GENERALIZED ILSTOW AND FEYNMAN INTEGRALS

DAVID LEE SKOUG
Let $C[a,b]$ denote the space of continuous functions $x(t)$ defined on $[a,b]$ with $x(a) = 0$. This space is called Wiener space.

Using the Wiener integral we define, for each nonnegative integer $M$, what we call the $M$ Ilstow, $M$ complex Wiener, $M$ Feynman, limiting $M$ complex Wiener, and limiting $M$ Feynman integrals of a functional $F(x)$ on $C[a,b]$ and show various relationships which exist between these integrals. In particular we give necessary and sufficient conditions for a finite dimensional functional $F(x)$ to be $M$ Ilstow integrable on $C[a,b]$.

We consider the set of linear functionals $x(t_1), \ldots, x(t_n)$ where $a = t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_n = b$ and obtain conditions on $g_j(u)$ so that the functional

$$F(x) = g_1[x(t_1)] \cdots g_n[x(t_n)]$$

is $M$ Ilstow and limiting $M$ Feynman integrable on $C[a,b]$. We then apply these results to the functional

$$F(t, \xi, x) = \exp \left( \int_0^b \theta[t-s, x(s) + \xi] ds \right) \sigma[x(t) + \xi]$$

where $0 \leq t \leq t_0$, $-\infty < \xi < \infty$ and $x \in C[0, t_0]$ and show that for appropriate functions $\theta(t, \xi)$ and $\sigma(\xi)$, the limiting $M$ Feynman integral $\hat{G}(t, \xi, q)$ of $F(t, \xi, x)$ exists for

$$(t, \xi, q) \in (0, t_0) \otimes R_1 \otimes \{R_1 - \{0\}\}$$

and satisfies the integral equation

$$\hat{G}(t, \xi, q) = \left( -i q \over 2 \pi t \right)^{1/2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \sigma(u) \exp \left( \frac{q i (\xi - u)^2}{2t} \right) du$$

$$+ \left( -i q \over 2 \pi \right)^{1/2} \int_0^t (t-s)^{-1/2} ds$$

$$\times \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \theta[s, u] \hat{G}(s, u, q) \exp \left( \frac{q i (\xi - u)^2}{2(t-s)} \right) du.$$
integrability of \( F(x) \) defined by (1.1), that only requires each \( g' \) to be essentially of bounded variation on any bounded interval, instead of each \( g'' \) being continuous as required by Theorem 7.2 of [2].

These more general integrals allow us to weaken the conditions required on \( \sigma \) and still obtain the existence of \( \hat{G}(t, \xi, q) \).

2. Generalized Ilstow and complex Wiener integrals and the banach space \( B(M, \lambda) \).

**Definition 2.1.** Let \( M \) be a nonnegative integer. Let \( F(\rho x) \) be Lebesgue \( \otimes \) Wiener integrable on \([0, \rho_0] \otimes \mathbb{C}[a, b] \) and assume \( \exists f_M(s) \) on \([0, \infty) \otimes f_M(0) = 0, f_M \) is left continuous and of bounded variation on every bounded interval \([0, s_0]\), \( \int_0^\infty e^{-s^2} \left| df_M(s) \right| < \infty \) for some \( \lambda > 0 \), and for almost all sufficiently large \( \lambda \),

\[
\int_{C[a,b]} F(\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}x) \, dx = \lambda^{M/2} \int_0^\infty e^{-s^2} \, df_M(s).
\]

Then \( F(x) \) will be said to be \( M \) Ilstow integrable on \( C[a, b] \) and \( f_M(s) \) is called the \( M \) Ilstow integral of \( F(x) \) with parameter \( s \) and we write \( f_M(s) = \int_{C[a,b]} F(x) \, dx \).

**Notation.** Let \( I_M = \{ F(x) \mid F(x) \text{ is } M \text{ Ilstow integrables on } C[a, b] \} \).

We use the next lemma in the proof of several theorems.

**Lemma 2.1.** Suppose \( f \) is of bounded variation on every bounded interval of \([0, \infty) \) such that for some \( \lambda_0 \geq 0, \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda_0 s} \left| df(s) \right| < \infty \). Then for \( \lambda > \lambda_0 \)

\[
(2.1) \quad \int_0^\infty e^{-s^2} \, df(s) = \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^\infty e^{-s^2} \, dg(s)
\]

where

\[
(2.2) \quad g(s) = 2\pi^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^s (s - \rho)^{1/2} \, df(\rho).
\]

In addition, \( g \) is absolutely continuous on every bounded interval \([0, s_0] \) and \( g(0) = 0 \). Finally for \( \lambda > \lambda_0 \)

\[
(2.3) \quad \int_0^\infty e^{-s^2} \left| dg(s) \right| \leq \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^\infty e^{-s^2} \left| df(s) \right| .
\]

**Proof.** Same as proof of Lemma 5 on pp. 346–347 of [2].
**Theorem 2.1.** $I_M \subseteq I_{M+1}$ for $M = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$. Furthermore if $F(x) \in I_M$ then

\[ f_{M+1}(s) = 2\pi^{-\frac{1}{2}}\int_0^s (s - \rho)^{\frac{1}{2}} df_M(\rho) \tag{2.4} \]

and

\[ f_{M+2}(s) = 2\pi^{-\frac{1}{2}}\int_0^s (s - \rho)^{\frac{1}{2}} df_{M+1}(\rho) = \int_0^s f_M(\rho) d\rho \tag{2.5} \]

so that the $(M + 2)$ Ilstow integral of $F(x)$ is differentiable a.e. on $[0, \infty)$ and its derivative is $f_M(s)$.

**Proof.** Assume $F(x) \in I_M$ with $M$ Ilstow integral $f_M(s)$. Define $f_{M+1}(s)$ by equation (2.4) and note that (2.1)-(2.3) imply $F(x) \in I_{M+1}$. To obtain the second equality of (2.5), integrate the middle expression by parts, substitute for $f_{M+1}$ from (2.4) and interchange order of integration.

**Definition 2.2.** Let $\lambda \neq 0$ be $\in \mathbb{R}$ $\lambda \geq 0$. Let $F(x) \in I_M$ with $M$ Ilstow integral $f_M(s)$. Then if

\[ \int_0^\infty \left| e^{-2s} \right| \left| df_M(s) \right| < \infty \tag{2.6} \]

we say that $F(x)$ is $M$ complex Wiener integrable on $C[a,b]$ with revar parameter $\lambda$ ($\lambda$ is called the reciprocal variance or revar parameter since in [1], $\lambda^{-1}$ denoted the variance parameter) and we define the value of the $M$ complex Wiener integral to be

\[ \int_{C[a,b]}^W F(x) dx = \lambda^{M/2} \int_0^\infty e^{-2s} df_M(s) \]

where if $M$ is odd the square roots have values in the right half plane. If $\Re \lambda = 0$ the $M$ complex Wiener integral will be called an $M$ Feynman integral. For $\lambda = -iq$, $q$ real, we denote the $M$ Feynman integral of $F(x)$ by $\int_{C[a,b]}^q F(x) dx$.

Note that a functional $F(x)$ is $M$ Feynman integrable if and only if its $M$ Ilstow integral is of bounded variation on $[0, \infty)$. In order to remove this somewhat restrictive condition we shall generalize the above definition slightly.

**Definition 2.3.** If in Definition 2.2 we replace (2.6) by

\[ \int_0^\infty \left| e^{-s(\lambda+i\gamma)} \right| \left| df_M(s) \right| < \infty \tag{2.7} \]
for all \( \eta \ni \Re \eta > 0 \) and if the right member of
\[
\int_{C[a,b]} \overset{\rightarrow}{W}^M F(x)dx = \lambda^{M/2} \lim_{\eta \to 0, \Re \eta > 0} \int_0^\infty e^{-\eta (\lambda + \eta)} df_M(s)
\]
exists, we say that \( F(x) \) has a limiting \( M \) complex Wiener integral with revar parameter \( \lambda \) and we give it the value and notation specified above.

**Notation.** The symbol \( A \equiv B \) shall mean the existence of \( A \) implies the existence of \( B \) and the equality \( A = B \).

In terms of the analytic Wiener integral, defined by Cameron on p. 289 of [2], and denoted by \( \int_{C[a,b]} \overset{\rightarrow}{W}^M F(x)dx \), we have the following theorem.

**THEOREM 2.2.** For \( \Re \lambda \geq 0 \)
\[
\int_{C[a,b]} \overset{\rightarrow}{W}^M F(x)dx \equiv \int_{C[a,b]} \overset{\rightarrow}{W}^M F(x)dx \equiv \int_{C[a,b]} \overset{\rightarrow}{W}^M F(x)dx
\]
and if \( F \in I_M \) then for almost all sufficiently large positive \( \lambda \) we have
\[
\int_{C[a,b]} F(\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}x)dx = \int_{C[a,b]} \overset{\rightarrow}{W}^M F(x)dx.
\]

**Proof.** Same as proof of Theorem 1 of [2] as the additional factor \( \lambda^{M/2} \) doesn’t affect analyticity.

**Notation.** Let \( W(M, \lambda) = \{ F(x) \mid F(x) \text{ is } M \text{ complex Wiener integrable on } C[a, b] \text{ with revar parameter } \lambda \} \).

\( \overset{\rightarrow}{W}(M, \lambda) = \{ F(x) \mid F(x) \text{ is limiting } M \text{ complex Wiener integrable on } C[a, b] \text{ with revar parameter } \lambda \} \).

The following theorem follows directly from Lemma 2.1 and Theorems 2.1 and 2.2.

**THEOREM 2.3.** \( W(M, \lambda) \subseteq W(M + 1, \lambda) \) for \( \Re \lambda > 0 \) and \( M = 0, 1, 2, \cdots \), \( \overset{\rightarrow}{W}(M, \lambda) \subseteq \overset{\rightarrow}{W}(M + 1, \lambda) \) for \( \Re \lambda \geq 0 \) and \( M = 0, 1, 2, \cdots \). Furthermore if \( F(x) \in W(M, \lambda) \) then the \( M \) and \( (M+1) \) complex Wiener integrals of \( F(x) \) are equal (both being equal to the analytic Wiener integral of \( F(x) \) by Theorem 2.2). A similar statement holds in case \( F(x) \in \overset{\rightarrow}{W}(M, \lambda) \).
We now define for $M = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$ and $\lambda \geq 0$, a space $B(M, \lambda)$ of functionals of $C[a, b]$ and discuss some of its properties. In particular $B(0, \lambda) = B_1$ where $B_1$ was defined on p. 297 of [2].

**Definition 2.4.** Let $\lambda \geq 0$ and $M \in \{0, 1, 2, \cdots\}$ be given. Let $F(x)$ be a functional defined on $C[a, b] \ni F(\rho x)$ is Lebesque $\otimes$ Wiener measurable in $(\rho, x)$ on $[0, \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}] \otimes C[a, b]$ and $\exists$

\[ N_1(F) = \int_{\lambda}^{\infty} e^{-\rho} d\rho \int_{C[a, b]} |F(\rho^{-\frac{1}{2}}x)| \, dx < \infty \]

where we interpret $\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ to be $+\infty$ if $\lambda = 0$. Assume further that there exists a left continuous function $f(s)$ of bounded variation on every bounded subinterval of $[0, \infty)$ $\exists$

\[ N_1'(M, F) = \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda s} | df(s) | < \infty \]

and such that for almost all $\rho > \lambda$

\[ \lambda^{M\frac{1}{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\rho s} df(s) = \int_{C[a, b]} F(\rho^{-\frac{1}{2}}x) \, dx . \]

Then we say $F \in \tilde{B}(M, \lambda)$ and define the norm of $F$ to be $N_1(M, F) = N_1(F) + N_1'(M, F)$. Note that $N_1 = N_1'$ where $N_1'$ is defined by (2.1) of [2] while $N_1(M, \cdot) = N_1''(\cdot)$ if and only if $M = 0$ where $N_1''$ is defined by (2.2) of [2].

**Definition 2.5.** We say that two elements $F_1$ and $F_2$ of $\tilde{B}(M, \lambda)$ are equivalent if for almost all $(\rho, x)$ on $[0, \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}] \otimes C[a, b]$ we have $F_1(\rho x) = F_2(\rho x)$. We define $B(M, \lambda)$ as the space of equivalence classes of elements of $\tilde{B}(M, \lambda)$.

**Theorem 2.4.** The space $B(M, \lambda)$ has the following properties:

(a) $B(M, \lambda) \subseteq I_M$ for $\lambda \geq 0$ and $M = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$.

(b) $B(M, \lambda)$ is a Banach space with norm $N_1(M, \cdot)$.

(c) $B(M, \lambda) \subseteq B(M + 1, \lambda)$ for $\lambda > 0$, and $M = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$.

(d) $0 \leq \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \Rightarrow B(M, \lambda_1) \subseteq B(M, \lambda_2)$.

**Proof.** Property (a) follows from the definitions of $B(M, \lambda)$ and $I_M$. Property (b) follows from Theorem 2 of [2]. Property (c) follows from equations (2.3), (2.4), (2.9) and (2.10). Property (d) follows as $0 \leq \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2$ implies that $N_{1 \lambda}(M, F) \leq N_{1 \lambda}(M, F)$.

3. Finite dimensional functionals. Let $\alpha_i(t), \cdots, \alpha_n(t)$ be real functions of bounded variation on $[a, b]$ and let $g(u_1, \cdots, u_n)$ be a real or complex function defined almost everywhere on $R_n$. Let
Then $F(x)$ will be called an “$n$-dimensional functional” on $C[a, b]$. If $g(u, \cdots, u_n)$ is Lebesque measurable on $R_n$ we call $F$ a measurable $n$-dimensional functional. If $\alpha_1(t), \cdots, \alpha_n(t)$ are an orthonormal set on $[a, b]$, (3.1) will be said to express $F(x)$ in “normalized form”.

Our next theorem is a generalization of Theorem 3 of [2] and gives necessary and sufficient conditions that a finite dimensional functional be $M$ Ilstow integrable and a formula for the $M$ Ilstow integral.

**Theorem 3.** Let $F(x)$ be a measurable $n$-dimensional functional on $C[a, b]$ expressed in normalized form by (3.1). Let $M \in \{0, 1, 2, \cdots \}$. Let $K = 0$ if $n \leq M$ while if $n > M$ let $K$ equal $(n - M)/2$ or $(n + 1 - M)/2$ whichever is an integer. Then $F(x)$ is $M$ Ilstow integrable on $C[a, b]$ if and only if the following four conditions are satisfied:

1. For sufficiently large $\lambda$, the quantity $N_\lambda(F)$ is finite, where
   
   $$N_\lambda(F) = (2\pi)^{-n/2} \int_{R_n} e^{\lambda u} d\rho \left| g(u_1, \cdots, u_n) \right| \exp \left( -\frac{(u_1^2 + \cdots + u_n^2)}{2} \right) du.$$

2. The function $I_n(M, s)$ defined by
   
   $$I_n(M, s) = \int_{u_1^2 + \cdots + u_n^2 < 2s} \cdots \int_{u_{M+2k}^2 < 2s} g(u_1, \cdots, u_n) du_1 \cdots du_{M+2k},$$

   has $(K - 1)$ continuous derivatives all of which of course vanish for $s \leq 0$.

3. The $(K - 1)$th derivative $I_n^{(K-1)}(M, s)$ has a left hand derivative, which we denote by $I_n^{(K)}(M, s)$, which is of bounded variation on every bounded interval and which is a true $K$th derivative of $I_n(M, s)$ except on the countable set where it has jumps.

4. For sufficiently large $\lambda$, the quantity $N'_\lambda(F, M)$ is finite, where
   
   $$N'_\lambda(F, M) = \left( \frac{1}{2\pi} \right)^{(2k+M)/2} \int_0^\infty e^{-2s} \left| d_s I_n^{(K)}(M, s) \right|.$$ 

Moreover when conditions (1) through (4) are satisfied we have for $s \geq 0$

$$\int_{C[a, b]} F(x) dx = \left( \frac{1}{2\pi} \right)^{(2k+M)/2} I_n^{(K)}(M, s).$$
Note that when \( K = 0 \), conditions (2) and (3) reduce to \( I_n(M, s) \) being of bounded variation on every bounded interval.

**Corollary to Theorem 3.** For

\[
M = 1, 2, \cdots, I_{M-1} \subseteq I_M, B(M - 1, 0) \\
\subseteq B(M, 0), B(M, 0) \subseteq B(M - 1, 0), W(M - 1, 0) \\
\subseteq W(M, 0) \text{ and } W(M, 0) \subseteq W(M - 1, 0).
\]

**Proof.** Let \( g(u_1, \cdots, u_j) \) be the characteristic function of a \( j \)-dimensional sphere of radius \( \sqrt{2} \) about the origin. Let \( \alpha_1(t), \cdots, \alpha_j(t) \) be an orthonormal set on \([a, b]\). Let

\[
F_j(x) = g\left[ \int_a^b \alpha_1(t) dx(t), \cdots, \int_a^b \alpha_j(t) dx(t) \right].
\]

Then for \( M = 1, 2, \cdots, F_{M+2}(x) \in I_M \) and \( F_{M+2}(x) \notin I_{M-1} \). This follows by noting that by use of (2.4) and (3.2) we obtain for \( s \geq 0 \)

\[
I_{M+2}(M, s) = \frac{(2\pi)^{M+2/2}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{M + 4}{2}\right)} \min\{1, s^{M+2/2}\}
\]

so that by Theorem 3, \( F_{M+2}(x) \in I_M \) while

\[
I_{M+2}(M - 1, s) = I_{M+2}(M + 1, s)
= \begin{cases} 
\frac{2(2\pi s)^{(M+3)/2}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{M + 5}{2}\right)}, & 0 \leq s \leq 1 \\
\frac{2\sqrt{2}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{M + 2}{2}\right)} \int_0^1 (s - \rho)^{1/2} \rho^{M/2} d\rho, & s > 1
\end{cases}
\]

so that \( \lim_{s \to 0} I_{M+2}(M - 1, s) = -\infty \) and hence \( F(x) \notin I_{M-1} \).

Similarly for \( M = 1, 2, \cdots, \) one can show that

\[
F_M(x) \in B(M, 0) \cap W(M, 0) \text{ and } F_M(x) \in B(M + 1, 0) \cup W(M + 1, 0).
\]

For \( M = 3, 4, \cdots \) it follows that \( F_M(x) \in B(M - 2, 0) \cap W(M - 2, 0) \) while \( F_M(x) \in B(M - 3, 0) \cup W(M - 3, 0) \). Finally letting \( g(u_1, u_2) \equiv 1 \) and writing \( F(x) \) in form (3.1) one obtains \( F(x) \in B(0, 0) \cap W(0, 0) \) and \( F(x) \in B(1, 0) \cup W(1, 0) \).

**4. Products of functions of \((xt_j)\).** In this section we consider the set of linear functionals, \( x(t_1), \cdots, x(t_n) \), and obtain conditions under which products of the form
(4.1) \[ F(x) = g_i[x(t_i)] \cdots g_n[x(t_n)] \]

are M Ilstow and limiting M Feynman integrable on \( C[a, b] \). \( F(x) \) as given by (4.1) is an \( n \)-dimensional functional but here we wish to obtain simpler conditions for M Ilstow integrability than those given in Theorem 3. In Theorem 7.1 of [2], R. H. Cameron showed that each \( g_i' \) being continuous and \( g_i \) and \( g_i'' \) both being absolutely integrable on \( R \) was sufficient to insure Ilstow integrability. Here we will only require each of the \( g_i' \)'s to have a derivative essentially of bounded variation on any bounded interval, and satisfy certain growth conditions.

In Theorem 4 we will find it necessary to express certain Lebesque-Lebesque Stieltjes iterated integrals as Laplace Stieltjes transforms. We shall now develop the required notation and lemmas to achieve this objective.

**Lemma 4.1.** Assume \( f(u) \) is absolutely continuous on \( [c, d] \) and has a derivative \( f'(u) \) which is essentially of bounded variation on \( [c, d] \). Let \( \phi(u) = \text{ess}_{h \to 0^+} \lim f'(u + h) \) and assume \( \text{Var} (\phi, [c, d]) < \infty \). Let \( a \in [c, d] \) and let \( g(u) = (f(u) - f(a))/(u - a) \) for \( u \in [c, d], u \neq a \) and let \( g(a) = \phi(a) \). Then \( g(u) \) is of bounded variation on \( [c, d] \) and

**Proof.** This lemma follows from the observation that for all \( u \in [c, d] \) we have \( g(u) = \int_0^1 \phi((u - a)t + a) \, dt \).

**Lemma 4.2.** Assume \( f_i(u), \cdots, f_n(u) \) are of bounded variation on \( [c, d] \). Let \( 1 \leq k \leq n \) and assume that \( g_i(u) \) and \( g_k(u) \) are such that for all \( u \in [c, d] \) we have \( |f_i(u)| \leq g_i(u) \) for \( i = 1, 2, \cdots, k \), while \( |f_i(u)| \leq g_k(u) \) for \( i = k + 1, \cdots, n \). Let \( K_1 = \max_{u \in [c, d]} g_i(u) \) and \( K_2 = \max_{u \in [c, d]} g_k(u) \). Then

\[
\text{Var} \left( \prod_{i=1}^n f_i, [c, d] \right) \leq K_1^{k-1} K_2^{n-k-1} \left[ K_1 \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \text{Var} (f_i, [c, d]) + K_2 \sum_{i=k+1}^n \text{Var} (f_i, [c, d]) \right].
\]

**Notation.** Let \( m \) be a positive odd integer. For \( i = 1, 2, \cdots, m \), let \( k_i \) be a positive constant and let \( \phi_i(s) = (s^2)/(k_i) \). Let \( u_0 = 0, \phi_1(u) = \sum_{i=1}^n \phi_i(u_i - u_{i-1}) \), and \( \phi_2(u) = u_1^2 + \phi_2(u_2) + \sum_{i=3}^n \phi_i(u_i - u_{i-1}) \). For \( \sigma \geq 0 \) and \( j = 1, 2 \), let \( S_j(\sigma) = \{ u | \phi_j(u) < \sigma \} \). Note that \( S_j(\sigma) \) is an open \( m \)-dimensional ellipsoid with its center at the origin.

**Lemma 4.3.** For \( i = 1, 3, \cdots, m \), assume \( g_i(u_i) \) is bounded and Lebesque integrable on every bounded interval of \( R_i \). For \( i = 2, 4, \cdots, m - 1 \), assume \( h_i(u_{i-1}, u_i, u_{i+1}) \) is Borel measurable in its three vari-
ables and is right continuous and of bounded variation with respect to \( u_i \) on every bounded interval \([-2d, 2d]\) for any \( u_{i-1}, u_{i-1} \in [-d, d] \). For notational purposes we will denote the function \( h_i(u_{i+1}, u_i, u_{i+1}) \) by \( h_i(u_i) \). Also assume that \( \text{Var}(h_i(s), -2d \leq s \leq 2d) \) is bounded on \( u_{i-1}, u_{i+1} \in [-d, d] \). Then for \( j = 1, 2, A_j(\sigma) \) exists and is of bounded variation on \( 0 \leq \sigma \leq \nu \) for any \( \nu > 0 \) where \( A_j(\sigma) \) is the iterated Lebesgue-Lebesgue Stieltjes integral

\[
A_j(\sigma) = \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g_1(u_1) du_1 \right] \cdots \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g_m(u_m) du_m \right] \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d_u_2 h_2(u_2) \cdots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d_u_4 h_4(u_4) \\
\cdots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \chi_{s_j(\sigma)}(u_1, u_2, \cdots, u_m) d_{u_{m-1}} h_{m-1}(u_{m-1})
\]

which for notational purposes we shall write as

\[
A_j(\sigma) = \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \cdots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \prod_{k=1}^{(m)} g_{2k-1}(u_{2k-1}) \prod_{k=1}^{(m+1)/2} d_{u_{2k}} h_{2k}(u_{2k}) du_m \cdots du_1 \right].
\]

Further if \( f(\sigma) \) is continuous on \([0, \nu]\) then

\[
\int_{0}^{\nu} f(\sigma) dA_j(\sigma) = \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \cdots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \prod_{k=1}^{(m+1)/2} g_{2k-1}(u_{2k-1}) \right] \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \cdots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \prod_{k=1}^{(m-1)/2} d_{u_{2k}} h_{2k}(u_{2k}) du_m du_{m-2} \cdots du_1 \right].
\]

In addition if \( f(\sigma) \) is continuous on \([0, \infty)\) then the existence (as a finite number) and absolute convergence of the right side of the following equation implies the existence of the left and equality.

\[
\lim_{C \to \infty} \int_{0}^{C} f(\sigma) dA_j(\sigma) = \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \cdots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \prod_{k=1}^{(m+1)/2} g_{2k-1}(u_{2k-1}) \right] \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \cdots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \prod_{k=1}^{(m-1)/2} d_{u_{2k}} h_{2k}(u_{2k}) du_m du_{m-2} \cdots du_1 \right].
\]

**Proof.** As \( S_j(\sigma) \) is an open set in \( R_m \) one can show that

\[
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d_{u_2} h_2(u_2) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d_{u_4} h_4(u_4) \cdots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \chi_{s_j(\sigma)}(u_1, u_2, \cdots, u_m) d_{u_{m-1}} h_{m-1}(u_{m-1})
\]

is bounded and Borel measurable in its \((m + 1)/2\) variables \( u_1, u_3, \cdots, u_m \). Hence \( A_j(\sigma) \) exists for \( 0 \leq \sigma \leq \nu \). By breaking up the \( g_i's \) and \( h_i's \) appropriately one can write \( A_j(\sigma) \) as the difference of two monotonic increasing functions and so \( A_j(\sigma) \) is of bounded variation on every bounded interval. Equation (4.2) follows from the general limiting sum type of argument. As \( \nu \to \infty \), \( S_j(\sigma) \to R_m \) and so by dominated convergence in each variable we obtain (4.3).

In the following lemma and theorem we will use the notation
developed by Cameron in Theorem 7.1 of [2].

**Lemma 4.4.** Assume \( h(u) \) is absolutely continuous on every bounded interval of \( R \), and \( \phi(u) \equiv \text{ess}_{h \to 0^+} \lim h'(u + h) \) is of bounded variation on every bounded interval of \( R \). Also assume that for some \( A > 0, B \geq 0 \) and \( 0 \leq \gamma < 2 \) we have \( |h(u)| \leq A e^{B |u|^\gamma} \) and \( \int_{-\gamma}^V |d\phi(s)| \leq A e^{B |u|^\gamma} \) for all \( u \in R \) and \( V > 0 \). Then for \( 0 \leq i < j < k, \lambda > 0 \) and \( u_{i,k} \in R \), we have

\[
\left| \int_{-\infty}^\infty h(u) E_i^j E_k^d du_j \right| = \lambda^{1/2} I_{i,k}(h(u_j)) + \int_{-\infty}^\infty E_i^j E_k^d \hat{\Gamma}_{i,k}(h(u)) du_j
\]

(4.4)

where the integral in the last term of (4.4) exists both as a Riemann Stieltjes integral and as a Lebesgue Stieltjes integral and where \( \hat{\Gamma}_{i,k}(h(u)) = h(u) - h(u_{i,k}) \).

**Proof.** The first equality follows directly by noting that

\[
\lambda^{1/2} E_i^j = \int_{-\infty}^\infty E_i^j E_k^d du_j
\]

while the second equality follows from integration by parts which is justified by the above growth conditions.

**Theorem 4.** Let \( a = t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_n = b \). For \( i = 1, 2, \cdots, n - 1 \) assume \( g_i(u) \) is absolutely continuous on every bounded subinterval of \( R \), and \( \phi_i(u) = \text{ess}_{h \to 0^+} \lim g_i'(u + h) \) is of bounded variation on every bounded subinterval of \( R \). Furthermore assume for some \( 0 \leq \gamma < 2 \) and \( B > 0 \)

(4.5) \[
|g_i(u)| \leq B
\]

(4.6) \[
\int_{-\infty}^\infty |g_i(s)| ds \leq B
\]

(4.7) \[
\int_{-V}^V |d\phi_i(s)| \leq B e^{B |u|^\gamma}
\]

(4.8) \[
\int_{-V}^V |dg_i(s)| \leq B e^{B |u|^\gamma}
\]

(4.9) \[
|\phi_i(u)| \leq B e^{B |u|^\gamma}
\]

for \( i = 1, 2, \cdots, n - 1, u \in R \) and \( V > 0 \). Furthermore assume

\[
\int_{-\infty}^\infty |g_i(s)| ds \leq B
\]
Let $F(x)$ be given as in (4.1). Then there exists a function $\psi(\lambda)$, analytic for $\Re \lambda > 0$, continuous for $\Re \lambda \geq 0$, and which for real $\lambda > 0$ satisfies

$$\psi(\lambda) = \int_{C[a,b]} F(\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}x) \, dx.$$ 

Furthermore $F(x)$ is $M$-Istow and limiting $M$-Feynman integrable for all values of the parameter for $M \geq 1$ and $F(x) \in B(1, \lambda)$ for all $\lambda > 0$.

**Proof.** By using techniques similar to those used by Cameron in proving Theorem 7.1 of [2] (in particular using (4.4) in place of (7.8) of [2]) we obtain for $\lambda > 0$

$$\lambda^{-1/2} \int_{C[a,b]} F(\lambda^{-1/2}x) \, dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{g_n(u_n)g_{\delta_n}(0, u_n)}{\sqrt{2\pi(b-a)}} \exp\left(-\frac{\lambda u_n^2}{2(b-a)}\right) \, du_n$$

$$+ \sum_{\sigma_1(n-1)} \left( \frac{2^{(q+1)}}{2\pi(T_q^\prime - T_q^\prime)^{1/2}} \right) \times \exp\left\{-\frac{\lambda}{2} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{q+1} \frac{(\sigma_i - \sigma_{i-1})^2}{T_q^\prime - T_{q-1}^\prime} + \sum_{i=1}^{q+1} \frac{(\sigma_i - \rho_i)^2}{T_q^\prime - T_{q-1}^\prime} \right) \right\}$$

$$\times \left( \prod_{i=1}^{q+1} d_{q+1} H^k(\rho_i, \sigma_i, \rho_{i+1}) d\rho_{q+1} \right)$$

$$\times \sum_{\sigma_1(n-1)} \left( \frac{2^{(q+1)}}{2\pi(T_q^\prime - T_q^\prime)^{1/2}} \right) \times \exp\left\{-\frac{\lambda}{2} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{q+1} \frac{(\sigma_i - \sigma_{i-1})^2}{T_q^\prime - T_{q-1}^\prime} + \sum_{i=1}^{q+1} \frac{(\sigma_i - \rho_i)^2}{T_q^\prime - T_{q-1}^\prime} + w^2 \right) \right\}$$

$$\times \left( \prod_{i=1}^{q+1} d_{q+1} H^k(\rho_i, \sigma_i, \rho_{i+1}) d\rho_{q+1} \right)$$

where $Q_j(n-1)$ is the class of all finite sets of integers $\nu_1, \nu_2, \cdots, \nu_{q+1}$ satisfying

$$Q_1(n-1) = \{\nu_1, \cdots, \nu_{q+1} \mid \nu_1 = 1, \nu_{i+1} \geq \nu_i + 2, i = 1, 2, \cdots, q, \nu_{q+1} = n\}$$

$$Q_2(n-1) = \{\nu_1, \cdots, \nu_{q+1} \mid \nu_i + 1, i = 1, 2, \cdots, q, \nu_{q+1} = n\}$$

$$Q_3(n-1) = \{\nu_1, \cdots, \nu_{q+1} \mid \nu_1 = 0, \nu_{i+1} \geq \nu_i + 2, i = 1, 2, \cdots, q, \nu_{q+1} = n\}$$

$$H^k(\rho_i, \sigma_i, \rho_{i+1})$$

$$= \prod_{k=1}^{\nu_{i+1}-1} g_{\alpha_k}^{\nu_1, \nu_2, \cdots, \nu_{q+1}} \sigma \dot{+} \beta_k^{\nu_1, \nu_2, \cdots, \nu_{q+1}} \rho_{i+1} \sigma_{i-\nu_{i}, \nu_{i+1}}^{\nu_1, \nu_2, \cdots, \nu_{q+1}} \rho_{i}$$

$$\sigma_i - \nu_{i+1}^{\nu_{i+1}-1} \rho_i - \beta_k^{\nu_{i+1}}$$
and where in the sum over \( Q_3(n - 1) \), \( \rho_i \equiv 0 \), and the integrals in the two sums exist both as iterated Riemann-Riemann Stieltjes integrals and as iterated Lebesgue-Lebesgue Stieltjes integrals.

Now define \( \phi_t^i(\sigma_i, \rho_{i+1}) \) by the equation

\[
\phi_t^i(\sigma_i, \rho_{i+1}) = \sum_{k=1}^{\pi} k \prod_{j=1}^{\pi} g_{j}^{i} (\alpha_{i+1,j}^{1} \sigma_i + \beta_{i+1,j}^{1} \rho_{i+1})
\]

(4.12)

Then as \( g_k^i = \phi_k \) a.e. we see that

\[
\frac{d}{d\sigma_i} \left[ \prod_{k=1}^{\pi} g_k^i (\alpha_{i+1,k}^{1} \sigma_i + \beta_{i+1,k}^{1} \rho_{i+1}) \right] = \phi_t^i(\sigma_i, \rho_{i+1}) \text{ a.e.}
\]

Thus for any \( d > 0 \) and \( \rho_i, \rho_{i+1} \in [-d, d] \) we apply Lemma 4.1 and 4.2 and obtain

\[
\text{Var}(H_t^i(\rho_i, s, \rho_{i+1}), -2d \leq s \leq 2d) \leq \text{Var}(\phi_t^i(s, \rho_{i+1}), -2d \leq s \leq 2d) \leq (\nu_{i+1} - \nu_i - 1)^2 B^{\nu_{i+1} - \nu_i - 1} e^{2B(3d)^{\gamma}}.
\]

(4.13)

Hence we see that \( H_t^i \) is of bounded variation as a function of \( \sigma_i \) on every bounded interval and as \( 0 \leq \gamma < 2 \) the integrals on the right side of (4.10) converge absolutely for \( \gamma > 0 \).

Next we apply Lemma 4.3 to the right side of (4.10) and obtain by use of (4.3)

\[
\int_{(a,b)} F^i(\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}t) dt = \lambda^\frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty e^{-tu} df(u)
\]

where \( f(u) = f_1(u) + f_2(u) + f_3(u) \) and

\[
f_1(u) = \int_{\varphi(a,b)} \frac{g_n(s)g_n^u(0, s)}{\sqrt{2\pi(b - a)}} ds
da(u) = \sum_{Q_3(n - 1)} \int_{\varphi(a,b)} \frac{g_n(\rho_{q+1})h^q(\rho_{q+1})}{2\pi(T^q_1 - T^q_0)} \left( \prod_{i=1}^q \frac{g_{i}(\rho_{i+1})\alpha_{i+1}^{q+1} T^q_i - T^q_{i+1})}{2\pi(T^q_0 - T^q_{i+1})} \right)

\[
x \left( \prod_{i=1}^q d_{\rho_{q+1}} H^q(\rho_i, \sigma_i, \rho_{i+1}) \right) d\rho_{q+1} \cdots d\rho_2 d\rho_1
\]

\[
f_2(u) = \sum_{Q_3(n - 1)} \int_{\varphi(a,b)} \frac{g_n(\rho_{q+1})\alpha_{i+1}^{q+1} T^q_i - T^q_{i+1})}{2\pi(T^q_0 - T^q_{i+1})} \left( \prod_{i=1}^q \frac{g_{i}(\rho_{i+1})\alpha_{i+1}^{q+1} T^q_i - T^q_{i+1})}{2\pi(T^q_0 - T^q_{i+1})} \right)

\[
x \left( \prod_{i=1}^q d_{\rho_{q+1}} H^q(\rho_i, \sigma_i, \rho_{i+1}) \right) d\rho_{q+1} \cdots d\rho_2 d\rho_1
\]

\[
f_3(u) = \sum_{Q_3(n - 1)} \int_{\varphi(a,b)} \frac{g_n(\rho_{q+1})\alpha_{i+1}^{q+1} T^q_i - T^q_{i+1})}{2\pi(T^q_0 - T^q_{i+1})} \left( \prod_{i=1}^q \frac{g_{i}(\rho_{i+1})\alpha_{i+1}^{q+1} T^q_i - T^q_{i+1})}{2\pi(T^q_0 - T^q_{i+1})} \right)

\[
x \left( \prod_{i=1}^q d_{\rho_{q+1}} H^q(\rho_i, \sigma_i, \rho_{i+1}) \right) d\rho_{q+1} \cdots d\rho_2 d\rho_1
\]
In order to show that $F(x)$ is $M$ Ilstow integrable for $M = 1$ we need to obtain bounds on the variation of $f(u)$ for $u \geq 0$. First we note that for $j = 1, 2, 3$, $f_j(u)$ is left continuous (by definition of $V_3(u)$) and of bounded variation on every bounded subinterval of $[0, \infty)$. Now for $j = 1, 2$ and 3, let $\hat{f}_j(u)$ denote what we obtain by replacing $g_{0,n}^*, h^*, g_{1}^*$ and $d_{s_j}H_1^j(\rho_1, \sigma_1, \rho_{i+1})$ by $|g_{0,n}^*|, |h^*|, |g_{1}|$ and $|d_{s_j}H_1^j(\rho_1, \sigma_1, \rho_{i+1})|$ respectively in $f_j(u)$. Then clearly $\hat{f}_j(u)$ and for $0 \leq u_1 < u_2 < \infty$ we have

$$\var(\hat{f}_j, [u_1, u_2]) \leq \var(\hat{f}_j, [u_1, u_2]) = \hat{f}_j(u_2) - \hat{f}_j(u_1).$$

Thus we see that it will suffice to obtain bounds on $\hat{f}_j(u)$. First note that for all $u \geq 0$

$$\hat{f}_1(u) \leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{|g_s(s)g_{0,n}^*(0, s)|}{\sqrt{2\pi(2\pi(b - a))^{-1/2}}} ds \leq B^u[2\pi(b - a)]^{-1/2}.$$

Next we see that $V_3(u) \equiv \hat{V}_3(u)$ and $V_3(u) \equiv \hat{V}_3(u)$ where

$$\hat{V}_3(u) = \{|\rho_1, \cdots, \rho_{q+1}, \sigma_1, \cdots, \sigma_q| | \rho_i \leq \hat{u}, |\sigma_i| \leq 2\hat{u}\}$$

and $\hat{u} = [2u(b - a)]^{1/2}$. Thus for $[\rho_1, \cdots, \rho_{q+1}, \sigma_1, \cdots, \sigma_q] \in \hat{V}_3(u)$ we have $\alpha_{i, i+1}^{*\rho_i} + \beta_{i, i+1}^{*\rho_{i+1}} \rho_i + \beta_{i, i+1}^{*\rho_{i+1}} \rho_{i+1} \in [-2\hat{u}, 2\hat{u}]$ for $i = 1, 2, \cdots, q$, so that by Lemmas 4.1 and 4.2 and equation (4.13) we see that

$$\var(H_1^j(\rho_i, s, \rho_{i+1}), -2\hat{u} \leq s \leq 2\hat{u}) \leq (v_{i+1} - v_i - 1)^2B^{*\rho_{i+1}} \exp 2B(3\hat{u})^r.$$

Hence by replacing $V_3(u)$ by $\hat{V}_3(u)$ in $\hat{f}_3(u)$ we obtain
\[\hat{f}_\lambda(u) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{q} \left( \prod_{i=1}^{q} (\nu_{i+1} - \nu_i - 1)^2 B^{\nu_{i+1} - \nu_i - 1} e^{2B [\hat{u}^2]} \right) \left( \prod_{i=1}^{q} \frac{\alpha_{\nu_{i+1}}^{\nu_{i+1}} (T_i - T_i')^{1/2}}{2\pi (T_i' - T_{i-1})^{1/2}} \right) \times \int_{-\hat{u}}^{\hat{u}} \cdots \int_{-\hat{u}}^{\hat{u}} \left| h^\epsilon (\rho) g_n (\rho + 1) \right| \left( \prod_{i=1}^{q} \left| g_i (\rho_i) \right| \right) d\rho_{q+1} \cdots d\rho_1 \]

\[\leq \sum_{j=1}^{q} \frac{B^n e^{3[3^2m(b-a)]^{1/2}}}{3[2\pi (t_1 - a)]^{1/2}} \]

since \(q \leq (n/2)\), while by (2.6) of [4] and (7.38) of [2],

\[\sum_{j=1}^{q} \frac{[2\pi (t_{q+1} - T_q)]^{-1/2} \prod_{i=1}^{q} (T_i - T_i')^{1/2} (\nu_{i+1} - \nu_i - 1)^2 \alpha_{\nu_{i+1}}^{\nu_{i+1}}}{2\pi (T_i' - T_{i-1})^{1/2}} \]

\[\leq [2\pi (t_1 - a)]^{-1/2} \sum_{j=1}^{q} \left( \frac{1}{2\pi} \right)^q \prod_{i=1}^{q} (\nu_{i+1} - \nu_i - 1)^2 \]

\[\leq [2\pi (t_1 - a)]^{-1/2} 3^{1/2} \exp \{(n - 1)\pi^{-1/2}\} \]

\[< 2^{n-1} 3^{-1/2}[2\pi (t_1 - a)]^{-1/2}. \]

Thus \(0 \leq \gamma < 2\) implies \(\int_0^\infty e^{-i\omega} df\hat{f}(u) < \infty\) for \(\lambda > 0\).

Similarly we can show that for \(u > 0\)

\[\hat{f}_\lambda(u) \leq 2^{(2n+1)/2} B^n \exp (nB[3^2m(b-a)]^{1/2}) 3^{-1/2}[2\pi (b - a)]^{1/2} \]

and hence for \(\lambda > 0\), \(\int_0^\infty e^{-i\omega} df\hat{f}(u) < \infty\). Thus for \(\lambda > 0\)

\[N_\lambda(F) = \int_0^\infty e^{-i\omega} d\rho \int_{C[a,b]} |F(\rho - \frac{1}{2}x)| \, dx \leq B^n \]

and

\[N_\lambda'(1, F) = \int_0^\infty e^{-i\omega} |df(u)| \leq \sum_{j=1}^{3} \int_0^\infty e^{-i\omega} df\hat{f}(u) < \infty. \]

so that \(F(x) \in B(1, \lambda)\) for \(\lambda > 0\) and in particular, \(F(x)\) is \(M\) lstow integrable for \(M \geq 1\).

Next for \(\lambda \in \Re \lambda \geq 0\) let

\[(4.15) \quad \psi(\lambda) = \lambda^{n/2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \cdots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \gamma_1(u_1) \cdots \gamma_n(u_n) E_1^0 \cdots E_n^1 du_n \cdots du_1. \]

Then by (7.5) of [2] we have \(\psi(\lambda) = \int_{C[a,b]} F(\lambda - \frac{1}{2}x) \, dx\) for all \(\lambda > 0\). Clearly \(\psi(\lambda)\) is analytic for \(\Re \lambda > 0\) and continuous for \(\Re \lambda \geq 0\).
Hence \( \int_{c[\alpha, \beta]} F(\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}x)dx \) has an analytic extension throughout the right half plane continuous up to the imaginary axis. But for almost all \( \rho > 0 \), \( \int_{c[\alpha, \beta]} F(\rho^{-\frac{1}{2}}x)dx = \rho^\frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty e^{-\rho s} df(s) \). Thus as \( \int_0^\infty e^{-\rho s} |df(s)| < \infty \) for all \( \rho > 0 \) we know that \( \rho^\frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty e^{-\rho s} df(s) \) is analytic in the right half plane and equal to the analytic extension of \( \int_{c[\alpha, \beta]} F(\rho^{-\frac{1}{2}}x)dx \) there. Thus \( \lambda^\frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda s} df(s) \) approaches a limiting value on the imaginary axis when we approach from the right hand plane and so the limiting \( M \) Feynman integral of \( F \) exists for \( M \geq 1 \) which concludes proof of theorem.

If we restrict the bounds on \( \text{Var} \phi_i, \text{Var} g_i, \) and \( \phi_i \) we obtain the following corollary which proves useful in a later section.

**Corollary to Theorem 4.** Assume the hypotheses of Theorem 4 are satisfied where conditions (4.7)-(4.9) are replaced by

\[
\int_{-\nu}^{\nu} |d\phi_i(s)| \leq B[1 + V^d],
\int_{-\nu}^{\nu} |dg_i(s)| \leq B[1 + V^d], \quad \text{and} \quad |\phi_i(u)| \leq B[1 + |u|^d]
\]

for \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, n - 1, u \in R, V > 0, \) and \( d > 0 \). Then the conclusions of Theorem 4 hold and in addition we have \( N_i(F) \leq B^n \) and

\[
N_i(1, F) \leq B^n(2\pi(b - a))^{\frac{1}{3}} + \frac{2^n + 1}{32\pi (b - a)^{\frac{1}{2}}}
\left(1 + \left[ \frac{2(b - a)}{\lambda} \right]^{dn/2} \right),
\]

\[
+ \frac{2^{n+1}B^n(1 + 3^4)^n}{3(2\pi)^{\frac{1}{2}}}
\left(1 + \left[ \frac{2(b - a)}{\lambda} \right]^{(dn+1)/2} \right).
\]

**Remark.** Let us point out at this time that the techniques used in Theorem 4 allow us to strengthen Theorems 7.1 and 7.2 of [2]. For, clearly, if we put the same restrictions on \( g_i \) as we put on each \( g_i, i = 1, 2, \ldots, n - 1, \) then the conclusions of Theorem 4 hold for \( M = 0 \). Also if condition (4.6) was relaxed so that

\[
\int_{-\nu}^{\nu} |g_i(s)| ds \leq B e^{\nu V}, \quad \text{for} \ i = 1, 2, \ldots, n,
\]

then one can show, using the techniques of Theorem 4, that \( F \in I_0 \) and \( F \in B(0, \lambda) \) for all \( \lambda > 0 \). However these same techniques do not imply \( F \in W(M, 0) \) since in this case \( \psi(\lambda) \) as defined by (4.15) is not necessarily continuous up to \( \text{Re} \lambda = 0 \).

5. Entire functions of integrals of \( \theta(s, x(s)) \). Our first theorem
deals with $M$ Ilstow and limiting $M$ Feynman integrability of functionals of the form

\[(5.1) \quad F^t(x) = \Phi\left[ \int_a^b \theta(s, x(s))ds \right]g(x(b)) .\]

**Theorem 5.1.** Assume $\Phi(z)$ is an entire function satisfying $|\Phi(z)| \leq Ae^{B|z|}$. For each $s \in [a, b]$ assume $\theta(s, u)$ is absolutely continuous as a function of $u$ on every bounded interval of $R$. Also, for each $s \in [a, b]$, assume $\hat{\theta}(s, u) = \text{ess}_{u \to \pm \infty} \lim \theta_s(s, u + h)$ is of bounded variation as a function of $u$ on every bounded interval of $R$. Furthermore assume $|\theta(s, V)|, \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |g(w)| dw$, and $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\theta(s, w)| dw$ are bounded by $B$ while $|\hat{\theta}(s, V)|$, $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |d_w \theta(s, w)|$ and $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |d_w \hat{\theta}(s, w)|$

are bounded by $B(1 + |V|^d)$ for each $s \in [a, b], V \in R_1$ and some $d \geq 0 < d < 2$. Then for $M = 1, 2, \cdots$, the functional $F^t(x)$ as defined by (5.1) is $M$ Ilstow and limiting $M$ Feynman integrable for all values of the parameter and $F^t(x) \in B(M, \lambda)$ for all $\lambda > 0$.

**Proof.** Since $\Phi(z)$ is an entire function such that $|\Phi(z)| \leq Ae^{B|z|}$ we obtain, by use of Cauchy's inequality, that $\Phi(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ for all $z$ where $a_0 = 1$ and for $n > 0$

\[(5.2) \quad |a_n| \leq A\left(\frac{Bn}{n}\right)^n .\]

Thus on $C[a, b]$ we have $F^t(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n F^t_n(x)$ where

\[F^t_n(x) = g(x(b))\left[ \int_a^b \theta(s, x(s))ds \right]^n \]

for $n = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$. Now for $n > 0$ we have

\[F^t_n(x) = \int_a^b \cdots \int_a^b F^t_n(x; s)ds_1 \cdots ds_n \]

where

\[F^t_n(x; s) = F^t_n(x; s_1, \cdots, s_n) = g(x(b)) \prod_{i=1}^{n} \theta(s_i, x(s_i)) \]

when $s_1, \cdots, s_n$ are distinct elements of $(a, b)$ but $F^t_n(x; s) = 0$ when $s_1, \cdots, s_n$ are elements of $[a, b]$ but $a, s_1, \cdots, s_n b$ are not distinct.

We note that for each $n > 0$ and each $s \in [a, b]^n$, $F^t_n(x; s)$ satisfies the hypotheses of the Corollary to Theorem 4. Hence for each $s$ in $[a, b]^n$ we have for $\lambda > 0$
\[ N_x(F_n(\cdot; s)) \leq B^{n+1} \]
\[ N'_x(1, F_n(\cdot; s)) \leq H(s) \]
\[ \equiv B^{n+1}[2\pi(b-a)^{-\frac{1}{2}} + K_1(n) + K_2(n)[\min\{s_1, \ldots, s_n\} - a]^{-\frac{1}{2}} \]

where

\[ K_1(n) = 2^{n+2}B^{n+1}(1 + 3^d)^{n+1}3^{-1}(2\pi)^{-1/2} \]
\[ \times \left( 1 + \left[ \frac{2(b-a)}{\lambda} \right]^{[d(n+1)+1]/2} I\left[ \frac{d(n+1)+3}{2} \right] \right) \]

and

\[ K_2(n) = 2^nB^{n+1}(1 + 3^d)^{n+1}3^{-1}(2\pi)^{-1/2} \]
\[ \times \left( 1 + \left[ \frac{2(b-a)}{\lambda} \right]^{[d(n+1)+1]/2} I\left[ \frac{d(n+1)+2}{2} \right] \right). \]

Moreover for each \( s \), \( F_n(x; s) \) is \( M \) Ilstow and limiting \( M \) Feynman integrable for each value of the parameter for \( M = 1, 2, \ldots \), and \( F_n(x; s) \in B(1, \lambda) \) for all \( \lambda > 0 \). By Corollary to Theorem 6.4 of \( [2] \) (which can be generalized to apply here) we obtain

\[ N_x(F^*_n) \leq \int_a^b \cdots \int_a^b H(s)ds_1 \cdots ds_n \]
\[ \leq B^{n+1}(b-a)^{n}[2\pi(b-a)]^{-\frac{1}{2}} + (b-a)^nK_1(n) + 2n(b-a)^nK_2(n) \]

where the last inequality follows from (8.12) of \( [2] \). Clearly \( N_x(F^*_n) \leq B^{n+1}(b-a)^n \) so that for \( \lambda > 0 \)

\[ N_x(1, \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n F^*_n) \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} N_x(1, a_n F^*_n) \leq (\{2\pi(b-a)^{-\frac{1}{2}} + 1\} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B^{n+1}(b-a)^n a_n \]
\[ + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (b-a)^n a_n[K_1(n) + 2nK_2(n)] \]

where \( K_1(0) = K_2(0) = 1 \). By use of (5.2) the above series converge for \( 0 \leq d < 2 \) by the ratio test and thus the series \( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n F^*_n \) converges in the \( B(1, \lambda) \) topology for each \( \lambda > 0 \) and since the series also converges uniformly to \( F^* \) it converges in the \( B(1, \lambda) \) topology to \( F^* \) and so \( F^* \in B(1, \lambda) \) for all \( \lambda > 0 \). In particular \( F^* \) is \( M \) Ilstow integrable for \( M = 1, 2, \ldots \).

Next we will show that \( F^* \) is limiting \( M \) Feynman integrable for \( M = 1 \). First apply Corollary to Theorem 4 to the functionals \( F_n(x; s) \) and obtain functions \( \psi_n(\lambda, s) \) which are analytic for \( \text{Re} \lambda > 0 \) and continuous for \( \text{Re} \lambda \geq 0 \) for each \( n > 0 \) and \( s \in [a, b]^n \). In addition for \( \text{Re} \lambda \geq 0 \) we have
\[ \psi_n(\lambda, s) = \lambda^{(n+1)/2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \cdots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(u_{n+1}) \prod_{i=1}^{n} \theta(s_i, u_i) E_0^0 E_1^1 \cdots E_n^{n+1} du_{n+1} \cdots du_1 \]

so that for \( s \in [a, b]^n \) and \( \text{Re} \lambda \geq 0, \) \( |\psi_n(\lambda, s)| \leq |\lambda|^{(n+1)/2}b^{n+1} \). Hence letting

\[ \psi^s_n(\lambda) = \int_a^b \cdots \int_a^b \psi_n(\lambda, s) ds_1 \cdots ds_n \]

we have

\[ (5.3) \quad |\psi^s_n(\lambda)| \leq |\lambda|^{(n+1)/2}b^{n+1}(b - a)^n \]

for \( \text{Re} \lambda \geq 0 \) and \( n = 1, 2, \cdots \). Clearly \( \psi^s_n(\lambda) \) is analytic for \( \text{Re} \lambda > 0 \) and continuous for \( \text{Re} \lambda \geq 0 \) for \( n = 1, 2, \cdots \). Similarly we apply Corollary to Theorem 4 to \( F^*_0 \) and obtain \( \psi^s_n(\lambda) \) with the same properties.

Next we see that as the series \( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n F^*_n(x) \) converges uniformly in \( x \) over \( C[a, b] \) we have for each real \( \lambda > 0 \)

\[ (5.4) \quad \int_{C[a, b]} F^*(\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}x) dx = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \int_{C[a, b]} F^*_n(\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}}x) dx = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \psi^s_n(x) . \]

But by (5.2) and (5.3) the last member of (5.4) converges uniformly in \( \lambda \) for any closed subset of \( \text{Re} \lambda \geq 0 \) and hence the first member of (5.4) has an analytic extension throughout \( \text{Re} \lambda > 0 \). New let \( f^*_1 \) denote the M Ilstow integral of \( F^* \) for \( M = 1 \). Then

\[ N^*_1(1, F^*) = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\rho s} |df^*_1(s)| \]

for each \( \lambda > 0 \) and for almost all \( \rho > 0 \)

\[ \int_{C[a, b]} F^*(\rho^{-\frac{1}{2}}x) dx = \rho^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\rho s} df^*_1(s) . \]

Hence \( \rho^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\rho s} df^*_1(s) \) has an analytic extension in the half plane \( \text{Re} \rho > 0 \), continuous for \( \text{Re} \rho \geq 0 \), and so the limiting \( M \) Feynman integral of \( F^* \) exists for \( M = 1 \) which concludes proof of theorem.

Next we will show that for appropriate functions \( \theta \) and \( \sigma \), the analytic Wiener and limiting \( M \) Feynman integrals of the functional

\[ (5.5) \quad F(t, \xi, x) = \exp \left[ \int_0^t \theta[t - s, x(s) + \xi] ds \right] \sigma[x(t) + \xi] \]

satisfy a certain integral equation.
Theorem 5.2. Assume $\theta(t, \xi)$ is bounded and continuous on $[0, t_0] \otimes (-\infty, \infty)$ and satisfies for all $t \in [0, t_0]$

\[ (5.6) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\theta(t, \xi)| d\xi \leq B. \]

Assume $\sigma(\xi)$ is bounded and continuous on $(-\infty, \infty)$ and satisfies

\[ (5.7) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\sigma(\xi)| d\xi \leq B. \]

Then the analytic Wiener integral

\[ (5.8) \quad G(t, \xi, \lambda) = \int_{c[0, t]} F(t, \xi, x) dx \]

exists for $(t, \xi, \lambda) \in H = (0, t_0) \otimes (-\infty, \infty) \otimes \{\Re \lambda \geq 0\}$ and satisfies in $H$ the integral equation

\[ (5.9) \quad G(t, \xi, \lambda) = \left( \frac{\lambda}{\nu^2} \right)^{1/2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \sigma(u) \exp \left( -\frac{\lambda(\xi - u)^2}{2t} \right) du \]

\[ + \left( \frac{\lambda}{\nu^2} \right)^{1/2} \int_{0}^{t} (t - s)^{-1/2} ds \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \theta(s, u) G(s, u, \lambda) \exp \left( -\frac{\lambda(\xi - u)^2}{2(t - s)} \right) ds \]

with boundary condition

\[ (5.10) \quad \lim_{t \to 0^+} G(t, \xi, \lambda) = \sigma(\xi) \]

holding for all $(\xi, \lambda) \in (-\infty, \infty) \otimes \{\Re \lambda > 0\}$.

Proof. The existence of $G(t, \xi, \lambda)$ for all points of $H$ follows from Theorem 8.2 of [2]. Moreover by (0.11) of [2] and the continuity of the functions involved we have

\[ (5.11) \quad G(t, \xi, \lambda) = \int_{c[0, t]} \exp \left[ \int_{0}^{t} \theta[t - s, \lambda^{1/2} \xi(s) + \xi] ds \right] \sigma[\lambda^{1/2} \xi(t) + \xi] dx \]

for $\lambda > 0$ and $(t, \xi) \in H_1 = (0, t_0) \otimes (-\infty, \infty)$.

Next we note that by use of Theorem 7 on page 66 of [3] (which can be proved for $n = 1$ under weakened conditions on $\sigma^\xi$ and $\theta^t$) we have that if $\sigma^\xi(\xi^t)$ and $\theta^t(t, \xi)$ are bounded and continuous for $(t, \xi) \in H_2 = [0, t_0] \otimes (-\infty, \infty)$ then

\[ (5.12) \quad G^\xi(t, \xi^t) = \int_{c[0, t]} \exp \left[ \int_{0}^{t} \theta^\xi[t - s, x(s) + \xi^s] ds \right] \sigma^\xi[x(t) + \xi^t] dx \]

exists on $H_2$ and on $H_1$ satisfies
\[ G^*(t, \xi^*) = (2\pi t)^{-1/2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \sigma^*(u) \exp \left( -\frac{(\xi^* - u)^2}{2t} \right) du \]

(5.13)
\[ + (2\pi)^{-1/2} \int_0^t (t-s)^{-1/2} ds \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \theta^*(s, u) G^*(s, u) \exp \left( -\frac{(\xi^* - u)^2}{2(t-s)} \right) du \]

with boundary condition
\[ \lim_{t \to 0^+} G^*(t, \xi^*) = \sigma^*(\xi^*) . \]

(5.14)
Thus, if for \( \lambda > 0 \) we define \( \theta^*(t, u) = \theta(t, \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}} u) \), \( \sigma^*(u) = \sigma(\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}} u) \), and \( \xi^* = \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}} \xi \) then \( \theta^* \) and \( \sigma^* \) are bounded and continuous and so \( G^*(t, \xi^*) \) as defined by (5.12) exists on \( H_2 \) and on \( H_1 \) satisfies (5.13) and (5.14). But for \( \lambda > 0 \), \( \theta^*[t-s, x(s) + \xi^*] = \theta[t-s, \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}} x(s) + \xi] \), \( \sigma^*[x(s) + \xi^*] = \sigma[\lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}} x(t) + \xi] \), and \( G(t, \xi, \lambda) = G^*(t, \lambda^\frac{1}{2} \xi) \). Hence substituting into (5.13) we see that for \( \lambda > 0 \) and \( (t, \xi) \in H_1 \), \( G(t, \xi, \lambda) \) satisfies (5.9). Then by use of Corollary to Theorem 8.2 of [2] \( G(t, \xi, \lambda) \) is bounded in any closed bounded subset of \( \text{Re} \lambda \geq 0 \) for all \( (t, \xi) \in H_1 \), and so by use of (5.6) and (5.7) we see that both sides of (5.9) are analytic functions of \( \lambda \) for \( \text{Re} \lambda > 0 \), continuous for \( \text{Re} \lambda \geq 0 \) and as (5.9) holds for all \( \lambda > 0 \) it must hold throughout \( H \).

Boundary condition (5.10) is verified by noting that
\[ \lim_{t \to 0^+} \left( \frac{\lambda}{2\pi t} \right)^{1/2} \int_0^t (t-s)^{-1/2} ds \times \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \theta(s, u) G(s, u, \lambda) \exp \left( -\frac{\lambda(\xi - u)^2}{2(t-s)} \right) du = 0 \]

(5.15)

and
\[
\left| \left( \frac{\lambda}{2\pi t} \right)^{1/2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \sigma(u) \exp \left( -\frac{\lambda(\xi - u)^2}{2t} \right) du - \sigma(\xi) \right|
\]
\[ = \left| \left( \frac{\lambda}{2\pi t} \right)^{1/2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} [\sigma(u + \xi) - \sigma(\xi)] \exp \left( -\frac{\lambda u^2}{2t} \right) du \right|
\]
\[ \to 0 \text{ as } t \to 0^+ . \]

THEOREM 5.3. Assume \( \theta(t, \xi) \) satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 5.1 where \( [a, b] = [0, t_0] \). Assume \( \sigma(\xi) \) satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 5.2. Then for \( M = 1, 2, \ldots \), the limiting \( M \) Feynman integral
\[ \hat{G}(t, \xi, q) = \int_{c[t_0, t]} F(t, \xi, x) dx \]

(5.16) where \( F(t, \xi, x) \) is defined by (5.5), exists for
\[ (t, \xi, q) \in \hat{H} = (0, t_0) \otimes R_1 \otimes (R_1 - \{0\}) \]
and satisfies in $\hat{H}$ the integral equation (1.2). Furthermore if we assume $\text{Var}_{(-\infty, \infty)} \sigma(\xi) \leq B$ then
\begin{equation}
\lim_{\xi \to 0^+} \hat{G}(t, \xi, q) = \sigma(\xi) .
\end{equation}

Proof. The existence of (5.16) for $M = 1, 2, \ldots$, and all $(t, \xi, q) \in \hat{H}$ follows from Theorem 5.1. But by use of Theorem 2.2 we see that $\hat{G}(t, \xi, q) = G(t, \xi, -q\xi)$ where $G$ is defined by (5.8). Then substituting into (5.9) establishes (1.2).

To establish (5.17) first note that
\[
\lim_{\xi \to 0^+} \left( \frac{-iq}{2\pi} \right)^{1/2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \theta(s, u) \hat{G}(s, u, q) \exp \left( \frac{qi(\xi - u)^2}{2(t - s)} \right) du = 0
\]
for all $\xi, q \in \mathbb{R}_1, q \neq 0$. Also by contour integration and integration by parts one obtains
\[
\left( \frac{-iq}{2\pi t} \right)^{1/2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \sigma(u) \exp \left( \frac{qi(\xi - u)^2}{2t} \right) du
\]
\[
= \frac{\sigma(\xi)}{2} + \left( \frac{1}{2\pi} \right)^{1/2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp \left( -\frac{z^2}{2} \right) dz \sigma(u)
\]
\[
\to \frac{\sigma(\xi)}{2} \quad \text{as } t \to 0^+ \text{ by dominated convergence.}
\]
Similarly
\[
\left( \frac{-iq}{2\pi t} \right)^{1/2} \int_{-\infty}^{\xi} \sigma(u) \exp \left( \frac{qi(\xi - u)^2}{2t} \right) du \to \frac{\sigma(\xi)}{2} \quad \text{as } t \to 0^+ .
\]

Remark. In view of the remark at the end of § 4 we see that the conclusions of Theorem 5.1 will hold for $M = 0$ under the additional assumption that $g'$ is of bounded variation on every bounded interval and satisfies
\[
\int_{-V}^{V} |dg'(w)| \leq B[1 + |V|^4] .
\]
Also the conclusion of Theorem 5.3 will hold for $M = 0$ under the additional assumption that $\sigma'$ is of bounded variation on every bounded interval and satisfies
\[
\int_{-V}^{V} |d\sigma'(w)| \leq B[1 + |V|^4] .
\]
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