Pacific Journal of Mathematics

A – P CONGRUENCES ON BAER SEMIGROUPS

BILLY JOE THORNE

Vol. 28, No. 3 May 1969

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS
Vol. 28, No. 3, 1969

A — P CONGRUENCES ON BAER SEMIGROUPS

B. J. THORNE

In this paper a coordinatizing Baer semigroup is used to pick out an interesting sublattice of the lattice of congruence relations on a lattice with 0 and 1. These congruences are defined for any lattice with 0 and 1 and have many of the nice properties enjoyed by congruence relations on a relatively complemented lattice.

These results generalize the work of S. Maeda on Rickart (Baer) rings and are related to G. Gratzer and E. T. Schmidt's work on standard ideals.

In [7] M. F. Janowitz shows that lattice theory can be approached by means of Baer semigroups. A *Baer semigroup* is a multiplicative semigroup S with 0 and 1 in which the left and right annihilators, $L(x) = \{y \in S : yx = 0\}$ and $R(x) = \{y \in S : xy = 0\}$, of any $x \in S$ are principal left and right ideals generated by idempotents. For any Baer semigroup S, $\mathscr{L}(S) = \{L(x) : x \in S\}$ and $\mathscr{R}(S) = \{R(x) : x \in S\}$, ordered by set inclusion, are dual isomorphic lattices with 0 and 1. The Baer semigroup S is said to *coordinatize* the lattice L if $\mathscr{L}(S)$ is isomorphic to L. The basic point is Theorem 2.3, p. 1214 of [7], which states: a partially ordered set P with 0 and 1 is a lattice if and only if it can be coordinatized by a Baer semigroup.

It will be convenient to introduce the convention that S will always denote a Baer semigroup and that for any $x \in S$, x^l and x^r will denote idempotent generators of $L(x)$ and $R(x)$ respectively. Also the letters e, f, g, and h shall always denote idempotents of S.

Some background material is presented in § 1. In § 2, $A - P$ congruences are defined and it is shown that every $A - P$ congruence ρ on S induces a lattice congruence Θ_ρ on $\mathscr{L}(S)$ such that $\mathscr{L}(S)/\Theta_\rho \cong \mathscr{L}(S/\rho)$. In § 3 congruences which arise in this manner are characterised as the set of all equivalence relations on $\mathscr{L}(S)$ which are compatible with a certain set of maps on $\mathscr{L}(S)$. These congruences are called compatible with S. They are standard congruences and are thus determined by their kernels.

The ideals of $\mathscr{L}(S)$ which are kernels of congruences compatible with S are characterised in § 4. In § 5 it is shown that a principal ideal, $[(0), Se]$, is the kernel of a congruence compatible with S if and only if e is central in S. In § 6 this is applied to complete Baer semigroups to show that, in this case, the congruence compatible with S form a Stone lattice.

1. Preliminaries. We shall let $L(M) = \{y \in S : yx = 0 \text{ for all } x \in M\}$ and $R(M) = \{y \in S : xy = 0 \text{ for all } x \in M\}$ for any set $M \subseteqq S$. The following is a summary of results found on pp. 85–86 of [8].

LEMMA 1.1. *Let $x, y \in S$.*

(i) *$xS \subseteqq yS$ implies $L(y) \subseteqq L(x)$; $Sx \subseteqq Sy$ implies $R(y) \subseteqq R(x)$.*

(ii) *$Sx \subseteqq LR(x)$; $xS \subseteqq RL(x)$.*

(iii) *$L(x) = LRL(x)$; $R(x) = RLR(x)$.*

(iv) *$Sx \in \mathscr{L}(S)$ if and only if $Sx = LR(x)$; $xS \in \mathscr{R}(S)$ if and only if $xS = RL(x)$.*

(v) *The mappings $eS \to L(eS)$ and $Sf \to R(Sf)$ are mutually inverse dual isomorphisms between $\mathscr{R}(S)$ and $\mathscr{L}(S)$.*

(vi) *Let $Se, Sf \in \mathscr{L}(S)$ and $Sh = L(ef^r)$. Then $he = (he)^2$, $Se \cap Sf = She \in \mathscr{L}(S)$, and $Se \vee Sf = L(e^rS \cap f^rS)$.*

(vii) *Let $eS, fS \in \mathscr{R}(S)$ and $gS = R(f^le)$. Then $eg = (eg)^2$, $eS \cap fS = egS \in \mathscr{R}(S)$, and $eS \vee fS = R(Se^l \cap Sf^l)$.*

Note that the meet operation in $\mathscr{L}(S)$ and $\mathscr{R}(S)$ is set intersection and that the trivial ideals, S and (0), are the largest and smallest elements of both $\mathscr{L}(S)$ and $\mathscr{R}(S)$.

We shall be interested in a class of isotone maps introduced by Croisot in [2].

DEFINITION 1.2. Let P be a partially ordered set. An isotone map ϕ of P into itself is called *residuated* if there exists an isotone map ϕ^+ of P into P such that for any $p \in P$, $p\phi^+\phi \leqq p \leqq p\phi\phi^+$. In this case ϕ^+ is called a *residual* map.

Clearly ϕ^+ is uniquely determined by ϕ and conversly. The pair (ϕ, ϕ^+) sets up a Galois connection between P and its dual. Thus we can combine results from [2], [3], and [11] to get.

LEMMA 1.3. *Let P be a partially ordered set and ϕ and ψ maps of P into itself.*

(i) *If ϕ and ψ are residuated then $\phi\psi$ is residuated and $(\phi\psi)^+ = \psi^+\phi^+$.*

(ii) *If ϕ is residuated then $\phi = \phi\phi^+\phi$ and $\phi^+ = \phi^+\phi\phi^+$.*

(iii) *Let ϕ be residuated and $\{x_\alpha\}$ be any family of elements of P. If $\bigvee_\alpha x_\alpha$ exists then $\bigvee_\alpha (x_\alpha\phi)$ exists and $\bigvee_\alpha (x_\alpha\phi) = (\bigvee_\alpha x_\alpha)\phi$. Dually if $\bigwedge_\alpha x_\alpha$ exists then $\bigwedge_\alpha (x_\alpha\phi^+)$ exists and $\bigwedge_\alpha (x_\alpha\phi^+) = (\bigwedge_\alpha x_\alpha)\phi^+$.*

(iv) *A necessary and sufficient condition that ϕ be residuated is that for any $x \in L$, $\{z : z\phi \leqq x\}$ has a largest element x^*. In this case ϕ^+ is given by $x\phi^+ = x^*$.*

According to Lemma 1.3 (i) the set of residuated maps forms a semigroup for any partially ordered set P. We shall denote the semigroup of residuated maps on P by $S(P)$. In [7], Theorem 2.3, p. 1214, it is shown that P is a lattice if and only if $S(P)$ is a Baer semigroup. In this case $S(P)$ coordinatizes P.

In [8], pp. 93, 94, it is shown that any Baer semigroup S can be represented as a semigroup of residuated maps on $\mathscr{L}(S)$. We shall be interested in the maps introduced to achieve this.

LEMMA 1.4. *For any* $x \in S$ *define* $\phi_x : \mathscr{L}(S) \to \mathscr{L}(S)$ *by* $Se\phi_x = LR(ex)$.

(i) ϕ_x *is residuated with residual* ϕ_x^+ *given by* $Se\phi_x^+ = L(xe^r)$.

(ii) *If* $LR(y) = Se$ *then* $Se\phi_x = LR(yx)$.

(iii) *Let* $S_0 = \{\phi_x : x \in S\}$. *Then* S_0 *is a Baer semigroup which coordinatizes* $\mathscr{L}(S)$.

(iv) *The map* $x \to \phi_x$ *is a homomorphism, with kernel* $\{0\}$, *of* S *into* S_0.

We shall now develop an unpublished result due to D. J. Foulis and M. F. Janowitz.

DEFINITION 1.5. A semigroup S is a *complete Baer semigroup* if for any subset M of S there exist idempotents e, f such that $L(M) = Se$ and $R(M) = fS$.

In proving Lemma 2.3 of [7] the crucial observation was [7] Lemma 2.1, p. 1213, where it is shown that for any lattice L and any $a \in L$ there are idempotent residuated maps θ_a and ψ_a given by:

$$x\theta_a = \begin{cases} x & x \leqq a \\ a & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \qquad\qquad x\psi_a = \begin{cases} 0 & x \leqq a \\ x \vee a & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

THEOREM 1.6. *Let* P *be a partially ordered set with* 0 *and* 1. *Then the following conditions are equivalent.*

(i) P *is a complete lattice.*

(ii) $S(P)$ *is a complete Baer semigroup.*

(iii) P *can be coordinatized by a complete Baer semigroup.*

Proof. (i) ⟹ (ii) Let P be a complete lattice and $M \subseteqq S(P)$ with $m = \bigvee \{1\phi : \phi \in M\}$ and $n = \bigwedge \{0\phi^+ : \phi \in M\}$. It is easily verified that $L(M) = S(P)\theta_n$ and $R(M) = \psi_m S(P)$.

(ii) ⟹ (iii) follows from [7], Theorem 2.3.

(iii) ⟹ (i) Let S be a complete Baer semigroup coordinatizing P and $\mathscr{P}(S)$ the complete lattice of all subsets of S. Define α and β

mapping $\mathscr{P}(S)$ into $\mathscr{P}(S)$ by $M\alpha = L(M)$ and $M\beta = R(M)$. Clearly (α, β) sets up a Galois connection of $\mathscr{P}(S)$ with itself. Since S is a complete Baer semigroup $\mathscr{L}(S)$ is the set of Galois closed objects of (α, β). Thus $\mathscr{L}(S)$ is a complete lattice.

We conclude this section with some relatively well known facts about lattice congruences. An equivalence relation Θ on a lattice is a *lattice congruence* if $a\Theta b$ and $c\Theta d$ imply $(a \vee c)\Theta(b \vee d)$ and $(a \wedge c)\Theta(b \wedge d)$. We shall sometimes write $a \equiv b(\Theta)$ in place of $a\Theta b$. With respect to the order $\Theta \leqq \Theta'$ if and only if $a\Theta b$ implies $a\Theta' b$, the set of all lattice congruences on a lattice L is a complete lattice, denoted by $\Theta(L)$, with meet and join given as follows:

THEOREM 1.7. *Let L be a lattice and Γ a subset of $\Theta(L)$.*

(i) *$a \equiv b(\bigwedge \Gamma)$ if and only if $a\gamma b$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma$.*

(ii) *$a \equiv b(\bigvee \Gamma)$ if and only if there exist finite sequences $a_0, a_1, \cdots, a_n$ of elements of L and $\gamma_1, \cdots, \gamma_n$ of elements of Γ, such that $a = a_0$, $a_n = b$, and $a_{i-1}\ \gamma_i a_i$ for $i = 1, \cdots, n$.*

The largest element ι of $\Theta(L)$ is given by $a\iota b$ for all $a, b \in L$ and the smallest element ω is given by $a\omega b$ if and only if $a = b$.

In [4] it is shown that $\Theta(L)$ is distributive. In fact we have:

THEOREM 1.8. *Let L be a lattice. The $\Theta(L)$ is a distributive lattice such that for any family $\{\Theta_\alpha\} \subseteqq \Theta(L)$*

$$(\bigvee_\alpha \Theta_\alpha) \wedge \Psi = \bigvee_\alpha (\Theta_\alpha \wedge \Psi)$$

for any $\Psi \in \Theta(L)$.

Thus by Theorem 15, p. 147, of [1] we have:

THEOREM 1.9. *For any lattice L, $\Theta(L)$ is pseudo-complemented.*

Finally we mention that if $\Theta \in \Theta(L)$ then $a\Theta b$ if and only if $x\Theta y$ for all $x, y \in [a \wedge b, a \vee b]$.

2. A − P congruences.

In [10] S. Maeda defines annihilator preserving homomorphisms for rings. We shall take the same definition for semigroups with 0.

DEFINITION 2.1. A homomorphism ϕ of a semigroup S with 0 is called an *annihilator preserving* $(A - P)$ *homomorphism* if for any $x \in S$, $R(x)\phi = R(x\phi) \cap S\phi$ and $L(x)\phi = L(x\phi) \cap S\phi$. A congruence relation ρ on a semigroup S is called an $A - P$ *congruence* if the natural homomorphism induced by ρ is an $A - P$ homomorphism.

For any congruence ρ on a semigroup S and any $x \in S$ let x/ρ denote the equivalence class of S/ρ containing x. Similarly for any set $A \subseteq S$, let $A/\rho = \{x/\rho \in S/\rho : x \in A\}$. If S has a 0 then $R(x)/\rho \subseteq R(x/\rho)$ and $L(x)/\rho \subseteq L(x/\rho)$. Thus a congruence ρ is an $A - P$ congruence if and only if $R(x/\rho) \subseteq R(x)/\rho$ and $L(x/\rho) \subseteq L(x)/\rho$. Note that we are using L and R to denote the left and right annihilators both in S and in S/ρ.

THEOREM 2.2. *Let ρ be an $A - P$ congruence on a semigroup S. If e and f are idempotents of S such that $Se = L(x)$ and $fS = R(y)$ for some $x, y \in S$, then $(S/\rho)(e/\rho) = L(x/\rho)$ and $(f/\rho)(S/\rho) = R(y/\rho)$. Thus if S is a Baer semigroup so is S/ρ.*

Proof. Since ρ is an $A - P$ congruence $L(x/\rho) = L(x)/\rho$. Thus $L(x) = Se$ gives $L(x/\rho) = L(x)/\rho = (Se)/\rho = (S/\rho)(e/\rho)$. Similarly $R(x) = fS$ gives $R(x/\rho) = (f/\rho)(S/\rho)$.

We now use an $A - P$ congruence ρ on S to induce a homomorphism of $\mathscr{L}(S)$ onto $\mathscr{L}(S/\rho)$.

THEOREM 2.3. *Let ρ be an $A - P$ congruence on S. Then $\theta_\rho : \mathscr{L}(S) \rightarrow \mathscr{L}(S/\rho)$ by $L(x)\theta_\rho = L(x/\rho)$ is a lattice homomorphism of $\mathscr{L}(S)$ onto $\mathscr{L}(S/\rho)$.*

Proof. Let $Se, Sf \in \mathscr{L}(S)$ and note that, by Theorem 2.2,

$$Se\theta_\rho = (S/\rho)(e/\rho) \quad \text{and} \quad Sf\theta_\rho = (S/\rho)(f/\rho) .$$

Clearly θ_ρ is well defined since if $L(x) = L(y)$ then

$$L(x/\rho) = L(x)/\rho = L(y)/\rho = L(y/\rho) .$$

By Lemma 1.1 (vi), $She = Se \cap Sf$ where $Sh = L(ef^r)$. Applying Theorem 2.2 gives $(f^r/\rho)(S/\rho) = R(f/\rho)$ and $(S/\rho)(h/\rho) = L((e/\rho)(f^r/\rho))$. Thus applying Lemma 1.1 (vi) to S/ρ yields

$$(S/\rho)(e/\rho) \cap (S/\rho)(f/\rho) = (S/\rho)(h/\rho)(e/\rho) = (S/\rho)(he/\rho) .$$

Therefore, $Se\theta_\rho \cap Sf\theta_\rho = (Se \cap Sf)\theta_\rho$. By a dual argument $\theta_\rho^* \mathscr{R}(S) \rightarrow \mathscr{R}(S/\rho)$ by $R(x)\theta_\rho^* = R(x/\rho)$ is also a meet homomorphism.

By Lemma 1.1 (vi) $Se \vee Sf = L(R(e) \cap R(f))$. Let $gS = R(e) \cap R(f)$ so that $Se \vee Sf = Sg^l$. Since θ_ρ^* is a meet homomorphism,

$$R(e/\rho) \cap R(f/\rho) = (g/\rho)(S/\rho) .$$

Noting that $L(g/\rho) = (S/\rho)(g^1/\rho)$ and applying Lemma 1.1 (vi) to S/ρ gives

$$(S/\rho)(e/\rho) \vee (S/\rho)(f/\rho) = (S/\rho)(g^1/\rho) .$$

Thus $Se\theta_\rho \vee Sf\theta_\rho = (Se \vee Sf)\theta_\rho$ and θ_ρ is a lattice homomorphism. Clearly θ_ρ is onto.

For any $A - P$ congruence ρ on S let Θ_ρ denote the lattice congruence $\theta_\rho \circ \theta_\rho^{-1}$ induced on $\mathscr{L}(S)$ by θ_ρ.

COROLLARY 2.4. $\mathscr{L}(S)/\Theta_\rho \cong \mathscr{L}(S/\rho)$.

3. Compatible congruences. In this section we shall characterise lattice congruence which are induced by an $A - P$ congruence on a coordinatizing Baer semigroup in the manner given in Theorem 2.3. Since $L \cong \mathscr{L}(S)$ for any Baer semigroup S coordinatizing L, we shall lose no generality by considering only lattices of the form $\mathscr{L}(S)$.

The residuated maps ϕ_x, $x \in S$, defined in Lemma 1.4, play a central role in the theory of Bear semigroups. We shall be interested in equivalence relations on $\mathscr{L}(S)$ which are compatible with ϕ_x and ϕ_x^+, considered as unary operations on $\mathscr{L}(S)$.

DEFINITION 3.1. An equivalence relation E on $\mathscr{L}(S)$ is called *compatible with* S if for any $x \in S$,

$$SeESf \Rightarrow (Se\phi_x)E(Sf\phi_x) \quad \text{and} \quad (Se\phi_x^+)E(Sf\phi_x^+) .$$

By [7] Lemma 3.1 and 3.2, pp. 1214–1215, $Se \cap Sf = Se \cap S\phi_f = Se\phi_f^+\phi_f$. Dually $Se \vee Sf = Se\phi_{f^r}\phi_{f^r}^+$. Thus we have:

LEMMA 3.3. *Any equivalence relation compatible with S is a lattice congruence.*

We now consider an $A - P$ congruence ρ on S and Θ_ρ, the lattice congruence induced on $\mathscr{L}(S)$ by ρ as in Theorem 2.3.

THEOREM 3.4. *Let ρ be an $A - P$ congruence on S. Then Θ_ρ is compatible with S.*

Proof. Since $Se\Theta_\rho Sf$ if and only if $(S/\rho)(e/\rho) = (S/\rho)(f/\rho)$, $Se\Theta_\rho Sf$ implies $(e/\rho) = (e/\rho)(f/\rho)$ and $(f/\rho) = (f/\rho)(e/\rho)$. Note that for any $y \in S$, $LR(y)\theta_\rho = LR(y/\rho)$. If $Se\Theta_\rho Sf$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}(Se\phi_x)\theta_\rho &= LR((ex)/\rho) = LR((e/\rho)(x/\rho)) \\ &= LR((e/\rho)(f/\rho)(x/\rho)) \subseteqq LR((f/\rho)(x/\rho)) = (Sf\phi_x)\theta_\rho .\end{aligned}$$

By symmetry $(Sf\phi_x)\theta_\rho = (Se\phi_x)\theta_\rho$ ie. $Se\phi_x\Theta_\rho Sf\phi_x$.

Now $R(e/\rho) = R((e/\rho)(f/\rho)) \supseteqq R(f/\rho) = R((f/\rho)(e/\rho)) \supseteqq R(e/\rho)$. Thus $(e^r/\rho)(S/\rho) = (f^r/\rho)(S/\rho)$. But

$$(Se\phi_x^+)\theta_\rho = L(xe^r)\theta_\rho = L((xe^r)/\rho) = L((x/\rho)(e^r/\rho))$$

and similarly $(Sf\phi_x^+)\theta_\rho = L((x/\rho)(f^r/\rho))$. Clearly $y/\rho \in L((x/\rho)(e^r/\rho))$ if and only if $(y/\rho)(x/\rho) \in L((e^r/\rho)(S/\rho)) = L((f^r/\rho)(S/\rho))$. Thus we have $(Se\phi_x^+)\theta_\rho = (Sf\phi_x^+)\theta_\rho$. Therefore, $Se\phi_x^+\Theta_\rho Sf\phi_x^+$ and Θ_ρ is compatible with S.

By the following theorem every congruence compatible with S is determined by its kernel in a very nice way.

THEOREM 3.5. *Let Θ be a congruence compatible with S. Then the following are equivalent.*

(i) $Se\Theta Sf$.

(ii) $Se\phi_{f^r} \vee Sf\phi_{e^r} \in ker\ \Theta$.

(iii) *There is an* $Sg \in ker\ \Theta$ *such that* $Se \vee Sf = Se \vee Sg = Sf \vee Sg$.

Proof (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) Since Θ is compatible with S, $Se\Theta Sf$ gives $Se\phi_{f^r}\Theta Sf\phi_{f^r} = (0)$, i.e., $Se\phi_{f^r} \in \ker \Theta$. By symmetry $Sf\phi_{e^r} \in \ker\Theta$ so we have (ii).

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) Let $Sg = Se\phi_{f^r} \vee Sf\phi_{e^r} \in \ker\Theta$ and claim $Se \vee Sg = Sf \vee Sg$, i.e.,

$$LR(e) \vee LR(ef^r) \vee LR(fe^r) = LR(f) \vee LR(ef^r) \vee LR(fe^r) .$$

By Lemma 1.1 (v) this is equivalent to

$$R(e) \cap R(ef^r) \cap R(fe^r) = R(f) \cap R(ef^r) \cap R(fe^r) .$$

Let $x \in R(e) \cap R(ef^r) \cap R(fe^r)$. Then $x = e^r x$ and $fx = fe^r x = 0$ so $x \in R(f) \cap R(ef^r) \cap R(fe^r)$. By symmetry

$$R(e) \cap R(ef^r) \cap R(fe^r) = R(f) \cap R(ef^r) \cap R(fe^r)$$

so we have $Se \vee Sg = Sf \vee Sg$. To show that $Se \vee Sf = Se \vee Sg = Sf \vee Sg$ we need only show that $Sg \subseteqq Se \vee Sf$. This is equivalent to $R(e) \cap R(f) \subseteqq R(ef^r) \cap R(fe^r)$. But if $x \in R(e) \cap R(f)$ then $x = e^r x = f^r x$, so $ef^r x = ex = 0$ and $fe^r x = fx = 0$, i.e., $x \in R(ef^r) \cap R(fe^r)$.

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) If $Se \vee Sg = Sf \vee Sg$ and $Sg\Theta(0)$ then $Se\Theta Se \vee Sg = Sf \vee Sg\Theta Sf$.

A congruence Θ on a lattice is called *standard* if there is an ideal S such that $a\Theta b$ if and only if $a \vee b = (a \wedge b) \vee s$ for some $s \in S$.

COROLLARY 3.6. *Any congruence Θ compatible with S is a standard congruence.*

Proof. Since Θ is a lattice congruence $Se\Theta Sf$ if and only if $(Se \vee Sf)\Theta(Se \cap Sf)$. By Theorem 3.5 this is equivalent to

$$(Se \vee Sf) \vee (Se \cap Sf) = Se \vee Sf = (Se \cap Sf) \vee Sg$$

for some $Sg \in \ker \Theta$.

Thus by Lemma 7, p. 36, of [5] we have:

COROLLARY 3.7. *Compatible congruences are permutable.*

By Theorem 3.5 every congruence compatible with S is determined by its kernel. Since, by Theorem 3.4, Θ_ρ is compatible with S for any $A - P$ congruence ρ on S we know that Θ_ρ is determined by its kernel. By the following lemma, Θ_ρ is also uniquely determined by $\ker \rho$.

LEMMA 3.8. *Let ρ be an $A - P$ congruence on S. Then $x \in \ker \rho$ if and only if $LR(x) \in \ker \Theta_\rho$.*

Proof. Let $x \in \ker \rho$. Then $x\rho 0 \Rightarrow xy\rho 0$ for any $y \in S$. Thus $R(x/\rho) = S/\rho$ so that $LR(x/\rho) = L(S/\rho) = (0/\rho)$, i.e., $LR(x) \in \ker \Theta_\rho$. If we let $LR(x) \in \ker \Theta_\rho$ then $LR(x/\rho) = (0/\rho)$. Thus $R(x/\rho) = RLR(x/\rho) = R(0/\rho) = S/\rho$ which gives $x/\rho = 0/\rho$ and we have $x \in \ker \rho$.

That Θ should be determined completely by $\ker \rho$ is unexpected since an $A - P$ congruence need not be determined by its kernel. For clearly the congruence ω given by $x\omega y$ if and only if $x = y$ is an $A - P$ congruence with kernel $\{0\}$ as is the congruence ρ_0 given by $x\rho_0 y$ if and only if $\phi_x = \phi_y$. Clearly ρ_0 is not generally equal to ω. It turns out that ρ_0 is the largest $A - P$ congruence with kernel $\{0\}$. Our next project shall be to start with a congruence Θ compatible with S and determine the existance of an $A - P$ congruence λ on S, such that $\Theta = \Theta_\lambda$. By lemma 3.8 we shall have to construct λ so that $\ker \lambda = \{x \in S : LR(x) \in \ker \Theta\}$.

For any congruence Θ on $\mathscr{L}(S)$ let Se/Θ denote the equivalence class of $\mathscr{L}(S)/\Theta$ containing Se.

LEMMA 3.9. *Let Θ be a congruence compatible with S. For each $x \in S$ define Φ_x ; $\mathscr{L}(S)/\Theta \rightarrow \mathscr{L}(S)/\Theta$ by $(Se/\Theta)\Phi_x = (Se\phi_x)/\Theta$. Then Φ_x is residuated with residual Φ_x^+ given by $(Se/\Theta)\Phi_x^+ = (Se\phi_x^+)/\Theta$.*

Proof. Clearly Φ_x and Φ_x^+ are well defined since Θ is compatible with S. We shall use Lemma 1.3 (iv), i.e., we shall show that the inverse image of a principal ideal is principal. Let $Sf/\Theta \in [(0)/\Theta, Se/\Theta]\Phi_x^{-1}$. Then $(Sf/\Theta)\Phi_x = (Sf\phi_x)/\Theta = (Sf\phi_x)/\Theta \cap Se/\Theta = (Sf\phi_x \cap Se)/\Theta$. This gives $Sf\phi_x\Theta(Sf\phi_x \cap Se)$ so by compatibility with S,

$$Sf \subseteqq Sf\phi_x\phi_x^+\Theta(Sf\phi_x \cap Se)\phi_x^+ \subseteqq Se\phi_x^+ .$$

Thus in $\mathscr{L}(S)/\Theta$, $Sf/\Theta \subseteqq (Sf\phi_x\phi_x^+)/\Theta = (Sf\phi_x \cap Se)\phi_x^+/\Theta \subseteqq (Se/\Theta)\Phi_x^+$, i.e., $[(0)/\Theta, Se/\Theta)]\Phi_x^{-1} \subseteqq [(0)/\Theta, (Se/\Theta)\Phi_x^+]$. Now let $Sf/\Theta \subseteqq (Se/\Theta)\Phi_x^+$. Then

$$Sf/\Theta = Sf/\Theta \cap [(Se/\Theta)\Phi_x^+] = Sf/\Theta \cap (Se\phi_x^+)/\Theta = (Sf \cap Se\phi_x^+)/\Theta$$

i.e., $Sf\Theta(Sf \cap Se\phi_x^+)$. By compatibility with S

$$Sf\phi_x\Theta[(Sf \cap Se\phi_x^+)\phi_x] \subseteqq Se\phi_x^+\phi_x \subseteqq Se .$$

Hence $(Sf/\Theta)\Phi_x = (Sf\phi_x)/\Theta = (Sf \cap Se\phi_x^+)\phi_x/\Theta \subseteqq Se/\Theta$. Therefore,

$$[(0)/\Theta, Se/\Theta]\Phi_x^{-1} = [(0)/\Theta, (Sf/\Theta)\Phi_x^+]$$

and by Lemma 1.3 (iv), Φ_x is residuated with residual Φ_x^+.

For any equivalence relation E on $\mathscr{L}(S)$ we can define a left congruence λ_E on S by taking $x\lambda_E y$ if and only if $(Se\phi_x)E(Se\phi_y)$ for all $Se \in \mathscr{L}(S)$. Similarly, $x\rho_E y$ if and only if $(Se\phi_x^+)E(Se\phi_x^+)$ for all $Se \in \mathscr{L}(S)$, defines a right congruence on S.

LEMMA 3.10. *If Θ is a congruence compatible with S then $\lambda_\Theta = \rho_\Theta$. Thus λ_Θ is a congruence on S.*

Proof. By definition $x\lambda_\Theta y$ if and only if $\Phi_x = \Phi_y$. But $\Phi_x = \Phi_y$ if and only if $\Phi_x^+ = \Phi_y^+$ which is equivalent to $x\rho_\Theta y$.

THEOREM 3.11. *Let Θ be a congruence compatible with S. Then λ_Θ is an $A - P$ congruence on S.*

Proof. We know that λ_Θ is an $A - P$ congruence if and only if $L(y/\lambda_\Theta) \subseteqq L(y)/\lambda_\Theta$ and $R(y/\lambda_\Theta) \subseteqq R(y)/\lambda_\Theta$ for all $y \in S$. We shall start with $x/\lambda_\Theta \in L(y/\lambda_\Theta)$ and show that $x/\lambda_\Theta = xe/\lambda_\Theta$ where $Se = L(y)$. This, of course, is equivalent to $\Phi_x = \Phi_{xe}$.

Let $x/\lambda_\Theta \in L(y/\lambda_\Theta)$ so that $xy \in \ker \lambda_\Theta$. Thus $Sf\phi_{xy}\Theta Sf\phi_0 = (0)$ for all $Sf \in \mathscr{L}(S)$. In particular, $S\phi_{xy}\Theta(0)$ so for any $Sf \in \mathscr{L}(S)$,

$$Sf\phi_x \subseteqq S\phi_x \subseteqq S\phi_x\phi_y\phi_y^+ = (S\phi_{xy}\phi_y^+)\Theta(0)\phi_y^+ = L(y) = Se\ .$$

Thus $Sf\phi_x = (Sf\phi_x \cap S\phi_{xy}\phi_x^+)\Theta(Se \cap Sf\phi_x)$. Now if $Sg \subseteqq Se$ then $g = ge$ so $Sg\phi_e = LR(ge) = LR(g) = Sg$. Thus applying ϕ_e to both sides of the above gives $Sf\phi_{xe} = Sf\phi_x\phi_e\Theta(Se \cap Sf\phi_x)\phi_e = Se \cap Sf\phi_x$. By transitivity $Sf\phi_{xe}\Theta Sf\phi_x$ and so $xe\lambda_\Theta x$. Since $xe \in L(y)$ this gives $x/\lambda_\Theta \in L(y)/\lambda_\Theta$.

The argument to show $R(y/\lambda_\Theta) = R(y)/\lambda_\Theta$ is exactly dual to the above but will be included. We have $x/\lambda_\Theta \in R(y/\lambda_\Theta)$ if and only if $yx \in \ker\lambda_\Theta$. By Lemma 3.10 and the definition of ρ_Θ this is equivalent to $Sf\phi_{yx}^+\Theta Sf\phi_0^+ = L(0) = S$ for all $Sf \in \mathscr{L}(S)$. In particular $(0)\phi_{yx}^+\Theta S$. By Lemma 1.3 (i) $\phi_{yx}^+ = \phi_x^+\phi_y^+$ so for any $Sf \in \mathscr{L}(S)$ we have

$$Sf\phi_x^+ \supseteqq (0)\phi_x^+ \supseteqq (0)\phi_x^+\phi_y^+\phi_y = (0)\phi_{yx}^+\phi_y\Theta S\phi_y\ .$$

Thus $Sf\phi_x^+ = (Sf\phi_x^+ \vee (0)\phi_{yx}^+\phi_y)\Theta(S\phi_y \vee Sf\phi_x^+)$. Let $eS = R(y)$ and note that $S\phi_y = LR(y) = L(e)$. Now $L(e) \subseteqq Sg$ implies $eS = RL(e) \supseteqq R(g) = g^rS$ so $g^r = eg^r$. Thus $Sg\phi_e^+ = L(eg^r) = L(g^r) = LR(g) = Sg$. Since $L(e) = S\phi_y \subseteqq S\phi_y \vee Sf\phi_x^+$, applying ϕ_e^+ to both sides of the above gives; $Sf\phi_{ex}^+ = Sf\phi_x^+\phi_e^+\Theta(S\phi_y \vee Sf\phi_x^+)\phi_e^+ = S\phi_y \vee Sf\phi_x^+$. By transitivity $Sf\phi_x^+\Theta Sf\phi_{ex}^+$ so by Lemma 3.10 $x\lambda_\Theta ex$. Since $ex \in R(y)$ this gives $x/\lambda_\Theta \in R(y)/\lambda_\Theta$. Thus λ_Θ is an $A - P$ congruence.

By Theorem 3.11 every congruence Θ compatible with S gives rise to an $A - P$ congruence λ_Θ on S.

LEMMA 3.12. *Let Θ be a congruence compatible with S. Then $x \in \ker\lambda_\Theta$ if and only if $LR(x) \in \ker\Theta$.*

Proof. Let $x \in \ker\lambda_\Theta$, i.e., $Se\phi_x\Theta Se\phi_0 = (0)$ for all $Se \in \mathscr{L}(S)$. Taking $Se = S$ gives $LR(x) \in \ker\Theta$. Let $LR(x) \in \ker\Theta$. The for any $Se \in \mathscr{L}(S)$ $Se\phi_x \subseteqq S\phi_x = LR(x)\Theta(0) = Se\phi_0$ so $x \in \ker\lambda_\Theta$.

THEOREM 3.13. *Let Θ be a congruence compatible with S and $\rho = \lambda_\Theta$. Then $\Theta_\rho = \Theta$.*

Proof. By Theorem 3.11 ρ is an $A - P$ congruence so by Theorem 3.4 Θ_ρ is compatible with S. By Lemma 3.8 and 3.12 $\ker\Theta_\rho = \ker\Theta$. Thus by Theorem 3.5 $\Theta_\rho = \Theta$.

We now show that λ_Θ is the largest $A - P$ congruence which induces Θ.

COROLLARY 3.14. *Let Θ be a congruence compatible with S. If ρ is an $A - P$ congruence on S such that* $\ker\rho = \ker\lambda_\Theta$, then $\rho \leqq \lambda_\Theta$.

Proof. Let $x\rho y$. Then for any $Se \in \mathscr{L}(S)$, $ex\rho ey$ so

$$(Se\phi_x)\theta_\rho = LR((ex)/\rho) = LR((ey)/\rho) = (Se\phi_y)\theta_\rho .$$

Thus $Se\phi_x \Theta_\rho Se\phi_y$ and since $\Theta_\rho = \Theta$ this gives $x\lambda_\Theta y$.

4. Compatible ideals. In this section ideals which are kernels of congruences compatible with S are characterised. Clearly if Θ is a congruence compatible with S and $J = \ker \Theta$ then $J\phi_x \subseteqq J$ for all $x \in S$. Since ϕ_x preserves join (Lemma 1.3 (iii)) the following is clear.

LEMMA 4.1. *Let J be an ideal of $\mathscr{L}(S)$ such that $J\phi_x \subseteqq J$ for all $x \in S$. Define a relation R on $\mathscr{L}(S)$ by $Se\ R\ Sf$ if and only if there is an $Sg \in J$ such that $Se \vee Sg = Sf \vee Sg$. Then R is an equivalence relation and $Se\,R\,Sf \Rightarrow (Se\phi_x)\,R\,(Sf\phi_x)$ for all $x \in S$.*

In order to find an additional condition on J which will assure that the relation R defined in Lemma 4.1 is compatible with S, it will be valuable to look at certain residuated maps on the lattice $I(\mathscr{L}(S))$ of all ideals of $\mathscr{L}(S)$.

LEMMA 4.2. *For each $x \in S$ let $\hat{\phi}_x : I(\mathscr{L}(S) \rightarrow I(\mathscr{L}(S))$ be given by $I\hat{\phi}_x = \{Se \in \mathscr{L}(S) : Se \subseteqq Sf\phi_x$ for some $Sf \in I\}$. Then $\hat{\phi}_x$ is residuated with residual $\hat{\phi}_x^+$ given by $I\hat{\phi}_x^+ = \{Se \in \mathscr{L}(S) : Se \subseteqq Sf\phi_x^+$ for some $Sf \in I\}$.*

Proof. Clearly $I\hat{\phi}_x$ and $I\hat{\phi}_x^+$ are ideals. Also $\hat{\phi}_x$ and $\hat{\phi}_x^+$ are clearly isotone. Now since $Sf \subseteqq Sf\phi_x\phi_x^+$, $Sf \in I$ implies $Sf \in I\hat{\phi}_x\hat{\phi}_x^+$. Thus $I \subseteqq I\hat{\phi}_x\hat{\phi}_x^+$. Similarly $Sf \in I\hat{\phi}_x^+\hat{\phi}$ implies $Sf \subseteqq Sg\phi_x^+\phi_x$ for some $Sg \in I$. Thus $Sf \subseteqq Sg\phi_x^+\phi_x \subseteqq Sg \in I$ so we have $I\hat{\phi}_x^+\hat{\phi}_x \subseteqq I$.

We will make use of the residuated maps $\hat{\phi}_x$ to characterise ideals which are kernels of congruences compatible with S.

LEMMA 4.3. *Let J be an ideal of $\mathscr{L}(S)$ such that $J\phi_x \subseteqq J$. Then for any $I \in I(\mathscr{L}(S))$, $I\hat{\phi}_x^+ \vee J \subseteqq (I \vee J)\hat{\phi}_x^+$.*

Proof. Recall that by Lemma 1.3 (iv), for any residuated map ϕ on a lattice L and any $a, b \in L$, $a\phi \leqq b$ if and only if $a \leqq b\phi^+$. Now $(I\hat{\phi}_x^+ \vee J)\hat{\phi}_x = I\hat{\phi}_x^+\hat{\phi}_x \vee J\hat{\phi}_x \subseteqq I \vee J$ since $I\hat{\phi}_x^+\hat{\phi}_x \subseteqq I$ and $J\hat{\phi}_x \subseteqq J$. Thus $I\hat{\phi}_x^+ \vee J \subseteqq (I \vee J)\hat{\phi}_x^+$.

COROLLARY 4.4. *Let J be an ideal of $\mathscr{L}(S)$ such that $J\phi_x \subseteqq J$. Then $J \subseteqq J\hat{\phi}_x^+$ and for any $I \in I(\mathscr{L}(S))$ we have*

$$I\hat{\phi}_x^+ \vee J \subseteqq I\hat{\phi}_x^+ \vee J\hat{\phi}_x^+ \subseteqq (I \vee J)\hat{\phi}_x^+ .$$

The next theorem indicates what all of this has to do with congruences compatible with S.

LEMMA 4.5. *Let Θ be a congruence compatible with S and $J = \ker \Theta$. Then for any $I \in I(\mathscr{L}(S))$, and any $x \in S$,*

$$I\phi_x^+ \vee J = I\phi_x^+ \vee J\phi_x^+ = (I \vee J)\phi_x^+ .$$

Proof. By Corollary 4.4 we need only show that $(I \vee J)\phi_x^+ \subseteqq I\hat{\phi}_x^+ \vee J$. Thus let $Se \in I \vee J$. Then there is an $Sf \in I$ and an $Sg \in J$ such that $Se \subseteqq Sf \vee Sg$. Since $Sg\Theta(0)$ we have $Sf \vee Sg\Theta Sf$. Thus, by compatibility with S, $Se\phi_x^+ \subseteqq (Sf \vee Sg)\phi_x^+\Theta Sf\phi_x^+$. By Theorem 3.5 there is an $Sh \in J$ such that $(Sf \vee Sg)\phi_x^+ \vee Sh = Sf\phi_x^+ \vee Sh$. This gives $Se\phi_x^+ \subseteqq (Sf \vee Sg)\phi_x^+ \vee Sh = Sf\phi_x^+ \vee Sh$ so that $Se\phi_x^+ \in I\hat{\phi}_x^+ \vee J$. Thus $(I \vee J)\hat{\phi}_x^+ \subseteqq I\hat{\phi}_x^+ \vee J$.

Without further justification we make the following definition.

DEFINITION 4.6. An *ideal* J of $\mathscr{L}(S)$ is called *compatible with* S if for all $x \in S$, $J\phi_x \subseteqq J$ and, for all $I \in I(\mathscr{L}(S))$, $I\hat{\phi}_x^+ \vee J = (I \vee J)\hat{\phi}_x^+$.

THEOREM 4.7. *An ideal J of $\mathscr{L}(S)$ is compatible with S if and only if it is the kernel of a congruence compatible with S.*

Proof. By Lemma 4.5 the kernel of a congruence compatible with S is an ideal compatible with S. Conversly let J be an ideal compatible with S and define Θ by $Se\Theta Sf$ if and only if there is an $Sg \in J$ such that $Se \vee Sg = Sf \vee Sg$. By Lemma 4.1 Θ is an equivalence relation such that $Se\Theta Sf$ implies $Se\phi_x\Theta Sf\phi_x$ for all $x \in S$. Let $Se \vee Sg = Sf \vee Sg$, $Sg \in J$, i.e., let $Se\Theta Sf$. Note that

$$(Sf \vee Sg)\phi_x^+ \in ([(0), Sf] \vee J)\hat{\phi}_x^+ = [(0), Sf\phi_x^+] \vee J$$

and $(Se \vee Sg)\phi_x^+ \in ([(0), Se] \vee J)\hat{\phi}_x^+ = [(0), Se\phi_x^+] \vee J$. Thus there are $Sh, Sh' \in J$ such that

$$Se\phi_x^+ \subseteqq (Se \vee Sg)\phi_x^+ \subseteqq Se\phi_x^+ \vee Sh$$

and

$$Sf\phi_x^+ \subseteqq (Sf \vee Sg)\phi_x^+ \subseteqq Sf\phi_x^+ \vee Sh' .$$

Thus $Se\phi_x^+ \vee Sh = (Se \vee Sg)\phi_x^+ \vee Sh$ and $Sh' \vee (Sf \vee Sg)\phi_x^+ = Sf\phi_x^+ \vee Sh'$. It follows that $Sf\phi_x^+ \vee (Sh \vee Sh') = Se\phi_x^+ \vee (Sh \vee Sh')$ and since $Sh \vee Sh' \in J$ we have $Se\phi_x^+\Theta Sf\phi_x^+$. Thus by Lemma 3.3 Θ is a congruence compatible with S.

Note that in the proof of Theorem 4.7 the only use made of the hypothesis $(I \vee J)\hat{\phi}_x^+ = I\hat{\phi}_x^+ \vee J$ was for I a principal ideal. This observation together with Lemma 4.5 gives.

COROLLARY 4.8. *Let J be an ideal such that $J\phi_x \subseteq J$ for all $x \in S$. Then J is compatible with S if and only if for any principal ideal $I \in I(\mathscr{L}(S))$ $(I \vee J)\hat{\phi}_x^+ = I\hat{\phi}_x^+ \vee J$ for all $x \in S$.*

By Corollary 4.8 the situation with ideals compatible with S is analogus to that with standard ideals. An ideal J of a lattice L is *standard* if $(I \vee J) \wedge K = (I \wedge K) \vee (J \wedge K)$ for all $I, K \in I(L)$. By Theorem 2, p. 30, of [5] an ideal is standard if and only if the above holds for all principal ideals $I, K \in I(L)$. This similarity is not surprising since by Corollary 3.6, Theorem 4.7, and Theorem 2 of [5] any ideal compatible with S is a standard ideal. In fact the definition of ideal compatible with S is closely related to the definition of standard ideal. To see this we need the following:

LEMMA 4.9. *For any $I \in I(\mathscr{L}(S))$ and any $Se \in \mathscr{L}(S)$,*

$$I \cap [(0), Se] = I\hat{\phi}_e^+\hat{\phi}_e \,.$$

Proof. Clearly $I \cap [(0), Se] = \{Sf \in \mathscr{L}(S) : Sf \subseteq Sg \cap Se$, for some $Sg \in I\}$. But $Sg \cap Se = Sg\phi_e^+\phi_e$ so $I \cap [(0), Se] = I\hat{\phi}_e^+\hat{\phi}_e$.

For any ideal for which $J\phi_x \subseteq J$ Corollary 4.4 gives

$$I\hat{\phi}_x^+ \vee J \subseteq I\hat{\phi}_x^+ \vee J\hat{\phi}_x^+ \subseteq (I \vee J)\hat{\phi}_x^+$$

for all $I \in I(L)$. Now $I\hat{\phi}_x^+ \vee J\hat{\phi}_x^+ = (I \vee J)\hat{\phi}_x^+$ implies

$$(I\hat{\phi}_x^+ \vee J\hat{\phi}_x^+)\hat{\phi}_x = I\hat{\phi}_x^+\hat{\phi}_x \vee J\hat{\phi}_x^+\hat{\phi}_x = (I \vee J)\hat{\phi}_x^+\hat{\phi}_x \,.$$

Taking $x = e$ with $Se \in \mathscr{L}(S)$ and applying Lemma 4.9 this becomes

$$(I \cap [(0), Se]) \vee (J \cap [(0), Se]) = (I \vee J) \cap [(0), Se] \,.$$

Thus if we had required only $I\hat{\phi}_e^+ \vee J\hat{\phi}_e^+ = (I \vee J)\hat{\phi}_e^+$ for all e such that $Se \in \mathscr{L}(S)$ we would have J a standard ideal. However, to define an ideal compatible with S we require the stronger condition that $I\hat{\phi}_x^+ \vee J = (I \vee J)\hat{\phi}_x^+$ and not only for all idempotents x such that $Sx \in \mathscr{L}(S)$ but for all $x \in S$.

5. **Compatible elements.** An element a of a lattice L is called *standard* if $x \wedge (a \vee y) = (x \wedge a) \vee (x \wedge y)$ for all $x, y \in L$. By Lemma 4, p. 32 of [5] an element is standard if and only if the principal

ideal it generates is a standard ideal.

DEFINITION 5.1. An *element* Se of $\mathscr{L}(S)$ is *compatible with* S if $[(0), Se]$ is an ideal compatible with S. Let θ_{Se} denote the congruence compatible with S having $[(0), Se]$ as kernel.

Note that by Corollary 3.6 every element compatible with S is a standard element of $\mathscr{L}(S)$.

It will be convenient to look at co-kernels of congruences compatible with S.

LEMMA 5.2. *Let θ be a congruence compatible with S. Then $LR(x) \in \ker\theta$ if and only if $L(x) \in$ co-ker θ.*

Proof. Let $Sf = LR(x) \in \ker\theta$. Then $Sf\phi_x^+\theta(0)\phi_x^+ = L(x)$. But $Sf\phi_x^+ = L(xf^r)$ and since $f^rS = R(f) = R(Sf) = RLR(x) = R(x)$ we have $Sf\phi_x^+ = L(0) = S$. Thus $L(x) \in$ co-ker θ. Conversly let $L(x)\theta S$ and note that $L(x)\phi_x = LRL(x)\phi_x = LR(x^l)\phi_x = LR(x^lx) = (0)$. Thus

$$(0) = L(x)\phi_x\theta S\phi_x = LR(x), \quad \text{i.e.,} \quad LR(x) \in \ker\theta\ .$$

LEMMA 5.3. *Let Se be compatible with S. Then Se^l is a complement of Se and $[Se^l, S] =$ co-ker θ_{Se}.*

Proof. Clearly $Se \cap Se^l = (0)$. By Lemma 5.2, $Se^l\theta_{Se}S$ so, by Theorem 3.5, $Se^l \vee Se = S$. Thus we clearly have $[Se^l, S] \subseteqq$ co-ker θ_{Se}. Let $Sf \in$ co-ker θ_{Se}. Then $Sf \vee Se = S$ and since Se is standard we have $Se^l = Se^l \cap (Sf \vee Se) = (Se^l \cap Sf) \vee (Se^l \cap Se) = Se^l \cap Sf$. Thus $Se^l \subseteqq Sf$, i.e., co-ker $\theta_{Se} = [Se^l, S]$.

We now wish to characterise elements compatible with S.

LEMMA 5.4. *Let Se be compatible with S. Then e is central in S and $eS = RL(e)$.*

Proof. By Lemma 5.2, $Se^l \in$ co-ker θ_{Se}. Since $Se^l = LR(e^l) = L(e^{lr})$ applying Lemma 5.2 again gives $LR(e^{lr}) \in \ker\theta_{Se}$, i.e., $LR(e^{lr}) \subseteqq Se$. Thus $e^{lr} = e^{lr}e$. But $e^le = 0$ implies $e \in R(e^l)$ so $e = e^{lr}e$. Thus $e = e^{lr}$ so $eS = R(e^l) = RL(e)$. By Lemma 5.3, $Se^l\phi_x^+ \supseteqq Se^l$ and $Se^l\phi_x^+ = L(xe^{lr}) = L(xe)$. Thus $RL(xe) \subseteqq R(e^l) = RL(e) = eS$ so $xe = exe$. But $Se\phi_x \subseteqq Se$ so $ex = exe = xe$, i.e., e is central in S.

We can use any central idempotent of S to induce an $A - P$ congruence on S as follows:

LEMMA 5.5. *Let e be central in S and define a relation ρ on S*

by $x\rho y$ *if and only if* $xe = ye$. *Then* ρ *is an* $A - P$ *congruence on* S *and* $\ker \rho = Se^l$.

Proof. Clearly ρ is a congruence on S. Let $y/\rho \in L(x/\rho)$. Then $0/\rho = (y/\rho)(x/\rho) = (yx)/\rho$ so $yxe = 0$. But $yxe = (ye)x$ so $ye \in L(x)$. Thus $ye = (ye)e$ gives $y/\rho = (ye)/\rho \in L(x)/\rho$. Similarly $R(x/\rho) \subseteqq R(x)/\rho$. Clearly $x/\rho = 0/\rho$ if and only if $x \in L(e) = Se^l$.

LEMMA 5.6. *If* e *is central in* S *then* Se^l *is compatible with* S.

Proof. Since e is central $x\rho y$ if and only if $xe = ye$ is an $A - P$ congruence with kernel Se^l. By Lemma 3.8, $LR(x) \in \ker \Theta_\rho$ if and only if $x \in Se^l$. But $x \in Se^l$ if and only if $x = xe^l$ if and only if $LR(x) \subseteqq Se^l$. Thus $\ker \Theta_\rho = [(0), Se^l]$ so that Se^l is compatible with S.

We can now characterise elements compatible with S as follows:

THEOREM 5.7. *Let* $Se \in \mathscr{L}(S)$. *Then* Se *is compatible with* S *if and only if* e *is central in* S.

Proof. Let e be central in S. By Lemma 5.6, Se^l is compatible with S. Now $L(e) = R(e)$ so $Se^l = e^rS$. Thus $e^l = e^re^l = e^r$. By Lemma 5.6, $Se^l = Se^r$ compatible with S gives Se^{rl} compatible with S. But $Se^{rl} = LR(e^{rl}) = LR(e) = Se$. Thus Se is compatible with S. The converse is Lemma 5.4.

Note that Se is compatible with S if and only if Se^l is compatible with S. Thus, by Lemma 5.3, if either Se or Se^l is compatible with S then Se and Se^l are standard elements of $\mathscr{L}(S)$ which are complements. Thus by Theorem 7.3, p. 300, of [6] we have.

THEOREM 5.8. *If either* Se *or* Se^l *is compatible with* S *then*:
(i) *Both* Se *and* Se^l *are compatible with* S.
(ii) *Both* Se *and* Se^l *are central in* $\mathscr{L}(S)$.
(iii) Θ_{Se} *and* Θ_{Se^l} *are complements in* $\Theta(\mathscr{L}(S))$.

COROLLARY 5.9. *Let* $Se \in \mathscr{L}(S)$. *Then if* e *is central in* S, Se *is central in* $\mathscr{L}(S)$.

5. The lattice of compatible congruences. From the formula for meet and join in $\Theta(L)$ (see Theorem 1.7) it is clear that both the meet and the join of any family of congruences compatible with S are congruences compatible with S. Thus, applying Theorem 1.8, we have.

THEOREM 6.1. *The lattice $\Theta_S(\mathscr{L}(S))$ of all congruence compatible with S is a subcomplete sublattice of $\Theta(\mathscr{L}(S))$. Thus $\Theta_S(\mathscr{L}(S))$ is an uppercontinuous distributive lattice.*

It follows from [1], Theorem 15, p. 147, that $\Theta_S(\mathscr{L}(S))$ is pseudo-complemented. If $\Theta \in \Theta_S(\mathscr{L}(S))$ we shall use Θ^* to denote the pseudo-complent of Θ in $\Theta(\mathscr{L}(S))$ and Θ' to denote the pseudo-complement of Θ in $\Theta_S(\mathscr{L}(S))$.

In [9], Theorem 4.17 (iii), it is shown that for a complete relatively complemented lattice $L, \Theta(L)$ is a *Stone lattice* in the sense that every pseudo-complement has a complement. The remainder of this section is devoted to showing that for suitable choice of S, $\Theta_S(\mathscr{L}(S))$ is a Stone lattice.

We first look at the left and right annihilators of the kernel of an $A - P$ congruence.

LEMMA 6.2. *Let ρ be an $A - P$ congruence on S and $J = \ker \rho$. Then $L(J) = R(J)$.*

Proof. Let $x \in J$ and $y \in L(J)$. If $z \in J$ then $xyz = 0$. Thus $J \subseteqq R(xy)$ so that $L(J) \supseteqq LR(xy)$. Let $LR(xy) = Sf$ and note that $f \in L(J)$. Since J is an ideal, $xy \in J$, i.e., $xy/\rho = 0/\rho$. Thus

$$f/\rho \in LR(xy)/\rho = LR(xy/\rho) = LR(0/\rho) = (0/\rho)$$

so $f \in J$. But then we have $f \in J \cap L(J)$ so $f = f^2 = 0$. This gives $LR(xy) = (0)$ which implies $xy = 0$. Thus $L(J) \subseteqq R(J)$. By symmetry $R(J) \subseteqq L(J)$ so $R(J) = L(J)$.

Recall that a semigroup S is a complete Baer semigroup if the left and right annihilators of an arbitrary subset of S are principal left and right ideals generated by idempotents. Also (Theorem 1.6) as S ranges over all complete Baer semigroups $\mathscr{L}(S)$ ranges over all complete lattices.

LEMMA 6.3. *Let S be a complete Baer semigroup, Θ a congruence compatible with S, and $Se = \cap$ co-ker Θ. Then Se is compatible with S.*

Proof. Let $J = \ker \lambda_\Theta$. By Lemmas 5.2 and 3.12, $x \in J$ if and only if $L(x) \in$ co-ker Θ. Thus $L(J) \subseteqq Se$ since $L(J) \subseteqq L(x)$ for all $x \in J$. But $Se \subseteqq L(x)$ for all $x \in J$ gives $Se \subseteqq L(J)$. Thus $Se = L(J)$. Now by Lemma 6.2, $L(J) = R(J)$ and since S is a complete Baer semigroup there is an idempotent $f \in S$ such that $fS = R(J)$. Then

$fS = Se$ so $e = fe = f$. Since $Se = eS$ is an ideal we have $ex = exe = xe$ for all $x \in S$. Thus e is central in S so by Theorem 5.7, Se is compatible with S.

We can now characterise the kernel of the pseudo-complement of a congruence compatible with a complete Baer semigroup.

THEOREM 6.4. *Let S be a complete Baer semigroup and Θ a congruence compatible with S. Then* $\ker \Theta^*$ *is a principal ideal generated by an element of $\mathscr{L}(S)$ which is compatible with S.*

Proof. Let $Se = \cap$ co-ker Θ and $J = \ker \lambda_\Theta$. By Lemma 6.3, Se is compatible with S. But $Se = L(J) = R(J)$ and $x \in J$ if and only if $LR(x) \in \ker \Theta$ gives $Se \cap Sf = (0)$ for all $Sf \in \ker \Theta$. Thus ker $\Theta_{Se} \cap \ker \Theta = (0)$ so by Theorem 3.5, $\Theta_{Se} \wedge \Theta = \omega$. By definition of pseudo-complement we have $\Theta_{Se} \leqq \Theta^*$ so $[(0), Se] = \ker \Theta_{Se} \subseteq \ker \Theta^*$. Now let $Sg \in$ co-ker Θ and $Sf \in \ker \Theta^*$. Then $(Sf \cap Sg)\Theta(Sf \cap S) = Sf$ and $(Sf \cap Sg)\Theta^*(0)$. Since $(0)\Theta^* Sf$ we have $(Sf \cap Sg) \equiv Sf(\Theta \wedge \Theta^*)$. This gives $Sf \cap Sg = Sf$ so $Sf \subseteq Sg$. Thus $Sf \subseteq Se$ and $\ker \Theta^* \subseteq [(0), Se]$. We, therefore, have $\ker \Theta^* = [(0), Se]$ and since Se is compatible with S this completes the proof.

We clearly have $\Theta' \subseteq \Theta^*$. Since $\ker \Theta^*$ is a principal ideal generated by an element Se compatible with S, it is clear that $\Theta' = \Theta_{Se}$. By Theorem 5.8, Se^l is compatible with S and Θ_{Se^l} is a complement of Θ_{Se} in $\Theta_S(\mathscr{L}(S))$.

THEOREM 6.5. *Let S be a complete Baer semigroup. Then $\Theta_S(\mathscr{L}(S))$ is a Stone lattice.*

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. G. Birkhoff, *Lattice theory*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ. XXV, 2nd ed., Providence, R. I., 1948.
2. R. Croisot, *Applications résiduées*, Paris, Ecole normale Superieure, Annales Scientifiques **73** (1956), 453-474.
3. C. J. Everett, *Closure operators and Galois theory in lattices*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **55** (1944), 514-525.
4. N. Funayama and T. Nakayama, *On the distributivity of a lattice of lattice congruences*, Proc. Imp. Acad. Tokyo **18** (1942), 553-554.
5. G. Grätzer and E. Schmidt, *Standard ideals in lattices*, Acta. Math. Acad. Sci. Hung. **12** (1961), 17-86.
6. M. F. Janowitz, *A characterisation of standard ideals*, Acta. Math. Acad. Sci. Hung. **16** (1965), 289-301.
7. ———, *A semigroup approach to lattices*, Canad. J. Math. **18** (1966), 1212-1223.
8. ———, *Baer semigroups*, Duke Math. J. **23** (1963), 85-95.

9. ———, *Section semicomplemented lattices* (to appear)
10. S. Maeda, *On a ring whose principal right ideals generated by idempotents form a lattice*, Hiroshima J. of Sci. **24** (1960), 509-525.
11. O. Ore, *Galois connexions*, Trans. Amer. Math Soc. **55** (1944), 493-513.

Received June 21, 1968. The results presented here were included in the author's doctoral dissertation presented at the University of New Mexico and were obtained while a member of the faculty of Smith college. The author wishes to express his gratitude to M. F. Janowitz for his generous help and guidance.

SANDIA CORPORATION

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, double spaced with large margins. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. It should not contain references to the bibliography. Manuscripts, in duplicate if possible, may be sent to any one of the four editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Rev. **36**, 1539-1546. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, Richard Arens, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

50 reprints are provided free for each article; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is published monthly. Effective with Volume 16 the price per volume (3 numbers) is $8.00; single issues, $3.00. Special price for current issues to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: $4.00 per volume; single issues $1.50. Back numbers are available.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley, California, 94708.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), 7-17, Fujimi 2-chome, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan.

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 28, No. 3 May, 1969