Pacific Journal of Mathematics

CONTINUOUS DEPENDENCE FOR TWO-POINT BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEMS

ROBERT GAINES

Vol. 28, No. 2

April 1969

CONTINUOUS DEPENDENCE FOR TWO-POINT BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEMS

ROBERT GAINES

Suppose the boundary value problem

(1.1)
$$y'' = f(t, y, y')$$

(1.2)
$$y(a) = \alpha$$
, $y(b) = \beta$

where f(t, y, y') is defined on $D \equiv [a, b] \times R^2$, has a unique solution $y(t; \alpha, \beta)$ which belongs to $C^2[a, b]$, for each (α, β) in some set $S \subseteq R^2$. This paper gives sufficient conditions for $y(t; \alpha, \beta)$, $y'(t; \alpha, \beta)$, and $y''(t; \alpha, \beta)$ to be continuous on $[a, b] \times S$.

In §2 it is shown that if f(t, y, y') is continuous on D and $y(t; \alpha, \beta)$ is continuous on $[a, b] \times S$, then $y'(t; \alpha, \beta)$ and $y''(t; \alpha, \beta)$ are continuous on $[a, b] \times S$. In §3 it is shown that $y(t; \alpha, \beta)$ is continuous under the assumption that solutions to boundary value problems for (1.1) exist and are unique in a certain strong sense. In §4 the continuity of $y(t; \alpha, \beta)$ is established under the assumption that solutions to (1.1) satisfy a maximum principle.

Bebernes [1], Fountain and Jackson [3], and others have given sufficient conditions for the problem (1.1), (1.2) to have a unique solution, but the question of continuous dependence has not been given extensive attention.

2. Derivatives of convergent sequences. In this section we establish that if f(t, y, y') is continuous on D, the continuity of $y(t; \alpha, \beta)$ is enough to guarantee the continuity of $y'(t; \alpha, \beta)$ and $y''(t; \alpha, \beta)$. The proof makes use of a lemma concerning derivatives of uniformly convergent sequences of solutions. First we prove a variation of a well-known result for initial value problems; e.g., see [4], p. 11.

LEMMA 2.1. Let f(t, y, y') be continuous on D. Given T > 0 there exists $\alpha(T) > 0$ such that if y(t) is a solution to (1.1) on [a, b] and $|y(t_0)| + |y'(t_0)| \leq T$ for some $t_0 \in [a, b]$, then $|y(t)| + |y'(t)| \leq 2T$ for

$$t \in \varDelta(t_{\circ}, \, lpha(T)) \equiv [a, \, b] \cap [t_{\circ} - lpha(T), \, t_{\circ} + lpha(T)]$$
.

Proof. Let

$$K \equiv \{(t, y, y') \in D \colon |y| + |y'| \leq 2T\}$$

and let

$$C \equiv \max_{\kappa} |f(t, y, y')| + 2T$$
.

Choose $\alpha(T) < T/C$.

Suppose for contradiction that y(t) is a solution to (1.1) on [a, b]with $|y(t_0)| + |y'(t_0)| \leq T$ and there exists $t_1 \in \mathcal{A}(t_0, \alpha(T))$ such that

$$|\,y(t_{\scriptscriptstyle 1})\,|\,+\,|\,y'(t_{\scriptscriptstyle 1})\,|>2T$$
 .

For definiteness assume $t_1 > t_0$. There exists $t_2 \in \varDelta(t_0, \alpha(T))$ such that $t_0 < t_2 < t_1$,

$$(2.1) |y(t_2)| + |y'(t_2)| = 2T$$

and

(2.2)
$$|y(t)| + |y'(t)| \leq 2T$$

for $t \in [t_0, t_2]$.

By the Mean Value Theorem

for some ζ_1 and ζ_2 in $[t_0, t_2]$. By (2.2), $|y'(\zeta_1)| \leq 2T$. Moreover, (2.2) yields that $(\zeta, y(\zeta_2), y'(\zeta_2)) \in K$ and we have

$$||y^{\prime\prime}(\zeta_2)| = |f(\zeta, y(\zeta_2), y^{\prime}(\zeta_2))| \leq \max_{\kappa} |f(t, y, y^{\prime})|$$

Thus

$$egin{aligned} |y(t_2)-y(t_0)|+|y'(t_2)-y'(t_0)| &\leq (2T+\max_{K}|f(t,\,y,\,y')\,|)(t_2-t_0)\ &\leq Clpha(T)\ &< T; \end{aligned}$$

hence,

$$| \, y(t_{\scriptscriptstyle 2}) \, | \, + \, | \, y'(t_{\scriptscriptstyle 2}) \, | \, < \, T \, + \, | \, y(t_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}) \, | \, + \, | \, y'(t_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}) \, | \, \leq \, 2T$$
 .

This contradicts (2.1).

LEMMA 2.2. Let f(t, y, y') be continuous on D and let $\{y_n(t)\}$ be a sequence of solutions to (1.1) on [a, b] such that $y_n(t) \rightarrow y_0(t)$ uniformly on [a, b] and $y_0(t)$ has a continuous derivative on [a, b]. If there exists a sequence $\{t_n\}$ in [a, b] such that $t_n \rightarrow t_0$ and $y'_n(t_n) \rightarrow z_0$, then there exists a subsequence $\{y_{k(n)}(t)\}$ such that $y'_{k(n)}(t) \rightarrow y'_0(t)$ uniformly on [a, b].

Proof. Let
$$T_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}\equiv |y_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}(t_{\scriptscriptstyle 0})|+|z_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}|+1$$
. There exists $N>0$ such that $|y_{\scriptscriptstyle n}(t_{\scriptscriptstyle n})|+|y'_{\scriptscriptstyle n}(t_{\scriptscriptstyle n})|\leq T_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}$

for $n \ge N$. By Lemma 2.1, there exists $\alpha(T_0) > 0$ such that for $n \ge N$

$$|y_n(t)| + |y'_n(t)| \leq 2T_0$$

on $\Delta(t_n, \alpha(T_0))$. Since $t_n \to t_0$, there exists $N_0 \ge N$ such that for $n \ge N_0$

 $|y_n(t)| + |y'_n(t)| \leq 2T_0$

on $\Delta(t_0, \alpha(T_0)/2)$. Let

$$K_{\scriptscriptstyle 0} \equiv \{(t,\,y,\,y')\colon t\in arDelta(t_{\scriptscriptstyle 0},\,lpha(T_{\scriptscriptstyle 0})/2),\,|\,y\,|\,+\,|\,y'\,|\,\leq 2T_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}\}$$
 .

For $n \ge N_0$ and $t \in \Delta(t_0, \alpha(T_0)/2)$ we have

$$|y_n''(t)| = |f(t, y_n(t), y_n'(t))| \le \max_{K_0} |f(t, y, y')|.$$

It follows from the Mean Value Theorem that $\{y'_n(t)\}$ is equicontinuous on $\Delta(t_0, \alpha(T_0)/2)$. Since $\{y'_n(t)\}$ is bounded by $2T_0$ on $\Delta(t_0, \alpha(T_0)/2)$ for $n \ge N_0$, Ascoli's Theorem implies that $\{y'_n(t)\}$ has a subsequence $\{y'_{k_1(n)}\}$ which converges uniformly on $\Delta(t_0, \alpha(T_0)/2)$ to some $z_0(t)$. But since $y_{k_1(n)}(t) \to y_0(t)$ on $\Delta(t_0, \alpha(T_0)2)$ we must have $z_0(t) \equiv y'_0(t)$ on $\Delta(t_0, \alpha(T_0)/2)$.

If $\Delta(t_0, \alpha(T_0)/2) = [a, b]$ we are through. If not, at least one end point of $\Delta(t_0, \alpha(T_0)/2)$ is in (a, b). Denote such an end point by t_1 .

Let $T \equiv \max_{[a,b]} (|y_0(t)| + |y'_0(t)|) + 1.$

There exists N_i such that for $n \ge N_i$

$$egin{aligned} |y_{k_1(n)}(t_1)| + |y'_{k_1(n)}(t_1)| &\leq |y_0(t_1)| + |y'_0(t_1)| + 1 \ &\leq T. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.1 there exists $\alpha(T)$ such that

$$|y_{k_1(n)}(t)| + |y'_{k_1(n)}(t)| \leq 2T$$

for $t \in \Delta(t_1, \alpha(T))$ and $n \geq N_1$. By the same arguments used for the interval $\Delta(t_0, \alpha(T_0)/2)$, $\{y_{k_1(n)}(t)\}$ has a subsequence $\{y_{k_2(n)}(t)\}$ such that $y'_{k_2(n)}(t) \to y'_0(t)$ uniformly on $\Delta(t_1, \alpha(T))$. Thus $y'_{k_2(n)}(t) \to y'_0(t)$ uniformly on $\Delta(t_1, \alpha(T)) \cup \Delta(t_0, \alpha(T_0)/2)$.

If $\Delta(t_1, \alpha(T)) \cup \Delta(t_0, \alpha(T_0)/2) = [a, b]$ we are through. If not, there is an end point t_2 of $\Delta(t_1, \alpha(T)) \cup \Delta(t_0, \alpha(T_0)/2)$ in (a, b) and the above procedure may be repeated with T unchanged. Since $\alpha(T)$ is also unchanged, this process will terminate in a finite number of steps with a subsequence $\{y_{k_m(n)}(t)\}$ such that $y'_{k_m(n)}(t) \to y'_0(t)$ uniformly on

$$arDelta(t_{\scriptscriptstyle 0},\,lpha(T_{\scriptscriptstyle 0})/2)\,\cup\,\left\{igcup_{i=1}^{m-1}\,arDelta(t_i,\,lpha(T))
ight\}=[a,\,b]$$
 .

LEMMA 2.3. Let f(t, y, y') be continuous on D. If $\{y_n(t)\}$ is a sequence of solutions to (1.1) on [a, b] such that $y_n(t) \rightarrow y_0(t)$ uniformly on [a, b] where $y_0(t)$ has a continuous derivative on [a, b], then

 $y'_n(t) \rightarrow y'_0(t)$ uniformly on [a, b].

Proof. By the Mean Value Theorem, for each n there exists $t_n \in [a, b]$ such that

$$|y_n(b) - y_n(a)| = |y'_n(t_n)|(b-a).$$

Since there exists B > 0 such that $|y_n(t)| \leq B$ on [a, b] for all n, we have

$$|y'_n(t_n)| \leq 2B/(b-a)$$
.

Let $\{y_{k_0(n)}(t)\}$ denote an arbitrary subsequence of $\{y_n(t)\}$. Since $\{y'_{k_0(n)}(t_{k_0(n)})\}$ is bounded we may extract a further subsequence $\{y_{k_1(n)}(t)\}$ such that $y'_{k_1(n)}(t_{k_1(n)}) \rightarrow z_0$ and $t_{k_1(n)} \rightarrow t_0 \in [a, b]$. By Lemma 2.2, there exists a further subsequence $\{y_{k_2(n)}(t)\}$ such that $y'_{k_2(n)}(t) \rightarrow y'_0(t)$ uniformly on [a, b].

Thus any subsequence of $\{y_n(t)\}$ has a further subsequence which has its derivatives converging uniformly to $y'_0(t)$ on [a, b]. It follows that $y'_n(t) \to y'_0(t)$ uniformly on [a, b].

The conclusion of Lemma 2.3 does not hold if the hypothesis that $y_0(t)$ has a continuous derivative on [a, b] is removed. The function $y_n(t) = \sqrt{b+1/n-t}$ is a solution to $y'' = 2(y')^3$ on [o, b] for each n. Moreover, $\{y_n(t)\}$ converges to $y_0(t) = \sqrt{b-t}$ uniformly on [o, b]. But $\{y'_n(t)\}$ does not converge to $y'_0(t)$ uniformly on [o, b].

THEOREM 2.4. Let f(t, y, y') be continuous on D. Suppose (1.1) has a unique solution $y(t; \alpha, \beta)$ on [a, b] satisfying (1.2) for $(\alpha, \beta) \in S \subset \mathbb{R}^2$. If $y(t; \alpha, \beta)$ is continuous on $[a, b] \times S$, then $y'(t; \alpha, \beta)$ and $y''(t; \alpha, \beta)$ are continuous on $[a, b] \times S$.

Proof. Since $y'(t; \alpha, \beta)$ and $y''(t; \alpha, \beta)$ are continuous for fixed (α, β) ,

$$egin{aligned} &|y'(t;lpha,eta)-y'(t_{\scriptscriptstyle 0};lpha_{\scriptscriptstyle 0},eta_{\scriptscriptstyle 0})| \leq |y'(t;lpha,eta)-y'(t;lpha_{\scriptscriptstyle 0},eta_{\scriptscriptstyle 0})| \ &+|y'(t;lpha_{\scriptscriptstyle 0},eta_{\scriptscriptstyle 0})-y'(t_{\scriptscriptstyle 0};lpha_{\scriptscriptstyle 0},eta_{\scriptscriptstyle 0})| \ , \end{aligned}$$

and

$$egin{aligned} &|y''(t;lpha,eta)-y''(t_0;lpha_0,eta_0)| \leq &|y''(t;lpha,eta)-y''(t;lpha_0,eta_0)|\ &+|y''(t;lpha_0,eta_0)-y''(t_0;lpha_0,eta_0)| \;, \end{aligned}$$

it is sufficient to show that $y'(t; \alpha, \beta)$ and $y''(t; \alpha, \beta)$ are continuous functions of α and β uniformly with respect to t.

Let (α_n, β_n) be a sequence in S such that $(\alpha_n, \beta_n) \rightarrow (\alpha_0, \beta_0) \in S$. Since $y(t; \alpha, \beta)$ is continuous on $[a, b] \times S$, $y(t, \alpha_n, \beta_n) \rightarrow y(t; \alpha_0, \beta_0)$ uniformly on [a, b]; hence, Lemma 2.3 yields the uniform convergence

of $\{y'(t; \alpha_n, \beta_n)\}$ to $y'(t; \alpha_0, \beta_0)$. Since

$$y''(t; \alpha_n, \beta_n) = f(t, y(t; \alpha_n, \beta_n), y'(t; \alpha_n, \beta_n))$$

and f(t; y, y') is continuous, it follows that $y''(t; \alpha_n, \beta_n) \rightarrow y''(t; \alpha_0, \beta_0)$ uniformly on [a, b].

3. Strong existence and uniqueness. In this section we show that $y(t; \alpha, \beta)$ is continuous on $[a, b] \times R^2$ if solutions to (1.1) exist and are unique in the sense described in the following definitions.

DEFINITION. Solutions to boundary value problems for (1.1) will said to be unique in the strong sense on [a, b] if for any solutions $\phi(t)$ and $\psi(t)$ to (1.1) on $[c, d] \subset [a, b]$, $\phi(c) = \psi(c)$ and $\phi(d) = \psi(d)$ imply that $\phi(t) \equiv \psi(t)$ on [c, d].

DEFINITION. Solutions to boundary value problems for (1.1) are be said to exist in the strong sense on [a, b] if for any real numbers α and β and any $[c, d] \subset [a, b]$ there is a solution y(t) to (1.1) on an interval $I \supset [c, d]$ such that $y(c) = \alpha$, $y(d) = \beta$ and either

- (i) I = [a, b], or
- (ii) y(t) is unbounded.

THEOREM 3.1. Suppose solutions to boundary value problems for (1.1) exist and are unique in the strong sense on [a, b]. If $y(t; \alpha, \beta)$ denotes the unique solution to (1.1) on [a, b] satisfying (1.2), then $y(t; \alpha, \beta)$ is continuous on $[a, b] \times R^2$.

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given.

Let $t_0 \in (a, b)$. Let $y_1(t)$ denote a solution to (1.1) on an interval $I_1 \supset [t_0, b]$ such that

$$(3.1) y_1(t_0) = y(t_0; \alpha_0, \beta_0) + \varepsilon, y_1(b) = y(b; \alpha_0, \beta_0) = \beta_0$$

and either $y_1(t)$ is unbounded or $I_1 = [a, b]$. Let $y_2(t)$ denote a solution on $I_2 \supset [a, t_0]$ such that

and either $y_2(t)$ is unbounded or $I_2 = [a, b]$.

Let $y_3(t)$ and $y_4(t)$ denote similar solutions on $I_3 \supset [t_0, b]$ and $I_4 \supset [a, t_0]$ with

$$(3.3) y_3(t_0) = y(t_0; \alpha_0, \beta_0) - \varepsilon , y_3(b) = y(b; \alpha_0, \beta_0) = \beta_0$$

$$(3.4) \ y_4(a) = \begin{cases} y_3(a), \ \text{if} \ I_3 = [a, b] \\ y(a; \alpha_0, \beta_0) - \varepsilon, \ \text{if} \ I_3 \subset (a, b], \end{cases} \quad y_4(t_0) = y(t_0; \alpha_0, \beta_0) - 2\varepsilon \ .$$

Assume for definiteness that $I_1 = [a, b]$, $I_2 \subset [a, b)$, $I_3 \subset (a, b]$, and $I_4 = [a, b]$. The other cases may be treated with arguments similar to those below.

Uniqueness in the strong sense and (3.1) imply that

$${y}_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}\!(a)={y}_{\scriptscriptstyle 2}\!(a)>lpha_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}={y}(a;lpha_{\scriptscriptstyle 0},eta_{\scriptscriptstyle 0})$$
 .

Since $y_2(t_0) > y_1(t_0)$ follows from (3.2), uniqueness in the strong sense also implies that $y_2(t) \ge y_1(t)$ on I_2 ; hence, $y_2(t)$ must become unbounded positively to the right of t_0 .

Uniqueness in the strong sense and (3.3) imply that $y_3(t) \leq y(t; \alpha_0, \beta_0)$ on I_3 ; hence, $y_3(t)$ must become unbounded negatively to the left of t_0 . Since $y_4(t_0) < y_3(t_0)$, there exists $a < t_1 < t_0$ such that $y_4(t_1) = y_3(t_1)$; hence, uniqueness in the strong sense implies that $y_4(t) < y_3(t)$ on $[t_0, b]$. In particular, $y_4(b) < y_3(b) = \beta_0 = y(b; \alpha_0, \beta_0)$.

Let $\delta_1(t_0) \equiv \min [y_2(a) - \alpha_0, \alpha_0 - y_4(a), \beta_0 - y_4(b)]$. If $|\alpha - \alpha_0| + |\beta - \beta_0| < \delta_1(t_0)$, then $y_4(a) < \alpha < y_2(a)$ and $\beta > y_4(b)$. By uniqueness in the strong sense we must have $y_4(t) \leq y(t; \alpha, \beta)$ on [a, b] and since $y_2(t)$ becomes unbounded positively to the right of t_0 we must also have $y(t; \alpha, \beta) \leq y_2(t)$ on I_2 .

There exists $\delta_2(t_0)$ such that for

$$||t-t_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}| < \delta_{\scriptscriptstyle 2}(t_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}),\,t\in I_{\scriptscriptstyle 2},\,|\,y_{\scriptscriptstyle 2}(t)-y_{\scriptscriptstyle 2}(t_{\scriptscriptstyle 0})\,| ,$$

and $|y_4(t) - y_4(t_0)| < \varepsilon$. Thus, for $|\alpha - \alpha_0| + |\beta - \beta_0| < \delta_1(t_0)$ and $|t - t_0| < \delta_2(t_0)$ we have

$$egin{aligned} &|\,y(t;lpha,eta)-y(t;lpha_{_0},eta_{_0})\,|&\leq y_{_2}(t)-y_{_4}(t)\ &&\leq |\,y_{_2}(t)-y_{_2}(t_{_0})\,|+y_{_2}(t_{_0})-y_{_4}(t_{_0})\ &&+|\,y_{_4}(t)-y_{_4}(t_{_0})\,|\ &\leq arepsilon\,+\,4arepsilon\,+\,arepsilon\,=\,6arepsilon\,\,. \end{aligned}$$

Uniqueness in the strong sense implies that for

$$egin{array}{ll} |lpha - lpha_{_0}| + |eta - eta_{_0}| < \delta_{_1}(a) = \delta_{_1}(b) \equiv arepsilon \ , \ y(t; lpha_{_0} - arepsilon, eta_{_0} - arepsilon) \leq y(t; lpha, eta) \leq y(t; lpha_{_0} + arepsilon, eta_{_0} + arepsilon) \end{array}$$

on [a, b]. There exists $\delta_2(a)$ such that for $|t-a| < \delta_2(a)$

$$|\,y(t;lpha_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}+arepsilon,\,eta_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}+arepsilon)-y(a;lpha_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}+arepsilon,\,eta_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}+arepsilon)\,|$$

and

$$|\,y(t;\,lpha_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}-arepsilon,\,eta_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}-arepsilon)-y(a;\,lpha_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}-arepsilon,\,eta_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}-arepsilon)\,| .$$

Thus for $|lpha - lpha_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}| + |eta - eta_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}| < \delta_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}(a)$ and $|t - a| < \delta_{\scriptscriptstyle 2}(a)$

 $|y(t; \alpha, \beta) - y(t; \alpha_0, \beta_0)| \leq 6\varepsilon$.

A $\delta_2(b)$ may be defined in a similar manner.

By the Heine-Borel Theorem there exist t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k such that the intervals defined by $|t - t_1| < \delta_2(t_i)$ cover [a, b]. Let $\delta \equiv \min \delta_1(t_i)$. Then for any $t \in [a, b] | \alpha - \alpha_0 | + |\beta - \beta_0| < \delta$ implies that

$$|\,y(t;lpha,eta)-y(t;lpha_{\scriptscriptstyle 0},eta_{\scriptscriptstyle 0})\,| \leq 6arepsilon$$
 .

Since $y(t; \alpha, \beta)$ is continuous for fixed α and β , it follows that $y(t; \alpha, \beta)$ is continuous on $[a, b] \times R^2$.

It is of interest to note that in the proof of Theorem 3.1, the fact that the functions involved were solutions to (1.1) was used only to assert that the functions were continuous. The arguments may be applied to any family of continuous functions satisfying the uniqueness and existence requirements. Theorem 3.1 is a variation of a result of Beckenbach ([2], p. 365) concerning two-parameter families of continuous functions.

As an immediate consequence of Theorems 2.4 and 3.1 we have

COROLLARY 3.2. Let f(t, y, y') be continuous on D and suppose solutions to boundary value problems for (1.1) exist and are unique in the strong sense on [a, b]. If $y(t; \alpha, \beta)$ denotes the unique solution to (1.1) on [a, b] satisfying (1.2), then $y(t; \alpha, \beta)$, $y'(t; \alpha, \beta)$ and $y''(t; \alpha, \beta)$ are continuous on $[a, b] \times R^2$.

4. The maximum principle. In this section we consider the function $y(t; \alpha, \beta)$ in the presence of a maximum principle.

DEFINITION. Solutions to (1.1) will be said to satisfy the maximum principle on $[c, d] \subset [a, b]$ if for any solutions $\phi(t)$ and $\psi(t)$ to (1.1) on $[c, d], |\phi(t) - \psi(t)|$ assumes its maximum on [c, d] at either c or d.

Note that if solutions to (1.1) satisfy the maximum principle on [a, b], then solutions to (1.1) on [a, b] satisfying (1.2) are unique.

THEOREM 4.1. Suppose solutions to (1.1) satisfy the maximum principle on [a, b]. If (1.1) has a (unique) solution $y(t; \alpha, \beta)$ on [a, b] satisfying (1.2) for $(\alpha, \beta) \in S \subset R^2$, then $y(t; \alpha, \beta)$ is continuous on $[a, b] \times S$.

Proof. By the maximum principle on [a, b], for (α, β) and (α_0, β_0) in S we have

 $|y(t; \alpha, \beta) - y(t; \alpha_0, \beta_0)| \leq \max \left[|\alpha - \alpha_0|, |\beta - \beta_0|\right].$

Since $y(t; \alpha, \beta)$ is continuous on [a, b] for fixed (α, β) , it follows that $y(t; \alpha, \beta)$ is continuous on $[a, b] \times S$.

COROLLARY 4.2. Let f(t, y, y') be continuous on D. Suppose solutions to (1.1) satisfy the maximum principle on [a, b]. If (1.1) has a (unique) solution $y(t; \alpha, \beta)$ on [a, b] satisfying (1.2) for $(\alpha, \beta) \in S \subset \mathbb{R}^2$, then $y(t; \alpha, \beta)$, $y'(t; \alpha, \beta)$ and $y''(t; \alpha, \beta)$ are continuous on $[a, b] \times S$.

Various sets of hypotheses on f(t, y, y') imply that solutions to (1.1) satisfy the maximum principle. As an example we state

THEOREM 4.3. If f(t, y, y') is continuous on D, f(t, y, y') is nondecreasing in y on D, and for any compact subset $C \subset D$ there is a positive constant K(C) such that

$$|f(t, y, y'_1) - f(t, y, y'_2)| \le K(C) |y'_1 - y'_2|$$

for any (t, y, y'_1) and (t, y, y'_2) in C, then solutions to (1.1) satisfy the maximum principle on any $[c, d] \subset [a, b]$.

Proof. This is an immediate consequence of Theorem 2.2 in [1].

As a partial converse to Theorem 4.3, we have

THEOREM 4.4. If f(t, y, y') is continuous on D and solutions to (1.1) satisfy the maximum principle on every $[c, d] \subset [a, b]$, then f(t, y, y') is nondecreasing in y on D.

Proof. Suppose for contradiction there exist (t_0, y_1, y'_0) and (t_0, y_2, y'_0) in D such that $y_1 > y_2$ and $f(t_0, y_1, y'_0) < f(t_0, y_2, y'_0)$. By continuity we may assume $t_0 \in (a, b)$.

Since f(t, y, y') is continuous on D, there exists an interval $[c_0, d_0] \subset [a, b]$ with $t_0 \in (c_0, d_0)$ such that (1.1) has solutions $y_1(t)$ and $y_2(t)$ on $[c_0, d_0]$ with $y_1(t_0) = y_1$, $y'_1(t_0) = y'_0$, $y_2(t_0) = y_2$, and $y'_2(t_0) = y'_0$. Since

$$y_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}^{\prime\prime}(t_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}) - y_{\scriptscriptstyle 2}^{\prime\prime}(t_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}) = f(t_{\scriptscriptstyle 0},\,y_{\scriptscriptstyle 1},\,y_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}^{\prime}) - f(t_{\scriptscriptstyle 0},\,y_{\scriptscriptstyle 2},\,y_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}^{\prime}) < 0 \;,$$

 $y'_1(t_0) - y'_2(t_0) = 0$, and $y_1(t_0) - y_2(t_0) > 0$, there exists $[c, d] \subset [c_0, d_0]$ such that $t_0 \in (c, d)$ and $y_1(t_0) - y_2(t_0) > y_1(t) - y_2(t) \ge 0$ for any $t \ne t_0$ in [c, d]. In particular,

$$y_1(t_0) - y_2(t_0) > \max\left[\mid y_1(c) - y_2(c) \mid, \mid y_1(d) - y_2(d) \mid
ight]$$

which contradicts the maximum principle being satisfied on [c, d].

5. Continuous dependence without uniqueness in the strong sense. Though the hypotheses of Theorem 4.1 only required that solutions satisfy the maximum principle on [a, b], a more "natural" assumption is that solutions satisfy the maximum principle on every subinterval [c, d]. If this stronger assumption is made, solutions to (1.1) are unique in the strong sense as was assumed in the hypothesis of Theorem 3.1.

A simple example shows that uniqueness in the strong sense is not a necessary condition for continuous dependence. Consider the equation

(5.1)
$$y'' = -y$$
.

The unique solution to (5.1) on $[a, b] \equiv [0, 3\pi/2]$ satisfying

(5.2) $y(0) = \alpha, y(3\pi/2) = \beta$

 \mathbf{is}

$$y(t; \alpha, \beta) = \alpha \cos t - \beta \sin t$$

which is clearly a continuous function of α , β and t. However, $y_1(t) \equiv 0$ and $y_2(t) \equiv \sin t$ are both solutions to (5.1) on $[0, \pi]$ with $y_1(0) = y_2(0) = 0$ and $y_1(\pi) = y_2(\pi) = 0$.

When strong uniqueness is not present and in other situations, the following theorem suggested to the author by A. M. Fink is sometimes useful.

THEOREM 5.1. Let f(t, y, y') be continuous on D. Suppose (1.1) has a unique solution $y(t; \alpha, \beta)$ on [a, b] satisfying (1.2) for (α, β) in a subset $S \subset \mathbb{R}^2$. If there exists B > 0 such that $|y(t; \alpha, \beta)| \leq B$ and $|y'(t; \alpha, \beta)| \leq B$ for (t, α, β) in $[a, b] \times S$, then $y(t, \alpha, \beta), y'(t; \alpha, \beta)$, and $y''(t; \alpha, \beta)$ are continuous on $[a, b] \times S$.

Proof. Since f(t, y, y') is continuous and

(5.3)
$$y''(t; \alpha, \beta) = f(t, y(t; \alpha, \beta), y'(t; \alpha, \beta))$$

there exists M > 0 such that $|y''(t; \alpha, \beta)| \leq M$ for $(t, \alpha, \beta) \in [a, b] \times S$.

Let $\{(\alpha_n, \beta_n)\}$ be a sequence in S such that $(\alpha_n, \beta_n) \to (\alpha_0, \beta_0) \in S$. Let $\{y(t; \alpha_{k(n)}, \beta_{k(n)})\}$ denote an arbitrary subsequence of $\{y(t; \alpha_n, \beta_n)\}$. Since $|y'(t; \alpha_{k(n)}, \beta_{k(n)})| \leq B$ and $|y''(t; \alpha_{k(n)}, \beta_{k(n)})| \leq M$, the Mean Value Theorem implies that $\{y(t; \alpha_{k(n)}, \beta_{k(n)})\}$ and $\{y'(t; \alpha_{k(n)}, \beta_{k(n)})\}$ are equicontinuous. Since both sequence are also uniformly bounded, using Ascoli's Thorem we may choose a further subsequence $\{y(t; \alpha_{k_1(n)}, \beta_{k_1(n)})\}$

ROBERT GAINES

such that $y(t; \alpha_{k_1(n)}, \beta_{k_1(n)}) \rightarrow y_0(t)$ and $y'(t; \alpha_{k_1(n)}, \beta_{k_1(n)}) \rightarrow y'_0(t)$ uniformly on [a, b] for some $y_0(t)$. Since f(t, y, y') is continuous, it follows from (5.3) that $\{y''(t; \alpha_{k_1(n)}, \beta_{k_1(n)})\}$ converges to $y''_0(t)$ and $y_0(t)$ is a solution to (1.1) on [a, b]. Since $y_0(a) = \alpha$ and $y_0(b) = \beta$, uniqueness implies that $y_0(t) \equiv y(t; \alpha_0, \beta_0)$.

It follows that the original sequences must converge to the same limits; i.e.,

$$y(t; \alpha_n, \beta_n) \rightarrow y(t; \alpha_0, \beta_0)$$
, $y'(t; \alpha_n, \beta_n) \rightarrow y'(t; \alpha_0, \beta_0)$,

and $y''(t; \alpha_n, \beta_n) \to y''(t; \alpha_0, \beta_0)$ uniformly on [a, b]; hence, $y(t; \alpha, \beta)$, $y'(t; \alpha, \beta)$ and $y''(t; \alpha, \beta)$ are continuous on $[a, b] \times S$.

If a Nagumo growth condition of the type introduced in [5] and employed to obtain existence in [1] and [3] is imposed, then bounds on derivatives may be obtained whenever the solutions themselves are bounded.

References

1. J. W. Bebernes, A subfunction approach to a boundary value problem for ordinary differential equations, Pacific J. Math. **13** (1963), 1053-1066.

2. E. F. Beckenbach, Generalized convex functions, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 43 (1937), 363-371.

3. L. Fountain and L. Jackson, A generalized solution of the boundary value problem for y'' = f(x, y, y'), Pacific J. Math. **12** (1962), 1251-1272.

4. P. Hartman, Ordinary differential equations, John Wiley and Sons, New York, (1964).

5. V. M. Nagumo, Uber die Differentialgleichung y'' = f(x, y, y'), Proc. Physics-Math. Soc. Japan (3) **19** (1937) 861-866.

Received September 8, 1967. Portions of this paper are part of a doctoral thesis written under the supervision of Professor Jerrold Bebernes at the University of Colorado and supported by a NASA Traineeship.

COLORADO STATE UNIVERSITY

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

H. ROYDEN Stanford University Stanford, California

R. R PHELPS

University of Washington Seattle, Washington 98105 J. DUGUNDJI Department of Mathematics University of Southern California Los Angeles, California 90007

RICHARD ARENS University of California Los Angeles, California 90024

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E.	F.	Beckenbach	B.	H.	Neumann	F.	Wolf	ĸ.	Yosida
----	----	------------	----	----	---------	----	------	----	--------

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA STANFORD UNIVERSITY CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA UNIVERSITY OF UTAH MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY UNIVERSITY OF OREGON CHEVRON RESEARCH CORPORATION OSAKA UNIVERSITY TRW SYSTEMS UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, double spaced with large margins. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. It should not contain references to the bibliography. Manuscripts, in duplicate if possible, may be sent to any one of the four editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Rev. **36**, 1539-1546. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, Richard Arens, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

50 reprints are provided free for each article; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is published monthly. Effective with Volume 16 the price per volume (3 numbers) is \$8.00; single issues, \$3.00. Special price for current issues to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: \$4.00 per volume; single issues \$1.50. Back numbers are available.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley, California, 94708.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), 7-17, Fujimi 2-chome, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan.

Pacific Journal of Mathematics Vol. 28, No. 2 April, 1969

Richard Arens and Donald George Babbitt, <i>The geometry of relativistic</i> <i>n-particle interactions</i>	243
Kirby Alan Baker, Hypotopological spaces and their embeddings in lattices with Birkhoff interval topology	275
J. Lennart (John) Berggren, <i>Finite groups in which every element is conjugate to its inverse</i>	289
Beverly L. Brechner, Homeomorphism groups of dendrons	295
Robert Ray Colby and Edgar Andrews Rutter, QF – 3 <i>rings with zero singular ideal</i>	303
Stephen Daniel Comer, <i>Classes without the amalgamation property</i>	309
Stephen D. Fisher, <i>Bounded approximation by rational functions</i>	319
Robert Gaines, Continuous dependence for two-point boundary value problems	327
Bernard Russel Gelbaum, <i>Banach algebra bundles</i>	337
Moses Glasner and Richard Emanuel Katz, <i>Function-theoretic degeneracy</i>	
criteria for Riemannian manifolds	351
Fletcher Gross, Fixed-point-free operator groups of order 8	357
Sav Roman Harasymiv, On approximation by dilations of distributions	363
Cheong Seng Hoo, Nilpotency class of a map and Stasheff's criterion	375
Richard Emanuel Katz, A note on extremal length and modulus	381
H. L. Krall and I. M. Sheffer, <i>Difference equations for some orthogonal</i>	
polynomials	383
Yu-Lee Lee, On the construction of lower radical properties	393
Robert Phillips, <i>Liouville's theorem</i>	397
Yum-Tong Siu, Analytic sheaf cohomology groups of dimension n of n-dimensional noncompact complex manifolds	407
Michael Samuel Skaff Vector valued Orlicz spaces II	413
James DeWitt Stein Homomorphisms of B [*] -algebras	431
Mark Lawrence Teply. Torsionfree injective modules	441
Richard R. Tucker, The δ^2 -process and related topics, II	455
David William Walkup and Roger Jean-Baptiste Robert Wets, <i>Lifting</i>	
projections of convex polyhedra	465
Thomas Paul Whaley, Large sublattices of a lattice	477