

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

QUASI-BLOCK-STOCHASTIC MATRICES

W. KUICH

QUASI-BLOCK-STOCHASTIC MATRICES

W. KUICH

The quasi-block-stochastic matrices are introduced as a generalization of the block-stochastic and the quasi-stochastic matrices. The derivation of theorems is possible which are similar to those derived for block-stochastic matrices by W. Kuich and K. Walk and for quasi-stochastic matrices by Haynsworth. Among other theorems the theorem on the group property, the reduction formula and its application to nonnegative matrices holds in a modified manner. An example illustrates the definitions and theorems.

NOTATION

$A = (a_{ij})$	quasi-block-stochastic matrix
A_{ij}	block of A
$a_{ij}^{(n)}$	element of A^n
$a^{(n)}$	vector of generalized row sums of A^n
$a_i^{(n)}$	i^{th} generalized row sum of A^n
$S_A = (s_{ij})$	matrix of the generalized row sums of the blocks
$s_{ij}^{(n)}$	element of S_A^n
$s^{(n)}$	vector of row sums of S_A^n
$s_i^{(n)}$	i^{th} row sum of S_A^n
$l \times l$	dimension of A
$l_i \times l_j$	dimension of A_{ij}
$k \times k$	dimension of S_A
I_l	identity matrix of order l
P	permutation matrix
$e_j = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ d_{n_{j+2}} \\ \vdots \\ d_{n_{j+1}} \end{pmatrix}$	of dimension l_j
u_i	i^{th} unit vector of dimension l
v_i	i^{th} unit vector of dimension k
$f_j = \sum_{i=n_{j+1}}^{n_{j+1}} d_i u_i$	
λ	eigenvalue
μ	greatest eigenvalue
$\delta_{ij} = 1$	for $i = j$
$= 0$	otherwise
\emptyset	null matrix
$n_j = \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} l_i$	$n_1 = 0$.

1. **Introduction.** A matrix $A = (a_{ij})$ ($i, j = 1, \dots, l$) is called quasi-block-stochastic if it may be partitioned into rectangular blocks (submatrices) A_{ij} with dimension $(l_i \times l_j)$ ($i, j = 1, \dots, k$)

$$(1.1) \quad A = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & \cdots & A_{1k} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ A_{k1} & \cdots & A_{kk} \end{pmatrix}$$

and if

$$(1.2) \quad A_{ij}e_j = s_{ij}e_i \quad (i, j = 1, \dots, k)$$

where e_j is the vector

$$(1.3) \quad e_j = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ d_{n_j+2}^1 \\ \vdots \\ d_{n_{j+1}} \end{pmatrix} \quad \begin{matrix} n_1 = 0 \\ n_j = \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} l_i \end{matrix} \quad (j = 2, \dots, k + 1)$$

with dimension l_j , and e_i the vector (1.3) with dimension l_i ; ($i, j = 1, \dots, k$)

If there exists a permutation matrix P such that $P^{-1}AP$ has the form (1.1) in connection with (1.2), A is called quasi-block-stochastic, too. In the following we restrict our attention to matrices which may be partitioned immediately into blocks.

s_{ij} is some sort of row sum, we call it generalized row sum of the block-matrix A_{ij} ($i, j = 1, \dots, k$). Associated with the matrix A is the matrix of the generalized row sums of its blocks $S_A = (s_{ij})$ ($i, j = 1, \dots, k$):

$$(1.4) \quad S_A = \begin{pmatrix} s_{11} & \cdots & s_{1k} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ s_{k1} & \cdots & s_{kk} \end{pmatrix}$$

Let f_j ($j = 1, \dots, k$) be an $(l \times 1)$ vector with blocks $(l_i \times 1)$ ($i = 1, \dots, k$)

$$f_j = \sum_{i=n_j+1}^{n_{j+1}} d_i u_i = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ e_j \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and F be the $(l \times k)$ matrix whose columns are f_j ($j = 1, \dots, k$).

If we let $AF = C = (C_{ij})$ ($i, j = 1, \dots, k$), we have

$$C = AF = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11}A_{12} & \cdots & A_{1k} \\ A_{21}A_{22} & \cdots & A_{2k} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ A_{k1}A_{k2} & \cdots & A_{kk} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} e_1 0 \cdots 0 \\ 0e_2 \cdots 0 \\ \cdots \\ 00 \cdots e_k \end{pmatrix}.$$

The matrix C has blocks C_{ij} which are the $(l_i \times 1)$ vectors

$$C_{ij} = A_{ij}e_j \quad (i, j = 1, \dots, k) .$$

But by (1.2)

$$C_{ij} = e_i s_{ij}$$

which is the block in the (i, j) position of the product FS_A .

Thus we have

$$(1.5) \quad AF = FS_A$$

which is equivalent to (1.2), but can be used to great advantage in shortening the proofs of several of the theorems.

Two square matrices of l -th order, A and B are said to be quasi-block-stochastic in the same manner, if they both may be partitioned into $(l_i \times l_j)$ block matrices A_{ij}, B_{ij} , respectively, which satisfy (1.2):

$$(1.6) \quad A_{ij}e_j = s_{ij}e_i \text{ and } B_{ij}e_j = t_{ij}e_i \quad (i, j = 1, \dots, k) .$$

The quasi-block-stochastic matrices are a generalization of the block-stochastic-matrices considered by Haynsworth [2] and Kuich, Walk [6], as well as of the quasi-stochastic matrices, considered by Haynsworth [3].

Block-stochastic matrices originate from the quasi-block-stochastic ones by specialization of the vectors e_i :

$$e_i = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (i = 1, \dots, k) .$$

Quasi-stochastic matrices consist of only one block which is the matrix itself

$$Ae_1 = s_{11}e_1$$

where e_1 is the vector

$$e_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \\ p \\ \vdots \\ p \end{pmatrix} .$$

In the following section several results on quasi-block-stochastic matrices are presented which are generalizations of the results obtained by Kuich, Walk [6] and Haynsworth [3].

2. Group properties of quasi-block-stochastic matrices.

THEOREM 1. *The set of all nonsingular matrices which are quasi-block-stochastic in the same manner forms a group.*

Proof. The assumption that $A = (A_{ij})$ and $B = (B_{ij})$ ($i, j = 1, \dots, k$) are quasi-block-stochastic is expressed by (1.5):

$$AF = FS_A \quad \text{and} \quad BF = FS_B .$$

Hence

$$(AB)F = A(FS_B) = F(S_A S_B)$$

so that if we let

$$(2.1) \quad S_A S_B = S_{AB}$$

we have AB quasi-block-stochastic in the same manner. Also

$$I_i F = F I_k$$

and

$$F = A^{-1}(AF) = A^{-1}(FS_A)$$

which yields

$$A^{-1}F = FS_A^{-1} .$$

This proves theorem 1.

With (2.1) there follows

THEOREM 2. *The transformation mapping the group of matrices that are quasi-block-stochastic in the same manner onto the group of matrices of its generalized row sums is a homomorphism.*

3. Powers of quasi-block-stochastic matrices. We denote the i th generalized row sum of the quasi-block-stochastic matrix A^n by $a_i^{(n)}$:

$$(3.1) \quad a_i^{(n)} = \sum_{j=1}^l a_{ij}^{(n)} d_j \quad (i = 1, \dots, l)$$

with

$$(3.2) \quad d_1 = d_{n_1+1} = d_{n_2+1} = \dots = d_{n_k+1} = 1,$$

the i^{th} (usual) row sum of the matrix $S_A^{(n)}$ by $s_i^{(n)}$:

$$(3.3) \quad s_i^{(n)} = \sum_{j=1}^k s_{ij}^{(n)} d_{n_j+1} = \sum_{j=1}^k s_{ij}^{(n)}.$$

We define two series of vectors:

$$(3.4) \quad \left. \begin{aligned} a^{(0)} &= \sum_{i=1}^l d_i u_i, & a^{(n+1)} &= A a^{(n)} \\ s^{(0)} &= \sum_{i=1}^k v_i, & s^{(n+1)} &= S_A s^{(n)} \end{aligned} \right\}$$

where u_i and v_i are the i^{th} unit vectors of dimension l and k , respectively.

LEMMA 1. *The i^{th} component of the vector $a^{(n)}$ is $a_i^{(n)}$, i.e., $a^{(n)} = A^n \cdot a^{(0)}$, the i^{th} component of the vector $s^{(n)}$ is $s_i^{(n)}$, i.e., $s^{(n)} = S_A^n s^{(0)}$ ($n \geq 1$).*

Proof. By induction. The lemma is valid for $n = 1$. Assume

$$a^{(n)} = A^n a^{(0)}.$$

then

$$a^{(n+1)} = A^{n+1} a^{(0)}.$$

Similarly holds

$$s^{(n)} = S_A^n s^{(0)}.$$

With Theorem 1

$$(3.5) \quad A^n F = F S_A^n$$

holds.

Because of

$$A^n F s^{(0)} = F S_A^n s^{(0)}$$

we get the following.

COROLLARY.

$$(3.6) \quad a^{(n)} = \sum_{j=1}^k s_j^{(n)} f_j$$

for all n .

The corollary admits no immediate converse, since the property (3.6) does not imply the quasi-block-stochastic structure. We are interested in matrix properties which, combined with the property (3.6) assure the quasi-block-stochastic structure.

We now state the following:

LEMMA 2. *Linear relations which hold among the vectors*

$$v_1, \dots, v_k, s^{(0)}, s^{(1)}, \dots, s^{(k)}$$

also hold among the vectors

$$f_1, \dots, f_k, a^{(0)}, a^{(1)}, \dots, a^{(k)}.$$

Proof. We consider the vector equation

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i v_i + \sum_{i=0}^k \beta_i s^{(i)} = 0$$

which implies that

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i \delta_{ij} + \sum_{i=0}^k \beta_i s_j^{(i)} = 0 \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, k$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i \delta_{ij} f_j + \sum_{i=0}^k \beta_i s_j^{(i)} f_j &= 0 \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, k \\ \sum_{j=1}^k \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i \delta_{ij} f_j + \sum_{i=0}^k \beta_i s_j^{(i)} f_j \right) &= 0 \\ \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i \sum_{j=1}^k \delta_{ij} f_j + \sum_{i=0}^k \beta_i \sum_{j=1}^k s_j^{(i)} f_j &= 0 \\ \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i f_i + \sum_{i=0}^k \beta_i a^{(i)} &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

THEOREM 3. *If the generalized row sums of a matrix A satisfy the condition (3.6)*

$$a^{(n)} = \sum_{j=1}^k s_j^{(n)} f_j$$

for all n , and if in addition the k vectors

$$s^{(0)}, s^{(1)}, \dots, s^{(k-1)}$$

are linearly independent, then the matrix A is quasi-block-stochastic.

Proof. According to the assumption, we may introduce the following representations:

$$(3.7) \quad \left. \begin{aligned} v_i &= \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \alpha_{ji} s^{(j)} & (i = 1, \dots, k) \\ s^{(k)} &= \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \beta_j s^{(j)} \end{aligned} \right\}$$

and therefore, due to Lemma 2:

$$(3.8) \quad \left. \begin{aligned} f_i &= \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \alpha_{ji} a^{(j)} & (i = 1, \dots, k) \\ a^{(k)} &= \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \beta_j a^{(j)} \end{aligned} \right\}$$

With (3.4)

$$\begin{aligned} Af_i &= \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \alpha_{ji} Aa^{(j)} = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \alpha_{ji} a^{(j+1)} \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{k-2} \alpha_{ji} a^{(j+1)} + \alpha_{k-1,i} \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \beta_j a^{(j)} \\ &= \alpha_{k-1,i} \beta_0 a^{(0)} + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} (\alpha_{j-1,i} + \alpha_{k-1,i} \beta_j) a^{(j)} \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \gamma_{ji} a^{(j)} = \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \gamma_{ji} \sum_{m=1}^k s_m^{(j)} f_m \\ &= \sum_{m=1}^k f_m \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \gamma_{ji} s_m^{(j)} = \sum_{m=1}^k s_{mi} f_m . \end{aligned}$$

The representation $Af_i = s_{i1}f_1 + \dots + s_{ki}f_k$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$ indicates that A is quasi-block-stochastic:

$$\begin{aligned} Af_i &= \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & \dots & A_{1i} & \dots & A_{1k} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ A_{k1} & \dots & A_{ki} & \dots & A_{kk} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ e_i \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} A_{1i} \\ \vdots \\ A_{ki} \end{pmatrix} e_i = \begin{pmatrix} s_{1i}e_1 \\ \vdots \\ s_{ki}e_k \end{pmatrix} \quad (i = 1, \dots, k) \end{aligned}$$

This condition is equivalent to condition (1.2).

4. A reduction formula for quasi-block-stochastic matrices. We refer to the following theorem of Haynsworth [2]: Suppose the $(n_i \times n_j)$ blocks A_{ij} ($i, j = 1, \dots, t$) of the partitioned $(N \times N)$ matrix A satisfy

$$(*) \quad A_{ij}X_j = X_iB_{ij}$$

where B_{ij} is a square matrix of order r , $0 < r \leq n_i$, with strict inequality for at least one value of i , and X_i is an $(n_i \times r)$ matrix with a nonsingular matrix of order r , $X_1^{(i)}$, in the first r rows. Let the last $n_i - r$ rows of X_i be $X_2^{(i)}$, and let

$$A_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11}^{(ij)} & A_{12}^{(ij)} \\ A_{21}^{(ij)} & A_{22}^{(ij)} \end{pmatrix}$$

where $A_{11}^{(ij)}$ is square, of order r . Then A is similar to the matrix

$$R = \begin{pmatrix} B & D \\ \emptyset & C \end{pmatrix}$$

where B is a partitioned matrix of order tr with blocks B_{ij} , as defined in (*), and C has blocks

$$C_{ij} = (A_{22}^{(ij)} - X_2^{(i)}(X_1^{(i)})^{-1}A_{12}^{(ij)})$$

with dimensions $(n_i - r) \times (n_j - r)$. If either n_i or $n_j = r$, the corresponding block C_{ij} does not appear.

THEOREM 4. *A quasi-block-stochastic matrix A is similar to*

$$(4.1) \quad R = \begin{pmatrix} S_A & D \\ \emptyset & C \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof. Theorem 4 is a special case of the theorem of Haynsworth [2] cited above. For proof take

$$\begin{aligned} N &= l, t = k, r = 1 \\ n_i &= l_i, X_i = e_i && (i = 1, \dots, k) \\ B_{ij} &= (s_{ij}) && (i, j = 1, \dots, k) \\ B &= S_A \end{aligned}$$

and $X_1^{(i)}, X_2^{(i)}, A_{11}^{(ij)}, A_{12}^{(ij)}, A_{21}^{(ij)}, A_{22}^{(ij)}$ in an obvious manner.

The $(l - k) \times (l - k)$ matrix C of (4.1) has blocks

$$C_{ij} = (A_{22}^{(ij)} - X_2^{(i)}A_{12}^{(ij)}) \quad (i, j = 1, \dots, k)$$

with dimensions $(l_i - 1) \times (l_j - 1)$. If either l_i or $l_j = 1$, the corresponding block C_{ij} does not appear.

5. Eigenvectors of quasi-block-stochastic matrices. There is a simple way of finding an eigenvector of A for each eigenvector of S_A , as is stated in

THEOREM 5. *If*

$$(5.1) \quad x = \sum_{i=1}^k x_i v_i$$

is an eigenvector belonging to the eigenvalue λ , with regard to the rows of S_A , then

$$(5.2) \quad y = \sum_{i=1}^k x_i f_i$$

is an eigenvector belonging to the eigenvalue λ with regard to the rows of A .

Proof. From $S_A x = \lambda x$, there follows by (1.5)

$$A(Fx) = F(S_A x) = \lambda(Fx).$$

Hence $y = Fx = \sum_{i=1}^k x_i f_i$ is an eigenvector belonging to λ with regard to the rows of A .

6. Eigenvalues of nonnegative, irreducible, primitive quasi-block-stochastic matrices. For the following we consider only quasi-block-stochastic matrices whose elements are nonnegative and for which there is no permutation matrix P such that

$$(6.1) \quad P^{-1}AP = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ \emptyset & A_{22} \end{pmatrix}$$

with square sub-matrices A_{11} and A_{22} or such that

$$(6.2) \quad P^{-1}AP = \begin{pmatrix} \emptyset & A_1 & \emptyset & \cdots & \emptyset \\ \emptyset & \emptyset & A_2 & \cdots & \emptyset \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ A_t & \emptyset & \emptyset & \cdots & \emptyset \end{pmatrix}.$$

It has been proved by Wielandt [7] that under these conditions, the irreducibility (6.1) and the primitiveness (6.2), the matrix A has a positive eigenvalue which is greater than the absolute values of all other eigenvalues of A and which is associated with a positive eigenvector which is the only positive eigenvector of A . We use this result to prove the following:

THEOREM 8. *If the quasi-block-stochastic matrix A and the matrix S_A are nonnegative, further A irreducible and primitive, the components $d_j (j = 1, \dots, l)$ of $f_i (i = 1, \dots, k)$ are positive, then the greatest eigenvalue of A is equal to the greatest eigenvalue of S_A . This means that the eigenvalues of the matrix C (Theorem 4) are smaller than the greatest eigenvalue of A and S_A .*

Proof. The greatest eigenvalue μ of S_A corresponds to the only positive eigenvector x_μ

$$x_\mu = \sum_{i=1}^k x_{\mu i} v_i \quad x_{\mu i} \geq 0.$$

According to Theorem 5 is

$$y_\mu = \sum_{i=1}^k x_{\mu i} f_i$$

eigenvector of A for the eigenvalue μ . μ is the greatest eigenvalue of A , since y_μ is positive. All other eigenvalues of A have to be smaller than μ , so that all eigenvalues of C are smaller than μ .

7. Example. We construct a quasi-block-stochastic matrix by help of Theorem 3.

Our assumptions are

$$(7.1) \quad \begin{aligned} s^{(0)} &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} & s^{(1)} &= \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} & s^{(2)} &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} & l_1 &= 2, l_2 = 3 \\ e_1 &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} & e_2 &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ -2 \end{pmatrix} & f_1 &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} & f_2 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ -2 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

We get following representations:

$$(7.2) \quad s^{(2)} = s^{(0)}, \quad v_1 = \frac{2}{3}s^{(0)} - \frac{1}{3}s^{(1)}, \quad v_2 = \frac{1}{3}s^{(0)} + \frac{1}{3}s^{(1)};$$

and due to Lemma 2:

$$(7.3) \quad a^{(2)} = a^{(0)}; \quad f_1 = \frac{2}{3}a^{(0)} - \frac{1}{3}a^{(1)}, \quad f_2 = \frac{1}{3}a^{(0)} + \frac{1}{3}a^{(1)}$$

$$(7.4) \quad \left. \begin{aligned} Af_1 &= A\left(\frac{2}{3}a^{(0)} - \frac{1}{3}a^{(1)}\right) = \frac{2}{3}a^{(1)} - \frac{1}{3}a^{(2)} = -f_1 + f_2 \\ Af_2 &= A\left(\frac{1}{3}a^{(0)} + \frac{1}{3}a^{(1)}\right) = \frac{1}{3}a^{(1)} + \frac{1}{3}a^{(2)} = f_2 \end{aligned} \right\}$$

which yields

$$(7.5) \quad \begin{array}{cc} s_{11} = -1 & s_{12} = 0 \\ s_{21} = 1 & s_{22} = 1 \end{array} \quad S_A = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

By solving the system (7.4) or equivalently $A_{ij}e_j = s_{ij}e_i$ ($i, j = 1, 2$),

we can get following matrix:

$$(7.6) \quad A = \left(\begin{array}{cc|ccc} 1 & 2 & 3 & 5 & 4 \\ 2 & 1 & 1 & 5 & 3 \\ \hline 1 & 0 & 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 2 & 1 & 3 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 & 4 & 0 & 3 \end{array} \right).$$

According to Theorem 4 we can transform A by a similarity transformation to:

$$(7.7) \quad G^{-1}AG = \left(\begin{array}{cc|ccc} -1 & 0 & 2 & 5 & 4 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 2 & 1 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 3 & 10 & 7 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 4 & 5 \end{array} \right).$$

with

$$G = \left(\begin{array}{cc|ccccc} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -2 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{ccccc} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right) \\ = \left(\begin{array}{ccccc} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -2 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} \right).$$

By the reduction formula (7.7) we get the characteristic equation

$$(7.8) \quad (\lambda^2 - 1)(\lambda^3 - 6\lambda^2 - 25\lambda + 24) = 0.$$

Eigenvalues which belong to both A and S_A are

$$(7.9) \quad \lambda_1 = 1, \quad \lambda_2 = -1$$

and the eigenvectors with regard to the rows of S_A are

$$(7.10) \quad x_{\lambda_1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad x_{\lambda_2} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

According to Theorem 5 we get the eigenvectors with regard to the rows of A by (7.10);

$$(7.11) \quad \begin{aligned} y_{\lambda_1} &= 0 \cdot f_1 + 1 \cdot f_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ -2 \end{pmatrix} \\ y_{\lambda_2} &= 2 \cdot f_1 - 1 \cdot f_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ -2 \\ -1 \\ -1 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Thanks are due to Professor O. Taussky Todd who called my attention to the "Quasi-Stochastic Matrices" of Prof. E. V. Haynsworth.

The author is very indebted to the referee who pointed out relation (1.5) which shortened and simplified several proofs.

REFERENCES

1. A. Brauer, *Limits for the characteristic roots of a matrix IV*, Duke Math. J. **19** (1952), 75-91.
2. E. V. Haynsworth, *Applications of a theorem on partitioned matrices*, J. Research NBS **62** B(1959), 73-78.
3. ———, *Quasi-stochastic matrices*, Duke Math. J. **22** (1955), 15-24.
4. ———, *A reduction formula for partitioned matrices*, J. Research NBS **64** B(1960), 171-174.
5. ———, *Special types of partitioned matrices*, J. Research NBS **65** B(1961), 7-12.
6. W. Kuich and K. Walk, *Block-stochastic matrices and associated finite state languages*, Computing **1** (1966), 50-61.
7. H. Wielandt, *Unzerlegbare nicht negative Matrizen*, Math. Z. **52** (1950), 642-648.

Received July 14, 1966.

IBM LABORATORY
VIENNA, AUSTRIA

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

H. ROYDEN
Stanford University
Stanford, California

J. DUGUNDJI
Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, California 90007

R. R. PHELPS
University of Washington
Seattle, Washington 98105

RICHARD ARENS
University of California
Los Angeles, California 90024

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
* * *
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
CHEVRON RESEARCH CORPORATION
TRW SYSTEMS
NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, double spaced with large margins. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. It should not contain references to the bibliography. Manuscripts, in duplicate if possible, may be sent to any one of the four editors. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, Richard Arens, University of California, Los Angeles, California 90024.

Each author of each article receives 50 reprints free of charge; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is published monthly. Effective with Volume 16 the price per volume (3 numbers) is \$8.00; single issues, \$3.00. Special price for current issues to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: \$4.00 per volume; single issues \$1.50. Back numbers are available.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley 8, California.

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), 7-17, Fujimi 2-chome, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners of publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 27, No. 2

February, 1968

Leonard E. Baum and George Roger Sell, <i>Growth transformations for functions on manifolds</i>	211
Henry Gilbert Bray, <i>A note on CLT groups</i>	229
Paul Robert Chernoff, Richard Anthony Rasala and William Charles Waterhouse, <i>The Stone-Weierstrass theorem for valuable fields</i>	233
Douglas Napier Clark, <i>On matrices associated with generalized interpolation problems</i>	241
Richard Brian Darst and Euline Irwin Green, <i>On a Radon-Nikodym theorem for finitely additive set functions</i>	255
Carl Louis DeVito, <i>A note on Eberlein's theorem</i>	261
P. H. Doyle, III and John Gilbert Hocking, <i>Proving that wild cells exist</i>	265
Leslie C. Glaser, <i>Uncountably many almost polyhedral wild $(k - 2)$-cells in E^k for $k \geq 4$</i>	267
Samuel Irving Goldberg, <i>Totally geodesic hypersurfaces of Kaehler manifolds</i>	275
Donald Goldsmith, <i>On the multiplicative properties of arithmetic functions</i>	283
Jack D. Gray, <i>Local analytic extensions of the resolvent</i>	305
Eugene Carlyle Johnsen, David Lewis Outcalt and Adil Mohamed Yaqub, <i>Commutativity theorems for nonassociative rings with a finite division ring homomorphic image</i>	325
André (Piotrowsky) De Korvin, <i>Normal expectations in von Neumann algebras</i>	333
James Donald Kuelbs, <i>A linear transformation theorem for analytic Feynman integrals</i>	339
W. Kuich, <i>Quasi-block-stochastic matrices</i>	353
Richard G. Levin, <i>On commutative, nonpotent archimedean semigroups</i>	365
James R. McLaughlin, <i>Functions represented by Rademacher series</i>	373
Calvin R. Putnam, <i>Singular integrals and positive kernels</i>	379
Harold G. Rutherford, II, <i>Characterizing primes in some noncommutative rings</i>	387
Benjamin L. Schwartz, <i>On interchange graphs</i>	393
Satish Shirali, <i>On the Jordan structure of complex Banach* algebras</i>	397
Earl J. Taft, <i>A counter-example to a fixed point conjecture</i>	405
J. Roger Teller, <i>On abelian pseudo lattice ordered groups</i>	411