Pacific Journal of Mathematics

AN INVARIANT SUBSPACE THEOREM OF J. FELDMAN

THOMAS ALASTAIR GILLESPIE

Vol. 26, No. 1 November 1968

AN INVARIANT SUBSPACE THEOREM OF J. FELDMAN

T. A. GILLESPIE

Theorem. Let t be a quasi-nilpotent bounded linear operator on a complex normed space X of dimension greater than one. Suppose further that there is a sequence $\{p_n(t)\}$ of polynomials in t and a nonzero compact operator s on X such that $p_n(t) \to s$ (in norm) as $n \to \infty$. Then t has a proper closed invariant subspace.

- In [3], Feldman proves this theorem in the case when X is a Hilbert space. By adapting the proof given by Bonsall [2, Theorem (20.1)] of the Bernstein-Robinson invariant subspace theorem [1], the result can be shown to hold when X is a normed space, the necessary changes in the proof given in [2] being suggested by [3]. For the sake of completeness, the proof below repeats the relevant arguments in [2]. We need the following notation and simple results.
- (i) If E is a nonempty subset of X and $x \in X$, the distance from x to E, d(x, E), is defined by

$$d(x, E) = \inf \{ ||x - y|| : y \in E \}$$
.

(ii) Given a sequence $\{E_n\}$ of linear subspaces of X, define $\liminf E_n = \{x \in X : \exists \text{ a sequence } \{x_n\} \text{ with } x_n \in E_n \text{ and } x_n \to x\}$. It is clear that $\liminf E_n$ is a closed linear subspace of X and

$$\lim\inf E_n = \{x \in X : d(x, E_n) \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty\}.$$

(iii) Given a finite dimensional subspace E of X and $x \in X$, $\exists \ u \in E$ such that ||x - u|| = d(x, E). We call such a u a nearest point of E to x. Also, if F is a finite dimensional subspace of X such that $F \supset E$, $F \ne E$, $\exists \ v \in F$ such that ||v|| = 1 = d(v, E).

Proof of theorem. Let $e \in X$, ||e|| = 1. Clearly we may assume that X has infinite dimension, and that e, te, t^2e , \cdots are linearly independent. Let E_n be the linear span of $\{e, te, \cdots, t^{n-1}e\}$, and choose $e_n \in E_n$ such that

$$||e_n|| = 1 = d(e_n, E_{n-1})$$
.

Since E_n is the linear span of $\{E_{n-1}, t^{n-1}e\}$, for each integer n there is a unique $\alpha_n \in C$, $\alpha_n \neq 0$, such that

$$e_n - \alpha_n t^{n-1} e \in E_{n-1}.$$

Since $tE_{n-1} \subset E_n$, (1) gives

$$(2) t^r e_n - \alpha_n t^{n+r-1} e \in E_{n+r-1}$$

for $n \ge 1$, $r \ge 1$. Also, replacing n by n + r in (1),

(3)
$$e_{n+r} - \alpha_{n+r} t^{n+r-1} e \in E_{n+r-1},$$

and hence, by (2) and (3),

$$t^r e_n - \frac{\alpha_n}{\alpha_{n+r}} e_{n+r} \in E_{n+r-1}$$

for $n \ge 1$, $r \ge 1$. We note that, since $d(e_n, E_{n-1}) = 1$, it follows from (4) that

$$d(t^re_n,\,E_{n+r-1})=rac{\midlpha_n\mid}{\midlpha_{n+r}\mid}\qquad (n,\,r\geqq1)$$
 .

We show that there is a subsequence $\{\alpha_{j(n)}/\alpha_{j(n)+1}\}$ of $\{\alpha_n/\alpha_{n+1}\}$ such that $\alpha_{j(n)}/\alpha_{j(n)+1} \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. (This corresponds to the lemma in [3]). Suppose not. Then

$$\liminf_{n o \infty} \left| rac{lpha_n}{lpha_{n+1}}
ight| = \lambda > 0$$
 ,

and so there exists n_0 such that

$$\left|rac{lpha_n}{lpha_{n+1}}
ight|>\lambda/2\quad ext{if}\quad n\geqq n_0$$
 .

Since

$$||t^r|| \geq ||t^r e_n|| \geq d(t^r e_n, E_{n+r-1}) = \left| \frac{lpha_n}{lpha_{n+r}} \right|,$$
 $||t^r|| \geq \prod\limits_{j=n}^{n+r-1} \left| \frac{lpha_j}{lpha_{j+1}} \right|.$

Taking $n = n_0$, this gives

$$||t^r|| \geq (\lambda/2)^r$$
 $(r \geq 1)$

and so

$$\lim_{r o \infty} || \ t^r ||^{\scriptscriptstyle 1/r} \geqq \lambda/2 > 0$$
 ,

contradicting the quasi-nilpotence of t. Therefore we can find a subsequence $\{j(n)\}$ such that

$$\frac{\alpha_{j(n)}}{\alpha_{j(n)+1}} \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{as} \quad n \longrightarrow \infty ,$$

i.e. such that

(5)
$$d(te_{j(n)}, E_{j(n)}) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$
.

Define linear mappings $t_n: E_n \to E_n$ $(n \ge 1)$ by

$$t_n \mid E_{n-1} = t \mid E_{n-1}$$
, $t_n(e_n) = u_n$,

where u_n is a nearest point of E_n to te_n . We show that

(6)
$$||tx - t_n x|| \le d(te_n, E_n) ||x|| \quad (x \in E_n, n \ge 1)$$
.

Let $x \in E_n$. Then $x = y + \lambda e_n$ for some $\lambda \in C$, $y \in E_{n-1}$.

$$||tx - t_n x|| = ||\lambda t e_n - \lambda u_n|| = |\lambda| d(te_n, E_n),$$

and also

$$||x|| \ge d(x, E_{n-1}) = d(\lambda e_n, E_{n-1}) = |\lambda| d(e_n, E_{n-1}) = |\lambda|$$
.

Therefore

$$||tx - t_n x|| \le d(te_n, E_n) ||x|| \quad (x \in E_n, n \ge 1)$$
.

From (5) and (6) we see that, if $\{x_n\}$ is a bounded sequence with $x_n \in E_{j(n)}$, then

(7)
$$||tx_n - t_{j(n)}x_n|| \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty$$
.

From (7) it follows that if $\{H_{n_k}\}$ is a sequence of subspaces with $H_{n_k} \subset E_{j(n_k)}$ and H_{n_k} invariant for $t_{j(n_k)}$, then $\lim\inf H_{n_k}$ is invariant for t.

We prove next, by induction on k, that for each integer k there is a constant A_k such that

(8)
$$||t^kx - t_n^kx|| \le A_k d(te_n, E_n) ||x|| \quad (x \in E_n, n \ge 1)$$
.

The case when k=1 is given by (6), $(A_1=1)$. Suppose that (8) holds for some k. Then, for $x \in E_n$,

$$\begin{aligned} || \ t_n^k x \ || & \leq || \ t^k x \ || + A_k d(te_n, \ E_n) \ || \ x \ || \\ & \leq (|| \ t^k \ || + A_k d(te_n, \ E_n)) \ || \ x \ || \\ & \leq (|| \ t^k \ || + A_k \ || \ t \ ||) \ || \ x \ || \\ & = B_k \ || \ x \ || \ , \quad \text{say} \ . \end{aligned}$$

Since $t_n^k E_n \subset E_n$, (6) gives

$$||tt_n^k x - t_n^{k+1} x|| \le d(te_n, E_n) ||t_n^k x|| \le B_{\nu} d(te_n, E_n) ||x||.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} || \ t^{k+1}x - t_n^{k+1}x \, || & \leq || \ t^{k+1}x - tt_n^k x \, || + || \ tt_n^k x - t_n^{k+1}x \, || \\ & \leq || \ t \, || \, || \ || \ t^k x - t_n^k x \, || + || \ tt_n^k x - t_n^{k+1}x \, || \\ & \leq (|| \ t \, || \ A_k + B_k) d(te_n, \ E_n) \, || \ x \, || . \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by induction, (8) is proved.

It follows immediately from (8) that, given a polynomial p(t) in t, there is a constant M such that

$$||p(t)x - p(t_n)x|| \leq Md(te_n, E_n) ||x|| \quad (x \in E_n, n \geq 1)$$
.

Hence we can find positive constants $\{M_r\}_{r\geq 1}$ such that

(9)
$$||p_r(t)x - p_r(t_n)x|| \leq M_r d(te_n, E_n) ||x||$$

for $x \in E_n$, $n \ge 1$, $r \ge 1$.

Since st=ts and $s\neq 0$, we may assume that $s^{-1}(0)=(0)$, for otherwise $s^{-1}(0)$ is a proper closed invariant subspace for t. Therefore $se\neq 0$, and we can choose α with $0<\alpha<1$ and $\alpha ||s||<||se||$. Choose sequences $\{E_n^i\}_{n=0}^{j(n)}$ of subspaces of $E_{j(n)}$ such that

$$(0)=E_n^{\scriptscriptstyle 0}\!\subset\! E_n^{\scriptscriptstyle 1}\!\subset\cdots\subset E_n^{\scriptscriptstyle j(n)}=E_{\scriptscriptstyle j(n)}$$
 ,

where dim $E_n^i=i$ and E_n^i is invariant for $t_{j(n)}$. Since $d(e,E_n^0)=||e||=1$ and $d(e,E_n^{(i)})=0$, for each n there is a greatest i, i_n say, such that $d(e,E_n^{(i)})>\alpha$. Put $F_n=E_n^{(i)}$, $G_n=E_n^{(i)}$. Then

$$d(e,\,F_{\scriptscriptstyle n})>lpha$$
 , $d(e,\,G_{\scriptscriptstyle n})\leqqlpha$ $(n\geqq1)$,

and so

$$(10) e \notin \lim \inf F_{n_k}$$

for any subsequence $\{n_k\}$. Let y_n, z_n be nearest points of G_n to e, se respectively, and let $v_n \in G_n$ with $||v_n|| = 1 = d(v_n, F_n)$. We can write

$$y_n = x_n + \beta_n v_n$$

$$z_n = x'_n + \beta'_n v_n$$

where $x_n, x_n' \in F_n$ and $\beta_n, \beta_n' \in C$. We have

$$|\beta_n| = d(\beta_n v_n, F_n) = d(y_n, F_n) \le ||y_n||$$
 $\le ||y_n - e|| + ||e|| = d(e, G_n) + ||e|| \le 2 ||e||.$

Similarly

$$|\beta_n'| \leq 2 ||se||$$
.

Also, for $n \ge 1$,

(11)
$$||sy_n|| \ge ||se|| - ||sy_n - se|| \ge ||se|| - ||s|| ||y_n - e||$$

= $||se|| - ||s|| d(e, G_n) \ge ||se|| - \alpha ||s|| > 0$.

By the compactness of s and the boundedness of $\{||y_n||\}$, $\{|\beta_n|\}$, $\{|\beta_n'|\}$, we can find a subsequence $\{n_k\}$ such that

$$eta_{n_k} \longrightarrow eta$$
 , $eta_{n_k}' \longrightarrow eta'$, $sy_{n_k} \longrightarrow y$ as $k \longrightarrow \infty$.

We show that $y \in \liminf G_{n_k}$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. $\exists n_0$ such that

$$||s-p_{n_0}(t)||<rac{arepsilon}{4\,||e||}$$
 .

By (5), $\exists k_0$ such that

$$d(te_{j(n_k)},\,E_{j(n_k)})<rac{arepsilon}{4M_{n_lpha}||\,e\,||}\;\; ext{if}\;\;\;k\geqq k_lpha$$
 .

Since $||y_n|| \le 2 ||e|| (n \ge 1)$, by (9)

$$|| \ p_{n_0}(t) y_{n_k} - \ p_{n_0}(t_{j(n_k)}) y_{n_k} || \le M_{n_0} d(t e_{j(n_k)}, \ E_{j(n_k)}) \cdot 2 \, || \, e \, ||$$

for $k \ge 1$. Therefore $k \ge k_0$ implies that

$$\begin{split} ||\, s y_{n_k} - p_{n_0}(t_{j(n_k)}) y_{n_k} \,|| & \leq ||\, s y_{n_k} - p_{n_0}(t) y_{n_k} \,|| \\ & + ||\, p_{n_0}(t) y_{n_k} - p_{n_0}(t_{j(n_k)}) y_{n_k} \,|| \\ & \leq ||\, s - p_{n_0}(t) \,|| \,||\, y_{n_k} \,|| \\ & + 2 M_{n_0} \,||\, e \,||\, d(t e_{j(n_k)}, \, E_{j(n_k)}) \\ & \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{4 \,||\, e \,||} \cdot 2 \,||\, e \,|| + 2 M_{n_0} \,||\, e \,|| \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{4 M_{n_c} \,||\, e \,||} = \varepsilon \;. \end{split}$$

Since $sy_{n_k} \to y$, $\exists k_1 \ge k_0$ such that $||sy_{n_k} - y|| < \varepsilon$ if $k \ge k_1$. Thus if $k \ge k_1$,

$$||y - p_{n_0}(t_{j(n_k)})y_{n_k}|| \leq ||y - sy_{n_k}|| + ||sy_{n_k} - p_{n_0}(t_{j(n_k)})y_{n_k}|| < \varepsilon + \varepsilon = 2\varepsilon.$$

But $p_{n_0}(t_{j(n_k)})y_{n_k} \in G_{n_k}$ since G_{n_k} is invariant for $t_{j(n_k)}$, and so

$$d(y, G_{n_k}) \leq ||y - p_{n_0}(t_{j(n_k)})y_{n_k}|| < 2\varepsilon \quad \text{if} \quad k \geq k_1$$
.

Therefore $d(y, G_{n_k}) \to 0$ as $k \to \infty$, and $y \in \liminf G_{n_k}$.

Now by (11) $y \neq 0$, and so $\liminf G_{n_k}$ will be a proper closed invariant subspace for t unless $\liminf G_{n_k} = X$. Thus we may suppose that $\liminf G_{n_k} = X$, and hence that e, $se \in \liminf G_{n_k}$, i.e.

$$d(e, G_{n_k}) = ||e - y_{n_k}|| \rightarrow 0$$
 as $k \rightarrow \infty$

and

$$d(se, G_{n_k}) = ||se - z_{n_k}|| \rightarrow 0$$
 as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

Therefore

$$x_{n_k} + \beta_{n_k} v_{n_k} \rightarrow e$$
 and $x'_{n_k} + \beta'_{n_k} v_{n_k} \rightarrow se$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

Hence

$$\beta'_{n_k}x_{n_k} - \beta_{n_k}x'_{n_k} \rightarrow \beta'e - \beta se \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty$$

and so

$$\beta'e - \beta se \in \liminf F_{n_k}$$
.

If $\beta=0$ then $x_{n_k}\to e$ and $e\in \liminf F_{n_k}$, contradicting (10). So $\beta\neq 0$. If $\beta'e-\beta se=0$ then $(\beta'/\beta)e=se\neq 0$ and so $\beta'\neq 0$. Then $s\neq (\beta'/\beta)\mathscr{I}$ since s is compact and X has infinite dimension (\mathscr{I} being the identity operator on X). Therefore

$$0\neq e\in\left(s-\frac{\beta'}{\beta}\mathscr{I}\right)^{-1}(0)$$

and $\{s-(\beta'/\beta)\mathscr{I}\}^{-1}(0)$ is a proper closed invariant subspace for t. Finally, if $\beta'e-\beta se\neq 0$ then $\liminf F_{n_k}\neq (0)$, and so, by (10), $\liminf F_{n_k}$ is a proper closed invariant subspace for t.

REFERENCES

- 1. A. R. Bernstein and A. Robinson, Solution of an invariant subspace problem of K. T. Smith and P. R. Halmos, Pacific J. Math. 16 (1966), 421-431.
- 2. F. F. Bonsall, Compact Linear Operators, Lecture Notes, Yale University.
- 3. J. Feldman, Invariant subspaces for certain quasi-nilpotent operators (privately circulated).

Received August 18, 1967. This work was done at Yale University; the author was supported by a NATO Research Studentship.

EDINBURGH UNIVERSITY SCOTLAND

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

H. ROYDEN

Stanford University Stanford, California

R. R. PHELPS

University of Washington Seattle, Washington 98105 J. Dugundji

Department of Mathematics University of Southern California Los Angeles, California 90007

RICHARD ARENS

University of California Los Angeles, California 90024

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN F. WOLF

K. Yosida

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF UTAH WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY CHEVRON RESEARCH CORPORATION TRW SYSTEMS NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

Printed in Japan by International Academic Printing Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 26, No. 1 November, 1968

Efraim Pacillas Armendariz, Closure properties in radical theory	1
Friedrich-Wilhelm Bauer, <i>Postnikov-decompositions of functors</i>	9
Thomas Ru-Wen Chow, The equivalence of group invariant positive definite	
functions	25
Thomas Allan Cootz, A maximum principle and geometric properties of	
level sets	39
Rodolfo DeSapio, Almost diffeomorphisms of manifolds	47
R. L. Duncan, Some continuity properties of the Schnirelmann density	57
Ralph Jasper Faudree, Jr., Automorphism groups of finite subgroups of	
division rings	59
Thomas Alastair Gillespie, An invariant subspace theorem of J.	
Feldman	67
George Isaac Glauberman and John Griggs Thompson, Weakly closed direct	
factors of Sylow subgroups	73
Hiroshi Haruki, On inequalities generalizing a Pythagorean functional	
equation and Jensen's functional equation	85
David Wilson Henderson, <i>D-dimension</i> . <i>I. A new transfinite dimension</i>	91
David Wilson Henderson, <i>D-dimension</i> . <i>II. Separable spaces and</i>	
compactifications	109
Julien O. Hennefeld, A note on the Arens products	115
Richard Vincent Kadison, Strong continuity of operator functions	121
J. G. Kalbfleisch and Ralph Gordon Stanton, <i>Maximal and minimal</i>	101
coverings of $(k-1)$ -tuples by k -tuples	131
Franklin Lowenthal, On generating subgroups of the Moebius group by	1.41
pairs of infinitesimal transformations	141
Michael Barry Marcus, Gaussian processes with stationary increments	1.40
possessing discontinuous sample paths	149
Zalman Rubinstein, On a problem of Ilyeff	159
Bernard Russo, Unimodular contractions in Hilbert space	163
David Lee Skoug, Generalized Ilstow and Feynman integrals	171
William Charles Waterhouse, Dual groups of vector spaces	193