

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

***p*-AUTOMORPHIC *p*-GROUPS AND HOMOGENEOUS
ALGEBRAS**

LARRY LEE DORNHOFF

p -AUTOMORPHIC p -GROUPS AND HOMOGENEOUS ALGEBRAS

LARRY DORNHOFF

A p -group was called p -automorphic by Boen, if its automorphism group is transitive on elements of order p . Boen conjectured that if p is odd, then such a p -group is abelian. Let P be a nonabelian p -automorphic p -group, p odd, generated by n elements. Boen proved that $n > 3$, and in joint work with Rothaus and Thompson proved that $n > 5$. Kostrikin then showed that $n > p + 6$, as a corollary of results on homogeneous algebras. In this paper it is shown that $n > 2p + 3$, using Kostrikin's methods, and his proof is somewhat simplified by eliminating special case considerations for small values of p .

The above results and the following terminology may be found in [1], [2], and [4]. Let A be a finite-dimensional algebra over the field K , where if $x, y \in A$ and $\lambda \in K$, we assume bilinearity and the law $(\lambda x) \circ y = \lambda(x \circ y) = x \circ (\lambda y)$, but associativity is not assumed. Following [4], A is said to be *homogeneous* if the automorphism group Γ of A is transitive on $A^* = A - \{0\}$, *anticommutative* if $x \circ y + y \circ x = 0$, and *nil* if all endomorphisms $K_a: x \rightarrow x \circ a$ are nilpotent.

For a fixed odd prime p , suppose that P is a nonabelian p -automorphic p -group with minimal number n of generators. It is shown in [1] that P has a p -automorphic quotient group \bar{P} with the same number of generators, where the Frattini subgroup $\Phi(\bar{P})$ is central and is the direct product of n cyclic groups of equal order p^m . If we consider $A = \bar{P}/\Phi(\bar{P})$ as a vector space over $GF(p)$, we define a multiplication in A as follows: for $x = a\Phi(\bar{P}), y = b\Phi(\bar{P})$ in A , a coset $z = c\Phi(\bar{P})$ is uniquely determined, such that $[a, b] = c^{p^m}$. Define $x \circ y = z$. Then it is clear that A becomes an anticommutative homogeneous algebra, and Theorem 1 of [2] asserts that A is nil.

It is proved in [4] that if A is a finite-dimensional homogeneous algebra with nontrivial multiplication over a field K of characteristic not 2, then A is an anticommutative nil algebra and K is a finite field of q elements, where $q < \dim A - 6$. In this paper we shall prove:

THEOREM. *Let A be a homogeneous anticommutative nil algebra with nontrivial multiplication of dimension n over the field K of q elements, q odd. Then $n > 2q + 3$.*

This result immediately implies the corresponding result for p -

automorphic p -groups.

2. In proving the theorem, we use the following notation. A is a homogeneous anticommutative nil algebra of dimension n over the field K of q elements, q odd, and Γ its automorphism group. We choose integers m and r such that

$$\dim AR_x = m, R_x^r = 0, R_x^{r-1} \neq 0, \text{ all } x \neq 0 \text{ in } A .$$

Of course $r \leq m + 1$. Since Γ is transitive on $A - \{0\}$, $q^n - 1$ divides the order of Γ . Let s be a prime dividing $q^n - 1$, but not dividing $q^t - 1$ for any $t < n$; the existence of s is proved in [3]. (We may assume $n > 2$; for the case $n = 2$, the theorem follows from the relation $r > q$, soon to be proved.) Let $\sigma \in \Gamma$ have order s ; then σ is irreducible on the vector space A . Fix a nonzero element $e \in A$. Then A is spanned by $e, e\sigma, \dots, e\sigma^{n-1}$; let

$$e\sigma^n = \sum_{j=1}^n a_j e\sigma^{n-j}, a_j \in K ,$$

where σ satisfies the irreducible polynomial $P(X) = X^n - \sum_{j=1}^n a_j X^{n-j}$.

Consider the vectors $e\sigma^i \circ e, 0 \leq i \leq n - 1$. We see that

$$\begin{aligned} (e\sigma^i \circ e)\sigma^{n-i} &= e\sigma^n \circ e\sigma^{n-i} = \left(\sum_{j=1}^n a_j e\sigma^{n-j} \right) \circ e\sigma^{n-i} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n a_j (e\sigma^{n-j} \circ e\sigma^{n-i}) = \sum_{j \leq i} a_j (e\sigma^{i-j} \circ e)\sigma^{n-i} \\ &\quad - \sum_{j > i} a_j (e\sigma^{j-i} \circ e)\sigma^{n-j} = \sum_{0 \leq k < i} a_{i-k} (e\sigma^k \circ e)\sigma^{n-i} \\ &\quad - \sum_{k=1}^{n-i} a_{i+k} (e\sigma^k \circ e)\sigma^{n-i-k} . \end{aligned}$$

Transferring all terms to the right-hand side, we have a relation

$$AR_e B = 0 ,$$

where $B = (b_{ij})_{0 \leq i, j \leq n-1}$, as a matrix over $\bar{K} = K(\sigma)$, say, with row index j and column index i , is given as follows: Define $a_0 = -1, a_k = 0$ if $k < 0$ or $k > n$. Then

$$b_{ij} = a_{i-j}\sigma^{n-i} - a_{i+j}\sigma^{n-i-j} .$$

We look at this matrix B quite closely. If n is even, let B_1 be the lower right-hand $(n/2) \times (n/2)$ minor. B_1 is a triangular matrix with

$$\text{Det } B_1 = (-1)^{n/2} \sigma^{1+2+\dots+(n-2)/2} (\sigma^{n/2} + a_n) \neq 0 ,$$

so $\text{rank } B \geq n/2$. If n is odd, let B_1 be the lower right-hand

$(n + 1)/2 \times (n + 1)/2$ minor. B_1 is no longer triangular, but we easily compute

$$\text{Det } B_1 = (-1)^{(n-3)/2} \sigma^{1+2+\dots+((n-3)/2)} (\sigma^n + a_{n-1} \sigma^{(n+1)/2} + a_1 a_n \sigma^{(n-1)/2} - \alpha_n^2) .$$

If this is 0 and $n > 3$, we see that $P(X)$ reduces to $P(X) = X^n - 1$, so $\sigma^{2n} = 1$, a contradiction to the fact $s \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ (see [3]). If $n = 3$, then $P(X) = X^3 - \alpha X^2 + \alpha X - 1$ and $P(X)$ is reducible. Hence $\text{rank } B \geq (n + 1)/2$. We conclude that in any case

$$\text{rank } R_e = \dim AR_e = m \leq \frac{n}{2} .$$

The next step in the proof is to show that $r > q + 1$; this is done in [4], but we repeat it here, as the final case simplifies.

First suppose $r \leq q$. Then we can linearize the identity

$$(R_x + \alpha R_z)^r = R_{x+\alpha z}^r = 0 ,$$

all $\alpha \in K$, obtaining

$$\sum_{i=0}^{r-1} R_x^i R_z R_x^{r-1-i} = 0 .$$

Applying to $y \in A$ and using anticommutativity,

$$y \cdot \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} R_x^i R_z R_x^{r-1-i} = - \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} z R_{yR_x} i R_x^{r-1-i} = 0 ,$$

and hence

$$\sum_{i=0}^{r-1} R_{yR_x} i R_x^{r-1-i} = 0 .$$

The equation $e = a \circ e$ is not possible, since otherwise $eR_a^k = (-1)^k e \neq 0$, and R_a is not nilpotent. Hence $a \notin AR_e$. We choose a basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_{r_1}; e_{r_1+1}, \dots, e_{r_1+r_2}; \dots e_n\}$, $e = e_n$, such that the nilpotent transformation R_e is in Jordan canonical form. Thus we have

$$r = r_1 \geq r_2 \geq \dots; e_i R_{e_n} = e_{i+1} \text{ if}$$

$$r_1 + \dots + r_{k-1} + 1 \leq i < r_1 + \dots + r_k, \text{ some } k; e_{r_1+\dots+r_k} R_{e_n} = 0 .$$

Setting $y = e_1, x = e_n$ in the last identity, we have

$$R_{e_r} + \left(\sum_{i=0}^{r-2} R_{e_{i+1}} R_{e_n}^{r-2-i} \right) R_{e_n} = 0 .$$

Hence $AR_{e_r} \subseteq AR_{e_n}$; but $\dim AR_{e_r} = \dim AR_{e_n}$, so $AR_{e_r} = AR_{e_n}$. Thus $e_r = e_1 R_{e_n}^{r-1} \in AR_{e_n} = AR_{e_r}$, a contradiction. We conclude $r > q$.

Now suppose $r = q + 1$. The identity $R_x^r = 0$ cannot be linearized, but the linearization process does enable us to prove

$$R_y R_x^{q-1} R_z + R_z R_x^{q-1} R_y + f(R_x, R_y, R_z) R_x + R_x g(R_x, R_y, R_z) = 0 ,$$

where f and g are homogeneous polynomials, linear in R_y and R_z . (Expand $(R_x + \alpha R_y + \beta R_z)^{q+1} = 0$, use $\alpha = \alpha^q, \beta = \beta^q$ to combine two terms, and then use van der Monde determinants as in the usual linearization to show all terms are 0. The coefficient of $\alpha\beta$ is the left side of the desired equation.) Applying this to x and using anti-commutativity,

$$0 = z R_y R_x^q - z R_x^q R_y - z \bar{f}(R_x, R_y) R_x, \text{ some } \bar{f},$$

showing that

$$R_y R_x^q - R_x^q R_y - \bar{f}(R_x, R_y) R_x = 0 .$$

We choose a canonical basis for R_{e_n} as before and set $x = e_n, y = e_1$ in the last identity, obtaining

$$R_{e_r} = R_{e_n}^q R_{e_1} + f(R_{e_n}, R_{e_1}) R_{e_n} .$$

For $i \notin \{1, r_1 + 1, r_1 + r_2 + 1, \dots\}$, we see

$$e_i R_{e_r} = e_i \bar{f}(R_{e_n}, R_{e_1}) R_{e_n} \in AR_{e_n} .$$

Also,

$$e_1 R_{e_r} = e_r R_{e_1} + e_1 \bar{f}(R_{e_n}, R_{e_1}) R_{e_n} ,$$

so since the characteristic is odd, $e_1 R_{e_r} \in AR_{e_n}$. If $r_2 < r_1$, then $e_i R_{e_n}^q = 0$ for $i \geq r$, and we conclude that $AR_{e_r} = AR_{e_n}$, which we know to be impossible. Hence $r_2 = r_1 = r$. Then $n \geq 2r + 1 = 2q + 3$. If we have equality, then the canonical form shows $m = \dim AR_{e_n} = 2r - 2 = 2q > (n/2)$, a contradiction. Hence $n > 2q + 3$, and we are done in this case.

Thus we now may assume $r \geq q + 2, r \leq m + 1, m \leq n/2$. If n is even, we have $q + 2 \leq r \leq m + 1 \leq (n/2) + 1$, or $n \geq 2q + 2$, so we may assume $n = 2q + 2$; then equality holds everywhere, and $r = q + 2, m = q + 1$. If n is odd, we have

$$q + 2 \leq r \leq m + 1 \leq \frac{n - 1}{2} + 1, \text{ or } n \geq 2q + 3 ,$$

so we may assume $n = 2q + 3$; then equality holds everywhere, and $r = q + 2, m = q + 1$. In either case, we note $n \leq 2m + 1$.

Since q is odd and $q^n - 1$ divides the order of Γ , we can choose an element $\tau \in \Gamma$ of order 2. Define

$$B = \{a \in A \mid \tau(a) = a\}, C = \{a \in A \mid \tau(a) = -a\} .$$

Then A is a direct sum $A = B \oplus C$ of its subspaces B and C . Certainly

$C \neq 0$. If $B = 0$, choose $C_1, C_2 \in C$ with $c_1 \circ c_2 \neq 0$. Then $c_1 \circ c_2 = (-c_1) \circ (-c_2) = \tau(c_1) \circ \tau(c_2) = \tau(c_1 \circ c_2) = -c_1 \circ c_2$, a contradiction. Define $\dim B = k > 0, \dim C = n - k$. It is clear that $B \circ B \subseteq B, C \circ C \subseteq B, B \circ C \subseteq C$. Hence if $b \in B$, then $BR_b \subseteq B, CR_b \subseteq C$; of course the nilpotency index r of R_b is the maximum of its nilpotency indexes on the subspaces B and C .

Suppose first $B \circ C = 0$. Then for any $b \in B^\#, AR_b = BR_b$ has dimension $m; b \notin BR_b$, so $\dim B \geq m + 1$, proving $\dim C \leq m$. For any $c \in C^\#, c \circ c = 0$, so since $AR_c = CR_c$, we have

$$\dim AR_c = \dim CR_c < \dim C \leq m ,$$

a contradiction.

We have thus proved $B \circ C \neq 0$. Pick $b \in B$ with $CR_b \neq 0; CR_b \subseteq C$, so $AR_b = BR_b \oplus CR_b$, and $\dim BR_b \leq m - 1$. We look at the canonical form of R_b on B and on C , and use the fact

$$r = m + 1; \dim BR_b \leq m - 1$$

implies $(R_b|B)^m = 0$, so $(R_b|C)^m \neq 0$, and $\dim CR_b \geq m$. Hence $\dim CR_b = m, \dim C \geq m + 1, \dim B \leq m$. This means that for any $b' \in B^\#, \dim BR'_b < m$, so $CR'_b \neq 0$; the same argument then applies for b' as for b . We conclude that $B \circ B = 0$.

Let c be any element of $C^\#$. Since $R_c^m \neq 0$ and $\dim AR_c = m$, we have $\dim AR_c^2 = m - 1$. Since $BR_c \subseteq C$ and $CR_c \subseteq B$, we have

$$\dim AR_c = m = \dim BR_c + \dim CR_c .$$

Also,

$$AR_c^2 = (BR_c + CR_c)R_c \subseteq CR_c + BR_c .$$

Let $\beta_i = \dim BR_c^i, \gamma_i = \dim CR_c^i, i = 1, 2$. We see that $\beta_1 + \gamma_1 = m, \beta_2 + \gamma_2 = m - 1, \beta_2 \leq \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \leq \beta_1$, and of course $\beta_2 \leq \beta_1, \gamma_2 \leq \gamma_1$. Since $m = q + 1$ is even, let $m = 2l$; the only solutions for the β_i and γ_i have $\beta_1 = \gamma_1 = l$. So $\dim BR_c = l$, for any $c \in C^\#$.

We now consider separately the cases $n = 2q + 2$ and $n = 2q + 3$. Let S denote the set of all ordered pairs $\langle b, c \rangle, b \in B, c \in C$, with $b \circ c = 0$. In each case we compute the order $|S|$ in two different ways to obtain a contradiction.

When $n = 2q + 2 = 2m = 4l$, we know that for any

$$b \in B^\#, \dim CR_b = m ,$$

so

$$\dim \{c \in C \mid b \circ c = 0\} = (n - k) - m = m - k ,$$

and for any

$c \in C^\sharp$, $\dim BR_c = l$, so $\dim \{b \in B \mid b \circ c = 0\} = k - l$.

Hence

$$|S| = (q^k - 1)q^{m-k} + q^{n-k}$$

and

$$|S| = (q^{n-k} - 1)q^{k-l} + q^k.$$

Therefore

$$q^{n-k} + q^m - q^{m-k} = q^{n-l} + q^k - q^{k-l}.$$

We know $\dim C = n - k \geq m + 1$, so $k < m$. Equating highest terms, the equation must imply $k = l$. But now the left side is divisible by q and the right is not, a contradiction.

When $n = 2q + 3 = 2m + 1 = 4l + 1$, then for any

$$b \in B^\sharp, \dim \{c \in C \mid b \circ c = 0\} = (n - k) - m = m - k + 1,$$

and for any

$$c \in C^\sharp, \dim \{b \in B \mid b \circ c = 0\} = k - l.$$

Hence

$$|S| = (q^k - 1)q^{m-k+1} + q^{n-k}$$

and

$$|S| = (q^{n-k} - 1)q^{k-l} + q^k,$$

showing that

$$q^{m+1} - q^{m+1-k} + q^{n-k} = q^{n-l} - q^{k-l} + q^k.$$

The largest terms on the two sides are necessarily equal, so $n - k = n - l$, $k = l$. But then the left side is divisible by q and the right is not, the final contradiction.

REMARK. Following [5], one can also consider *semi- p -automorphic* p -groups, in which the automorphism group is transitive on subgroups of order p , and the corresponding notion of *spa-algebras*, in which the automorphism group is transitive on one-dimensional subspaces. The arguments above then show $n > 2p + 1$. To prove $n > 2p + 3$, we require the involution τ in the automorphism group Γ ; τ does exist, since otherwise Γ would be of odd order and hence solvable, and the case of a solvable Γ is treated in [5].

Added in proof. Ernest Schult has announced a complete solution of Boen's problem in Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 74 (1968), 268-270.

REFERENCES

1. J. R. Boen, *On p -automorphic p -groups*, Pacific J. Math. **12** (1962), 813-815.
2. J. R. Boen, O. Rothaus, and J. Thompson, *Further results on p -automorphic p -groups*, Pacific J. Math. **12** (1962), 817-821.
3. L. E. Dickson, *On the cyclotomic function*, Amer. Math. Monthly **12** (1905), 86-89.
4. A. I. Kostrikin, *On homogeneous algebras*, Izvestia Acad. Nauk SSSR **29** (1965), 471-483.
5. E. Schult, *On semi- p -automorphic groups I* (to appear)

Received August 28, 1967. This research partially supported by Army Contract SAR/Da-31-124-ARO-D-336.

YALE UNIVERSITY

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

H. ROYDEN
Stanford University
Stanford, California

J. DUGUNDJI
Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, California 90007

R. R. PHELPS
University of Washington
Seattle, Washington 98105

RICHARD ARENS
University of California
Los Angeles, California 90024

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
* * *
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
CHEVRON RESEARCH CORPORATION
TRW SYSTEMS
NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, double spaced with large margins. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. It should not contain references to the bibliography. Manuscripts, in duplicate if possible, may be sent to any one of the four editors. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, Richard Arens, University of California, Los Angeles, California 90024.

Each author of each article receives 50 reprints free of charge; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is published monthly. Effective with Volume 16 the price per volume (3 numbers) is \$8.00; single issues, \$3.00. Special price for current issues to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: \$4.00 per volume; single issues \$1.50. Back numbers are available.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley 8, California.

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), 7-17, Fujimi 2-chome, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners of publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 26, No. 3

BadMonth, 1968

Leonard Asimow, <i>Universally well-capped cones</i>	421
Lawrence Peter Belluce, William A. Kirk and Eugene Francis Steiner, <i>Normal structure in Banach spaces</i>	433
William Jay Davis, <i>Bases in Hilbert space</i>	441
Larry Lee Dornhoff, <i>p-automorphic p-groups and homogeneous algebras</i>	447
William Grady Dotson, Jr. and W. R. Mann, <i>A generalized corollary of the Browder-Kirk fixed point theorem</i>	455
John Brady Garnett, <i>On a theorem of Mergelyan</i>	461
Matthew Gould, <i>Multiplicity type and subalgebra structure in universal algebras</i>	469
Marvin D. Green, <i>A locally convex topology on a preordered space</i>	487
Pierre A. Grillet and Mario Petrich, <i>Ideal extensions of semigroups</i>	493
Kyong Taik Hahn, <i>A remark on integral functions of several complex variables</i>	509
Choo Whan Kim, <i>Uniform approximation of doubly stochastic operators</i>	515
Charles Alan McCarthy and L. Tzafriri, <i>Projections in \mathcal{L}_1 and \mathcal{L}_∞-spaces</i>	529
Alfred Berry Manaster, <i>Full co-ordinals of RETs</i>	547
Donald Steven Passman, <i>p-solvable doubly transitive permutation groups</i>	555
Neal Jules Rothman, <i>An L^1 algebra for linearly quasi-ordered compact semigroups</i>	579
James DeWitt Stein, <i>Homomorphisms of semi-simple algebras</i>	589
Jacques Tits and Lucien Waelbroeck, <i>The integration of a Lie algebra representation</i>	595
David Vere-Jones, <i>Ergodic properties of nonnegative matrices. II</i>	601
Donald Rayl Wilken, <i>The support of representing measures for $R(X)$</i>	621
Abraham Zaks, <i>Simple modules and hereditary rings</i>	627