.
3. R. J. Faudree, *Subgroups of the multiplicative group of a division ring*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **124** (1966), 41-48.
4. ———, *Embedding theorems for ascending nilpotent groups*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **18** (1967), 148-154.
5. N. Jacobson, *Structure of Rings*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ. Vol. 37, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I., 1956.

Received July 6, 1967. In partial support by the NSF Foundation Grant GP-3990.

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
 BERKELEY, CALIFORNIA
 UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS
 URBANA, ILLINOIS

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

H. ROYDEN

Stanford University
Stanford, California

J. DUGUNDJI

Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, California 90007

R. R. PHELPS

University of Washington
Seattle, Washington 98105

RICHARD ARENS

University of California
Los Angeles, California 90024

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. Yosida

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
* * *
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
CHEVRON RESEARCH CORPORATION
TRW SYSTEMS
NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

Efraim Pacillas Armendariz, <i>Closure properties in radical theory</i>	1
Friedrich-Wilhelm Bauer, <i>Postnikov-decompositions of functors</i>	9
Thomas Ru-Wen Chow, <i>The equivalence of group invariant positive definite functions</i>	25
Thomas Allan Cootz, <i>A maximum principle and geometric properties of level sets</i>	39
Rodolfo DeSapio, <i>Almost diffeomorphisms of manifolds</i>	47
R. L. Duncan, <i>Some continuity properties of the Schnirelmann density</i>	57
Ralph Jasper Faudree, Jr., <i>Automorphism groups of finite subgroups of division rings</i>	59
Thomas Alastair Gillespie, <i>An invariant subspace theorem of J. Feldman</i>	67
George Isaac Glauberman and John Griggs Thompson, <i>Weakly closed direct factors of Sylow subgroups</i>	73
Hiroshi Haruki, <i>On inequalities generalizing a Pythagorean functional equation and Jensen's functional equation</i>	85
David Wilson Henderson, <i>D-dimension. I. A new transfinite dimension</i>	91
David Wilson Henderson, <i>D-dimension. II. Separable spaces and compactifications</i>	109
Julien O. Hennefeld, <i>A note on the Arens products</i>	115
Richard Vincent Kadison, <i>Strong continuity of operator functions</i>	121
J. G. Kalbfleisch and Ralph Gordon Stanton, <i>Maximal and minimal coverings of $(k - 1)$-tuples by k-tuples</i>	131
Franklin Lowenthal, <i>On generating subgroups of the Moebius group by pairs of infinitesimal transformations</i>	141
Michael Barry Marcus, <i>Gaussian processes with stationary increments possessing discontinuous sample paths</i>	149
Zalman Rubinstein, <i>On a problem of Ilyeff</i>	159
Bernard Russo, <i>Unimodular contractions in Hilbert space</i>	163
David Lee Skoug, <i>Generalized Ilstow and Feynman integrals</i>	171
William Charles Waterhouse, <i>Dual groups of vector spaces</i>	193