Pacific Journal of Mathematics

F'-SPACES AND THEIR PRODUCT WITH P-SPACES

W. WISTAR (WILLIAM) COMFORT,
NEIL HINDMAN AND STELIOS A. NEGREPONTIS

Vol. 28, No. 3 May 1969

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS
Vol. 28, No. 3, 1969

F'-SPACES AND THEIR PRODUCT WITH P-SPACES

W. W. COMFORT, NEIL HINDMAN, AND S. NEGREPONTIS

The F'-spaces studied here, introduced by Leonard Gillman and Melvin Henriksen, are by definition completely regular Hausdorff spaces in which disjoint cozero-sets have disjoint closures. The principal result of this paper gives a sufficient condition that a product space be an F'-space and shows that the condition is, in a strong sense, best possible. A fortuitous corollary in the same vein responds to a question posed by Gillman: When is a product space basically disconnected (in the sense that each of its cozero-sets has open closure)?

A concept essential to the success of our investigation was suggested to us jointly by Anthony W. Hager and S. Mrowka in response to our search for a (simultaneous) generalization of the concepts "Lindelöf" and "separable." Using the Hager-Mrowka terminology, which differs from that of Frolík in [3], we say that a space is weakly Lindelöf if each of its open covers admits a countable subfamily with dense union. §1 investigates F'-spaces which are (locally) weakly Lindelöf; §2 applies standard techniques to achieve a product theorem less successful than that of §3; §4 contains examples, chiefly elementary variants of examples from [5] or Kohls' [8], and some questions.

1. *F'-spaces and their subspaces.* Following [5], we say that a (completely regular Hausdorff) space is an F-space provided that disjoint cozero-sets are completely separated (in the sense that some continuous real-valued function on the space assumes the value 0 on one of the sets and the value 1 on the other). It is clear that any F-space is an F'-space and (by Urysohn's Lemma) that the converse is valid for normal spaces. Since each element of the ring $C^*(X)$ of bounded real-valued continuous functions on X extends continuously to the Stone-Čech compactification βX of X, it follows that X is an F-space if and only if βX is an F-space. These and less elementary properties of F-spaces are discussed at length in [5] and [6], to which the reader is referred also for definitions of unfamiliar concepts.

F-spaces are characterized in 14.25 of [6] as those spaces in which each cozero-set is C^*-embedded. We begin with the analogous characterization of F'-spaces. All hypothesized spaces in this paper are understood to be completely regular Hausdorff spaces.

THEOREM 1.1. *X is an F'-space if and only if each cozero-set in X is C^*-embedded in its own closure.*

Proof. To show that $\operatorname{coz} f$ (with $f \in C(X)$ and $f \geqq 0$, say) is C^*-embedded in $\operatorname{cl}_X \operatorname{coz} f$ it suffices, according to Theorem 6.4 of [6], to show that disjoint zero-sets A and B in $\operatorname{coz} f$ have disjoint closures in $\operatorname{cl}_X \operatorname{coz} f$. There exists $g \in C^*(\operatorname{coz} f)$ with $g > 0$ on A, $g < 0$ on B. It is easily checked that the function h, defined on X by the rule

$$h = \begin{cases} fg & \text{on} \quad \operatorname{coz} f \\ 0 & \text{on} \quad Zf \end{cases}$$

lies in $C^*(X)$, and that the (disjoint) cozero-sets $\operatorname{pos} h$, $\operatorname{neg} h$, contain A and B respectively. Since $\operatorname{cl}_X \operatorname{pos} h \cap \operatorname{cl}_X \operatorname{neg} h = \varnothing$, we see that A and B have disjoint closures in X, hence surely in $\operatorname{cl}_X \operatorname{coz} f$.

The converse is trivial: If U and V are disjoint cozero-sets in X, then the characteristic function of U, considered as function on $U \cup V$, lies in $C^*(U \cup V)$, and its extension to a function in $C^*(\operatorname{cl}_X(U \cup V))$ would have the values 0 and 1 simultaneously at any point in $\operatorname{cl}_X U \cap \operatorname{cl}_X V$.

The "weakly Lindelöf" concept described above allows us to show that certain subsets of F'-spaces are themselves F', and that certain F'-spaces (for example, the separable ones) are in fact F-spaces. We begin by recording some simple facts about weakly Lindelöf spaces.

Recall that a subset S of X is said to be regularly closed if $S = \operatorname{cl}_X \operatorname{int}_X S$.

LEMMA 1.2. (a) *A regularly closed subset of a weakly Lindelöf space is weakly Lindelöf;*

(b) *A countable union of weakly Lindelöf subspaces of a (fixed) space is weakly Lindelöf;*

(c) *Each cozero-set in a weakly Lindelöf space is weakly Lindelöf.*

Proof. (a) and (b) follow easily from the definition, and (c) is obvious since for $f \in C^*(X)$ the set $\operatorname{coz} f$ is the union of the regularly closed sets $\operatorname{cl}_X\{x \in X : |f(x)| > 1/n\}$.

Lemma 1.2(c) shows that any point with a weakly Lindelöf neighborhood admits a fundamental system of weakly Lindelöf neighborhoods. For later use we formalize the concept with a definition.

DEFINITION 1.3. The space X is locally weakly Lindelöf at its point x if x admits a weakly Lindelöf neighborhood in X. A space locally weakly Lindelöf at each of its points is said to be locally weakly Lindelöf.

THEOREM 1.4. *Let A and B be weakly Lindelöf subsets of the*

space X, each missing the closure (in X) of the other. Then there exist disjoint cozero-sets U and V for X for which

$$A \subset \mathrm{cl}_X(A \cap U) , \qquad B \subset \mathrm{cl}_X(B \cap V) .$$

Proof. For each $x \in A$ there exists $f_x \in C^*(X)$ with $f_x(x) = 0$, $f_x \equiv 1$ on $\mathrm{cl}_X B$. Similarly, for each $y \in B$ there exists $g_y \in C^*(X)$ with $g_y(y) = 0$, $g_y \equiv 1$ on $\mathrm{cl}_X A$. Taking $0 \leqq f_x \leqq 1$ and $0 \leqq g_y \leqq 1$ for each x and y, we define

$$U_x = f_x^{-1}[0, 1/2) , \qquad V_y = g_y^{-1}[0, 1/2) ,$$
$$W_x = f_x^{-1}[0, 1/2] , \qquad Z_y = g_y^{-1}[0, 1/2] .$$

Then, with $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and $\{y_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ sequences chosen in A and B respectively so that $A \cap (\bigcup_n U_{x_n})$ is dense in A and $B \cap (\bigcup_n V_{y_n})$ is dense in B, we set

$$\tilde{U_n} = U_{x_n} \backslash \bigcup_{k \leqq n} Z_{y_k} , \qquad \tilde{V_n} = V_{y_n} \backslash \bigcup_{k \leqq n} W_{x_k}$$

and, finally, $U = \bigcup_n \tilde{U_n}$, $V = \bigcup_n \tilde{V_n}$.

The theorem just given has several elementary corollaries.

COROLLARY 1.5. *Two weakly Lindelöf subsets of an F'-space, each missing the closure of the other, have disjoint closures (which are weakly Lindelöf).*

COROLLARY 1.6. *Any weakly Lindelöf subspace of an F'-space is itself an F'-space.*

Proof. If A and B are disjoint cozero-sets in the weakly Lindelöf subset Y of the F'-space X, we have from 1.2(c) that A and B are themselves weakly Lindelöf, and that

$$A \cap \mathrm{cl}_X B = A \cap \mathrm{cl}_Y B = \varnothing \quad \text{and} \quad B \cap \mathrm{cl}_X A = B \cap \mathrm{cl}_Y A = \varnothing .$$

From 1.5 it follows that

$$\varnothing = \mathrm{cl}_X A \cap \mathrm{cl}_X B \supset \mathrm{cl}_Y A \cap \mathrm{cl}_Y B .$$

COROLLARY 1.7. *Each weakly Lindelöf subspace of an F'-space is C^*-embedded in its own closure.*

Proof. Disjoint zero-sets of the weakly Lindelöf subspace Y of the F'-space X are contained in disjoint cozero subsets of Y, which by 1.2(c) and 1.5 have disjoint closures in X.

Corollaries 1.6 and 1.7 furnish us with a sufficient condition that an F'-space be an F-space.

THEOREM 1.8. *Each F'-space with a dense Lindelöf subspace is an F-space.*

Proof. If Y is a dense Lindelöf subspace of the F'-space X, then Y is F' by 1.6, hence (being normal) is an F-space. But by 1.7 Y is C^*-embedded in X, hence in βX, so that $\beta Y = \beta X$. Now Y is an F-space, hence βY, hence βX, hence X.

COROLLARY 1.9. *A separable F'-space is an F-space.*

The following simple result improves 3B.4 of [6]. Its proof, very similar to that of 1.4, is omitted.

THEOREM 1.10. *Any two Lindelöf subsets of a (fixed) space, neither meeting the closure of the other, are contained in disjoint cozero-sets.*

An example given in [5] shows that there exists a (nonnormal) F'-space which is not an F-space. For each such space X the space βX, since it is normal, cannot be an F'-space; for (as we have observed earlier) X is an F-space if and only if βX is an F-space. Thus not every space in which an F′-space is dense and C^*-embedded need be an F'-space. The next result shows that passage to C^*-embedded subspaces is better behaved.

THEOREM 1.11. *If Y is a C^*-embedded subset of the F'-space X, then Y is an F'-space.*

Proof. Disjoint cozero-sets in Y are contained in disjoint cozero-sets in X, whose closures (in X, even) are disjoint.

We shall show in Theorem 4.2 that the F' property is inherited not only by C^*-embedded subsets, but by open subsets as well.

2. **On the product of a (locally) weakly Lindelöf space and a P-space.** A P-point in the space X is a point x with the property that each continuous real-valued function on X is constant throughout some neighborhood of x. If each point of X is a P-point, then X is said to be a P-space. The P-spaces are precisely those spaces in which each G_δ subset is open.

The following diagram, a sub-graph of one found in [5] and in [8], is convenient for reference.

$$\text{discrete} \begin{array}{c} \nearrow \\ \searrow \end{array} \begin{array}{c} P \\ \text{extremally disconnected} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \searrow \\ \nearrow \end{array} \text{basically disconnected} \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow F' .$$

In the interest of making this paper self-contained, we now include from [2] a proof of the fact that if a product space $X \times Y$ is an F'-space, then both X and Y are F'-spaces and either X or Y is a P-space. Indeed, the first conclusion is obvious. For the second, let x_0 and y_0 be points in X and Y respectively belonging to the boundary of the sets $\operatorname{coz} f$ and $\operatorname{coz} g$ respectively (with $f \in C(X)$ and $g \in C(Y)$ and $f \geqq 0$ and $g \geqq 0$). Then the function h, defined on $X \times Y$ by the rule $h(x, y) = f(x) - g(y)$, assumes both positive and negative values on each neighborhood in $X \times Y$ of (x_0, y_0). Thus $\operatorname{pos} h$ and $\operatorname{neg} h$ are disjoint cozero-sets in $X \times Y$ each of whose closure contains (x_0, y_0).

We are going to derive, in 2.4, a simple condition sufficient that a product space be an F'-space.

THEOREM 2.1. *Let X be a P-space, let Y be weakly Lindelöf, and let $f \in C^*(X \times Y)$. Then the real-valued function F, defined on X by the rule*

$$F(x) = \sup \{f(x, y) : y \in Y\} ,$$

lies in $C^*(X)$.

Proof. To check the continuity of F at $x_0 \in X$, let $\varepsilon > 0$ and first find $y_0 \in Y$ such that $f(x_0, y_0) > F(x_0) - \varepsilon$. There is a neighborhood $U \times V$ of (x_0, y_0) throughout which $f > F(x_0) - \varepsilon$, and for $x \in V$ we have $F(x) \geqq f(x, y_0) > F(x_0) - \varepsilon$.

To find a neighborhood U' of x_0 throughout which $F \leqq F(x_0) + \varepsilon$, first select for each $y \in Y$ a neighborhood $U_y \times V_y$ of (x_0, y) throughout which $f < F(x_0) + \varepsilon/2$. Because Y is weakly Lindelöf there is a sequence $\{y_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ in Y with $\bigcup_k V_{y_k}$ dense in Y. With $U' = \bigcap_k U_{y_k}$ we check easily that U' is a neighborhood of x_0 for which $F(x) \leqq F(x_0) + \varepsilon$ whenever $x \in U'$.

COROLLARY 2.2. *Let X be a P-space and Y a weakly Lindelöf space, and let π denote the projection from $X \times Y$ onto X. Then for each cozero-set A in $X \times Y$, the set πA is open-and-closed in X.*

Proof. If $A = \operatorname{coz} f$ with $f \in C^*(X \times Y)$ and $f \geqq 0$, then πA is the cozero-set of the function F defined as in 2.1, hence is closed (since X is a P-space).

The following lemma asserts, in effect, that for suitably restricted spaces X and Y, the closure in $X \times Y$ of each cozero-set may be computed by taking closures of vertical slices. When $A \subset X \times Y$ we denote $\operatorname{cl}_{X \times Y} A$ by the symbol $\bar{A}$, and $A \cap (\{x\} \times Y)$ by A_x.

LEMMA 2.3. *Let X be a P-space and let Y be locally weakly Lindelöf at each of its non-P-points. Then $\bar{A} = \bigcup_{x \in X} \overline{A_x}$ for each cozero-set A in $X \times Y$.*

Proof. The inclusion $\supset$ is obvious, so we choose $(x, y) \in \bar{A}$. We must show that $\{x\} \times V$ meets A_x for each neighborhood V in Y of y. If y is a P-point of Y then (x, y) is a P-point of $X \times Y$, so that indeed

$$(x, y) \in (\{x\} \times V) \cap A_x .$$

If y is not a P-point of Y and V_0 is a weakly Lindelöf neighborhood of y in Y with $V_0 \subset V$, then $(X \times V_0) \cap A$ is a cozero-set in $X \times V_0$ and 2.2 applies to yield: $\pi[(X \times V_0) \cap A]$ is open-and-closed in X. Since $(x, y) \in \mathrm{cl}_{X \times V_0}[(X \times V_0) \cap A]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} x = \pi(x, y) &\in \pi \, \mathrm{cl}_{X \times V_0}[(X \times V_0) \cap A] \subset \mathrm{cl}_X \pi[(X \times V_0) \cap A] \\ &= \pi[(X \times V_0) \cap A] , \end{aligned}$$

so that $(\{x\} \times V) \cap A_x \supset (\{x\} \times V_0) \cap A_x \neq \varnothing$ as desired.

The elementary argument just given yields the following result, which we shall improve upon in 3.2.

THEOREM 2.4. *Let Y be an F'-space which is locally weakly Lindelöf at each of its non-P-points. Then $X \times Y$ is an F'-space for each P-space X.*

Proof. If A and B are disjoint cozero-sets in $X \times Y$, then from 2.3 we have

$$\bar{A} \cap \bar{B} = (\bigcup_{x \in X} \overline{A_x}) \cap (\bigcup_{x \in X} \overline{B_x}) = \bigcup_{x \in X} (\overline{A_x} \cap \overline{B_x}) = \bigcup_{x \in X} \varnothing = \varnothing .$$

The theorem just given furnishes a proof for 2.5(b) below, announced earlier in [2]. (In a letter of December 27, 1966, Professor Curtis has asserted his agreement with the authors' beliefs that (a) the argument given in [2] contains a gap and (b) this error does not in any way affect the other interesting results of [2].)

COROLLARY 2.5. *Let X be a P-space and let Y be an F'-space such that either*

(a) *Y is locally Lindelöf; or*

(b) *Y is locally separable.*

Then $X \times Y$ is an F'-space.

Note added September 16, 1968. The reader may have observed already a fact noticed only lately by the authors: Each F'-space in which each open subset is weakly Lindelöf is extremally disconnected

(in the sense that disjoint open subsets have disjoint closures). [For the proof, let U and V be disjoint open sets in such a space Y, suppose that $p \in \operatorname{cl} U \cap \operatorname{cl} V$, and for each point y in U find a cozero-set U_y of Y with $y \in U_y \subset U$. The cover $\{U_y : y \in U\}$ admits a countable subfamily $\mathscr{U}$ whose union is dense in U. If $\mathscr{V}$ is constructed similarly for V, then $\cup\mathscr{U}$ and $\cup\mathscr{V}$ are disjoint cozero-sets in X whose closures contain p.] It follows that each separable F'-space, and hence each locally separable F'-space, is extremally disconnected, and hence basically disconnected. Thus the conclusion to Corollary 2.5(b) is unnecessarily weak. In view of 3.4 we have in fact: If X is a P-space and Y is a locally separable F'-space, then $X \times Y$ is basically disconnected.

3. When the product of spaces is F'. It is clear that for each collection $\{\mathscr{W}_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$ of open covers of a locally weakly Lindelöf space Y and for each y in Y one can find a neighborhood U of y and for each α a countable subfamily $\mathscr{V}_\alpha$ of $\mathscr{W}_\alpha$ such that $U \subset \operatorname{cl}_Y(\cup \mathscr{V}_\alpha)$. (Indeed, the neighborhood U may be chosen independent of the collection $\{\mathscr{W}_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A}$.)

When, in contrast to this strong condition, such a neighborhood U is hypothesized to exist for each countable collection of covers of Y, we shall say that Y is countably locally weakly Lindelöf (abbreviation: CLWL). The formal definition reads as follows:

DEFINITION 3.1. The space Y is CLWL if for each countable collection $\{\mathscr{W}_n\}$ of open covers of Y and for each y in Y there exist a neighborhood U of y and (for each n) a countable subfamily $\mathscr{V}_n$ of $\mathscr{W}_n$ with $U \subset \operatorname{cl}_Y(\cup \mathscr{V}_n)$.

A crucial property of CLWL spaces is disclosed by the following lemma, upon which the results of this section depend.

For f in $C(X \times Y)$, we denote by f_x that (continuous) function on Y defined by the rule $f_x(y) = f(x, y)$.

LEMMA 3.2. *Let $f \in C^*(X \times Y)$, where X is a P-space and Y is* CLWL. *If $(x_0, y_0) \in X \times Y$, then there is a neighborhood $U \times V$ of (x_0, y_0) such that $f_x \equiv f_{x_0}$ on V whenever $x \in U$.*

Proof. For each y in Y and each positive integer n there is a neighborhood $U_n(y) \times V_n(y)$ of (x_0, y) for which

$$|f(x', y') - f(x_0, y)| < 1/n \quad \text{whenever} \quad (x', y') \in U_n(y) \times V_n(y) .$$

Since for each n the family $\{V_n(y) : y \in Y\}$ is an open cover of Y, there exist a neighborhood V of y_0 and (for each n) a countable subset Y_n of Y for which $V \subset \operatorname{cl}_Y(\cup\{V_n(y) : y \in Y_n\})$.

We define the neighborhood U of x_0 by the rule

$$U = \bigcap_n (\cap \{U_n(y) : y \in Y_n\}) .$$

To check that neighborhood $U \times V$ of (x_0, y_0) is as desired, suppose that there is a point (x', y') in $U \times V$ with $f(x', y') \neq f(x_0, y')$. Choosing an integer n and a neighborhood $U' \times V'$ of (x', y') such that $|f(x, y) - f(x_0, y')| > 1/n$ whenever $(x, y) \in U' \times V'$, we see that since $y' \in V \subset \mathrm{cl}_Y(\cup \{V_{3n}(y) : y \in Y_{3n}\})$ and $V' \cap V_{3n}(y')$ is a neighborhood of y' there exist points $\bar{y}$ in Y_{3n} and $\bar{\bar{y}}$ in $[V' \cap V_{3n}(y')] \cap V_{3n}(\bar{y})$.

Since $(x', \bar{\bar{y}}) \in U' \times V'$, we have

$$|f(x', \bar{\bar{y}}) - f(x_0, y')| > 1/n .$$

But since $(x', \bar{\bar{y}}) \in U \times V_{3n}(\bar{y}) \subset U_{3n}(\bar{y}) \times V_{3n}(\bar{y})$, and $(x_0, \bar{\bar{y}}) \in U_{3n}(\bar{y}) \times V_{3n}(\bar{y})$, and $(x_0, \bar{\bar{y}}) \in U_{3n}(y') \times V_{3n}(y')$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x', \bar{\bar{y}}) - f(x_0, y')| &\leqq |f(x', \bar{\bar{y}}) - f(x_0, \bar{y})| \\ &\quad + |f(x_0, \bar{y}) - f(x_0, \bar{\bar{y}})| + |f(x_0, \bar{\bar{y}}) - f(x_0, y')| \\ &< 1/3n + 1/3n + 1/3n = 1/n . \end{aligned}$$

We have seen in § 2 that if the product space $X \times Y$ is an F'-space then both X and Y are F'-spaces and either X or Y is a P-space. It is clear that every discrete space is a P-space, and that the product of any F'-space with a discrete space is an F'-space; the example given by Gillman in [4], however, shows that the product of a P-space with an F'-space may fail to be an F'-space. Thus it appears natural to ask the question: Which F'-spaces have the property that their product with each P-space is an F'-space? We now answer this question.

THEOREM 3.3. *In order that $X \times Y$ be an F'-space for each P-space X, it is necessary and sufficient that Y be an F'-space which is* CLWL.

Proof. Sufficiency. Let $f \in C^*(X \times Y)$, and let $(x_0, y_0) \in X \times Y$. We may suppose without loss of generality that there is a neighborhood V' of y_0 in Y for which

$$V' \cap \mathrm{pos}\, f_{x_0} = \varnothing .$$

But then, choosing $U \times V$ as in Lemma 3.2, we see that

$$U \times (V \cap V') \cap \mathrm{pos}\, f = \varnothing ,$$

so that $(x_0, y_0) \notin \mathrm{cl}\, \mathrm{pos}\, f$.

Necessity. (A preliminary version of the construction below—in the context of weakly Lindelöf spaces, not of CLWL spaces—was

communicated to us by Anthony W. Hager in connection with a project not closely related to that of the present paper. We appreciate professor Hager's helpful letter, which itself profited from his collaboration with S. Mrowka.)

We have already seen that Y must be an F'-space. If Y is not CLWL then there are a sequence $\{\mathscr{W}_n\}$ of open covers of Y and a point y_0 in Y with the property that for each neighborhood U of y_0 there is an integer $n(U)$ for which the relation

$$U \subset \mathrm{cl}_Y(\cup \mathscr{V}^{\wedge})$$

fails for each countable subfamily $\mathscr{V}^{\wedge}$ of $\mathscr{W}_{n(U)}^{\wedge}$.

Let $\mathscr{U}$ denote the collection of neighborhoods of y_0. With each $U \in \mathscr{U}$ we associate the family $\Sigma(U)$ of countable intersections of sets of the form $Y \setminus W$ with $W \in \mathscr{W}_{n(U)}^{\wedge}$, and we write

$$\tau(U) = \{(A, U) : A \in \Sigma(U)\} .$$

From the definition of $n(U)$ it follows that $(\mathrm{int}_Y A) \cap U \neq \emptyset$ whenever $A \in \Sigma(U)$. The space X is the set $\{\infty\} \cup \bigcup_{U \in \mathscr{U}} \tau(U)$, topologized as follows: Each of the points (A, U), for $A \in \Sigma(U)$, constitutes an open set, so that X is discrete at each of its points except for ∞; and a set containing the point ∞ is a neighborhood of ∞ if and only if it contains, for each $U \in \mathscr{U}$, some point $(A, U) \in \tau(U)$ and each point of the form (B, U) with $B \subset A$ and $(B, U) \in \tau(U)$. Since $\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k \in \Sigma(U)$ whenever each $A_k \in \Sigma(U)$, it follows that each countable intersection of neighborhoods of ∞ is a neighborhood of ∞, so that X is a P-space. Like every Hausdorff space with a basis of open-and-closed sets, X is completely regular. It remains to show that $X \times Y$ is not an F'-space.

Since for $U \in \mathscr{U}$ there is no countable subfamily $\mathscr{V}^{\wedge}$ of $\mathscr{W}_{n(U)}$ for which $U \subset \mathrm{cl}_Y(\cup \mathscr{V}^{\wedge})$, the set $(\mathrm{int}_Y A) \cap U$ is uncountable whenever $U \in \mathscr{U}$ and $A \in \Sigma(U)$. Thus whenever $(A, U) \in \tau(U)$ we choose distinct points $p_{(A,U)}$ and $q_{(A,U)}$ in $(\mathrm{int}_Y A) \cap U$ and disjoint neighborhoods $F_{(A,U)}$ and $G_{(A,U)}$ of $p_{(A,U)}$ and $q_{(A,U)}$ respectively, with $F_{(A,U)} \cup G_{(A,U)} \subset (\mathrm{int}_Y A) \cap U$. Because Y is completely regular there exist continuous functions $f_{(A,U)}$ and $g_{(A,U)}$ mapping Y into $[0, 1]$ such that

$$f_{(A,U)}(p_{(A,U)}) = 1 , \qquad f_{(A,U)} \equiv 0 \text{ off } F_{(A,U)} ,$$
$$g_{(A,U)}(q_{(A,U)}) = 1 , \qquad g_{(A,U)} \equiv 0 \text{ off } G_{(A,U)} .$$

Now for each positive integer k we define functions f_k and g_k on $X \times Y$ by the rules $f_k(x, y) = g_k(x, y) = 0$ if $x = \infty$ or if $x = (A, U)$ with $k \neq n(U)$; $f_k((A, U), y) = f_{(A,U)}(y)$ if $k = n(U)$; $g_k((A, U), y) = g_{(A,U)}(y)$ if $k = n(U)$. Each function f_k is continuous at each point $((A, U), y) = (x, y) \in X \times Y$ (with $x \neq \infty$), since f_k agrees either with the function 0 or with the continuous function $f_{(A,U)} \circ \pi_Y$ on the open

subset $\{(A, U)\} \times Y$ of $X \times Y$. Similarly, each function g_k is continuous at each point $(x, y) \in X \times Y$ with $x \neq \infty$. To check the continuity (of f_k, say) at the point $(\infty, y) \in X \times Y$, find $W \in \mathscr{W}_k$ for which $y \in W$ and write

$$V = \{\infty\} \cup \bigcup_{k \neq n(U)} \tau(U) \cup \bigcup_{k=n(U)} \{(B, U) : B \subset Y \setminus W\} .$$

Then $V \times W$ is a neighborhood of (∞, y) on which f_k is identically 0: For if $(A, U) \in \tau(U)$ with $k \neq n(U)$ we have $f_k((A, U), y) = 0$, and if $A \in \Sigma(U)$ with $A \subset Y \setminus W$ and $k = n(U)$, then (since $y \in W \subset Y \setminus \mathrm{int}_Y A \subset Y \setminus F_{(A,U)}$) we have

$$f_k((A, U), y) = f_{(A,U)}(y) = 0 .$$

We notice next that if k and m are positive integers then $\mathrm{coz}\, f_k \cap \mathrm{coz}\, g_m = \varnothing$: Indeed, if $f_k((A, U), y) \neq 0$ and $g_m((A, U), y) \neq 0$, then $k = n(U)$ and $m = n(U)$, so that $y \in F_{(A,U)} \cap G_{(A,U)}$, a contradiction. Thus, defining

$$f = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f_k/2^k \quad \text{and} \quad g = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} g_k/2^k$$

we have $f \in C^*(X \times Y)$ and $g \in C^*(X \times Y)$ and $\mathrm{coz}\, f \cap \mathrm{coz}\, g = \varnothing$. Nevertheless for each neighborhood $V \times U_0$ of (∞, y_0) we have $(A_0, U_0) \in V$ for some $A_0 \in \Sigma(U_0)$, so that

$$\begin{aligned} f((A_0, U_0), p_{(A_0,U_0)}) &\geqq f_{n(U_0)}((A_0, U_0), p_{(A_0,U_0)})/2^{n(U_0)} \\ &= f_{(A_0,U_0)}(p_{(A_0,U_0)})/2^{n(U_0)} \\ &= 1/2^{n(U_0)} > 0 \end{aligned}$$

and $(V \times U_0) \cap \mathrm{coz}\, f \neq \varnothing$. Likewise $(V \times U_0) \cap \mathrm{coz}\, g \neq \varnothing$, and it follows that $(\infty, y_0) \in \mathrm{cl}\, \mathrm{coz}\, f \cap \mathrm{cl}\, \mathrm{coz}\, g$. Thus $X \times Y$ is not an F'-space.

The proof of Theorem 3.3 being now complete, we turn to the corollary which we believe responds adequately to Gillman's request in [4] for a theorem characterizing those pairs of spaces (X, Y) for which $X \times Y$ is basically disconnected.

COROLLARY 3.4. *In order that $X \times Y$ be basically disconnected for each P-space X, it is necessary and sufficient that Y be a basically disconnected space which is* CLWL.

Proof. Sufficiency. Let $(x_0, y_0) \in \mathrm{cl}\, \mathrm{coz}\, f$, where $f \in C^*(X \times Y)$, and let V' be a neighborhood of y_0 in Y for which $V' \subset \mathrm{cl}\, \mathrm{coz}\, f_{x_0}$. Choosing $U \times V$ as in Lemma 3.2, we see that $U \times (V \cap V')$ is a neighborhood in $X \times Y$ of (x_0, y_0) for which

$$U \times (V \cap V') \subset \mathrm{cl}\, \mathrm{coz}\, f .$$

Necessity. That Y must be basically disconnected is clear. That Y must be CLWL follows from 3.3 and the fact that each basically disconnected space is an F'-space.

4. **Some examples and questions.** If the point x of the topological space X admits a neighborhood (X itself, say) which is an F-space, then each neighborhood U of x in X contains a neighborhood V which is an F-space: Indeed, if $f \in C(X)$ with $x \in \operatorname{coz} f \subset U$ and we set $V = \operatorname{coz} f$, then each pair (A, B) of disjoint cozero-sets of V is a pair of disjoint cozero-sets in X, which accordingly may be completely separated in X, hence in V.

The paragraph above shows that any point with a neighborhood which is an F-space admits a fundamental system of F-space neighborhoods. The statement with "F" replaced throughout by "F'" follows from the implication (b) $\Rightarrow$ (d) of Theorem 4.2 below. The following definitions are natural.

DEFINITION 4.1. The space X is locally F (resp. locally F') at the point $x \in X$ if x admits a neighborhood in X which is an F-space (resp. an F'-space).

Clearly each F-space is locally F, and each locally F space is locally F'. Gillman and Henriksen produce in 8.14 of [5] an F'-space which is not an F-space, and their space is easily checked to be locally F. In the same spirit we shall present in 4.3 an F'-space which is not locally F. We want first to make precise the assertion that the F' property, unlike the F property, is a local property.

THEOREM 4.2. *For each space X, the following properties are equivalent*:

(a) *X is an F'-space*;
(b) *X is locally F'*;
(c) *each cozero-set in X is an F'-space*;
(d) *each open subset of X is an F'-space.*

Proof. That (a) $\Rightarrow$ (b) is clear. To see that (b) $\Rightarrow$ (c), let U be a cozero-set in X and let A and B be disjoint (relative) cozero subsets of U. Then A and B are disjoint cozero subsets of X. Suppose $p \in \operatorname{cl}_U A \cap \operatorname{cl}_U B$. Then, if V is the hypothesized F'-space neighborhood of p, we have $p \in \operatorname{cl}_V(A \cap V) \cap \operatorname{cl}_V(B \cap V)$. This contradicts the fact that V is an F'-space.

If (c) holds and A and B are disjoint (relative) cozero-sets of an open subset U of X, then for any point p in $\operatorname{cl}_U A \cap \operatorname{cl}_U B$ there exists a cozero-set V in X for which $p \in V \subset U$. It follows that

$$p \in \operatorname{cl}_V(A \cap V) \cap \operatorname{cl}_V(B \cap V) ,$$

contradicting the fact that V is an F'-space. This contradiction shows that (d) holds.

The implication (d) $\Rightarrow$ (a) is trivial.

EXAMPLE 4.3. An F'-space not locally F. Let X be any F'-space which is not an F-space, let D be the discrete space with $|D| = \aleph_1$, and let $Y = (X \times D) \cup \{\infty\}$, where ∞ is any point not in $X \times D$ and Y is topologized as follows: A subset of $X \times D$ is open in Y if it is open in the usual product topology on $X \times D$, and ∞ has an open neighborhood basis consisting of all sets of the form $\{\infty\} \cup (X \times E)$ with $|D \backslash E| \leqq \aleph_0$. Then ∞ admits no neighborhood which is an F-space, since each neighborhood of ∞ contains (for some $d \in D$) the set $X \times \{d\}$, which is homeomorphic to X itself, as an open-and-closed subset. Yet Y is an F'-space since ∞ is a P-point of Y and each other point of Y belongs to an F'-space, $X \times D$, which is dense in Y.

We have observed already that a Lindelöf F'-space, being normal, is an F-space. We show next that the Lindelöf condition cannot be replaced by the locally Lindelöf property.

EXAMPLE 4.4. A locally Lindelöf F'-space which is not F. The space $X = L' \times L \backslash \{(\omega_2, \omega_1)\} \cup \bigcup_{\alpha < \omega_1} D_\alpha$ defined in 8.14 of [5] does not fill the bill here because the space L' of ordinals $\leqq \omega_2$ (with each $\gamma < \omega_2$ isolated and with neighborhoods of ω_2 as in the order topology) is not Lindelöf. When the space is modified by the replacement of L' by $\beta L'$, the resulting space (X' say) fails to be an F-space just as in [5]. Yet L' is a P-space, so that $\beta L'$ is a compact F-space, and therefore (by Theorem 3.3 above, or by Theorem 6.1 of [9]) $\beta L' \times L$ is a Lindelöf F'-space. Thus X' is a locally Lindelöf space which is locally F', hence is a locally Lindelöf F'-space.

The condition that a space be locally weakly Lindelöf at each of its non-P-points is more easily worked with then the condition that it be CLWL. A converse to Theorem 2.4 would, therefore, be a welcome replacement for the "necessity" part of Theorem 3.3. The following example shows that the converse to Theorem 2.4 is invalid.

EXAMPLE 4.5. A CLWL F'-space with a non-P-point at which it is not locally weakly Lindelöf. Let Y be the space $D \times D \cup \{\infty\}$ with D the discrete space for which $|D| = \aleph_1$ and (after the fashion of 8.5 of [5]) adjoin to Y a copy of the integers N so that ∞ becomes a point in $\beta N \backslash N$. The resulting space $Y' = Y \cup N$ is topologized so that each point $y \neq \infty$ contitutes by itself an open set, while a set containing ∞ is a neighborhood of ∞ if it contains both a set drawn from the ultra-

filter on N corresponding to ∞ and a set of the form $D \times E$ with $|D \backslash E| \leqq \aleph_0$. Then ∞ is not a P-point of Y', since the function whose value at the integer $n \in N \subset Y'$ is $1/n$ and whose value at each other point of Y' is 0 is constant on no neighborhood of ∞; and Y' is not locally weakly Lindelöf at ∞ since each neighborhood of ∞ contains as an open-and-closed subset a homeomorph of the uncountable discrete space D. The only nonisolated point of Y', ∞, can belong to a set of the form $(\mathrm{cl}\ \mathrm{coz}\ f) \backslash \mathrm{coz}\ f$ only when $\infty \in \mathrm{cl}(\mathrm{coz}\ f \cap N)$, so that Y' is an F'-space. If, finally, $\mathscr{W}_n$ is a sequence of open covers of Y' and a neighborhood U of ∞ in Y is chosen so that for each n we have $U \subset W_n$ for some $W_n \in \mathscr{W}_n$ (as is possible, since Y is a P-space), then evidently $U \cup N$ is a neighborhood of ∞ in Y' contained in $\mathrm{cl}_{Y'}(\cup \mathscr{V}_n)$ for a suitable countable subfamily $\mathscr{V}_n$ of $\mathscr{W}_n$. Thus Y' is CLWL.

Theorem 1.8 does not provide an answer to the following problem, which we have been unable to solve.

QUESTION 4.6. Is each weakly Lindelöf F'-space an F-space?

On the basis of Theorem 3.3 and Corollary 3.4 and the fact that the class of F-spaces is nestled properly between the classes of F'- and of basically disconnected spaces, one wonders whether the obvious F-space analogue of 3.3 and 3.4 is true. We have not been able to settle this question, though one of us hopes to pursue it in a later communication. We close with a formal statement of this question, and of a related problem.

QUESTION 4.7. In order that $X \times Y$ be an F-space for each P-space X, is it sufficient that Y be an F-space which is CLWL?

QUESTION 4.8. Do there exist a P-space X and an F-space Y such that $X \times Y$ is an F'-space but not an F-space?

This paper has benefited, both mathematically and grammatically, from an unusually thoughtful and instructive referee's report. It is a pleasure to thank the referee for his helpful criticism.

REFERENCES

1. W. W. Comfort and Stelios Negrepontis, *Extending continuous functions on $X \times Y$ to subsets of $\beta X \times \beta Y$*, Fund. Math. **59** (1966), 1-12.
2. Philip C. Curtis, Jr., *A note concerning certain product spaces*, Archiv der Math. **11** (1960), 50-52.
3. Zdenek Frolík, *Generalizations of compact and Lindelöf spaces*, Czech. Math. J. (84) **9** (1959), 172-217 (Russian; English Summary).

4. Leonard Gillman, *A P-space and an extremally disconnected space whose product is not an F-space*, Archiv der Math. **11** (1960), 53-55.
5. Leonard Gillman and Melvin Henriksen, *Rings of continuous functions in which every finitely generated ideal is principal*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **82** (1956), 366-391.
6. Leonard Gillman and Meyer Jerison, *Rings of continuous functions*, D. Van Nostrand Company, Inc., Princeton, N. J. 1960.
7. Neil Hindman, *On the product of F′-spaces and of basically disconnected spaces*, Notices Amer. Math. Soc. **14** (1967), 825; (abstract).
8. Carl W. Kohls, *Hereditary properties of some special spaces*, Archiv der Math. **12** (1961), 129-133.
9. S. Negrepontis, *On the product of F-spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **135** (1969), 443-457.

Received December 12, 1967, and in revised form March 20, 1968. The first two authors gratefully acknowledge support received from the National Science Foundation under grant NSF-GP-8357 (and, earlier, under grant NSF-GP-7056, administered by the University of Massachusetts when these authors were associated with that institution). The third author gratefully acknowledges support received from the Canadian National Research Council under grant A-4035 and from the Summer (1967) Research Institute of the Canadian Mathematical Congress at Kingston, Ontario.

WESLEYAN UNIVERSITY
MIDDLETOWN, CONNECTICUT
MCGILL UNIVERSITY
MONTREAL, QUEBEC

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, double spaced with large margins. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. It should not contain references to the bibliography. Manuscripts, in duplicate if possible, may be sent to any one of the four editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Rev. **36**, 1539-1546. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, Richard Arens, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

50 reprints are provided free for each article; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is published monthly. Effective with Volume 16 the price per volume (3 numbers) is $8.00; single issues, $3.00. Special price for current issues to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: $4.00 per volume; single issues $1.50. Back numbers are available.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley, California, 94708.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), 7-17, Fujimi 2-chome, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan.

Pacific Journal of Mathematics
Vol. 28, No. 3 May, 1969