

# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**UNCOUNTABLY MANY ALMOST POLYHEDRAL WILD  
 $(k - 2)$ -CELLS IN  $E^k$  FOR  $k \geq 4$**

LESLIE C. GLASER

# UNCOUNTABLY MANY ALMOST POLYHEDRAL WILD $(k - 2)$ -CELLS IN $E^k$ FOR $k \geq 4$

LESLIE C. GLASER

In [1] infinitely many almost polyhedral wild arcs were constructed in  $E^3$  so as to have an end point as the "bad" point. In [5] uncountably many almost polyhedral wild arcs were constructed in  $E^3$  with an interior point as the "bad" point. In [4] Doyle and Hocking constructed an almost polyhedral wild disk in  $E^4$  with the property that the proof of the nontameness is perhaps the most elementary possible. They state that essentially the same construction yields a wild  $(n - 2)$ -disk in  $E^n$  for  $n \geq 4$ . Here, making use of the construction given in [4], we prove that for each  $k \geq 4$ , there exist uncountably many almost polyhedral wild  $(k - 2)$ -cells in  $E^k$ . To obtain the above result we also prove that for each  $k \geq 3$ , there exist countably many polyhedral locally flat  $(k - 2)$ -spheres in  $E^k$  so that the fundamental groups of the complements of these spheres are all distinct and given any two of these groups, one is not the surjective image of the other.

A set  $S$  in  $E^k$  is polyhedral if it can be covered by a finite rectilinear subcomplex of  $E^k$ . A  $(k - 2)$ -cell  $D$  in  $E^k$  is almost polyhedral if for some point  $q \in D$ ,  $D - \{q\}$  can be covered by an infinite locally finite rectilinear subcomplex of  $E^k - \{q\}$ . The  $(k - 2)$ -cells constructed here all have  $q \in \text{Bd } D$ .  $D$  is wild if there does not exist a homeomorphism  $h$  of  $E^k$  onto itself such that  $h(D)$  is a finite rectilinear subcomplex of  $E^k$ . An  $n$ -manifold  $M^n \subset E^k$  is locally flat if each  $p \in \text{int } M$  ( $p \in \text{Bd } M$ ) has a neighborhood  $U$  in  $E^k$  such that the pair  $(U, U \cap M)$  is homeomorphic as pairs to  $(E^k, E^n)$  (to  $(E^k, E_+^n)$ ).

**THEOREM 1.** *There exist countably many polyhedral simple closed curves  $\{J_n\}$  ( $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ ) in  $E^3$  so that if  $G_n \cong \pi_1(E^3 - J_n)$ , then for all positive integers  $n$  and  $m$  ( $n \neq m$ ),  $G_n \not\cong Z$  and  $G_n \not\cong G_m$ . Furthermore, if  $m > n$ , then there is no surjection of  $G_m$  onto  $G_n$ .*

*Proof.* Expressing points of  $E^3$  in terms of cylindrical coordinates  $(\theta, r, z)$ , let  $T$  be the "unknotted" torus  $(r - 2)^2 + z^2 = 1$ . Let  $K_{p,q}$  denote the torus knot of type  $p, q$ , where  $p$  and  $q$  are relatively prime nonnegative integers and  $K_{p,q}$  is a curve on the surface  $T$  that cuts a meridian in  $p$  points and a longitude in  $q$  points. More precisely,  $K_{p,q}$  is defined by the equations  $r = 2 + \cos(q\theta/p)$  and  $z = \sin(q\theta/p)$ .

A presentation for  $\pi_1(E^3 - K_{p,q})$  is  $P_{p,q} = \{x, y \mid x^p = y^q\}$  [3].

Suppose  $q$  is an odd integer  $> 1$ ,  $p$  is a prime  $> q$ , and  $G_{p,q}$  denotes a group having presentation  $P_{p,q}$ . Then  $G_{p,q}$  has a nontrivial representation in the symmetric group  $S_p$  by sending  $x \rightarrow (1, 2, 3, \dots, p)$  and  $y \rightarrow (1, 2, 3, \dots, q)$ . Let  $\hat{S}_p$  denote the subgroup of  $S_p$  generated by  $(1, 2, 3, \dots, p)$  and  $(1, 2, 3, \dots, q)$ . Then we have a surjection  $\varphi_{p,q}: G_{p,q} \rightarrow \hat{S}_p$ .

Since

$$\begin{aligned} &(1, 2, 3, \dots, q)(1, 2, 3, \dots, q, \dots, p) \\ &= (1, 3, \dots, q - 2, q, 2, 4, \dots, q - 1, q + 1, q + 2, \dots, p) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &(1, 2, 3, \dots, q, \dots, p)(1, 2, 3, \dots, q) \\ &= (1, 3, \dots, q - 2, q, q + 1, q + 2, \dots, p, 2, 4, \dots, q - 3, q - 1), \end{aligned}$$

$\hat{S}_p$  is not commutative and hence  $G_{p,q} \not\cong Z$ .

Let  $\{(p_n, q_n)\}$  ( $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ ) be a sequence of pairs of positive odd integers, where

$$\begin{aligned} q_1 = 3 < p_1 < q_2 = p_1! + 1 < p_2 < \dots < p_{n-1} < q_n \\ &= p_{n-1}! + 1 < p_n < \dots \end{aligned}$$

and the  $p_n$ 's are all distinct primes. Let  $\{J_n\}$  ( $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ ) be a sequence of polyhedral simple closed curves in  $E^3$ , so that for each  $n$ , we have a homeomorphism  $h_n$  of  $E^3$  onto itself carrying  $J_n$  onto  $K_{p_n, q_n}$ . Then  $\pi_1(E^3 - J_n) \cong G_n \cong G_{p_n, q_n} \not\cong Z$ . Suppose for some  $m > n$  there is a surjection  $\psi$  carrying  $G_m$  onto  $G_n$ . Since  $G_m \cong G_{p_m, q_m}$  and  $G_n \cong G_{p_n, q_n}$  we can suppose we have a surjection, which we also denote by  $\psi$ , carrying  $G_{p_m, q_m}$  onto  $G_{p_n, q_n}$ . Then  $\rho = \varphi \circ \psi$  is a surjection carrying  $G_{p_m, q_m}$  onto  $\hat{S}_{p_n}$ . Since  $x$  and  $y$  generate  $G_{p_m, q_m}$ ,  $u = \rho(x)$  and  $v = \rho(y)$  generate  $\hat{S}_{p_n}$ . But in considering the relation defining  $G_{p_m, q_m}$  we get that  $u^{p_m} = v^{q_m}$ . Since the order of  $S_{p_n}$  is  $p_n!$  and since  $q_m = p_{m-1}! + 1$  and  $p_{m-1} \geq p_n$ , it follows that  $v^{q_m} = v$  and hence  $u^{p_m} = v$ . This gives the contradiction that the noncommutative group  $\hat{S}_{p_n}$  is generated by two commuting elements  $u$  and  $y$ . Therefore, for all  $m > n$  there is no surjection of  $G_m$  onto  $G_n$  and hence  $G_m \not\cong G_n$ .

**THEOREM 2.** *For each  $k \geq 3$ , there exist countably many polyhedral locally flat  $(k - 2)$ -spheres  $\{S_n^{k-2}\}$  ( $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ ) in  $E^k$  so that if  $G_n \cong \pi_1(E^k - S_n^{k-2})$ , then for all positive integers  $n$  and  $m$  ( $n \neq m$ ),  $G_n \not\cong Z$  and  $G_n \not\cong G_m$ . Furthermore, if  $m > n$ , then there is no surjection of  $G_m$  onto  $G_n$ .*

*Proof.* We could easily obtain the desired result if we omit the local flatness from the conclusion by taking repeated suspensions of the sequence  $\{J_n\}$  of Theorem 1. This follows since the fundamental group of the complement of a  $(k - 2)$ -sphere  $S^{k-2}$  in  $E^k$  is isomorphic to the fundamental group of the complement of the suspension of  $S^{k-2}$  in  $E^{k+1}$ .

The proof will be by induction on  $k$ . For  $k = 3$  the result follows by taking the sequence of polyhedral locally flat 1-spheres  $\{S_n^1\}$  to be the  $\{J_n\}$  of Theorem 1. Suppose inductively for each  $k, 3 \leq k \leq m$ , there exist countably many polyhedral locally flat  $(k - 2)$ -spheres  $\{S_n^{k-2}\}$  ( $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ ) in  $E^k$  having the desired properties.

We now consider the collection  $\{S_n^{m-2}\}$  of polyhedral locally flat  $(m - 2)$ -spheres in  $E^m$ . Let  $S \in \{S_n^{m-2}\}$  be an arbitrary  $(m - 2)$ -sphere from our given collection. Since  $S$  is polyhedral we can assume that  $S$  lies in  $E^m \subset E^{m+1}$  so that we have

$$S \subset E_+^m = \{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m, x_{m+1}) \in E^{m+1} \mid x_m \geq 0, x_{m+1} = 0\}$$

and so the  $S \cap E^{m-1}$  is a  $(m - 2)$ -simplex  $\Delta \in S$ , where

$$E^{m-1} = \{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m, x_{m+1}) \mid x_m = 0 = x_{m+1}\} = \text{Bd } E_+^m.$$

Let  $D$  be the closure of  $S - \Delta$ . Let  $\alpha_i: E_+^m \rightarrow E^{m+1}$  be the rigid rotation in  $E^{m+1} = \{(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m, y_{m+1})\}$  of  $E_+^m = \{(x_1, \dots, x_m, 0)\}$  defined by the equations

$$\begin{aligned} y_i &= x_i & i \leq m - 1, \\ y_m &= x_m \cos t, \\ y_{m+1} &= x_m \sin t. \end{aligned}$$

Then the set  $\hat{K} = \{\alpha_i(r) \in E^{m+1} \mid r \in D \text{ and } t \in [0, 2\pi]\}$  is clearly an  $(m - 1)$ -sphere in  $E^{m+1}$ . By the proof given in [2], it follows that  $\pi_1(E^{m+1} - \hat{K}) \cong \pi_1(E^m - S)$ . Since  $S$  is locally flat in  $E^m$ , it follows that  $\hat{K}$  is locally flat in  $E^{m+1}$ . Hence using the sequence  $\{S_n^{m-2}\}$  and constructing a  $\hat{K}_n$  as above for each  $S_n$ , we obtain countably many locally flat  $(m - 1)$ -spheres in  $E^{m+1}$  having all the desired properties except that of being polyhedral.

Now for each  $S \in \{S_n^{m-2}\}$  we have a continuous family of functions  $\{\alpha_i: E_+^m \rightarrow E^{m+1} \mid t \in [0, 2\pi]\}$  and a locally flat  $(m - 1)$ -sphere  $\hat{K}$  containing  $D = \overline{S - \Delta}$  so that

$$\pi_1(E^{m+1} - \hat{K}) \cong \pi_1(E^m - S).$$

For each  $r \in E_+^m - E^{m-1}$ , let  $\hat{C}_r$  be the circle in  $E^{m+1}$  determined by the point set  $\{\alpha_i(r) \in E^{m+1} \mid t \in [0, 2\pi]\}$  and let  $C_r$  be the polyhedral simple closed curve in  $E^{m+1}$  consisting of the union of the four seg-

ments  $[\alpha_0(r), \alpha_{\pi/2}(r)], [\alpha_{\pi/2}(r), \alpha_\pi(r)], [\alpha_\pi(r), \alpha_{(3\pi)/2}(r)],$  and  $[\alpha_{(3\pi)/2}(r), \alpha_{2\pi}(r)].$  Let  $K$  denote the point set  $\bigcup_r \{C_r \mid r \in D - E^{m-1}\} \cup D \cap E^{m-1}.$  Then  $K$  is a polyhedral  $(m - 1)$ -sphere containing  $D = \overline{S - \Delta} \subset E_+^m.$  The claim is that there is a homeomorphism  $h$  carrying  $E^{m+1}$  onto itself so that  $h(\widehat{K}) = K.$  It would follow then that  $K$  is also locally flat and  $\pi_1(E^{m+1} - K) \cong \pi_1(E^{m+1} - \widehat{K})$  and hence we could obtain the desired result.

To see that such an  $h$  exists, let  $E_{+t}^m$  denote  $\alpha_t(E_+^m).$  For each  $r \in E_+^m - E^{m+1}$  we define  $h$  sending  $E_{+t}^m$  onto itself by defining

$$h(\alpha_t(r)) = h(\widehat{C}_r \cap E_{+t}^m)$$

to be the point  $C_r \cap E_{+t}^m$  and for  $r \in E_{+t}^m \cap E^{m-1} = E^{m-1}$  we let  $h(r) = r.$  It is clear then that  $h(\widehat{K}) = K.$   $h$  can also be defined explicitly as follows. Let  $s: [0, 2\pi] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be defined as follows.

$$s(t) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{2}/2 \sin\left(\frac{3\pi}{4} - t\right); & 0 \leq t \leq \pi/2, \\ \sqrt{2}/2 \sin\left(t - \frac{\pi}{4}\right); & \pi/2 \leq t \leq \pi, \\ \sqrt{2}/2 \sin\left(\frac{7\pi}{4} - t\right); & \pi \leq t \leq \frac{3\pi}{2}, \\ \sqrt{2}/2 \sin\left(t - \frac{5\pi}{4}\right), & \frac{3\pi}{2} \leq t \leq 2\pi. \end{cases}$$

If  $r_0 = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{m-1}, 1, 0) \in E_+^m,$  then  $s(t)$  is merely the distance of the point  $C_{r_0} \cap E_{+t}^m$  to the origin of  $E^{m+1}.$   $h$  is then defined by sending  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{m-1}, x_m \cos t, x_m \sin t)$  to

$$(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{m-1}, s(t)x_m \cos t, s(t)x_m \sin t).$$

Suppose  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are two polyhedral  $(k - 2)$ -spheres in  $E^k$  with  $G_i \cong \pi_1(E^k - S_i)$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) so that there exists no surjection  $\varphi: G_1 \rightarrow G_2.$  Let  $D_i$  be the polyhedral  $(k - 1)$ -cell in  $E^{k+1}$  obtained by taking the cone over  $S_i.$  That is,

$$D_i = p_i * S_i \subset E_+^{k+1} \subset E^{k+1}$$

where  $p_i \in E_+^{k+1} - E^k$  ‘‘above’’  $S_i.$  Similarly let  $D_2 = p_2 * S_2 \subset E_+^{k+1} \subset E^{k+1}.$  Let  $x_{ik+1}$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) denote the  $(k + 1)$ -coordinate of  $p_i$  and  $P_{ij}$  denote the horizontal  $k$ -plane in  $E_+^{k+1}$  parallel to  $E^k$  given by

$$x_{ijk+1} = x_{ik+1} - \frac{1}{j} x_{ik+1}, \quad j = 1, 2, 3, \dots; i = 1, 2.$$

We note each  $P_{ij}$  lies below  $p_i$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) and  $P_{11} = E^k = P_{21}.$  Let

$\{N_{ij}\}$  ( $i = 1, 2; j = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ ) denote two sequences of  $(k + 1)$ -cells obtained as follows. Each  $N_{ij}$  is to be “centered” at  $p_i$  having its “bottom” face  $B_{ij}$  in  $P_{ij}$  so that  $\text{int } B_{ij} \supset P_{ij} \cup D_i$ , so that the part of  $D_i$  lying on or above  $P_{ij}$  lies in  $(\text{int } N_{ij}) \cup B_{ij}$ , and so that the following properties hold for  $i = 1, 2$ :

- (a)  $N_{i1} \supset \text{int } N_{i1} \supset N_{i2} \supset \text{int } N_{i2} \supset N_{i3} \supset \dots$ ,
- (b)  $\bigcap_{j=1}^{\infty} N_{ij} = p_i$ ,
- (c)  $\pi_1(N_{i1} - D_i)$  is isomorphic to  $\pi_1(E^k - S_i)$ , and
- (d) the injection  $\pi_1(N_{ij} - D_i) \rightarrow \pi_1(N_{i1} - D_i)$  is an isomorphism onto for each  $j$ .

**THEOREM 3.** *Suppose  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  are two  $(k - 1)$ -cells in  $E^{k+1}$  so that if  $D_1$  and  $D_2$  are the polyhedral  $(k - 1)$ -cells as given above, then there exist homeomorphisms  $f_1, f_2$  taking  $E^{k+1}$  onto itself so that  $f_1(D_1) \subset F_1$  and  $f_2(D_2) \subset F_2$ . Let  $q_1 = f_1(p_1) \in F_1$  and  $q_2 = f_2(p_2) \in F_2$ . Then there exists no homeomorphism  $h: E^{k+1} \rightarrow E^{k+1}$  carrying  $F_1$  onto  $F_2$  with  $h(q_1) = q_2$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose there exists a homeomorphism  $h$  taking  $E^{k+1}$  onto itself carrying  $F_1$  onto  $F_2$  with  $h(q_1) = q_2$ . We now consider the sequences  $\{N_{1j}\}, \{N_{2j}\}$  given above. There exists an  $N_{2m}$  so that

$$f_2(N_{2m}) \cap F_2 = f_2(N_{2m}) \cap f_2(D_2) .$$

Let  $N_{1n}$  be chosen so that  $f_1(N_{1n}) \cap f_1(D_1) = f_1(N_{1n}) \cap F_1$  and

$$hf_1(N_{1n}) \subset \text{int } f_2(N_{2m}) .$$

Finally, let  $N_{2r}$  be chosen so that  $f_2(N_{2r}) \subset \text{int } hf_1(N_{1n})$ . Since

$$f_2(N_{2r}) \subset \text{int } f_2(N_{2m}), f_2(N_{2r}) \cap f_2(D_2) = f_2(N_{2r}) \cap F_2 .$$

The commutativity of the inclusion diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} f_2(N_{2r}) & \longrightarrow & hf_1(N_{1n}) \\ & \searrow i & \swarrow j \\ & & f_2(N_{2m}) \end{array}$$

implies the commutativity of the induced injection diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi_1(f_2(N_{2r} - D_2)) & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(hf_1(N_{1n} - D_1)) \\ & \searrow i_* & \swarrow j_* \\ & & \pi_1(f_2(N_{2m} - D_2)) . \end{array}$$

Since  $i_*$  is onto,  $j_*$  must be onto. But

$$\pi_1(hf_1(N_{1n} - D_1)) \cong \pi_1(N_{1n} - D_1) \cong \pi_1(N_{11} - D_1) \cong \pi_1(E^k - S_1) \cong G_1$$

and

$$\pi_1(f_2(N_{2m} - D_2)) \cong \pi_1(N_{2m} - D_2) \cong \pi_1(N_{21} - D_1) \cong \pi_1(E^k - S_2) \cong G_2 .$$

It follows then that there would be a surjection  $\varphi$  of  $G_1$  onto  $G_2$ , which by assumption is impossible and hence the result follows.

Given any fixed integer  $k \geq 3$ , let  $\{S_n\}$  ( $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ ) be the countable collection of polyhedral locally flat  $(k - 2)$ -sheres in  $E^k$  given by Theorem 2. For any subsequence  $\alpha = (n_1, n_2, n_3, \dots)$  of positive integers we will define an almost polyhedral wild  $(k - 1)$ -cell in  $E^{k+1}$  using the construction given in [4]. That is, in  $E^k$  let  $\{B_i\}$  be a sequence of disjoint  $k$ -balls converging to a point  $q$ . For each  $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ , we suppose that  $S_{n_i}$  is embedded in  $\text{int } B_i$  by "shrinking" and translating each  $S_{n_i}$  in an appropriate manner. In  $E^{k+1}$ , let  $\{p_i\}$  be the sequence of distinct points converging to  $q$  where  $p_i$  lies above the "center" of  $B_i$  and is a distance  $1/i$  from  $E^k$ . If  $p_i * S_{n_i}$  is the cone over  $S_{n_i}$  with vertex  $p_i$ , then the polyhedral  $(k - 1)$ -cells  $\{p_i * S_{n_i}\}$  are disjoint in pairs and each  $p_i * S_{n_i}$  is locally flat except for  $p_i$ . The fact that  $p_i * S_{n_i}$  is locally flat at points other than  $p_i$  follows since  $S_{n_i}$  is locally flat in  $E^k$ . The fact that  $p_i * S_{n_i}$  is not locally flat at  $p_i$  follows in a manner similar to that used in the proof of Theorem 3. That is, there are arbitrarily small neighborhoods  $N$  about  $p_i$  in  $E^{k+1}$  such that  $\pi_1(N - (p_i * S_{n_i})) \cong G_{n_i}$ . If  $p_i * S_{n_i}$  were locally flat at  $p_i$  then there would be arbitrarily small neighborhoods  $M$  about  $p_i$  such that  $\pi_1(M - (p_i * S_{n_i})) \cong Z$ . Hence we would be able to obtain a surjection of  $Z$  onto  $G_{n_i}$ , which would allow us to obtain a surjection of  $Z$  onto  $\hat{S}_{n_i}$  which is noncommutative.

Now in  $E^k$  join  $p_1 * S_{n_1}$  and  $p_2 * S_{n_2}$  by a polyhedral  $(k - 1)$ -cell  $D_1$  so that  $p_1 * S_{n_1} \cup D_1 \cup p_2 * S_{n_2}$  is a polyhedral  $(k - 1)$ -cell disjoint from  $(\bigcup_{i=3}^{\infty} p_i * S_{n_i}) \cup q$  that is locally flat except at  $p_1$  and  $p_2$ . Next we join  $p_2 * S_{n_2}$  and  $p_3 * S_{n_3}$  by a polyhedral  $(k - 1)$ -cell  $D_2$  in  $E^k$  so that  $p_1 * S_{n_1} \cup D_1 \cup p_2 * S_{n_2} \cup D_2 \cup p_3 * S_{n_3}$  is a polyhedral  $(k - 1)$ -cell disjoint from  $(\bigcup_{i=4}^{\infty} p_i * S_{n_i}) \cup q$  that is locally flat except at  $p_1, p_2$  and  $p_3$ . This process is continued so that as  $i \rightarrow \infty$  the diameter of  $D_i$  tends to zero and the desired  $(k - 1)$ -cell  $D_\alpha$  is  $(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} p_i * S_{n_i} \cup D_i) \cup q$ . As a subset of  $E^{k+1}$ ,  $D_\alpha$  is almost polyhedral except perhaps at  $q$ . Also  $D_\alpha$  is locally flat except at the points  $q$  and  $p_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ ). By [4],  $D_\alpha$  is wild. That is, if there is a homeomorphism  $h$  of  $E^{k+1}$  onto itself such that  $h(D_\alpha)$  is the union of a finite number of  $(k - 1)$ -simplexes, then some point of  $\{h(p_j)\}$  lies in the interior of a  $(k - 1)$ -cell formed by the union of two  $(k - 1)$ -simplexes of  $h(D_\alpha)$ . Then by rotating one of these  $(k - 1)$ -simplexes (if necessary) keeping the other fixed so that the union of the two lies in a  $(k - 1)$ -plane in  $E^k$ , it

would follow that  $h(D_\alpha)$  is locally flat at this point. This contradicts the fact that  $D_\alpha$  is not locally flat at the preimage of the given point.

**THEOREM 4.** *For each  $k \geq 4$ , there exist uncountably many almost polyhedral wild  $(k - 2)$ -cells in  $E^k$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\{\alpha\}$  be an uncountable collection of sequences of positive integers such that in two different ones some integer occurs more in one than in the other. For any fixed integer  $k \geq 3$ , let  $\{D_\alpha\}$  be the corresponding uncountable sequence of almost polyhedral wild  $(k - 1)$ -cells in  $E^{k+1}$  constructed as above. Suppose for some

$$\alpha = \{n_1, n_2, n_3, \dots\} \neq \alpha' = \{n'_1, n'_2, n'_3, \dots\}$$

there exists a homeomorphism  $h$  of  $E^{k+1}$  onto itself such that  $h(D_\alpha) = D_{\alpha'}$ . Since each of  $D_\alpha$  and  $D_{\alpha'}$  is locally flat except at  $\{q_\alpha \cup \bigcup p_{n_i}\}$  and  $\{q_{\alpha'} \cup \bigcup p_{n'_i}\}$ , respectively, and  $q_\alpha$  and  $q_{\alpha'}$  are limit points of the nonlocally flat points, it follows that  $h(q_\alpha) = q_{\alpha'}$  and for each  $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ ,  $h(p_{n_i}) = p_{n'_j}$  for some  $j$ . Since some integer in  $\alpha$  occurs more in  $\alpha$  than it does in  $\alpha'$ , there is an integer  $n_i$  such that  $h(p_{n_i}) = p_{n'_j}$  and  $n_i \neq n'_j$ . But by Theorem 3, this is impossible and hence the result follows.

#### REFERENCES

1. W. R. Alford and B. J. Ball, *Some almost polyhedral wild arcs*, Duke Math. J. **30** (1963) 33-38.
2. J. J. Andrews and M. L. Curtis, *Knotted 2-spheres in the 4-sphere*, Ann. of Math. **70** (1959), 565-571.
3. R. H. Crowell and R. H. Fox, *Introduction to Knot Theory*, Ginn and Company, 1963.
4. P. H. Doyle and J. G. Hocking, *Proving that wild cells exist*, (to appear).
5. R. H. Fox and O. G. Harrold, *Topology of 3-Manifolds and Related Topics*, M. K. Fort, Jr., Editor, Prentice Hall 1962.

Received January 30, 1968. Work on this paper was supported by NSF Contract GP 6776.

RICE UNIVERSITY  
AND  
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH





# PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

## EDITORS

H. ROYDEN

Stanford University  
Stanford, California

J. DUGUNDJI

Department of Mathematics  
University of Southern California  
Los Angeles, California 90007

R. R. PHELPS

University of Washington  
Seattle, Washington 98105

RICHARD ARENS

University of California  
Los Angeles, California 90024

## ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSIDA

## SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA  
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY  
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA  
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA  
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY  
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON  
OSAKA UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO  
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH  
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON  
\* \* \*  
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY  
CHEVRON RESEARCH CORPORATION  
TRW SYSTEMS  
NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

---

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, double spaced with large margins. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. It should not contain references to the bibliography. Manuscripts, in duplicate if possible, may be sent to any one of the four editors. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, Richard Arens, University of California, Los Angeles, California 90024.

Each author of each article receives 50 reprints free of charge; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

---

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is published monthly. Effective with Volume 16 the price per volume (3 numbers) is \$8.00; single issues, \$3.00. Special price for current issues to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: \$4.00 per volume; single issues \$1.50. Back numbers are available.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley 8, California.

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), 7-17, Fujimi 2-chome, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners of publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Leonard E. Baum and George Roger Sell, <i>Growth transformations for functions on manifolds</i> .....	211
Henry Gilbert Bray, <i>A note on CLT groups</i> .....	229
Paul Robert Chernoff, Richard Anthony Rasala and William Charles Waterhouse, <i>The Stone-Weierstrass theorem for valuable fields</i> .....	233
Douglas Napier Clark, <i>On matrices associated with generalized interpolation problems</i> .....	241
Richard Brian Darst and Euline Irwin Green, <i>On a Radon-Nikodym theorem for finitely additive set functions</i> .....	255
Carl Louis DeVito, <i>A note on Eberlein's theorem</i> .....	261
P. H. Doyle, III and John Gilbert Hocking, <i>Proving that wild cells exist</i> .....	265
Leslie C. Glaser, <i>Uncountably many almost polyhedral wild <math>(k - 2)</math>-cells in <math>E^k</math> for <math>k \geq 4</math></i> .....	267
Samuel Irving Goldberg, <i>Totally geodesic hypersurfaces of Kaehler manifolds</i> .....	275
Donald Goldsmith, <i>On the multiplicative properties of arithmetic functions</i> .....	283
Jack D. Gray, <i>Local analytic extensions of the resolvent</i> .....	305
Eugene Carlyle Johnsen, David Lewis Outcalt and Adil Mohamed Yaqub, <i>Commutativity theorems for nonassociative rings with a finite division ring homomorphic image</i> .....	325
André (Piotrowsky) De Korvin, <i>Normal expectations in von Neumann algebras</i> .....	333
James Donald Kuelbs, <i>A linear transformation theorem for analytic Feynman integrals</i> .....	339
W. Kuich, <i>Quasi-block-stochastic matrices</i> .....	353
Richard G. Levin, <i>On commutative, nonpotent archimedean semigroups</i> .....	365
James R. McLaughlin, <i>Functions represented by Rademacher series</i> .....	373
Calvin R. Putnam, <i>Singular integrals and positive kernels</i> .....	379
Harold G. Rutherford, II, <i>Characterizing primes in some noncommutative rings</i> .....	387
Benjamin L. Schwartz, <i>On interchange graphs</i> .....	393
Satish Shirali, <i>On the Jordan structure of complex Banach* algebras</i> .....	397
Earl J. Taft, <i>A counter-example to a fixed point conjecture</i> .....	405
J. Roger Teller, <i>On abelian pseudo lattice ordered groups</i> .....	411