Pacific Journal of Mathematics

RELATIONS ON MINIMAL HYPERSURFACES

HARLEY M. FLANDERS

Vol. 29, No. 1 May 1969

RELATIONS ON MINIMAL HYPERSURFACES

HARLEY FLANDERS

DEDICATED TO THE MEMORY OF CHARLES LOEWNER

In the theory of nonparametric minimal surfaces there is a transformation which replaces a minimal surface by a certain type of convex surface. Construction of this transformation depends on the exactness of certain differential one-forms, a consequence of the minimal surface equation. In this article analogous systems of (n-1)-forms are introduced on a minimal n-hypersurface. This leads to new tensors and to relations between them.

Let u = u(x, y) satisfy the minimal hypersurface equation

$$(1 + p^2 + q^2)(r + t) = rp^2 + 2spq + tq^2$$
.

It is known (see Radó [6], pp. 57-60) that if we set

$$w^2 = 1 + p^2 + q^2$$
, $\alpha = dx + p du$, $\beta = dy + q du$,

then

$$d\left(\frac{\alpha}{w}\right) = 0$$
, $d\left(\frac{\beta}{w}\right) = 0$.

Also if we define P and Q by

$$dP = \frac{\alpha}{w}$$
, $dQ = \frac{\beta}{w}$,

then

$$d(P dx + Q dy) = 0.$$

hence there is a function U satisfying

$$dU = P dx + Q du$$
.

The function U has Hessian

$$rac{\partial^2 U}{\partial x^2}rac{\partial^2 U}{\partial y^2}-\left(rac{\partial^2 U}{\partial x\partial y}
ight)^2=1$$

and by Jörgens [4, Th. 2], U must be a quadratic polynomial if u is defined on the whole plane. This yields another proof of Bernstein's theorem. Nitsche [5] gave an alternative proof of Jörgen's result, Flanders [2] pushed the proof, not the theorem, to n-dimensions, and Calabi [1] pushed Jörgen's theorem to five dimensions with smooth-

ness requirements.

This paper is a partial attempt to extend the formal transition from u to U to more than two dimensions.

2. Notation. Let $u=u(x_1,\cdots,x_n)$ be C'' on a domain in E^n . Set

$$p_i = rac{\partial u}{\partial x_i}$$
 , $r_{ij} = rac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}$, $w^{\scriptscriptstyle 2} = 1 + \sum p_i^{\scriptscriptstyle 2}$.

The mean curvature of the graph of u is

$$H=rac{-1}{nw^3}[w^2\sum r_{ii}-\sum p_ir_{ij}p_j]$$
 .

(See Flanders [3, p. 126].) This graph is a minimal hypersurface if H=0, i.e.,

$$w^{\scriptscriptstyle 2} \sum r_{\scriptscriptstyle ii} = \sum p_{\scriptscriptstyle i} r_{\scriptscriptstyle ij} p_{\scriptscriptstyle j}$$
 .

We introduce the matrices

$$dx = (dx_1, \dots, dx_n)$$
, $p = (p_1, \dots, p_n)$, $R = ||r_{ij}||$, $B = I + {}^t pp$.

The minimal hypersurface equation is

$$(2.1) w^2 \operatorname{tr}(R) = pR^t p.$$

We set

$$lpha_i = dx_i + p_i du = dx_i + \sum p_i p_j dx_j$$
, $oldsymbol{lpha} = (lpha_1, \, \cdots, \, lpha_n)$.

Hence

$$\alpha = dx \mathbf{B}.$$

3. Relations. Since $p^t p = w^2 - 1$ we have

$$({}^{t}pp)^{2} = (w^{2} - 1)({}^{t}pp)$$
.

It follows that

$$(3.1) B^2 - (w^2 + 1)B + w^2I = 0.$$

The characteristic roots of the rank zero or one matrix ${}^{t}pp$ are 0 with multiplicity n-1 and $(w^{2}-1)$. It follows that the roots of B are 1 with multiplicity n-1 and w^{2} . This gives us

$$|B| = w^2.$$

From (3.1) we have

(3.3)
$$B^{-1} = \frac{1}{w^2} [(w^2 + 1)I - B] = I - \frac{1}{w^2} {}^t pp.$$

and for the matrix of cofactors,

(3.4)
$$\cos B = (w^2 + 1)I - B = w^2I - {}^t pp.$$

We note that B and this matrix $\cos B$ are positive definite. We next establish the relations

$$\boldsymbol{p} \wedge {}^{t}\boldsymbol{\alpha} = w^{2}du,$$

$$(3.6) d\boldsymbol{\alpha} = d\boldsymbol{p} \wedge d\boldsymbol{u} ,$$

$$\boldsymbol{\alpha} \wedge {}^{t}d\boldsymbol{x} = 0.$$

For

$$m{p} \wedge {}^t m{\alpha} = m{p} \wedge ({}^t dm{x} + {}^t m{p} du) = du + (w^2 - 1) du = w^2 du,$$
 $dm{\alpha} = d(dm{x} + m{p} du) = dm{p} \wedge du,$

and

$$\boldsymbol{\alpha} \wedge {}^{t}d\boldsymbol{x} = (d\boldsymbol{x} + du\boldsymbol{p}) \wedge {}^{t}d\boldsymbol{x} = du \wedge du = 0$$
.

For convenience we shall set

(3.8)
$$M = M(u) = w^2 \sum_{i} r_{ii} - \sum_{i} p_i r_{ij} p_j.$$

When there is no danger of misinterpretation we shall omit the wedge (\land) in exterior products. Finally we use the abbreviation

$$d\tau = dx_1 \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot dx_n$$

for the volume element of E^n .

We next introduce the usual star (adjoint operator) *. (See Flanders [3, pp. 15-17; pp. 82 ff.].) With this we have

$$egin{aligned} *du &= \sum {(-1)^{i-1}} p_i dx_1 \cdots \widehat{dx_i} \cdots dx_n \;, \ d\Big(rac{1}{w}*du\Big) &= -rac{1}{w^3} (w dw \; \wedge \; *du) + rac{1}{w} d*du \ &= rac{1}{w} (\sum r_{ii} d au) - rac{1}{w^3} (\sum p_i dp_i \; \wedge \; *du) \ &= rac{1}{w^3} [w^2 \sum r_{ii} d au - \sum p_i r_{ij} dx_j p_k *dx_k] \ &= rac{1}{w^3} [w^2 \sum r_{ii} - \sum p_i r_{ij} p_j] d au \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$d\left(\frac{1}{w}*du\right) = \frac{1}{w^3}M(u)d\tau.$$

The components of the vector *dx are the (n-1)-forms

$$(-1)^{i-1}dx_1\cdots \widehat{dx_i}\cdots dx_n$$
.

We seek the corresponding expressions in the α_i . We introduce the notation

(3.10)
$$\boldsymbol{\alpha}^* = (\cdots, (-1)^{i-1}\alpha_1 \cdots \widehat{\alpha}_i \cdots \alpha_n, \cdots).$$

Since $\alpha = dxB$ we have

$$(\cdots, \alpha_1 \cdots \widehat{\alpha_i} \cdots \alpha_n, \cdots) = (\cdots, dx_1 \cdots \widehat{dx_j} \cdots dx_n, \cdots)(\wedge^{n-1}B)$$
.

Now $\wedge^{n-1}B$ is the matrix of (n-1)-rowed minors of the (symmetric) matrix B. Alternating the signs changes this to cof B, hence

$$\boldsymbol{\alpha}^* = (*d\boldsymbol{x})(\operatorname{cof} B) .$$

THEOREM 1. We have

(3.12)
$$\boldsymbol{\alpha}^* \wedge {}^t d\boldsymbol{p} = M(u) d\tau.$$

Proof. By (3.11)

$$\boldsymbol{\alpha}^* \wedge {}^t d\boldsymbol{p} = (*d\boldsymbol{x})(\operatorname{cof} B)(R^t d\boldsymbol{x})$$
$$= \operatorname{tr} [(\operatorname{cof} B)R] d\tau.$$

By (3.4) and (3.8),

$$egin{aligned} \operatorname{tr}\left[(\operatorname{cof}\,B)R
ight] &= \operatorname{tr}\left[w^{\imath}R - {}^{\imath}ppR
ight] \ &= w^{\imath}\operatorname{tr}R - pR^{\imath}p \ &= M(u) \; . \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA. We have

$$(3.13) (wdw)\alpha^* = pR(\operatorname{cof} B)d\tau,$$

(3.14)
$$d\boldsymbol{\alpha}^* = [\boldsymbol{p}R - (\operatorname{tr}R)\boldsymbol{p}]d\tau.$$

Proof. We have

$$wdw = \mathbf{p}^t d\mathbf{p} = \mathbf{p}R^t d\mathbf{x}$$

hence

$$(wdw)\alpha^* = pR({}^tdx)(*dx)(\cos B)$$

= $pR(d\tau I)(\cos B)$
= $pR(\cot B)d\tau$.

We avoid some signs by transposing and have

$$egin{aligned} {}^t(oldsymbol{lpha}^*) &= (\cos B)\,{}^t(^*doldsymbol{x}) = (w^2I - {}^toldsymbol{p}oldsymbol{p})\,{}^t(^*doldsymbol{x}) \,\,, \ {}^t(doldsymbol{lpha}^*) &= [2wdwI - d({}^toldsymbol{p}oldsymbol{p})]\,{}^t(^*doldsymbol{x}) \ &= [2doldsymbol{x}R\,{}^toldsymbol{p} - {}^toldsymbol{p}dxR - R\,{}^toldsymbol{p}]\,{}^t(^*doldsymbol{x}) \ &= [2R^toldsymbol{p} - {}^toldsymbol{p}(trR) - R\,{}^toldsymbol{p}]d au \,\,. \end{aligned}$$

Equation (3.14) follows.

We now state the main result of this section.

THEOREM 2. We have

(3.15)
$$d\left(\frac{1}{w}\alpha^*\right) = \frac{1}{w^3}M(u)pd\tau.$$

Proof. By (3.13),

$$(wdw)\alpha^* = pR(w^2I - {}^tpp)d\tau$$

= $w^2pRd\tau - (pR^tp)pd\tau$.

Using (3.14) we have

$$(wdw)\boldsymbol{\alpha}^* - w^2d\boldsymbol{\alpha}^* = w^2(\operatorname{tr} R)\boldsymbol{p}d\tau - (\boldsymbol{p}R^t\boldsymbol{p})\boldsymbol{p}d\tau$$

= $M(u)\boldsymbol{p}d\tau$,

and the result follows.

COROLLARY. If the graph of u is a minimal hypersurface, then

$$d\left(\frac{1}{w}\boldsymbol{\alpha}^*\right)=0$$
.

We close this section with the proof of one other relation:

$$(3.16) du\alpha^* = pd\tau.$$

By (3.5),

$$(w^2du)\alpha^* = p^t\alpha\alpha^* = p(\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_n)$$
.

But $\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_n = |B| d\tau = w^2 d\tau$ and (3.16) follows.

4. Minimal hypersurfaces. In this section we assume u is defined on a contractible domain and that M(u) = 0 so that the graph of u is a minimal hypersurface.

By the corollary above, each of the (n-1)-forms

$$\frac{1}{w}\alpha_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}\cdots\widehat{\alpha}_{\scriptscriptstyle j}\cdots\alpha_{\scriptscriptstyle n}$$

is closed. Hence there exist (n-2)-forms ω_i $(i=1,\,\cdots,\,n)$ such that

$$d\omega_j = \frac{(-1)^{j-1}}{w} \alpha_1 \cdots \hat{\alpha}_j \cdots \alpha_n \qquad (j = 1, \cdots, n).$$

THEOREM 3. For each i, j we have

$$d(\omega_i dx_i - \omega_i dx_i) = 0.$$

Proof. We multiply the relation (3.7) by

$$\alpha_1 \cdots \widehat{\alpha}_i \cdots \widehat{\alpha}_i \cdots \alpha_n$$

to derive

$$(lpha_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}\cdots\widehatlpha_{\scriptscriptstyle i}\cdots\widehatlpha_{\scriptscriptstyle j})(lpha_{\scriptscriptstyle i}dx_i+lpha_{\scriptscriptstyle j}dx_j)=0$$
 , $(-1)^{i+1}(lpha_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}\cdots\widehatlpha_{\scriptscriptstyle j})dx_i+(-1)^{j}(lpha_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}\cdots\widehatlpha_{\scriptscriptstyle i}dx_j=0$, $(-1)^{i+1}(-1)^{j-1}d\omega_{\scriptscriptstyle j}dx_i+(-1)^{j}(-1)^{i-1}d\omega_{\scriptscriptstyle i}dx_j=0$.

and the result follows.

Corollary. There exist (n-1)-forms η_{ij} such that

$$\eta_{ii} + \eta_{ii} = 0$$

and

$$d\eta_{ij} = \omega_i dx_j - \omega_j dx_i \qquad (i,j=1,\cdots,n).$$

There are too many choices of the ω_i and η_{ij} . We should expect progress on Bernstein's Theorem in higher dimension if a way were found of limiting these forms to families with finitely many parameters.

To take one step in this direction we use the operators δ , Δ . (See Flanders [2], pp. 136 ff.) One known fact is that the Poisson equation

$$\Delta f = y$$

has a solution on E^n for any continuous y. This implies that if β is a p-form on E^n , then

$$\Delta \alpha = \beta$$

has a solution α .

Now consider the (n-2)-form ω_i . We may write

$$\omega_i = \Delta \lambda_i = d\delta \lambda_i + \delta d\lambda_i$$

hence

$$d\omega_i = d\delta d\lambda_i$$
.

Thus we may replace ω_i by $\delta d\lambda_i$. Now λ_i is determined up to an (n-2)-form μ_i such that $d\delta d\mu = 0$. There are, unfortunately, still too many of these when $n \ge 3$.

REMARK. If f is any function on the hypersurface, its Laplacian relative to the hypersurface is

(4.4)
$$\bar{\varDelta}f = \frac{1}{w} \sum_{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}} \left(\frac{1}{w} \sum_{j} (w^{2} \delta_{ij} - p_{i} p_{j}) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{j}} \right).$$

(Here \overline{A} is the Beltrami operator.) We apply this to f = x and use (3.11) to obtain

$$(4.5) w(\bar{A}x) = d\left(\frac{1}{w}\alpha^*\right).$$

We also apply (4.4) to f = u:

$$egin{align} w(ar{arDelta}u) &= \sum rac{\partial}{\partial x_i}iggl[rac{1}{w}\sum_{j}{(w^2\delta_{ij}-p_ip_j)p_j}iggr] \ &= \sum rac{\partial}{\partial x_i}iggl[rac{1}{w}(w^2p_i-(w^2-1)p_i)iggr] \ &= \sum rac{\partial}{\partial x_i}iggl(rac{p_i}{w}iggr) \; . \end{split}$$

These formulas verify the well-known fact that on a minimal hypersurface each of the euclidean coordinate functions x_1, \dots, x_n, u is harmonic.

5. Equations in component form. We shall restate the results of § 4 in component form. As in that section we assume M(u) = 0. We set

(5.1)
$$G = \frac{1}{w}(\operatorname{cof} B) = ||g_{ij}||$$

so that (4.1) and (3.11) become

$$d\omega_i = g_{ij} * dx_j ,$$

where we use the summation convention as we shall in this section. We write

(5.3)
$$\omega_i = \frac{1}{2} a_{ijk} * (dx_j dx_k) , \qquad a_{ijk} + a_{ikj} = 0 .$$

Now (5.2) may be rewritten as

$$\frac{\partial a_{ijk}}{\partial x_k} = g_{ij} .$$

This is obtained by a direct calculation which hinges on the following readily checked relations:

$$dx_{k}\wedgest(dx_{j}dx_{k})=st dx_{k}$$
 ,
$$dx_{i}\wedgest(dx_{i}dx_{k})=-st dx_{i}$$
 .

Next we set

$$(5.6) (-1)^{n-2} \eta_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} b_{ijkl} * (dx_k dx_l) ,$$

where

(5.7)
$$b_{ijkl} + b_{jikl} = 0 \\ b_{ijkl} + b_{ijlk} = 0 \; .$$

In this notation the relations (4.3) become

$$\frac{\partial b_{ijkl}}{\partial x_l} = a_{jik} - a_{ijk} .$$

Combined with the skew-symmetry of a_{ijk} in the second and third indices, this yields in the usual way

$$a_{ijk} = \frac{\partial c_{ijkl}}{\partial x_l}$$

where

(5.10)
$$c_{ijkl} = \frac{1}{2}(-b_{ijkl} + b_{jkil} - b_{kijl}).$$

These relations imply

$$b_{ijkl} = -c_{ijkl} - c_{jkil}.$$

The skew-symmetries in (5.7) thus are equivalent to

$$c_{ijkl} + c_{jkil} + c_{ijlk} + c_{jlik} = 0$$
 , $c_{ijkl} + c_{jkil} + c_{jikl} + c_{ikjl} = 0$.

Equations (5.9) and (5.4) combine to yield

$$\frac{\partial^2 c_{ijkl}}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} = g_{ij}.$$

The minimal hypersurface equation M(u) = 0 may be interpreted as integrability conditions for (5.13) with the side conditions (5.14).

We may cut down the number of variables by introducing

$$\begin{array}{l} h_{ijkl} = \frac{1}{4}(c_{ijkl} + c_{ijlk} + c_{jikl} + c_{jilk}) \\ \\ = \frac{1}{4}(b_{ikjl} + b_{jlik} + b_{jkil} + b_{iljk}) \; . \end{array}$$

Then we have

$$h_{ijkl} = h_{jikl} \\ h_{ijkl} = h_{ijlk}$$

while (5.13) implies

$$\frac{\partial^2 h_{ijkl}}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} = g_{ij} .$$

In addition to the symmetries in (5.15) the quantities h satisfy

$$(5.17) h_{ijkl} = h_{klij} = 0$$

and

$$(5.18) h_{ijkl} + h_{ikil} + h_{kijl} = 0.$$

These are easy consequences of (5.14) and (5.7). The relations (5.15), (5.17), (5.18) span all relations in the h's. To see this we must count dimensions. The space of tensors (b) subject to (5.7) has dimension $n^2(n-1)^2/4$. The nullity of the mapping $(b) \rightarrow (h)$ given by (5.14) is determined by finding independent solutions of

$$(5.19) (ijkl) + (jlik) + (jkil) + (iljk) = 0$$

where we abbreviate $(ijkl) = b_{ijkl}$. We need consider only (ijkl) where i < j and k < l, using (5.7) to determine the others. By (5.19),

$$4(1212) = 0$$
, $(1212) = 0$.

The (ijkl) with three distinct indices are represented by (say) indices

1, 1, 2, 3 and this gives us (1213) and (1312). But by (5.19),

$$2(1213) + 2(1312) = 0$$
,

hence we are free to choose only one of these. We thus have $3\binom{n}{3}$ degrees of freedom in choosing (ijkl) with three distinct indices. If there are four distinct indices, say 1, 2, 3, 4, the quantities we consider are these six:

$$(1234)$$
, (1324) , (1423) , (2314) , (2413) , (3412) .

The relations (5.19) are seen to yield two independent relations amongst these:

$$(1234) + (3412) - (2314) - (1423) = 0$$
, $(1234) + (3412) + (1234) + (2413) = 0$.

This means that with all indices distinct we have $4\binom{n}{4}$ degrees of freedom. Thus the desired nullity is

$$3\binom{n}{3}+4\binom{n}{4}$$

and the rank equals dimension of the (h) space is

$$rac{n^2(n-1)^2}{4} - 3inom{n}{3} - 4inom{n}{4} = rac{n^2(n^2-1)}{12}$$
 .

On the other hand, the space of (h) tensors subject to (5.15), (5.17), and (5.18) has precisely the same dimensions. To see this we use (5.15) and (5.17) to limit the parameter to those (ijkl) for which $i \leq j$, $k \leq l$, and $(ij) \leq (kl)$ in lexicographic order. (Now (ijkl) denotes h_{ijkl} .) By (5.17), (1111) = 0 and (1112) = 0. With two distinct indices we need only consider (1212) and (1122). By (5.17) these are related by

$$(1122) + 2(1212) = 0$$
.

Thus with only two distinct indices we have $\binom{n}{2}$ degrees of freedom. With three distinct indices, say 1, 1, 2, 3, the only possibilities, (1123) and (1213), are again related by

$$(1123) + 2(1213) = 0$$
.

We thus have $3\binom{n}{3}$ degrees of freedom in this case. Finally with four distinct indices, say 1, 2, 3, 4, the three possibilities, (1234), (1324), and (1423), are related by

$$(1234) + (1324) + (1423) = 0$$

so we have $2\binom{n}{4}$ degrees of freedom in this case. In total the space of (h) we are considering has dimension

$$\binom{n}{2} + 3\binom{n}{3} + 2\binom{n}{4} = \frac{n^2(n^2-1)}{12}$$
.

This completes our proof that the relations (5.15), (5.17), and (5.18) span all relations between the h's. In the course of the proof we have obtained a set of independent parameters for the (h) space:

$$egin{array}{ll} h_{ijij} & (i < j) \; , \\ h_{ijik} & (i < j < k) \; , \\ h_{ijkl} \; , \; \; h_{ikjl} & (i < j < k < l) \; . \end{array}$$

This result $n^2(n^2-1)/12$ is certainly better than the number of b's (or c's), namely $n^2(n-1)^2/4$. When n=2, both numbers are one so that equations (5.16) only involve a single unknown function $h=h_{1212}$. This is what makes a proof of Bernstein's Theorem along the lines discussed in the introduction work.

REFERENCES

- 1. E. Calabi, Improper affine hyperspheres of convex type and a generalization of a theorem of K. Jörgens, Michigan Math. J. 5 (1958), 105-126.
- 2. H. Flanders. On certain functions with positive definite Hessian, Ann. of Math. 71 (1960), 153-156.
- 3. ——, Differential forms, Academic Press, New York, 1963.
- 4. K. Jörgens, Über die Losungen der Differentialgleichung $rt s^2 = 1$, Math. Ann. 127 (1954), 130-134.
- 5. J.C. Nitsche, Elementary proof of Bernstein's theorem on minimal surfaces, Ann. of Math. 66 (1957), 543-544.
- 6. T. Radó, On the problem of Plateau, Ergeb. der Math. 2 (1933); Springer, Berlin, esp. pp. 57-60.

Received February 8, 1968. This research was supported by National Science Foundation Grant GP 6388. Reproduction for any purpose by the United States Government is permitted.

PURDUE UNIVERSITY LAFAYETTE, INDIANA

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 29, No. 1

May, 1969

Jorge Alvarez de Araya, A Radon-Nikodým theorem for vector and operator valued measures	1			
Deane Eugene Arganbright, The power-commutator structure of finite				
p-groups	11			
Richard Eugene Barlow, Albert W. Marshall and Frank Proschan, Some inequalities for starshaped and convex functions	19			
David Clarence Barnes, Some isoperimetric inequalities for the eigenvalues of vibrating strings	43			
David Hilding Carlson, Critical points on rim-compact spaces	63			
Allan Matlock Weber Carstens, The lattice of pretopologies on an arbitrary set S	67			
S. K. Chatterjea, A bilateral generating function for the ultraspherical polynomials	73			
Ronald J. Ensey, <i>Primary Abelian groups modulo finite groups</i>	77			
Harley M. Flanders, Relations on minimal hypersurfaces	83			
Allen Roy Freedman, On asymptotic density in n-dimensions	95			
Kent Ralph Fuller, <i>On indecomposable injectives over artinian rings</i>	115			
George Isaac Glauberman, Normalizers of p-subgroups in finite groups				
William James Heinzer, On Krull overrings of an affine ring	137 145			
John McCormick Irwin and Takashi Ito, <i>A quasi-decomposable abelian</i>				
group without proper isomorphic quotient groups and proper				
isomorphic subgroups	151			
Allan Morton Krall, Boundary value problems with interior point boundary				
conditions	161			
John S. Lowndes, Triple series equations involving Laguerre				
polynomials	167			
Philip Olin, Indefinability in the arithmetic isolic integers	175			
Ki-Choul Oum, Bounds for the number of deficient values of entire functions	107			
whose zeros have angular densities	187			
R. D. Schafer, Standard algebras	203			
Wolfgang M. Schmidt, Irregularities of distribution. III	225			
Richard Alfred Tapia, An application of a Newton-like method to the				
Euler-Lagrange equation	235			