Pacific Journal of Mathematics

HOMOMORPHISMS OF B*-ALGEBRAS

JAMES DEWITT STEIN

Vol. 28, No. 2 April 1969

HOMOMORPHISMS OF B*-ALGEBRAS

JAMES D. STEIN, JR.

This paper is divided into two sections. The first deals with Banach algebra homomorphisms of a von Neumann algebra \mathfrak{A} , and extends the Bade-Curtis theory for commutative B*-algebras to von Neumann algebras, as well as characterizing the separating ideal in the closure of the range of the homomorphism. The second section concerns homomorphisms of B*-algebras; the chief result being the existence of an ideal $\mathscr I$ with cofinite closure such that the restriction of the homomorphism to any closed, two-sided ideal contained in $\mathscr I$ is continuous.

1. Homomorphisms of von Neumann algebras. Let $\mathfrak A$ be a von Neumann algebra, and let $\nu : \mathfrak A \to \mathfrak B$ be a Banach algebra homomorphism. The reduction theory enables us to write

$$\mathfrak{A} = \sum\limits_{i=1}^{\infty} igoplus (C(X_i) igotimes B(\mathscr{H}_i)) igoplus \mathfrak{A}_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}$$
 ,

where \mathfrak{A}_i is the direct sum of the type II and type III parts, X_i is a hyperstonian compact Hausdorff space, and \mathscr{H}_i is Hilbert space of dimension i (∞ is an allowed index of i, \mathscr{H}_{∞} is separable Hilbert space). It was shown in [6] that there is an integer N such that

$$\nu \Big| \sum_{i=N+1}^{\infty} \bigoplus (C(X_i) \otimes B(\mathscr{H}_i)) \bigoplus \mathfrak{A}_1$$

is continuous.

Some definitions are in order.

$$S(\nu, \mathfrak{B}) = \{z \in \mathfrak{B} \mid \exists \{x_n\} \subset \mathfrak{A} \ni x_n \to 0, \quad \nu(x_n) \to z\}$$
:

 $S(\nu, \mathfrak{B})$ is a closed, 2-sided ideal in \mathfrak{B} ([2]). If $f \in C(X_i)$, $T \in B(\mathcal{H}_i)$, then $\langle f \otimes T \rangle$ will denote $(x, y) \in \mathfrak{A}$, where $y = 0 \in \mathfrak{A}_1$ and

$$x \in \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigoplus (C(X_k) \bigotimes B(\mathscr{H}_k))$$

has $f \otimes T$ in the i^{th} component and zero in all other components. Let $\varphi_i: C(X_i) \to \mathfrak{B}$ be defined by $\varphi_i(f) = \nu(\langle f \otimes I_i \rangle)$, where I_i is the identity of $B(\mathscr{H}_i)$, and let F_i be the Bade-Curtis [1] singularity set associated with φ_i . Let $M(F_i) = \{f \in C(X_i) \mid f(F_i) = 0\}$, let $T(F_i) = \{f \in C(X_i) \mid f$ vanishes on a neighborhood of F_i , and let $R(F_i) = \{f \in C(X_i) \mid f$ is constant in a neighborhood of each point of F_i . It was shown in [6] that ν is continuous on

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \bigoplus (R(F_i) \otimes B(\mathscr{H}_i)) \bigoplus \sum_{i=N+1}^\infty \bigoplus (C(X_i) \otimes B(\mathscr{H}_i)) \bigoplus \mathfrak{A}_1,$$

and that this sub-algebra, denoted by \mathfrak{A}_0 , is dense in \mathfrak{A} . Let μ be the unique continuous extension of $\nu \mid \mathfrak{A}_0$ to \mathfrak{A} and let $\lambda = \nu - \mu$. In this section the Bade-Curtis results ([1], Theorems 4.3 and 4.5) will be extended to \mathfrak{A} , and a complete characterization of $S(\nu, \mathfrak{B})$ will be obtained.

THEOREM 1.1. (a) The range of μ is closed in \mathfrak{B} and $\overline{\nu(\mathfrak{A})} = \mu(\mathfrak{A}) \oplus S(\nu, \mathfrak{B})$, the direct sum being topological.

- (b) $S(\nu, \mathfrak{B}) = \overline{\lambda(\mathfrak{A})}$.
- (c) Let

$$M=\sum\limits_{i=1}^N igoplus (M(F_i) igotimes B(\mathscr{H}_i)) igoplus \sum\limits_{i=N+1}^\infty (C(X_i) igotimes B(\mathscr{H}_i)) igoplus \mathfrak{A}_1$$
 .

Then $S(\nu, \mathfrak{B}) \cdot M = M \cdot S(\nu, \mathfrak{B}) = (0)$, and $\lambda \mid M$ is a homomorphism.

Proof. $\mu(\mathfrak{A})$ is closed by [2], Lemma 5.3. We first show $\lambda(\mathfrak{A}) \subseteq S(\nu, \mathfrak{B})$. If $x \in \mathfrak{A}$, choose a sequence $\{x_n\}$ from the dense sub-algebra such that $\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = x$. Since μ is continuous,

$$\mu(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mu(x_n) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \nu(x_n) ,$$

and since $\lim_{n\to\infty}(x_n-x)=0$,

$$\mu(x)-\nu(x)=\lim_{n\to\infty}\left(\nu(x_n)-\nu(x)\right)=\lim_{n\to\infty}\nu(x_n-x)=s\in S(\nu,\,\mathfrak{B})\;.$$

But $\nu(x) = \mu(x) + \lambda(x)$ and $\nu(x) = \mu(x) - s$, so $\lambda(x) = -s \in S(\nu, \mathfrak{B})$. If $s \in S(\nu, \mathfrak{B})$, there is a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in \mathfrak{A} such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}x_n=0, \qquad \lim_{n\to\infty}\nu(x_n)=s.$$

Now $\lim_{n\to\infty}\mu(x_n)=0$, and $s=\lim_{n\to\infty}(\mu(x_n)+\lambda(x_n))$, so

$$||s - \lambda(x_n)|| \le ||s - (\lambda(x_n) + \mu(x_n))|| + ||\mu(x_n)|| \rightarrow 0$$
,

and so $S(\nu, \mathfrak{B}) = \overline{\lambda(\mathfrak{A})}$.

Let $U = \nu^{-1}(S(\nu, \mathfrak{B}))$. We now show $\mu(\mathfrak{A}) \cap S(\nu, \mathfrak{B}) = (0)$. If $\mu(x) \in S(\nu, \mathfrak{B})$, since $\nu(x) = \mu(x) + \lambda(x)$ and $\lambda(\mathfrak{A}) \subseteq S(\nu, \mathfrak{B})$, we see that $\nu(x) \in S(\nu, \mathfrak{B})$, and so $x \in U$. But by [6], Theorem II. 5, and [7], Proposition 2.1, $U = \overline{\mathrm{Ker}(\nu)} = \mathrm{Ker}(\mu)$, so $\mu(x) = 0$.

To complete the proof of (a) and (b), all we need show is that any $z \in \overline{\nu(\mathfrak{A})}$ can be written $z = \mu(x) + s$, where $x \in \mathfrak{A}$, $s \in S(\nu, \mathfrak{B})$. Let $\widehat{\nu} : \mathfrak{A}/U \to \nu(\mathfrak{A})/S(\nu, \mathfrak{B})$ be defined by $\widehat{\nu}(x + U) = \nu(x) + S(\nu, \mathfrak{B})$, by [2], Theorem 4.6, and [5], Theorem 4.9.2, this is a continuous

isomorphism of a B^* -algebra and thus has closed range. So $z + S(\nu, \mathfrak{B}) = \nu(x) + S(\nu, \mathfrak{B})$, and so $\exists s \in S(\nu, \mathfrak{B})$ such that $z = \nu(x) + s = \mu(x) + (\lambda(x) + s)$. But $\lambda(x) + s \in S(\nu, \mathfrak{B})$.

Define T by substituting $T(F_i)$ for $M(F_i)$, $1 \le i \le N$, in the definition of M. The same proof as [6], Prop. II. 3, shows that T is dense in M, and by the continuity of μ to show $\mu(M) \cdot S(\nu, \mathfrak{B}) = (0)$ we need merely show $x \in \mathfrak{A}$, $z \in T \Rightarrow \mu(z)\lambda(x) = 0$ (the proof of $S(\nu, \mathfrak{B}) \cdot \mu(M) = (0)$ is symmetric). Clearly $zx \in T$, and μ and ν agree on T, so $\mu(z)\lambda(x) = \mu(z)(\nu(x) - \mu(x)) = \mu(z)\nu(x) - \mu(z)\mu(x) = \nu(zx) - \mu(zx) = 0$. That $\lambda \mid M$ is a homomorphism follows from $\mu(M) \cdot S(\nu, \mathfrak{B}) = (0)$ and the arguments of Bade and Curtis ([1], p. 601).

The analogue of [1], Theorem 4.3d, will be stated but, once the definitions are made, the proofs precisely parallel the proofs given in [1], and so will be omitted. It should be noted, however, that the proofs carry over because, for $1 \le i \le N$, $C(X_i) \otimes B(\mathcal{H}_i)$ is actually the algebraic tensor product.

For $1 \leq i \leq N$, let $F_i = \{\omega_{i,\,k} \mid 1 \leq k \leq n_i\}$, and for each $i,\,1 \leq i \leq N$, choose functions $e_{i,\,k} \in C(X_i)$ such that $e_{i,\,k}$ is 1 in a neighborhood of $\omega_{i,\,k}$ and $e_{i,\,k}e_{i,\,j} = 0,\,k \neq j$. Let I_i denote the identity of $B(\mathscr{H}_i)$, and define $\lambda_{i,\,k}(x) = \lambda(\langle e_{i,\,k} \otimes I_i \rangle x)$ (note that this is equal to $\lambda(x\langle e_{i,\,k} \otimes I_i \rangle)$. Let $R_{i,\,k} = \overline{\lambda_{i,\,k}(\mathfrak{V})}$, let $M(\omega_{i,\,k})$ be all functions in $C(X_i)$ vanishing at $\omega_{i,\,k}$, and let $M_{i,\,k}$, be \mathfrak{V} with $C(X_i) \otimes B(\mathscr{H}_i)$ replaced in the direct sum by $M(\omega_{i,\,k}) \otimes B(\mathscr{H}_i)$.

Proposition 1.2.

(a)
$$\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{k=1}^{n_i} \lambda_{z_i, k}$$

(b)
$$S(\nu,\mathfrak{B}) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sum_{k=1}^{n_i} \bigoplus R_{i,k}$$
,

the direct sum being topological.

(c)
$$(i,j) \neq (k,l) \Rightarrow R_{i,j} \cdot R_{k,l} = (0) ,$$

and

$$R_{i,k} \cdot \mu(M_{i,k}) = \mu(M_{i,k}) \cdot R_{i,k} = (0)$$
.

(d) The restriction of $\lambda_{i,k}$ to $M_{i,k}$ is a homomorphism.

It is possible to obtain a characterization of the ideal $S(\nu, \mathfrak{B})$ by examining the action of ν as related to the operator algebras $B(\mathscr{H}_i)$, rather than the function spaces $C(X_i)$. For $1 \leq i \leq N$, let e_i be the identity of $C(X_i)$, and let $\lambda_i(x) = \lambda(\langle e_i \otimes I_i \rangle x)$; then $\lambda(x) = \sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i(x)$. Now

$$\mu(\langle e_j \otimes I_j \rangle) \lambda_i(x)$$

$$= \mu(\langle e_j \otimes I_j \rangle) [\nu(\langle e_i \otimes I_i \rangle x) - \mu(\langle e_i \otimes I_i \rangle x)]$$

$$= \nu(\langle e_j \otimes I_j \rangle \langle e_i \otimes I_i \rangle x) - \mu(\langle e_j \otimes I_j \rangle \langle e_i \otimes I_i \rangle x)$$

$$= \delta_{ij} \lambda_i(x) ,$$

and if $i \neq j$ then

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\lambda_i(x_n)=\lim_{n\to\infty}\lambda_j(y_n)$$

yields the fact that both these limits are zero, and consequently

$$S(\nu, \mathfrak{B}) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \bigoplus \overline{\lambda_{i}(\mathfrak{A})}$$
,

a topological direct sum. Now each of these components will be characterized.

Fix n such that $1 \leq n \leq N$, and let $\{T_{i,j} \mid 1 \leq i, j \leq n\}$ be a system of matrix units for $B(\mathscr{H}_n)$, i.e., $T_{i,j}T_{k,l} = \delta_{jk}T_{i,l}$. Define, for $1 \leq i, j \leq n$, maps $\nu_{i,j}$, $\mu_{i,j}$, and $\gamma_{i,j}$ of $C(X_n)$ into \mathfrak{B} by $\nu_{i,j}(f) = \nu(\langle f \otimes T_{i,j} \rangle)$, $\mu_{i,j}(f) = \mu(\langle f \otimes T_{i,j} \rangle)$, and $\gamma_{i,j}(f) = \nu_{i,j}(f) - \mu_{i,j}(f)$. If

$$x = \left\langle \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} f_{i,j} \otimes T_{i,j} \right
angle$$
 ,

we can clearly write

$$\nu(x) = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \nu_{i,j}(f_{i,j});$$

similar assertions hold for $\mu(x)$ and $\lambda(x)$. All maps are linear, but the "off-diagonal" maps (those for which $i \neq j$) are not necessarily homomorphisms.

Computational procedures similar to those already employed will show

$$\mu(\langle e_n \otimes T_{k,l} \rangle) \gamma_{i,j}(f) = \delta_{il} \gamma_{k,j}(f)$$

and

$$\gamma_{i,\,j}(f)\mu(\langle e_{\scriptscriptstyle n} igotimes T_{\scriptscriptstyle k,\,l}
angle) = \delta_{j_k}\gamma_{i,\,l}(f)$$
 ,

so if

$$\lim_{m\to\infty}\gamma_{i,j}(f_m)=\lim_{m\to\infty}\gamma_{k,l}(g_m)$$

and $i \neq k$, left multiplication by $\mu(\langle e_n \otimes T_{i,i} \rangle)$ shows that

$$\lim_{m\to\infty}\gamma_{i,j}(f_m)=0$$
;

the same trick with right multiplication works if $j \neq l$, and so

$$\overline{\lambda_n(\mathfrak{V})} = \sum\limits_{i,j=1}^n \bigoplus \overline{\gamma_{i,j}(\mathfrak{V})}$$
 ,

and this is a topological direct sum.

Since $T_{i,j} = T_{i,k}T_{k,j}$, we see that

$$egin{aligned}
u_{i,j}(fg) &=
u(\langle fg \otimes T_{i,\,j} \rangle) \\ &=
u(\langle f \otimes T_{i,\,k} \times g \otimes T_{k,\,j} \rangle) =
u_{i,\,k}(f)
u_{k,\,j}(g) ; \end{aligned}$$

consequently $\nu_{i,i}$ is a homomorphism for $1 \leq i \leq n$ (let j = k = i) and so by [1], Th. 4.3b), $\overline{\gamma_{i,i}(\mathfrak{A})}$ is the Jacobson radical of $\overline{\nu_{i,i}(C(X_n))}$. Since $\mu(\langle e_n \otimes T_{i,j} \rangle) \gamma_{j,j}(f) = \gamma_{i,j}(f)$, it is clear that

$$\overline{\gamma_{i,\,j}(\mathfrak{A})}=\mu(\langle e_n\otimes T_{i,\,j}
angle)\overline{\gamma_{j,\,j}(\mathfrak{A})}$$
 . This yields

PROPOSITION 1.3. $S(\nu, \mathfrak{B})$ is the direct sum of Jacobson radicals of commutative Banach algebras and "rotations" of these radicals.

Note that $\nu_{i,j}(f) = \nu_{i,i}(f)\nu_{i,j}(e_n)$, and so the continuity of the $\nu_{i,j}$, and hence the continuity of ν , depends only on the continuity of the diagonal homomorphisms $\nu_{i,i}$. Coupling this fact with Theorem 4.5 of [1], we observe that if all the Jacobson radicals of the closures of the images of the diagonal homomorphisms are nil ideals, then the homomorphism is continuous.

2. Homomorphisms of B^* -algebras. Let $\mathfrak A$ be a B^* -algebra, and let $\nu: \mathfrak A \to \mathfrak B$ be a Banach algebra homomorphism, with $S(\nu, \mathfrak B)$ defined as in § 1.

Definition 2.1.

$$\mathcal{J}_L = \{ x \in \mathfrak{A} \mid \nu(x) \cdot S(\nu, \mathfrak{B}) = (0) \} ,$$

$$\mathcal{J}_R = \{ x \in \mathfrak{A} \mid S(\nu, \mathfrak{B}) \cdot \nu(x) = (0) \} .$$

Definition 2.2.

$$egin{aligned} \mathscr{I}_L &= \{x \in \mathfrak{A} \mid \sup_{\|z\| \leq 1} || \
u(xz) \, || < \infty \} \ , \ \\ \mathscr{I}_R &= \{x \in \mathfrak{A} \mid \sup_{\|z\| \leq 1} || \
u(zx) \, || < \infty \} \ , \ \\ \mathscr{I} &= \mathscr{I}_L \cap \mathscr{I}_R \ . \end{aligned}$$

 \mathcal{J}_L , \mathcal{J}_R , \mathcal{J}_L , \mathcal{J}_R , and \mathcal{J} are all two-sided ideals in \mathfrak{A} (see [4] and [6]), and in a recent paper [4] Johnson has shown that $\overline{\mathcal{J}_L}$ is a cofinite ideal in \mathfrak{A} , and observes that, if one could show $\nu \mid \overline{\mathcal{J}_L}$ is continuous, one would have a direct extension of the Bade-Curtis

theory to arbitrary B^* -algebras. An examination of this problem, coupled with an analysis of these ideals, constitutes the body of this section.

We first note that $\mathscr{I}_L \subset \mathscr{T}_L$. For, if $x \notin \mathscr{T}_L$ then there is an $s \in S(\nu, \mathfrak{B})$ such that $\nu(x)s \neq 0$, and consequently $\exists \{x_n\} \subset \mathfrak{A}$ such that $x_n \to 0$, $\nu(x_n) \to s$, and so $\nu(xx_n) \to v(x)s \neq 0$. Given M > 0, choose x_n such that

$$||x_n|| \le \frac{||\nu(x)s||}{2M}, \qquad ||\nu(xx_n)|| > \frac{1}{2} ||\nu(x)s||.$$

Then

$$\frac{x_n}{||x_n||}$$

has norm one and

$$\left|\left|
u\left(xrac{x_n}{||x_n||}
ight)
ight|>M$$
 ,

and so $x \notin \mathcal{J}_L$. Similarly $\mathcal{J}_R \subset \mathcal{J}_R$.

Repeated use throughout this section will be made of the following lemma and its corollaries.

LEMMA 2.1. Let $\{f_n\}$, $\{g_n\}$ be sequences from $\mathfrak A$ such that $m \neq n \Rightarrow g_m g_n = 0$, $g_n f_m = 0$. Then there is an integer N such that $n \geq N \Rightarrow g_n f_n \in \mathscr{I}_R$.

Proof. Suppose not, and renumber to obtain a sequence such that $g_n f_n \notin I_R$ for any n. Then for each n choose $x_n \in \mathbb{U}$ such that $||x_n|| \leq 1$,

$$|| \nu(x_n g_n f_n) || > n2^n || g_n || || \nu(f_n) ||$$
.

Let

$$x = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (1/2^{k} || g_{k} ||) x_{k} g_{k}$$
 ;

then clearly $x \in \mathfrak{A}$. We also have

$$xf_n = \sum\limits_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(1/2^k \mid\mid g_k \mid\mid
ight) x_k g_k f_n = x_n g_n f_n / (2^n \mid\mid g_n \mid\mid)$$
 ,

and so

$$|| \ \nu(x) \ || \ || \ \nu(f_n) \ || \ge || \ \nu(xf_n) \ ||$$

$$= || \ \nu(x_n g_n f_n) \ ||/2^n \ || \ g_n \ || > n \ || \ \nu(f_n) \ || \ ,$$

which implies ||v(x)|| > n, a contradiction.

COROLLARY 2.1.1. If $\{g_n\}$, $\{f_n\} \subset \mathfrak{A}$ satisfy $g_m g_n = 0$, $g_n f_n = f_n$, then $\exists N$ such that $n \geq N \Rightarrow f_n \in \mathscr{I}_R$.

COROLLARY 2.1.2. If $\{f_n\} \in \mathfrak{A}$ satisfies $f_m f_n = 0$, then $\exists N$ such that $n \geq N \Rightarrow f_n^2 \in I_R$.

COROLLARY 2.1.3. If $\{f_n\}$, $\{g_n\} \subset \mathfrak{A}$ satisfy $g_m g_n = 0$, $f_m g_n = 0$, then $\exists N \text{ such that } n \geq N \Rightarrow f_n g_n \in I_L$.

We can now combine these results with those of Johnson ([4], Th. 2.1) to see that, if \mathfrak{A} is a B^* -algebra, \mathscr{I} is a cofinite ideal. The advantage of using \mathscr{I} can be seen from the following.

PROPOSITION 2.1. Let $\nu: \mathfrak{A} \to \mathfrak{B}$ be a Banach algebra homomorphism, and let \mathfrak{U} be a closed linear subspace of \mathscr{I} . Then

$$\sup \{|| \nu(xy) || | x, y \in \mathfrak{U}, || x || \le 1, || y || \le 1\} < \infty$$
.

Proof. For $z \in \mathfrak{A}$, let L_z and R_z map \mathfrak{A} into \mathfrak{B} and be defined by $L_z(x) = \nu(zx)$, $R_z(x) = \nu(xz)$; these are clearly linear. If $z \in \mathscr{I}$, then both L_z and R_z are continuous. For, if $x_n \to 0$ and $L_z(x_n) \to 0$, we can assume $||L_z(x_n)|| \geq \hat{o} > 0$. Given M > 0, choose x_n such that

$$||x_n|| \leq \frac{\delta}{M}$$
,

then

$$\left|\left|\frac{M}{\delta} \; x_n
ight|
ight| \leq 1$$
 , $\left|\left|L_z\!\!\left(rac{M}{\delta} \; x_n
ight)
ight|
ight| \geq M$;

since this can be done for any M it contradicts $z \in \mathcal{J}_L$. Now, for each $x \in \mathcal{U}$,

$$\sup \left\{ \mid\mid L_{z}(x) \mid\mid\mid z \in \mathfrak{U}, \mid\mid z\mid\mid \leq 1 \right\} = \sup \left\{ \mid\mid \boldsymbol{\nu}(zx) \mid\mid\mid z \in \mathfrak{U}, \mid\mid z\mid\mid \leq 1 \right\}$$

$$\leq \sup \left\{ \mid\mid \boldsymbol{\nu}(zx) \mid\mid\mid z \in \mathfrak{U}, \mid\mid z\mid\mid \leq 1 \right\} < \infty$$

since $x \in \mathcal{J}_R$. By the Uniform Boundedness Principle ([3], 2.3.21)

$$\sup\left\{||L_z||\,|\,z\in\mathfrak{U},\,||\,z\,||\leq1
ight\}<\infty$$

and so

$$\sup \{|| \nu(zx) || | z, x \in \mathfrak{U}, || z || \le 1, || x || \le 1\} < \infty$$

completing the proof.

PROPOSITION 2.2. Let $\mathfrak A$ be a C^* -algebra, and let $\mathfrak A \subseteq \mathscr I$ be a closed two-sided ideal. Then $\mathfrak v \mid \mathfrak A$ is continuous.

Proof. Let $U \in \mathfrak{U}$, and recall that \mathfrak{U} is a *-ideal. Use the polar decomposition to write U = TP, where T is a partial isometry (hence ||T|| = 1) and P is a positive operator satisfying $P^2 = U^*U$. Assume ||U|| = 1, then since P is self-adjoint, $||P||^2 = ||P^*P|| = ||P^2|| = ||P^*P|| = ||P^*P||$

 $||U^*U||=||U||^2=1$, so ||P||=1. Since P is self-adjoint, it has a square root $Q\in \mathfrak{U}$, so we can write U=(TQ)Q, where $TQ,Q\in \mathfrak{U}$, $||TQ||\leq ||T||\,||Q||\leq 1$, $||Q||\leq 1$. So, by Proposition 2.1,

$$\begin{split} \sup \left\{ \mid\mid \nu(U) \mid\mid \mid U \in \mathfrak{U} \mid U \in \mathfrak{U}, \mid\mid U \mid\mid \leq 1 \right\} \\ &\leq \sup \left\{ \mid\mid \nu(xy) \mid\mid \mid x, \ y \in \mathfrak{U}, \mid\mid x \mid\mid \leq 1, \mid\mid y \mid\mid \leq 1 \right\} < \infty \enspace , \end{split}$$

and so $\nu \mid \mathfrak{U}$ is continuous.

If II is a commutative B^* -algebra, Proposition 2.2 shows that, if N is a closed neighborhood of the Bade-Curtis singularity set, ν is continuous on the ideal of all functions vanishing on N, and Proposition 2.2 can be regarded as the analogue for B^* -algebras of that theorem, especially in view of the remarks following Corollary 2.1.3. However, it appears to be a difficult problem to obtain the full strength of the Bade-Curtis results using these methods, but if a method is found there is a good chance that it would generalize the Bade-Curtis results to arbitrary B^* -algebras.

We now turn our attention to C(X), where X is a compact Hausdorff space. The notation of §1 applies.

PROPOSITION 2.3. $T(F) \subseteq \mathcal{I}$, and if \mathcal{I} is closed, ν is continuous.

Proof. Let f vanish on a neighborhood of F. If $f \in \mathscr{I}$, $\exists \{g_n\} \in C(X)$ such that $||g_n|| \leq 1$, $||\nu(fg_n)|| \geq n^2$. Let $h_n = 1/n \ g_n$, then $h_n f \to 0$, and since ν is continuous on T(F), $\nu(h_n f) \to 0$. But

$$|| \,
u(h_n f) \, || = rac{1}{n} \, || \,
u(g_n f) \, || \geq n \; ,$$

a contradiction.

If \mathscr{I} is closed, $M(F)=\overline{T(F)}\subseteq \mathscr{I}$, and by Proposition 2.2, $\nu\mid M(F)$ is continuous. Using the technique of Theorem 4.1 of [1], ν is continuous.

Since $T(F) \subseteq \mathscr{I}$ and, if K denotes the kernel of ν , $\bar{K} \cap T(F) = K \cap T(F)$ ([7], 2.3), one might wish to show that $\bar{K} \cap \mathscr{I} = K$ (clearly $K \subseteq \mathscr{I}$). If $f \in \bar{K} \cap \mathscr{I}$, then $g_n \to 0 \Rightarrow \nu(g_n f) \to 0$. Let $g \in M(F)$, and choose a sequence $\{g_n\}$ from T(F) such that $g_n \to g$. Then $g_n f \in \bar{K} \cap T(F) \subseteq K$, and so

$$\nu(gf) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \nu(g_n f) = 0.$$

So $M(F) \cdot (\bar{K} \cap \mathscr{I}) \subseteq K$.

If $\mathfrak{A} = C(X)$, Corollary 2.1.2 can be strengthened so the conclusion is $\exists N$ such that $n \geq N \Rightarrow f_n \in \mathscr{I}$. If this integer N is independent

of the sequence $\{f_n\}$, then the homomorphism is continuous, if X is such that every point is a G_i . We first note that, if $\{E_n \mid n=1,2,\cdots\}$ is a disjoint sequence of open sets, then $n \geq N$, $f(E'_n) = 0 \Rightarrow f \in \mathscr{I}$; this is a clear consequence of Corollary 2.1.2. The goal will be to show that, if N is independent of sequence, then $M(F) \subseteq \mathscr{I}$, as in Proposition 2.3 this will show ν is continuous. Choose open sets $E, G \subseteq X$ such that $\bar{E} \cap \bar{G} = F$, and let $f \in M(F)$. Let

$$A_k = \left\{ x \in X \, | \, |f(x)| \geq rac{1}{k}
ight\}$$
 ,

and let $B_k = A_k \cap \bar{G}$; then B_k is closed and disjoint from \bar{E} for all k. By Urysohn's Lemma, choose a function g_k such that $0 \leq g_k \leq 1$, $g_k(\bar{E}) = 1$, $g_k(B_k) = 0$. We assert that $\{g_n f \mid n = 1, 2, \cdots\}$ is Cauchy. Assume n > m, and look at $||g_n f - g_m f||$. This value is the maximum of the supremums of $|g_n f(x) - g_m f(x)|$ on the sets \bar{E} , B_m , and $K_m = X \sim (B_m \cup \bar{E})$. This supremum is clearly 0 on \bar{E} (since $g_n(\bar{E}) = g_m(\bar{E}) = 1$) and on B_m (since $n > m \Rightarrow B_m \subseteq B_n$), and clearly

$$\sup_{x \in K_m} |g_n f(x) - g_m f(x)| \le \frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{m} < \frac{2}{m},$$

so the sequence is Cauchy, and there is an $h \in C(X)$ such that $||g_n f - h|| \to 0$. $h(\bar{E}) = f(\bar{E})$, since $g_n(\bar{E}) = 1$ for all n. If $x \in \bar{G}$ and |f(x)| > 0, there is an integer K such that $k \ge K \Rightarrow x \in A_k \Rightarrow x \in B_k \Rightarrow g_k f(x) = 0$; if f(x) = 0 $g_k f(x) = 0$ for all k, and so $h(\bar{G}) = 0$.

Now choose sequences of disjoint open sets $\{E_n\}$, $\{G_n\}$ (the E_n are not necessarily disjoint from the G_n) such that $F \subseteq \bar{E}_n \cap \bar{G}_n$, $\bar{E} \supseteq E'_N$, and $\bar{G} \supseteq G'_N$. If $g \in C(X)$, $g(G'_N) = 0 \Rightarrow g \in \mathscr{I}$, or $g(E'_N) = 0 \Rightarrow g \in \mathscr{I}$, so $h(\bar{G}) = 0 \Rightarrow h \in \mathscr{I}$; similarly $(h - f)(\bar{E}) = 0 \Rightarrow h - f \in \mathscr{I}$, so $f = h + (f - h) \in \mathscr{I}$. Thus $M(F) \subseteq \mathscr{I}$, completing the proof. A similar idea also works for von Neumann algebras by reducing it to a consideration of $\varphi_i : C(X) \to \mathfrak{B}$ defined by $\varphi_i(f) = \nu(\langle f \otimes I_i \rangle)$.

REFERENCES

- 1. W. G. Bade and P. C. Curtis, Jr., Homomorphisms of commutative banach algebras, Amer. J. Math. 82 (1960), 589-608.
- 2. S. B. Cleveland, Homomorphisms of non-commutative *-algebras, Pacific J. Math. 13 (1963), 1097-1109.
- 3. N. Dunford and J. Schwartz, Linear operators, Interscience, New York, 1958.
- 4. B. E. Johnson, Continuity of homomorphisms of algebras of operators (II) (to appear)
- 5. C. E. Rickart, Banach algebras, Van Nostrand, New York, 1960.
- 6. J. D. Stein, Jr., Homomorphisms of von Neumann algebras (to appear Amer. J. Math.)
- 7. ——, Homomorphisms of semi-simple algebras, Pacific J. Math. 26 (1968), 589-594.

Received March 8, 1968.

University of California, Los Angeles

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

H. ROYDEN Stanford University Stanford, California

R. R PHELPS University of Washington Seattle, Washington 98105 J. DUGUNDJI
Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, California 90007

RICHARD ARENS
University of California
Los Angeles, California 90024

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. Wolf

K. Yosida

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF UTAH WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY CHEVRON RESEARCH CORPORATION TRW SYSTEMS NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, double spaced with large margins. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. It should not contain references to the bibliography. Manuscripts, in duplicate if possible, may be sent to any one of the four editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Rev. **36**, 1539-1546. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, Richard Arens, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

50 reprints are provided free for each article; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics is published monthly. Effective with Volume 16 the price per volume (3 numbers) is \$8.00; single issues, \$3.00. Special price for current issues to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: \$4.00 per volume; single issues \$1.50. Back numbers are available.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley, California, 94708.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), 7-17, Fujimi 2-chome, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan.

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 28, No. 2

April, 1969

Richard Arens and Donald George Babbitt, <i>The geometry of relativistic n-particle interactions</i>	243			
Kirby Alan Baker, Hypotopological spaces and their embeddings in lattices with Birkhoff interval topology	275			
J. Lennart (John) Berggren, Finite groups in which every element is conjugate to its inverse	289			
Beverly L. Brechner, Homeomorphism groups of dendrons	295			
Robert Ray Colby and Edgar Andrews Rutter, QF – 3 rings with zero singular ideal	303			
Stephen Daniel Comer, Classes without the amalgamation property	309			
Stephen D. Fisher, Bounded approximation by rational functions	319			
Robert Gaines, Continuous dependence for two-point boundary value problems	327			
Bernard Russel Gelbaum, Banach algebra bundles	337			
Moses Glasner and Richard Emanuel Katz, Function-theoretic degeneracy				
criteria for Riemannian manifolds	351			
Fletcher Gross, Fixed-point-free operator groups of order 8				
Sav Roman Harasymiv, On approximation by dilations of distributions	363			
Cheong Seng Hoo, Nilpotency class of a map and Stasheff's criterion	375			
Richard Emanuel Katz, A note on extremal length and modulus	381			
H. L. Krall and I. M. Sheffer, Difference equations for some orthogonal				
polynomials	383			
Yu-Lee Lee, On the construction of lower radical properties	393			
Robert Phillips, <i>Liouville's theorem</i>	397			
Yum-Tong Siu, Analytic sheaf cohomology groups of dimension n of				
n-dimensional noncompact complex manifolds	407			
Michael Samuel Skaff, Vector valued Orlicz spaces. II	413			
James DeWitt Stein, Homomorphisms of B*-algebras				
Mark Lawrence Teply, <i>Torsionfree injective modules</i>	441			
Richard R. Tucker, The δ^2 -process and related topics. II	455			
David William Walkup and Roger Jean-Baptiste Robert Wets, Lifting				
projections of convex polyhedra	465			
Thomas Paul Whaley, Large sublattices of a lattice	477			