# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

ON THE DECOMPOSITION OF INFINITELY DIVISIBLE PROBABILITY LAWS WITHOUT NORMAL FACTOR

ROGER CUPPENS

Vol. 28, No. 1

March 1969

# ON THE DECOMPOSITION OF INFINITELY DIVISIBLE PROBABILITY LAWS WITHOUT NORMAL FACTOR

#### ROGER CUPPENS

In the theory of the decomposition of probability laws, the fundamental problem stated by D. A. Raikov of the characterization of the class  $I_0$  of the infinitely divisible laws without indecomposable factors has been studied in the case of univariate laws by Yu. V. Linnik and I. V. Ostrovskiy. Lately, we have shown that nearly all these results can be extended to the case of multivariate laws. In this paper, we give a result which can be considered as an extension of a theorem of Raikov and P. Lévy and of a particular case of theorems of Linnik, and the extension of this result to the case of several variables.

If we consider the finite products of Poisson laws, i.e., the characteristic functions of the variable t of the form

$$f(t) = \exp\left\{ict + \sum_{j=1}^{p} \lambda_{j}[\exp\left(ilpha_{j}t\right) - 1]
ight\}$$

(c real,  $\lambda_j > 0$ ,  $\alpha_j > 0$ ), three general results are known, the first being owed to D. A. Raikov [9] and P. Lévy [4] and the third to Yu. V. Linnik [5, Chapter 9]:

(a) if  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p$  are rationally independent, f has no indecomposable factor;

(b) if  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p$  are such that  $0 < a \leq \alpha_j \leq 2a$   $(j = 1, \dots, p)$ , f has no indecomposable factor;

(c) if  $\alpha_{j+1}/\alpha_j$  is an integer greater than 1  $(j = 1, \dots, p-1)$ , f has no indecomposable factor.

Lately, I. V. Ostrovskiy [8] has extended the two results (a) and (b) of Raikov and Lévy to the case of a continuous spectrum, the base of his study being the

THEOREM 1. (see also [1] chapter 8). Let  $f_0$  be the infinitely divisible characteristic function of the variable t defined by

$$f_0(t) = \exp \{i\gamma t + \int_a^b [\exp (ixt) - 1] d\mu(x)\}$$
,

where  $\gamma$  is a real constant and  $\mu$  is a nonnegative measure defined on the segment [a, b]  $(0 < a < b < \infty)$ . If  $f_1$  is a factor of  $f_0$ , then

$$f_1(t) = \exp\left\{ict + \int_a^b [\exp(ixt) - 1]dm(x)\right\},$$

where c is a real constant and m is a measure defined on the segment [a, b] which is nonnegative on [a, 2a[. Moreover,

$$S(m) \subset [a, b] \cap (\infty S(\mu))$$
,

where S(N) means the support of a measure N and  $(\infty A)$  is defined by

$$(1)A = A ; \qquad (p)A = (p-1)A + A ; \qquad (\infty A) = \bigcup_{p=1}^{\infty} (p)A$$

(the symbol + indicates the vectorial sum of two subsets of R).

He gives also a more general result which can be stated in the following manner:

**THEOREM 2.** Let  $f_0$  be the infinitely divisible characteristic function of the variable t defined by

$$f_{0}(t) = \exp\left\{i\gamma t + \int_{a}^{b} [\exp\left(ixt
ight) - 1]d\mu(x) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\lambda_{k}[\exp\left(ilpha_{k}t
ight) - 1]
ight\},$$

where  $\gamma \in R$ ,  $\lambda_k \geq 0$ ,  $\alpha_k > 0$   $(k = 1, 2, \dots)$  and where the following conditions are satisfied:

(1) the measure  $\mu$  is a nonnegative measure defined on the segment [a, b]  $(0 < a < b < \infty)$ ;

(2) there exists a positive constant K such that

 $\lambda_k = 0[\exp(-K\alpha_k^2)] \qquad (k \rightarrow +\infty);$ 

(3)  $\alpha_1 > b$  and  $\alpha_{k+1}/\alpha_k$  is an integer greater than 1 ( $k = 1, 2, \cdots$ ). If  $f_1$  is a factor of  $f_0$ , then

$$f_1(t) = \exp\left\{ict + \int_a^b [\exp(ixt) - 1]dm(x) + \sum_{k=1}^\infty l_k [\exp(ilpha_k t) - 1]
ight\},$$

where c is a real constant and the following conditions are satisfied: (a)  $0 \leq l_k \leq \lambda_k$   $(k = 1, 2, \dots)$ ;

(b) the measure m is a measure defined on the segment [a, b] which is nonnegative on [a, 2a] and such that

$$m(\{b\}) \geq 0$$
,  $S(m) \subset [a, b] \bigcap (\infty S(\mu))$ .

Using the Theorems 1 and 2, we give in §2, two theorems which can be considered as extensions of the results (a) and (c) stated above. Using the auxiliary results stated in §3, we extend these results to the case of several variables in the §4.

2. The case of one variable.

**THEOREM 3.** Let  $f_0$  be the infinitely divisible characteristic

function of the variable t defined by

$$f_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}(t) = \exp\left\{i\gamma t + \sum\limits_{j=1}^p \sum\limits_{k=1}^{r_j} \lambda_{j,k} [\exp\left(ilpha_{j,k}t
ight) - 1]
ight\}$$
 ,

where  $\gamma$  is a real constant, the  $\lambda_{j,k}$  are nonnegative constants and the  $\alpha_{j,k}$  are positive numbers satisfying the two conditions

(a)  $\alpha_{j,k+1}/\alpha_{j,k}$  is an integer greater than 1 ( $k = 1, \dots, r_j - 1$ ;  $j = 1, \dots, p$ );

(b)  $\alpha_{1,1}, \dots, \alpha_{p,1}$  are rationally independent. If  $f_1$  is a factor of  $f_0$ , then

$$f_1(t) = \exp\left\{ict + \sum\limits_{j=1}^p \sum\limits_{k=1}^{r_j} l_{j,k}[\exp{(ilpha_{j,k}t)} - 1]
ight\}$$
 ,

where c is a real constant and the  $l_{j,k}$  satisfy

$$0 \leqq l_{j,k} \leqq \lambda_{j,k}$$
 .

*Proof.* Let  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  be the two characteristic functions such that for any real t

(2.1) 
$$f_0(t) = f_1(t)f_2(t)$$
.

Since  $f_0$  is an entire characteristic function, from Raikov's theorem ([6], theorem 8.1.1),  $f_j$  (j = 1, 2) is also entire and the equation (2.1) is also valid for any complex t. Moreover, we have the ridge property ([6], Theorem 7.1.2) which can be written, since  $f_j$  is evidently without zeros,

(2.2) 
$$u_j(0, y) - u_j(x, y) \ge 0$$
  $(j = 1, 2)$ 

for any real x and y where  $u_j$  is defined by

$$u_j(x, y) = \operatorname{Re} \log f_j(x + iy)$$
.

From the Theorem 1 of the introduction, it follows that for any complex t

(2.3) 
$$f_1(t) = \exp\left\{ict + \sum_{j=1}^{p} \sum_{k=1}^{s_j} l'_{j,k} [\exp\left(ik\alpha_{j,1}t\right) - 1]\right\},$$

where c and the  $l'_{j,k}$  are real constants and where  $s_j$  is defined by

$$s_jlpha_{j,1} \leq \sup_k lpha_{k,r_k} < (s_j+1)lpha_{j,1}$$
 .

From (2.3), it follows by an elementary computation that

(2.4) 
$$u_1(0, y) - u_1(x, y) = 2 \sum_{j=1}^p \sum_{k=1}^{s_j} l'_{j,k} \sin^2\left(\frac{1}{2}k\alpha_{j,1}x\right) \exp\left(k\alpha_{j,1}y\right)$$
.

We show now by induction that all the  $l'_{j,k}$  for  $k\alpha_{j,1} \notin \{\alpha_{j,k}\}$  are

equal to zero and that all the  $l'_{j,k}$  for  $k\alpha_{j,1} \in \{\alpha_{j,k}\}$  are nonnegative. (It is sufficient to show that all the  $l'_{j,k}$  are nonnegative since if  $l'_{j,k}$  for  $k\alpha_{j,1} \notin \{\alpha_{j,k}\}$  is nonnegative, the corresponding term in  $f_2$  is also nonnegative and their sum is zero).

First of all, we show that

$$(2.5) label{l_j,s_i} \geq 0 .$$

Indeed, from Kronecker's theorem ([3], Theorem 444), it is possible to find x = x(y) such that

(2.6) 
$$\sin\left(\frac{1}{2}k\alpha_{j',1}x\right) = o(\exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}s_{j'}\alpha_{j',1}y\right])$$

$$(y
ightarrow\infty) \qquad j'
eq j,\,k=1,\,\cdots,\,s_{j'}$$

and

(2.7) 
$$\sin\left(\frac{1}{2}s_{j}\alpha_{j,1}x\right) \geq 1 - \varepsilon.$$

We have then from (2.4)

$$u_1(0, y) - u_1(x, y) = O[l'_{j,s_1} \exp{(s_j \alpha_{j,1} y)}]$$

when  $y \rightarrow \infty$  and (2.2) implies (2.5).

Let now  $k < s_j$  and let  $\nu$  be the smallest integer greater than k such that  $l'_{j,\nu} > 0$  (if such a  $\nu$  does not exist, the preceding proof is still valid). From the hypothesis of induction, we can suppose that  $l'_{j,k'}$  is zero if k' (>k) is not a multiple of  $\nu$ . From Kronecker's theorem, it is possible to find x = x(y) and an integer  $p_j$  such that (2.6) and

(2.8) 
$$x\alpha_{j,1} - 2p_j\pi - \frac{2\pi}{\nu} = o(\exp\left[-\frac{1}{2}s_j\alpha_{j,1}y\right]) \qquad (y \to \infty)$$

are satisfied. We have then from (2.4)

$$u_1(0, y) - u_1(x, y) = O[l'_{j,k} \sin^2(\frac{1}{2}k\alpha_{j,1}x) \exp(k\alpha_{j,1}y)]$$

and

$$\sin^2\left(\frac{1}{2}k\alpha_{j,1}x\right) \ge c > 0 .$$

It follows from (2.2) that

$$l'_{j,k}\geqq 0$$

and the theorem is demonstrated.

We can generalize the Theorem 3 in the following manner:

THEOREM 4. Let  $f_0$  be the infinitely divisible characteristic function of the variable t defined by

$$f_{0}(t) = \exp\left\{ i \gamma t + \sum_{j=1}^{p} \sum_{k=1}^{r_{j}} \lambda_{j,k} [\exp\left(i lpha_{j,k} t
ight) - 1] + \sum_{q=1}^{\infty} \mu_{q} [\exp\left(i eta_{q} t
ight) - 1] 
ight\},$$

#### where the following conditions are satisfied

(1)  $\gamma$  is a real constant;

(2) the  $\lambda_{j,k}$  and the  $\mu_q$  are nonnegative constants and there exists a positive constant K such that

$$\mu_q = O[\exp{(-Keta_q^2)}] \qquad (q
ightarrow\infty)$$
 .

(3) the 
$$\alpha_{j,k}$$
 and the  $\beta_q$  are positive constants such that

- (a)  $\alpha_{j,k+1}/\alpha_{j,k}$   $(k = 1, \dots, r_j 1; j = 1, \dots, p)$  and  $\beta_{q+1}/\beta_q$  $(q = 1, 2, \dots)$  are integers greater than 1;
  - (b)  $\alpha_{1,1}, \dots, \alpha_{p,1}$  and  $\beta_1$  are rationally independent. If  $f_1$  is a factor of  $f_0$ , then

$$f_1(t) = \exp\left\{ict + \sum_{j=1}^p \sum_{k=1}^{r_j} l_{j,k}[\exp{(ilpha_{j,k}t)} - 1] + \sum_{q=1}^\infty m_q \left[\exp{(ieta_q t)} - 1
ight]
ight\},$$

where c is a real constant and the  $l_{j,k}$  and the  $m_q$  satisfy

$$0 \leqq l_{j,k} \leqq \lambda_{j,k} \, ; \ \ 0 \leqq m_q \leqq \mu_q$$
 .

*Proof.* The proof is essentially the same as the preceding. Using the Theorem 2 of the introduction, we obtain the representation

$$egin{aligned} f_1(t) &= \exp\left\{ict + \sum\limits_{j=1}^p \sum\limits_{k=1}^{s_j} l'_{j,k} [\exp\left(iklpha_{j,1}t
ight) - 1] 
ight. \ &+ \sum\limits_{q=1}^{a} m'_q [\exp\left(iqeta_1t
ight) - 1] + \sum\limits_{q= au}^\infty m_q [\exp\left(ieta_qt
ight) - 1] 
ight\}, \end{aligned}$$

where c, the  $l'_{j,k}$  and the  $m'_q$  are real constants, the  $m_q$  satisfy

 $0 \leq m_q \leq \mu_q$ 

and where  $s_j$ ,  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  are defined by  $(d = \sup_i \alpha_{j,r_j})$ 

$$egin{aligned} &s_jlpha_{j,1} \leqq d < (s_j+1)lpha_{j,1} \ , \ &\sigmaeta_1 \leqq d < (\sigma+1)eta_1 \ , \ η_{ au-1} \leqq d < eta_{ au} \ . \end{aligned}$$

The proof of the nonnegativity of all the  $l'_{j,k}$  and of all the  $m'_q(q \leq \sigma)$ (which implies that all the  $l'_{j,k}$  for  $k\alpha_{j,1} \notin \{\alpha_{j,k}\}$  and all the  $m'_q$  for  $q\beta_1 \notin \{\beta_q\}$  are zero) is the same except that we use instead of the Theorem 444 of [3]) the other form of Kronecker's theorem (Theorem 443 of [3]) which asserts that the values of x satisfying (2.6) and (2.7) (or (2.6) and (2.8)) can be taken in the form  $2\kappa\pi/\beta_q$  ( $\kappa$  integer).

3. Some auxiliary results. We enumerate now some results which are useful in the following section.

LEMMA 1. ([7], Corollary of the Theorem 1). Let f be a function of the complex variable z, analytic in the half-plane  $\operatorname{Re} z \geq 0$  and satisfying the conditions

 $(1) |f(z)| \leq M_1 |z+1|^a \qquad \qquad for \;\; {
m Re} \; z=0 \; ,$ 

 $(2) |f(z)| \leq M_2(z+1)^c \exp{(bz)}$  for Im z=0,

 $(3) |f(z)| \leq M_3 |z+1|^c \exp \left[ d(\operatorname{Re} z)^z 
ight] \ for \ \operatorname{Re} \ z \geq 0 \ ,$ 

where  $M_1, M_2, M_3$  are positive constants and a, b, c ( $\geq a$ ) and d are nonnegative constants. Then in all the half-plane Re  $z \geq 0$ 

$$|f(z)| \leq M_1 |z+1|^a \exp{(b \operatorname{Re} z)}$$
 .

LEMMA 2. Let f be a function of the n complex variables  $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$  admitting the representation

$$f(z) = \sum_{p_1=0}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{p_n=0}^{\infty} d_{p_1,\dots,p_n} \exp\left(2\pi \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{p_j z_j}{T_j}\right),$$

where  $T_j > 0$   $(j = 1, \dots, n)$ . In order that the constants  $d_{p_1,\dots,p_n}$  satisfy for some K > 0 the relation

$$d_{p_1,\ldots,p_n} = O\!\!\left(\exp\left(-K\sum\limits_{j=1}^n p_j^2
ight)
ight) \qquad \left(\sum\limits_{j=1}^n p_j 
ightarrow \infty
ight),$$

it is necessary that f be an entire function satisfying

$$\ln |f(z)| = O\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} |\operatorname{Re} z_{j}|^{2}\right) \qquad (|z| \to \infty)$$

and sufficient that f be an entire function satisfying

$$egin{aligned} &\ln |f(z)| = O\!\!\left(\sum_{j=1}^n |[ extsf{Re}\, z_j\,|^2 + \ln |\, z\,|
ight) \ & (|\, z\,| o \infty) \quad \left(|\, z\,|^2 = \sum_{j=1}^n |\, z_j\,|^2
ight). \end{aligned}$$

In the case n = 1, this lemma is a particular case of the Theorem 2 of [7]. The proof in the general case is the same as in [7] and is therefore omitted.

LEMMA 3. If the entire function f of the variable z satisfies for some real K the condition

$$|f(z)| \leq \exp \left[K \operatorname{Re} z + O(\ln |z|)\right] \quad (\operatorname{Re} z \geq 0)$$

when  $|z| \rightarrow \infty$  and admits an expansion of the form

$$f(z) = \sum_{p=-\infty}^{+\infty} a_p \exp((2\pi p z/T))$$
,

where T > 0 and the series converges uniformly in every bounded set, then

 $a_n = 0$ 

$$p > [KT(2\pi)^{-1}]$$
.

This lemma is a particular case of the Theorem 3 of [7].

LEMMA 4. If  $\varphi$  is an entire function of the *n* variables  $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$  such that for any  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and any  $\varepsilon > 0$ 

$$u(x, y) = \operatorname{Re} \varphi(x + iy) = O[\exp \left(\tau + \varepsilon\right)(|x| + |y|)]$$
$$(|x| + |y| \rightarrow \infty),$$

then  $\varphi$  is a function of exponential type  $\tau$  with respect to the hermitian norm.

This lemma is a particular case of the Lemma 2 of the theorem 2.5 of [1].

For the following lemma, we recall the

DEFINITION. Let  $\{f_n\}$  be a sequence of functions belonging to a Banach space of functions. The  $f_n$  are said topologically independent if the relation

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\left\|\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\alpha_n(\varepsilon)f_n\right\|=0$$

implies

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\alpha_n(\varepsilon)=0 \qquad n=1,\,2,\,\cdots.$$

We have then the

LEMMA 5. (Lemma 1 of the Theorem 6.1 of [1]). Let  $\{\lambda_j\}$  a sequence of real numbers such that

$$\sum\limits_{j=1}^{\infty}rac{1}{\mid\lambda_{j}\mid}<+\infty$$
 .

Then the functions  $1, z, \exp(\lambda_j z)$   $(j = 1, 2, \cdots)$  are topologically independent in the space C(a, b) of continuous functions f on [a, b]  $(-\infty < a < b < +\infty)$  with the norm  $||f|| = \sup_{a < z < b} |f(z)|$ .

Recall ([1], Chapter 4) that a function  $\varphi$  of the *n* complex variables  $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$  is said a ridge function if it is an entire function satisfying for any  $z \in C^n$  the relation

$$|\varphi(z)| \leq \varphi(\operatorname{Re} z)$$
 (Re  $z = (\operatorname{Re} z_1, \cdots, \operatorname{Re} z_n)$ ).

We have the

LEMMA 6. Let  $\varphi_0$  be a ridge function of the *n* variables  $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$  without zeros and  $\varphi_1$  and  $\varphi_2$  be two ridge functions such that

$$\varphi_0 = \varphi_1 \varphi_2$$
.

There exists a positive constant C such that

$$M(r; \log \varphi_j) \leq 6rM(r+1; \log \varphi_0) + Cr(r+1)$$
  $(j = 1, 2)$ ,

where

$$M(r; f) = \sup_{|z|=r} |f(z)|$$
.

Proof. Let

$$\psi_j(z) = \log \left[\varphi_j(z)\right], \qquad \operatorname{Re} \psi_j(x+iy) = u_j(x,y)$$

for any  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$  (j = 0, 1, 2). Since  $\varphi_1$  and  $\varphi_0/\varphi_1$  are ridge functions without zeros, we have

$$(3.1) \qquad 0 \leq u_1(x, 0) - u_1(x, y) \leq u_0(x, 0) - u_0(x, y) \leq 2M(r; \psi_0)$$

for  $|x + iy| \leq r$ .

We estimate now  $|u_1(x, 0)|$ . For that, we use the existence for any ridge function  $\varphi$  of a positive constant  $C_{\varphi}$  such that

$$\log \varphi(x) \ge -C_{\varphi} |x|$$

for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Indeed, since  $\log \varphi(\lambda \theta)$  is for any direction  $\theta$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  a convex function of  $\lambda$ , we have

(3.3) 
$$\log \varphi(\lambda \theta) \ge \log \varphi(0) + \lambda(\alpha \cdot \theta) ,$$

where  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ ,  $\alpha_j \varphi(0) = \{\partial \varphi(0) / \partial z_j \text{ and where } (\alpha \cdot \theta) \text{ indicates.}$ the scalar product of the vectors  $\alpha$  and  $\theta$ . The relation (3.2) is an immediate consequence of (3.3).

From (3.2), we have

(3.4) 
$$u_1(x, 0) = \psi_1(x) \ge -C_1 |x|$$
,

$$(3.5) u_1(x, 0) = \psi_0(x) - \psi_2(x) \leq \psi_0(x) + C_2 |x|.$$

From (3.4) and (3.5), it follows

$$|u_1(x, 0)| \leq M(r; \psi_0) + Cr$$
  $(|x| \leq r)$ 

for some positive constant C and from (3.1)

$$|u_1(x, y)| \leq 3M(r; \psi_0) + Cr(|x + iy| \leq r)$$
.

Let now  $g_{\theta}$  the function of the complex variable  $\lambda$  defined by

68

$$g_{\theta}(\lambda) = \psi_1(\lambda\theta)$$

for some direction  $\theta$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Then

$$v_{ heta}(\mu,
u) = \operatorname{Re} g_{ heta}(\mu+i
u) = u_{ heta}(\mu heta+i
u heta)$$

for any real  $\mu$  and  $\nu$ . We have then  $(\lambda = \mu + i\nu)$ 

$$g_{ heta}'(\lambda) = rac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} v_{ heta}(\mu + \coslpha, 
u + \sinlpha) e^{-ilpha} dlpha$$
 ;

so that

$$(3.6) \qquad \begin{array}{l} |\,g_{\theta}'(\lambda)\,| \leq 2 \sup_{\scriptscriptstyle 0 \leq \alpha \leq 2\pi} |\,v_{\theta}(\mu + \cos\alpha,\nu + \sin\alpha)\,| \\ \leq 6M(r+1;\log\varphi_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}) + C(r+1) \end{array}$$

 $(|\lambda| \leq r)$  for some positive constant C. Since

$$g_{ heta}(\lambda) = \int_{0}^{\mu} g_{ heta}'(\xi) d\xi + i \int_{0}^{
u} g_{ heta}'(\mu + i 
u) d\eta$$

and since  $\theta$  is arbitrary, the lemma is a consequence of (3.6).

LEMMA 7. (Lemma of the Theorem 5 of [2]). If f is an entire characteristic function of the two variables  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  and  $t_2^0$  a real constant, the function  $f_{t_2^0}$  defined by

$${f}_{t_2^0}(t_1) = rac{f(t_1, \, it_2^0)}{f(0, \, it_2^0)}$$

is an entire characteristic function.

4. The case of several variables. First of all, we consider the case of functions of two variables.

**THEOREM 5.** Let  $f_0$  be the infinitely divisible characteristic function of the two variables  $t = (t_1, t_2)$  defined by

$$egin{aligned} f_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}(t) &= \exp\left\{i\pi(t) + \sum\limits_{j=1}^\infty \left(\lambda_j [\exp\left(ilpha_j t_1
ight) - 1
ight] 
ight. \ &+ \mu_j [\exp\left(ieta_j t_2
ight) - 1
ight] + 
u_j [\exp\left(ilpha_j t_2
ight) - 1
ight] + 
u_j [\exp\left(ilpha_j t_1 + ieta_j t_2
ight) - 1
ight] 
ight
angle, \end{aligned}$$

where the following conditions are satisfied

(1)  $\pi$  is an homogeneous polynomial of degree one with real coefficients;

(2)  $\lambda_j$ ,  $\mu_j$ ,  $\nu_j$  are nonnegative constants and there exists a positive constant K such that

#### ROGER CUPPENS

$$egin{aligned} \lambda_j &= O[\exp\left(-Klpha_j^2
ight)] \ ; & \mu_j &= O[\exp\left(-Keta_j^2
ight)] \ , \ 
u_i &= O[\exp\left(-K(lpha_i^2+eta_i^2)
ight)] & (j o +\infty) \ ; \end{aligned}$$

- (3) the  $\alpha_j$  are positive constants satisfying the three conditions
  - (a) there exists  $q_1$  such that  $\alpha_{j+1}/\alpha_j$  is an integer greater than 1 for  $j \ge q_1$ ;
  - (b) the set  $\{\alpha_j; j < q_1\}$  can be decomposed in p sets  $\{\alpha_{j,k}\}$  $(j = 1, \dots, p; k = 1, \dots, r_j; \sum_{j=1}^{p} r_j = q_1 - 1)$  such that  $\alpha_{j,k+1}/\alpha_{j,k}$   $(k = 1, \dots, r_j - 1; j = 1, \dots, p)$  is an integer greater than 1 and  $\alpha_{1,1}, \dots, \alpha_{p,1}$  are rationally independent;
  - (c) either  $\alpha_{q_1}$  is a multiple of one of the  $\alpha_{j,r_j}$  or  $\alpha_{1,1}, \dots, \alpha_{p,1}$ and  $\alpha_{q_1}$  are rationally independent;

(4) the  $\beta_j$  are positive constants having the same property. If  $f_1$  is a factor of  $f_0$ , then

$$egin{aligned} f_1(t) &= \exp\left\{iP(t) + \sum\limits_{j=1}^\infty \left(l_j [\exp{(ilpha_j t_1)} - 1] 
ight. \ &+ m_j [\exp{(ieta_j t_2)} - 1] + n_j [\exp{(ilpha_j t_1 + ieta_j t_2)} - 1]) 
ight\} \end{aligned}$$

where P is an homogeneous polynomial of degree one with real coefficients and where  $l_j, m_j, n_j$  are constants satisfying the conditions

$$0 \leq l_j \leq \lambda_j$$
;  $0 \leq m_j \leq \mu_j$ ,  $0 \leq n_j \leq \nu_j$ .

*Proof.* Let  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  be the two characteristic functions such that for any real  $t_1$  and  $t_2$ 

$$(4.1) f_0(t_1, t_2) = f_1(t_1, t_2)f_2(t_1, t_2) .$$

Since  $f_0$  is an entire characteristic function, from Raikov's theorem ([1], Theorem 2.3),  $f_j$  is also entire (j = 1, 2) and the equation (4.1) is also valid for any complex  $t_1$  and  $t_2$ , Letting

$$arphi_j(z) = f_j(-iz)$$
 , $u_j(x,y) = \operatorname{Re}\log arphi_j(x+iy)$  ,

(j = 0, 1, 2) for any  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^2$ , since  $\varphi_j$  is a ridge function ([1], Corollary 1 of the Theorem 2.1), we have

$$(4.2) 0 \leq u_1(x, 0) - u_1(x, y) \leq u_0(x, 0) - u_0(x, y)$$

for any  $x, y \in R^2$ .

If we fix  $z_2$  real, using the Lemma 7 and the Theorem 4, we have

(4.3) 
$$\log \varphi_1(z) = a + bz_1 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} c_j \exp(\alpha_j z_1)$$

where a, b,  $c_j$  are functions of  $z_2$ , real for  $z_2$  real and satisfying

(4.4) 
$$0 \leq c_j(z_2) \leq \lambda_j + \nu_j \exp(\beta_j z_2) .$$

If we fix  $z_1$  real, we have

(4.5) 
$$\log \varphi_1(z) = r + sz_2 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} t_j \exp(\beta_j z_2)$$
,

where  $r, s, t_j$  are functions of  $z_1$ , real for  $z_1$  real and satisfying

(4.6) 
$$0 \leq t_j(z_1) \leq \mu_j + \nu_j \exp(\alpha_j z_1) .$$

From (4.3) and (4.5), we obtain the equation for any real  $z_1$  and  $z_2$ 

$$a + bz_1 + \sum\limits_{j=1}^\infty c_j \exp\left(lpha_j z_1
ight) = r + sz_2 + \sum\limits_{k=1}^\infty t_2 \exp\left(eta_k z_2
ight)$$

which can be solved by using the Lemma 5 (for the details, see the proof of the Theorem 6.1 of [1|). We obtain for any  $z_1$  and  $z_2$  complex the representation

(4.7)  
$$\log \varphi_1(z) = c + P(z) + dz_1 z_2 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left[ \rho_j z_2 \exp(\alpha_j z_1) + \sigma_j z_1 \exp(\beta_j z_2) \right] \\ + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} n_{j,k} \exp(\alpha_j z_1 + \beta_k z_2) ,$$

where all the constants and the coefficients of the homogeneous polynomial P of degree one are real (with the convention  $\alpha_0 = \beta_0 = n_{0,0} = 0$ ). By an elementary computation, we obtain

$$u_{1}(x, 0) - u_{1}(x, y) = dy_{1}y_{2} + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left[ 2\rho_{j}x_{2} \exp(\alpha_{j}x_{1}) \sin^{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\alpha_{j}y_{1}\right) + 2\sigma_{j}x_{1} \exp(\beta_{j}x_{2}) \sin^{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\beta_{j}y_{2}\right) + \rho_{j}y_{2} \exp(\alpha_{j}x_{1}) \sin(\alpha_{j}y_{1}) + \sigma_{j}y_{1} \exp(\beta_{j}x_{2}) \sin(\beta_{j}y_{2})\right] + 2\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} n_{j,k} \exp(\alpha_{j}x_{1} + \beta_{k}x_{2}) \sin^{2}\left(\frac{\alpha_{j}y_{1} + \beta_{k}y_{2}}{2}\right).$$

Letting  $|y_1| \rightarrow \infty$ , we obtain from (4.2) and (4.8)

$$dy_{\scriptscriptstyle 2} + \sum\limits_{j=1}^\infty \sigma_j \exp\left(eta_j x^2
ight) \sin\left(eta_j y_{\scriptscriptstyle 2}
ight) = 0$$
 .

Since the expression in the left member is the imaginary part of

$$dz_2 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sigma_j \exp\left(eta_j z_2
ight)$$
 ,

we deduce from the Lemmas 4 and 3 that

$$d=\sigma_j=0$$
 .

In the same manner, letting  $|y_2| \rightarrow \infty$ , we obtain

$$\rho_j = 0$$
.

From the Lemma 5 and (4.4), it follows that for any real  $x_2$ 

(4.9) 
$$0 \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} n_{j,k} \exp(\beta_k x_2) \leq \lambda_j + \nu_j \exp(\beta_j x_2) .$$

On the other hand,  $\log \varphi_0$  satisfies

$$\log \varphi_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}(z) = O[|z|(1 + \exp{(N |\operatorname{Re} z|^2)})] \qquad (|z| \to \infty)$$

for some N > 0. It follows from the lemma 6 that

$$\log \varphi_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}(z) = O[|z|^2 (1 + \exp(N |\operatorname{Re} z|^2))] \qquad (|z| \to \infty)$$

and from the sufficient part of the Lemma 2 applied to

$$\sum_{j=q_1}^{\infty}\sum_{k=q_2}^{\infty}n_{j,k}\exp\left(\alpha_j z_1+\beta_k z_2\right)$$

(the constant  $q_1$  is defined in the statement of the theorem and the constant  $q_2$  is the analogous for the  $\beta_k$ ), we have for some  $\kappa' > 0$ 

$$n_{j,k} = O[\exp{(-\kappa'(j^2+k^2))}]$$
  $(|j|+|k|
ightarrow\infty)$  ,

that implies from the necessary part of the Lemma 2

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} n_{j,k} \exp\left(eta_k z_2
ight) = O[\exp\left(N' \mid \operatorname{Re} z_2 \mid^2
ight)] \qquad (\mid z_2 \mid 
ightarrow \infty)$$

for any complex  $z_2$  and some N' > 0. Using the Lemma 1, we obtain

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} n_{j,k} \exp\left(\beta_k z_2\right) = O[\exp\left(\beta_j z_2\right)] \qquad (|z_2| \to \infty)$$

in  $\{\operatorname{Re} z_2 \geq 0\}$ , that implies from the Lemma 3

$$n_{i,k} = 0$$

for all the k such that  $\beta_k > \beta_j$  and from (4.9)

$$n_{j,j} \ge 0$$
,  $n_{j,0} \ge 0$ .

In the same manner, from (4.6), we obtain

$$n_{j,k}=0$$

for all the j such that  $\alpha_j > \alpha_k$  and

$$n_{\scriptscriptstyle 0,j} \geqq 0$$
 .

In particular, we have  $(q = \sup (q_1, q_2))$ 

$$n_{j,k}=0$$

if  $(j, k) \notin \{(j, j), (0, j), (j, 0)\}$  and either  $j \ge q$  or  $k \ge q$ . (4.7) becomes (with  $l_j = n_{j,0}, m_j = n_{0,j}, n_j = n_{j,j}$  if  $j \ge q$ ).

$$\log \varphi_{1}(z) = c + P(z)$$
(4.10)
$$+ \sum_{j=q}^{\infty} [l_{j} \exp (\alpha_{j} z_{1}) + m_{j} \exp (\beta_{j} z_{2}) + n_{j} \exp (\alpha_{j} z_{1} + \beta_{j} z_{2})]$$

$$+ \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} n_{j,k} \exp (\alpha_{j} z_{1} + \beta_{k} z_{2})$$

and (4.8) becomes

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{2} [ u_1(x, 0) - u_1(x, y) ] \\ &= \sum_{j=q}^{\infty} \left[ l_j \exp{(\alpha_j x_1)} \sin^2{(\frac{1}{2}\alpha_j y_1)} + m_j \exp{(\beta_j x_2)} \sin^2{(\frac{1}{2}\beta_j y_2)} \right. \\ (4.11) &+ n_j \exp{(\alpha_j x_1 + \beta_j x_2)} \sin^2{\left(\frac{\alpha_j y_1 + \beta_j y_2}{2}\right)} \\ &+ \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} n_{j,k} \exp{(\alpha_j x_1 + \beta_k x_2)} \sin^2{\left(\frac{\alpha_j y_1 + \beta_k y_2}{2}\right)} \,. \end{split}$$

We show now by induction that all the  $n_{j,k}$   $(i \leq q-1, k \leq q-1)$ are nonnegative (that implies  $n_{j,k} = 0$  if  $(j, k) \notin \{(j, j), (j, 0), (0, j)\}$ ). We show that this result is true for  $j = j_0$  such that  $\alpha_{j_0} = \sup_{j=1,\dots,q-1} \alpha_j$ . We put  $y_1 = 2\pi/\alpha_q$  and choose  $y_2$  from Kronecker's theorem (Theorem 443 of [3]) such that

(a) 
$$y_2 = \frac{2\kappa'\pi}{\beta_{\iota'}}$$

( $\kappa'$  integer) where  $\beta_{\iota'}$  is the smallest number greater than  $\beta_k$  such that  $\beta_q/\beta_{\iota'}$  is integer;

(b) 
$$\sin\left(\frac{\alpha_{j_0}y_1+\beta_{k'}y_2}{2}\right)=o[\exp\left(\frac{1}{2}\beta_{k'}x_2\right)] \quad (x_2\to\infty)$$

for all the k' such that  $\beta_{k'} \geq \beta_k$ ;

(c) 
$$\sin\left(\frac{lpha_{j_0}y_1+eta_ky_2}{2}
ight)\geq C>0$$
 .

Then if  $x_2$  is chosen great enough, we obtain from (4.11)

$$u_1(x, 0) - u_1(x, y) = O\left[n_{j_0, k} \exp{(\alpha_{j_0} x_1 + \beta_k x_2) \sin^2{\left(\frac{\alpha_{j_0} y_1 + \beta_k y_2}{2}\right)}}
ight]$$

 $(x_1 \rightarrow \infty)$ , that implies with (4.2)

 $n_{j_0k}\geqq 0$  .

#### ROGER CUPPENS

Let now (j, k) arbitrary. We can suppose that

$$n_{j',k'} \ge 0$$
 if  $(j',k') \in \{(j',j'), (j',0), (0,j')\}$ 

and

$$n_{j',k'} = 0$$
 if  $(j',k') \notin \{(j',j'), (j',0), (0,j')\}$ 

if either  $\alpha_{j'} > \alpha_j$  or j' = j,  $\beta_{k'} > \beta_k$ . Then we choose  $y_1$  from Kronecker's theorem such that

(a) 
$$y_1 = \frac{2\kappa\pi}{\alpha_l}$$

( $\kappa$  integer) where  $\alpha_i$  is the smallest integer greater than  $\alpha_j$  such that  $\alpha_q/\alpha_i$  is integer;

(b) 
$$\sin\left(\frac{1}{2}\alpha_{j'}y_{1}\right) = o\left[\exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\alpha_{j'}x_{1}\right)\right] \quad (x_{1} \to \infty)$$

for all j' such that  $\alpha_{j'} > \alpha_j$ ;

(c) 
$$|\sin\left(\frac{1}{2}\alpha_{j}y_{1}\right)| \geq c > 0$$
.

We choose now  $y_2$  such that, from Kronecker's theorem

$$(\alpha) y_2 = \frac{2\kappa'\pi}{\beta_{\nu'}}$$

( $\kappa'$  integer) where  $\beta_{l'}$  is the smallest integer greater than  $\beta_k$  such that  $\beta_q/\beta_{l'}$  is integer;

$$(\beta) \quad \sin\left(\frac{\alpha_{j'}y_1 + \beta_{j'}y_2}{2}\right) = o[\exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\alpha_{j'}x_1\right)] \qquad (x_1 \to \infty)$$

for all j' such that  $\alpha_{j'} > \alpha_j$ ;

(
$$\gamma$$
)  $\sin\left(\frac{\alpha_j y_1 + \beta_j y_2}{2}\right) = o[\exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\alpha_j x_1\right)]$   $(x_1 \to \infty)$ 

if  $\beta_i > \beta_k$  (otherwise, this condition is superfluous);

$$(\delta)$$
  $\left|\sin\left(\frac{\alpha_j y_1 + \beta_k y_2}{2}\right)\right| \ge C' \ge 0$ .

We have then, from (4.11), if  $x_2$  is chosen great enough,

$$u_1(x, 0) - u_1(x, y) = O\left[n_{j,k} \exp\left(\alpha_j x_1 + \beta_k x_2\right) \sin^2\left(\frac{\alpha_j y_1 + \beta_k y_2}{2}\right)\right]$$

 $(x_1 \rightarrow \infty)$ , that implies

$$n_{{}_{j,k}}\geqq 0$$
 ,

and the theorem is demonstrated, the value of c in (4.10) being determined by the condition  $\log \varphi_1(0) = 0$ .

From this theorem, we deduce easily by the method of the Chapters 5 and 6 of [1] the

**THEOREM 6.** Let  $f_0$  be the infinitely divisible characteristic function of the n variables  $t = (t_1, \dots, t_n)$  defined by

$$f_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}(t) = \exp \left\{ i \pi(t) + \sum\limits_{j=1}^\infty \sum\limits_{arepsilon} \lambda_{j,arepsilon} iggl[ \exp \left( i \sum\limits_{k=1}^n arepsilon_k lpha_{j,k} t_k 
ight) - 1 
ight]$$

where the following conditions are satisfied:

(1)  $\pi$  is an homogeneous polynomial of degree one with real coefficients;

(2)  $\varepsilon_k = 0$  or 1 and  $\sum_{\varepsilon}$  indicates the summation on the  $2^n - 1$  values of  $\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n)$  different from  $(0, \dots, 0)$ ;

(3)  $\lambda_{j,\epsilon}$  are nonnegative constants and there exists a positive constant K such that

$$\lambda_{j,\epsilon} = O\!\!\left[\exp\left(-K\sum_{k=1}^n arepsilon_k lpha_{j,k}^2
ight)
ight] \qquad (j 
ightarrow + \infty) \; ;$$

(4)  $\{\alpha_{j,k}\}$  is, for  $k = 1, \dots, n$ , a sequence of positive numbers satisfying the condition (3) of the Theorem 5.

If  $f_1$  is a factor of  $f_0$ , then

$$f_1(t) = \exp\left\{iP(t) + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\sum_{\varepsilon} l_{j,\varepsilon}\left[\exp\left(i\sum_{k=1}^n \varepsilon_k lpha_{j,k} t_k\right) - \mathbf{1}
ight]
ight\},$$

where P is an homogeneous polynomial of degree one with real coefficients and  $l_{j,\epsilon}$  are constants satisfying the conditions

$$0 \leq l_{j, \varepsilon} \leq \lambda_{j, \varepsilon}$$
 .

With the same method, we can deduce from the Theorem 1' of Ostrovskiy [8] the

**THEOREM 7.** Let  $f_0$  be the infinitely divisible characteristic function of the n variables  $t = (t_1, \dots, t_n)$  defined by

$$f_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}(t) = \exp \left\{ i \pi(t) + \sum\limits_{j=1}^\infty \sum\limits_{arepsilon} \lambda_{j,arepsilon} iggl[ \exp \left( i \sum\limits_{k=1}^n arepsilon_k lpha_{j,k} t_k 
ight) - 1 
ight]$$

where, beyond the conditions (1), (2), (3) of the preceding theorem, the following condition is satisfied:

(4')  $\{\alpha_{j,k}\}$  is for  $k = 1, \dots, n$  a sequence of increasing positive numbers such that

(a) there exists  $q_k$  such that  $\alpha_{j+1,k}/\alpha_{j,k}$   $(j \ge q_k)$  is an integer

greater than 1;

(b) there exists a positive constant  $a_k$  such that  $a_k \leq \lambda_{j,k} \leq 2a_k$  $(j < q_k)$ .

If  $f_1$  is a factor of  $f_0$ , then

$$f_1(t) = \exp\left\{iP(t) + \sum_{j=1}^\infty \sum_arepsilon l_{j,arepsilon} \left[\exp\left(i\sum_{k=1}^n arepsilon_k lpha_{j,k} t_k
ight) - 1
ight]
ight\},$$

where P is an homogeneous polynomial of degree one with real coefficients and  $l_{j,\epsilon}$  are constants satisfying the conditions

 $0 \leq l_{j,\varepsilon} \leq \lambda_{j,\varepsilon}$  .

#### References

1. R. Cuppens, Décomposition des fonctions caractéristiques des vecteurs aléatoires, Publ. Inst. Statist. Univ. Paris, **16** (1967), 63-153.

2. \_\_\_\_, On finite products of Poisson-type characteristic functions of several variables (to appear in Ann. Math. Stat.).

3. G. H. Hardy and E. M. Wright, An introduction to the theory of numbers, 3rd ed Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1954.

 P. Lévy, L'arithmétique des lois de probabilité et les produits finis de lois de Poisson, Colloque de Genève, 1938, no. 3, pp. 25-59; Actualités Sci. Ind. no. 736, Hermann, éd.
 Yu. V. Linnik, Decomposition of probability laws (in Russian), Izdat. Leningrad Univ., Leningrad, 1960; (English translation) Oliver and Boyd, Edinburgh and London, 1964.

6. E. Lukacs, *Characteristic functions*, Griffin's Statistical Monographs and Courses, no. 5, Charles Griffin and Co., Ltd., London, 1960.

7. I. V. Ostrovskiy, Some theorems on the decomposition of probability laws (in Russian), Trudy Matem. Inst. Steklova **79** (1965), 198-235.

8. \_\_\_\_\_, On the decomposition of infinitely divisible laws without Gaussian factor (in Russian), Zap. Mehan. Matem. Fak i Karkov. Obsc. **32** (1966), 51-72.

9. D. A. Raikov, On the decomposition of Gauss and Poisson laws (in Russian), Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, ser. matem. 2 (1938), 91-124.

Received December 20, 1967. This work was supported by the National Science Foundation, under grant NSF-GP-6175.

THE CATHOLIC UNIVERSITY OF AMERICA FACULTÉ DES SCIENCES, MONTPELLIER

## PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

## EDITORS

H. ROYDEN Stanford University Stanford, California

R. R PHELPS University of Washington Seattle, Washington 98105 J. DUGUNDJI Department of Mathematics University of Southern California Los Angeles, California 90007

RICHARD ARENS University of California Los Angeles, California 90024

### ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH	B. H. NEUMANN	F. Wolf	K. Yosida

## SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF OREGON OSAKA UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF UTAH WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY CHEVRON RESEARCH CORPORATION TRW SYSTEMS NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

Printed in Japan by International Academic Printing Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan

# Pacific Journal of Mathematics Vol. 28, No. 1 March, 1969

Patrick Robert Ahern, On the geometry of the unit ball in the space of real annihilating measures	1	
Kirby Alan Baker, Equational classes of modular lattices	9	
E. F. Beckenbach and Gerald Andrew Hutchison, <i>Meromorphic minimal</i> surfaces	17	
Tae Ho Choe, Intrinsic topologies in a topological lattice	49	
John Bligh Conway, A theorem on sequential convergence of measures and some applications	53	
Roger Cuppens, On the decomposition of infinitely divisible probability laws without normal factor	61	
Lynn Harry Erbe, Nonoscillatory solutions of second order nonlinear		
differential equations	77	
Burton I. Fein, The Schur index for projective representations of finite groups	87	
Stanley P. Gudder, A note on proposition observables		
Kenneth Kapp, On Croisot's theory of decompositions		
Robert P. Kaufman, <i>Gap series and an example to Malliavin's theorem</i>	105 117	
E. J. McShane, Robert Breckenridge Warfield, Jr. and V. M. Warfield,		
Invariant extensions of linear functionals, with applications to measures and stochastic processes	121	
Marvin Victor Mielke, <i>Rearrangement of spherical modifications</i>	143	
Akio Osada, On unicity of capacity functions	145	
Donald Steven Passman, <i>Some</i> 5/2 <i>transitive permutation</i> groups	157	
Harold L. Peterson, Jr., <i>Regular and irregular measures on groups and</i>	157	
dyadic spaces	173	
Habib Salehi, On interpolation of q-variate stationary stochastic		
processes	183	
Michael Samuel Skaff, Vector valued Orlicz spaces generalized		
N-functions. I	193	
A. J. Ward, On H-equivalence of uniformities. II	207	
Thomas Paul Whaley, Algebras satisfying the descending chain condition		
for subalgebras	217	
G. K. White, On subgroups of fixed index	225	
Martin Michael Zuckerman, A unifying condition for implications among		
the axioms of choice for finite sets	233	