

# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**HOMOMORPHISMS OF ANNIHILATOR BANACH ALGEBRAS.  
II**

GREGORY FRANK BACHELIS

## HOMOMORPHISMS OF ANNIHILATOR BANACH ALGEBRAS, II

GREGORY F. BACHELIS

**Let  $A$  be a semi-simple annihilator Banach algebra, and let  $\nu$  be a homomorphism of  $A$  into a Banach algebra. In this paper it is shown that there exists a constant  $K$  and dense two-sided ideals containing the socle,  $I_L$  and  $I_R$ , such that  $\|\nu(xy)\| \leq K \|x\| \cdot \|y\|$  whenever  $x \in I_L$  or  $y \in I_R$ . If  $A$  has a bounded left or right approximate identity, then  $\nu$  is continuous on the socle. Thus if  $A = L_1(G)$ , where  $G$  is a compact topological group, then any homomorphism of  $A$  into a Banach algebra is continuous on the trigonometric polynomials.**

In [1] we considered the problem of deducing continuity properties of a homomorphism  $\nu$  from a semi-simple annihilator Banach algebra  $A$  into an arbitrary Banach algebra. The main theorem there (Theorem 5.1) had a conclusion more restrictive than the one stated above and required the additional hypothesis that  $I \oplus \mathfrak{R}(I) = A$ , for all closed two-sided ideals  $I$ , where  $\mathfrak{R}(I) = \{x \mid Ix = (0)\}$ . The main theorem of this paper applies when  $A = L_p(G)$ ,  $1 \leq p < \infty$  or  $C(G)$ , where  $G$  is a compact topological group and multiplication is convolution, and when  $A$  is topologically-simple, whereas the earlier theorem did not.

Any terms not defined in this paper are those of Rickart's book [10]. For facts about annihilator algebras, the reader is referred to [4] or [10].

Given the left-right symmetry in the definition of annihilator algebras, it follows that, given any theorem about left (right) ideals, the corresponding theorem for right (left) ideals also holds. Specifically, this is the case for the theorems in [4, § 4] and [1, § 4]. We will make tacit use of this fact throughout this paper.

**2. Structural lemmas.** In this section several lemmas are established which will be used later in proving the main result. Throughout this section, we assume that  $A$  is a semi-simple annihilator Banach algebra.

**LEMMA 2.1.** *If  $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$  is contained in the socle of  $A$ , then there exist idempotents  $e$  and  $f$  such that  $x_i \in eAf$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ .*

*Proof.* By [1, Corollary 4.9], for each  $i$  there exist idempotents  $e_i$  and  $f_i$  such that  $x_i \in e_i A \cap A f_i \subset e_i A f_i$ . By [1, Th. 4.8], there

exist idempotents  $e$  and  $f$  such that  $e_1A + \cdots + e_nA = eA$  and  $Af_1 + \cdots + Af_n = Af$ . Thus  $x_i \in e_iAf_i = ee_iAf_i \subset eAf$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ .

**LEMMA 2.2.** *Suppose  $A$  is topologically-simple, and  $e$  is a minimal idempotent in  $A$ . Then there exists a constant  $L$  such that:*

*Given  $f = f^2 \in A$  and  $x \in eA$ , there exists  $g = g^2 \in A$  such that:*

$$(1) \quad x(1 - f)g = x(1 - f) = xg$$

$$(2) \quad fg = gf = 0$$

$$(3) \quad \|g\| \leq (1 + \|f\|)L.$$

*The corresponding statement holds for  $x \in Ae$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $F_0$  denote the bounded operators on  $Ae$  of finite rank. Then via the left regular representation, we may regard  $A$  algebraically as a subalgebra of the uniform closure of  $F_0$  which contains  $F_0$  (see [4], Ths. 9 and 10).

If  $a \in eA$ ,  $u \in Ae$ , then  $au = eae = \lambda e = \phi_a(u)e$ , and  $a \rightarrow \phi_a$  defines an isomorphism and homeomorphism between  $eA$  and the bounded linear functionals on  $Ae$  [4, Th. 13]. Hence there exists a constant  $L$  such that  $\|a\| \leq (L/2)\|\phi_a\|$  for all  $a \in eA$ .

Let  $x \in eA$  and  $f = f^2 \in A$ . Then  $x(1 - f) \in eA$ , and  $e$  is minimal, so  $\text{range}(x(1 - f))$  is one-dimensional. Let  $M = (x(1 - f))^{-1}(0)$ . Then  $M$  is a closed subspace of co-dimension one in  $Ae$ , so there exists a bounded linear functional  $\beta$  on  $Ae$  such that  $\|\beta\| = 1$  and  $\beta^{-1}(0) = M$ . Let  $w \in Ae$  such that  $\|w\| \leq 2$  and  $\beta(w) = \|\beta\| = 1$ . Now  $w = (1 - f)w + fw$ , and  $fw \in (1 - f)^{-1}(0) \subset M$ , so  $\beta((1 - f)w) = \beta(w) = 1$ .

Let  $G(u) = \beta(u)(1 - f)w$ ,  $u \in Ae$ . Then  $G$  is a bounded operator on  $Ae$  with one-dimensional range and  $G = G^2$ , so there exists an idempotent  $g \in A$  such that  $gu = \beta(u)(1 - f)w$ ,  $u \in Ae$ . If  $u \in Ae$ , then  $u - \beta(u)(1 - f)w \in \beta^{-1}(0) = M = (x(1 - f))^{-1}(0)$ , so  $x(1 - f)u = x(1 - f)\beta(u)(1 - f)w = x\beta(u)(1 - f)w = xgu$ . Therefore  $x(1 - f) = xg$ . Thus  $x(1 - f)g = xg^2 = xg = x(1 - f)$ . This establishes (1).

To prove (2), we see that  $(1 - f)w \in f^{-1}(0)$ , so  $fg = 0$ , and  $\text{range}(f) = (1 - f)^{-1}(0) \subset M = g^{-1}(0)$ , so  $gf = 0$ .

To establish (3), let  $h \in eA$  such that  $\phi_h = \beta$ . If  $u \in Ae$ , then

$$(1 - f)whu = (1 - f)w\beta(u)e = \beta(u)(1 - f)we = \beta(u)(1 - f)w = gu.$$

Therefore  $(1 - f)wh = g$ , so

$$\|g\| \leq \|h\|(1 + \|f\|)\|w\| \leq (L/2)(1 + \|f\|)2 \leq L(1 + \|f\|).$$

**3. The ideals  $I_L$  and  $I_R$ .** In this section we discuss the ideals which enter into the main theorem. Throughout this section, we

assume that  $A$  is a Banach algebra and that  $\nu$  is a homomorphism of  $A$  into a Banach algebra.

DEFINITION 3.1. Let  $I_L = \{x \in A \mid y \rightarrow \nu(xy) \text{ is continuous on } A\}$  and let  $I_R = \{x \in A \mid y \rightarrow \nu(yx) \text{ is continuous on } A\}$ .

These sets were introduced by Stein, who shows they are two-sided ideals in  $A$  [11]. Another useful concept is that of the *separating ideal*,  $S$ , which is defined to be the set of  $s \in \text{cl}(\nu(A))$  such that  $\inf_{x \in A} \{\|x\| + \|s - \nu(x)\|\} = 0$ . The separating ideal was introduced in the form above by Yood [13]. It is a closed two-sided ideal in  $\text{cl}(\nu(A))$ .

In [12], Stein notes that  $I_L \subset \{x \in A \mid \nu(x)S = (0)\}$  and similarly for  $I_R$ . One actually has equality: For suppose  $\nu(x)S = (0)$ . If  $x_n \rightarrow 0$  in  $A$ , then by [8, Lemma 2.1],  $\nu(x_n) + S \rightarrow S$  in  $\text{cl}(\nu(A))/S$ . Hence there exists  $\{s_n\} \subset S$  such that  $\nu(x_n) + s_n \rightarrow 0$ . Thus  $\nu(xx_n) = \nu(x)\nu(x_n) = \nu(x)(\nu(x_n) + s_n) \rightarrow 0$ , so  $x \in I_L$ .

4. Homomorphisms of annihilator algebras. In this section we establish the main results of this paper. We will make frequent use of the "Main Boundedness Theorem" of Badé and Curtis.

THEOREM 4.1. Suppose that  $A$  is a Banach algebra, and that  $\nu$  is a homomorphism of  $A$  into a Banach algebra. Let  $\{x_n\}$  and  $\{y_n\}$  be sequences in  $A$  such that  $x_n y_m = 0$ ,  $n \neq m$ . Then

$$\sup_n \frac{\|\nu(x_n y_n)\|}{\|x_n\| \|y_n\|} < \infty.$$

*Proof.* This is Theorem 3.1 of [5]. The statement there includes the unnecessary hypothesis that  $y_n y_m = 0$ ,  $n \neq m$ .

Throughout the remainder of this section,  $A$  will denote a semi-simple annihilator Banach algebra with socle  $F$ , and  $\nu$  will denote a homomorphism of  $A$  into a Banach algebra. We first prove:

LEMMA 4.2. If  $A$  is topologically-simple, and  $e$  is a minimal idempotent in  $A$ , then  $\nu|eA$  and  $\nu|Ae$  are continuous.

*Proof.* (For  $\nu|eA$ ). Let  $L$  be as in Lemma 2.2. Suppose the conclusion fails. Choose  $x_1 \in eA$  such that  $\|\nu(x_1)\| > L\|x_1\|$ . By Lemma 2.2, with  $f = 0$ , there exists  $g_1 = g_1^2 \in A$  such that  $\|g_1\| \leq L$  and  $x_1 g_1 = x_1$ . Thus  $\|\nu(x_1)\| > \|x_1\| \|g_1\|$ .

Assume that elements  $x_i \in eA$ ,  $g_i \in A$  have been chosen such that  $x_i g_i = x_i$ ,

$$g_i g_j = 0, \quad i \neq j,$$

and  $\|\nu(x_i)\| > i\|x_i\|\|g_i\|$ ,  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ .

Let  $f = g_1 + \cdots + g_n$ . Then  $f = f^2$ ,  $g_i f = g_i = f g_i$ , and  $x_i \in eAf$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Since  $f$  can be expressed as the sum of minimal idempotents [1, Th. 4.5],  $eAf$  is finite-dimensional, so let  $K$  be the norm of  $\nu|_{eAf}$ . Now choose  $u \in eA$  such that  $\|\nu(u)\| > (1 + \|f\|)^2 L(n+1)\|u\| + K\|f\|\|u\|$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nu(u)\| &\leq \|\nu(uf)\| + \|\nu(u(1-f))\| \\ &\leq K\|u\|\|f\| + \|\nu(u(1-f))\|, \end{aligned}$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nu(u(1-f))\| &> (1 + \|f\|)^2 L(n+1)\|u\| \\ &\geq (1 + \|f\|)L(n+1)\|u(1-f)\|. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $x_{n+1} = u(1-f) \in eA$ . By Lemma 2.2, there exists  $g_{n+1} = g_{n+1}^2 \in A$  such that  $x_{n+1}g_{n+1} = x_{n+1}$ ,  $g_{n+1}f = fg_{n+1} = 0$ , and  $\|g_{n+1}\| \leq L(1 + \|f\|)$ . Thus

$$g_{n+1}g_i = 0 = g_i g_{n+1}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n$$

and

$$\|\nu(x_{n+1})\| > (n+1)\|x_{n+1}\|\|g_{n+1}\|.$$

Thus by induction there exist sequences  $\{x_n\}$ ,  $\{g_n\}$  such that  $x_n g_m = x_n g_n g_m = 0$ ,  $n \neq m$  and  $\|\nu(x_n g_n)\| > n\|x_n\|\|g_n\|$ , which contradicts Theorem 4.1.

We now show that  $I_L$  and  $I_R$  are dense in  $A$ :

**LEMMA 4.3.**  $F \subset I_L \cap I_R$ .

*Proof.* If  $e$  is a minimal idempotent, then  $e$  is contained in a minimal-closed two-sided ideal  $M$ ,  $M$  is a topologically-simple semi-simple annihilator Banach algebra, and  $eM = eA$ . The preceding lemma gives that  $\nu|_{eA}$  is continuous. Thus  $x \mapsto \nu(ex)$  is continuous on  $A$ , so  $e \in I_L$ . Hence  $I_L$  contains all the minimal idempotents of  $A$ . Since  $I_L$  is an ideal, this implies that  $I_L \supset F$ . Similarly,  $I_R \supset F$ .

**LEMMA 4.4.** If  $\|\nu(xy)\| > r\|x\|\|y\|$ , and if  $x \in I_L$  or  $y \in I_R$ , then there exist  $x_1, y_1 \in F$  such that  $\|\nu(x_1 y_1)\| > r\|x_1\|\|y_1\|$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $x \in I_L$ . Since  $w \mapsto \nu(xw)$  is continuous on  $A$  and  $F$  is dense in  $A$ , there exists  $y_1 \in F$  such that  $\|\nu(xy_1)\| > r\|x\|\|y_1\|$ . Now  $y_1 \in I_R$ , so there exists  $x_1 \in F$  such that  $\|\nu(x_1 y_1)\| > r\|x_1\|\|y_1\|$ .

We can now prove the main theorem:

**THEOREM 4.5.** *Let  $A$  be a semi-simple annihilator Banach algebra, and let  $\nu$  be a homomorphism of  $A$  into a Banach algebra. Then there exists a constant  $K$  such that*

$$\|\nu(xy)\| \leq K \|x\| \|y\|$$

for all  $x$  and  $y$  in  $A$  such that  $x \in I_L$  or  $y \in I_R$ .

*Proof.* In view of the preceding lemma (or by symmetry considerations), it is enough to show that there exists a  $K$  such that  $\|\nu(xy)\| \leq K \|x\| \|y\|$  whenever  $x \in I_L$ . Suppose this is not the case. By the preceding lemma, there exist  $x_1$  and  $y_1$  in  $F$  such that  $\|\nu(x_1 y_1)\| > \|x_1\| \|y_1\|$ .

Assume that elements  $x_i, y_i \in F$  have been chosen such that

$$x_i y_j = 0, \quad i \neq j$$

and

$$\|\nu(x_i y_i)\| > i \|x_i\| \|y_i\|, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq n.$$

By Lemma 2.1, there exist idempotents  $e$  and  $f$  such that  $\{x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n\} \subset eAf$ . By [1, Th. 4.5],  $e$  and  $f$  are in  $F$ , and by Lemma 4.2,  $F \subset I_L \cap I_R$ . Now an idempotent is in  $I_L(I_R)$  if and only if the restriction of  $\nu$  to the right (left) ideal it generates is continuous, so let  $L$  be the maximum of the norms of the continuous mappings  $\nu|_{Ae}$ ,  $\nu|_{eA}$ ,  $\nu|_{Af}$ ,  $\nu|_{fA}$ , and let

$$K' = L^2(\|e\|^2 + \|f\|^2 + \|e\| \|f\|).$$

If  $x, y \in A$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nu((x - xe)(y - fy))\| &= \|\nu(xy) - \nu(xeey) - \nu(xfffy) + \nu(xefy)\| \\ &\geq \|\nu(xy)\| - \|\nu(xe)\| \|\nu(ey)\| \\ &\quad - \|\nu(xf)\| \|\nu(fy)\| - \|\nu(xe)\| \|\nu(fy)\| \\ &\geq \|\nu(xy)\| - K' \|x\| \|y\|. \end{aligned}$$

By the preceding lemma, there exist  $u, v \in F$  such that

$$\|\nu(uv)\| > \{(n+1)(1 + \|e\|)(1 + \|f\|) + K'\} \|u\| \|v\|.$$

Let  $x_{n+1} = u - ue$ ,  $y_{n+1} = v - fv$ . By the above, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nu(x_{n+1} y_{n+1})\| &> (n+1)(1 + \|e\|) \|u\| (1 + \|f\|) \|v\| \\ &\geq (n+1) \|x_{n+1}\| \|y_{n+1}\|. \end{aligned}$$

Also,  $x_i y_{n+1} = x_i f(v - fv) = 0$ ,  $x_{n+1} y_i = (u - ue) e y_i = 0$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , and  $x_{n+1}, y_{n+1} \in F$ .

Thus by induction there exist sequences  $\{x_n\}, \{y_n\}$  such that  $x_n y_m = 0$ ,  $n \neq m$ , and  $\|\nu(x_n y_n)\| > n \|x_n\| \|y_n\|$ , which contradicts Theorem 4.1.

REMARK 4.6. If  $x \in I_L$ , let  $K(x)$  be the norm of the mapping  $y \rightarrow \nu(xy)$ . Then  $\|\nu(xy)\| \leq (K(x)/\|x\|) \|x\| \|y\|$ ,  $y \in A$ . The above theorem shows that  $\{(K(x)/\|x\|) \mid x \in I_L\}$  is bounded.

The following corollary is an analog for annihilator algebras of a theorem by Badé and Curtis on homomorphisms of commutative, regular semi-simple Banach algebras [2, Th. 3.7]; it gives Theorem 5.1 of [1] as a special case.

COROLLARY 4.7. *Let  $A$  be a semi-simple annihilator Banach algebra, and let  $\nu$  be a homomorphism of  $A$  into a Banach algebra. Then there exists a constant  $K$  such that.*

$$\|\nu(x)\| \leq K \|x\| \|y\|$$

for all  $x$  and  $y$  in  $A$  such that  $yx = x$  or  $xy = x$ .

*Proof.* If  $yx = x$  or  $xy = x$ , then by [1, Corollary 4.12],  $x \in F \subset I_L \cap I_R$ .

DEFINITION 4.8. A Banach algebra  $A$  is said to have a bounded left (right) approximate identity if there exists a norm-bounded net  $\{e_\alpha\} \subset A$  such that  $e_\alpha x \rightarrow x$  ( $x e_\alpha \rightarrow x$ ) for all  $x \in A$ .

COROLLARY 4.9. *Let  $A$  be a semi-simple annihilator Banach algebra with a bounded left or right approximate identity, and let  $\nu$  be a homomorphism of  $A$  into a Banach algebra. Then  $\nu$  is continuous on the socle of  $A$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $A$  has a bounded left approximate identity. Let  $z \in F$ . By Cohen's factorization theorem [6], there exists a constant  $L$  (independent of  $z$ ) and elements  $x$  and  $y$  such that  $z = xy$ ,  $\|z - y\| \leq \|z\|$ ,  $\|x\| \leq L$ , and  $y$  is in the closed left ideal generated by  $z$ . By [1, Corollary 4.9], there exists an idempotent-generated left ideal,  $J$ , containing  $z$ . Since  $J$  is closed, we have  $y \in J \subset F \subset I_R$ . Thus if  $K$  is as in the above theorem, then

$$\|\nu(z)\| = \|\nu(xy)\| \leq K \|x\| \|y\| \leq KL(\|z\| + \|z - y\|) \leq 2KL \|z\|.$$

We conclude this paper with several remarks:

REMARK 4.10. Let  $G$  be a compact topological group and let  $A = L_p(G)$ ,  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , or  $C(G)$ , with convolution for multiplication. Then Theorem 4.5 applies to  $A$  and the above corollary applies to  $L_1(G)$ . Here  $F$  is the set of trigonometric polynomials, that is, the set of linear combinations of component functions of strongly continuous irreducible unitary representations of  $G$  (see [10, p. 330]).

REMARK 4.11. If  $X$  is a reflexive Banach space, if  $F$  denotes the bounded operators on  $X$  of finite rank, and if  $A \subset \mathfrak{B}(X)$  is a Banach algebra containing  $F$  as a dense subset, then Theorem 4.5 applies to  $A$  [10, pp. 102–104]. Here the socle of  $A$  is  $F$ .

If  $A$  is the uniform closure of  $F$  in  $\mathfrak{B}(X)$ , if  $A$  has a bounded left or right approximate identity, and if  $X$  has a continued bisection, then Johnson has shown that every homomorphism of  $A$  into a Banach algebra is actually continuous [8, Th. 3.5]. His theorem is stated for the algebra of compact operators on  $X$  (which may indeed always coincide with  $A$ ), but his method of proof works equally well for  $A$ .<sup>1</sup>

REMARK 4.12. Although examples do exist of discontinuous homomorphisms of annihilator algebras (see [2, p. 597, p. 606] [3, p. 853], and [9]), it is still the case for these examples that  $I_L = A$ . One might conjecture that this is always true. As a small move in this direction, we show below that, in two special cases,  $I_L$  properly contains  $F$  the socle of  $A$ .

(1) Let  $\mathfrak{M} = \{M_\lambda \mid \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  denote the minimal-closed two-sided ideals of  $A$  and suppose that  $\mathfrak{M}$  forms an unconditional decomposition for  $A$ . Then  $x \in A$  implies  $x = \sum_\lambda x_\lambda$ , where  $x_\lambda \in M_\lambda$ , and an equivalent Banach algebra norm for  $A$  is given by  $|x| = \sup \{|\sum_{\lambda \in A_1} x_\lambda| \mid A_1 \text{ is a finite subset of } \Lambda\}$ . [1, pp. 231–232]. Thus  $|x| = \sup_{A_1 \subset \Lambda} |\sum_{\lambda \in A_1} x_\lambda|$ . For  $\mathfrak{N} \subset \mathfrak{M}$ , let  $A(\mathfrak{N})$  denote those  $x$  in  $A$  whose summands are all in  $\mathfrak{N}$ . If  $\mathfrak{N}_1$  and  $\mathfrak{N}_2$  are disjoint subsets of  $\mathfrak{M}$ , then  $A(\mathfrak{N}_1) \cdot A(\mathfrak{N}_2) = (0)$ . If  $x \in A(\mathfrak{N})$  and  $x \notin I_L$ , then given  $K$  there exists  $y \in A$  such that  $|\nu(xy)| > K|x||y|$ . Since removing the summands of  $y$  that are not in members of  $\mathfrak{N}$  does not increase its norm and does not affect  $xy$ , we may assume that  $y \in A(\mathfrak{N})$  as well. Thus if  $\{\mathfrak{N}_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  is any sequence of disjoint subsets of  $\mathfrak{M}$ , then Theorem 4.1 implies that  $A(\mathfrak{N}_n) \subset I_L$  for all but finitely many  $n$ .

If  $A$  is strongly semi-simple, we can say a bit more. In this case, each  $M \in \mathfrak{M}$  is finite-dimensional [1, Proposition 4.7]. Let  $\mathcal{S}(\mathfrak{M})$  denote the set of subsets of  $\mathfrak{M}$ , let  $\mathcal{F}$  denote the set of finite subsets of  $\mathfrak{M}$ , and let  $[\mathfrak{N}]$  denote an element of the Boolean algebra

<sup>1</sup> See “Added in proof.”



$\mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{M})/\mathcal{F}$ . If  $A(\mathfrak{N}) \subset I_L$ , and  $\mathfrak{N}_1 \in [\mathfrak{N}]$ , then  $\mathfrak{N}_1 \cap \mathfrak{N} \in \mathcal{F}$ , so  $A(\mathfrak{N}_1) \subset I_L$ . Thus  $\sum_{\mathfrak{N}_1 \in [\mathfrak{N}]} A(\mathfrak{N}_1) \subset I_L$ . (Here “ $\Sigma$ ” denotes the algebraic sum. Note that  $F = \sum_{\mathfrak{N} \in \mathcal{F}} A(\mathfrak{N})$ .) Let  $\mathcal{J} = \{\mathfrak{N} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{M})/\mathcal{F} \mid A(\mathfrak{N}) \subset I_L\}$ . Then  $\mathcal{J}$  is an ideal in  $\mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{M})/\mathcal{F}$ . If  $[\mathfrak{N}] \neq \mathcal{F}$ , then there exists  $\mathcal{F} \neq [\mathfrak{N}_1] \leq [\mathfrak{N}]$  such that  $[\mathfrak{N}_1] \in \mathcal{J}$ : Otherwise, we could find a pairwise disjoint family  $\{\mathfrak{N}_n\}$ , with  $A(\mathfrak{N}_n) \not\subset I_L$  for any  $n$ , which would contradict Theorem 4.1. But this says that the annihilator of  $\mathcal{J}$  is  $\mathcal{F}$ , and thus  $\mathcal{J}$  corresponds to a dense open set in the dual space of  $\mathcal{P}(\mathfrak{M})/\mathcal{F}$ ,  $\beta(\mathfrak{M}) - \mathfrak{M}$ , where  $\mathfrak{M}$  has the discrete topology<sup>2</sup> (see [7], pp. 76, 84, and 88). Since dividing by  $\mathcal{F}$  in effect “mods out the socle”, we see in this case that  $I_L$  is significantly larger than  $F$ .

(2) Suppose that  $A$  has proper involution  $x \rightarrow x^*$  and that  $I \oplus \mathfrak{N}(I)^* = A$  for all closed left ideals  $I$ . Let  $\{e_\lambda \mid \lambda \in A\}$  be a maximal family of orthogonal hermitian idempotents. Then  $x \in A$  implies  $x = \sum_\lambda e_\lambda x = \sum_\lambda x e_\lambda$ , and we may assume  $\|x\| = \sup_{A_1 \subset A} \|\sum_{\lambda \in A_1} e_\lambda x\|$ . [1, pp. 231–233]. For  $A_1 \subset A$ , let  $A(A_1) = \{x \in A \mid x e_\lambda = 0, \lambda \in A_1\}$ . If  $x \in A(A_1)$  and  $\|\nu(xy)\| > K\|x\|\|y\|$ , let  $y_1 = \sum_{\lambda \in A_1} e_\lambda y$ . Then  $xy_1 = xy$ ,  $\|y_1\| \leq \|y\|$ , and  $x'y_1 = 0$  if  $x' \in A(A_2)$  and  $A_1 \cap A_2 = \emptyset$ . Thus, given any sequence  $\{A_n\}$  of disjoint subsets of  $A$ , Theorem 4.1 implies that  $A(A_n) \subset I_L$  for all but finitely many  $n$ . (Of course there may exist  $x \in F$  such that  $x e_\lambda \neq 0$  for infinitely many  $\lambda$ , but clearly  $A(A_1) \not\subset F$  if  $A_1$  is infinite.) Since  $\nu|_{Ae_\lambda}$  is continuous, remarks similar to those in the above paragraph can be made in this situation, with  $\mathfrak{M}$  replaced by  $\{Ae_\lambda \mid \lambda \in A\}$ .

*Added in Proof.* (continuation of Remark 4.11) If  $X$  is a Hilbert space and  $A = \mathfrak{F}_1$ , the algebra of trace class operators, or  $\mathfrak{F}_2$ , the algebra of Hilbert-Schmidt operators, then the methods of [8, Th. 3.3] can be adapted to show that  $A^2 \subset I_L$ . The statement in [8] that these methods imply continuity is in error. The following example (communicated to the author by Professor Johnson) illustrates this: If  $\nu$  is a discontinuous linear functional on  $\mathfrak{F}_2$  which vanishes on  $\mathfrak{F}_2^2 (= \mathfrak{F}_1)$ , then by defining zero multiplication in the complex numbers, one obtains a discontinuous homomorphism of  $\mathfrak{F}_2$ .

## REFERENCES

1. G. F. Bachelis, *Homomorphisms of annihilator Banach algebras*, Pacific J. Math. **25** (1968), 229–247.
2. W. G. Badé and P. C. Curtis, Jr., *Homomorphisms of commutative Banach algebras*, Amer. J. Math. **82** (1960), 589–608.
3. W. G. Badé and P. C. Curtis, Jr., *The Wedderburn decomposition of commutative Banach algebras*, Amer. J. Math. **82** (1960), 851–866.
4. F. F. Bonsall and A. W. Goldie, *Annihilator algebras*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **4** (1954), 154–167.

<sup>2</sup> The author is indebted to Allan Adler for this observation.

5. S. B. Cleveland, *Homomorphisms of non-commutative \*-algebras*, Pacific J. Math. **13** (1963), 1097-1109.
6. P. J. Cohen, *Factorization in group algebras*, Duke Math J. **26** (1959), 199-205.
7. P. R. Halmos, *Lectures on Boolean algebras*, D. Van Nostrand Co. Inc., Princeton, 1963.
8. B. E. Johnson, *Continuity of homomorphisms of algebras of operators*, J. London Math. Soc. **42** (1967), 537-541.
9. ———, *The Wedderburn decomposition of Banach algebras with finite dimensional radical* Amer. J. Math. **90** (1968).
10. C. E. Rickart, *General theory of Banach algebras*, Van Nostrand, New York, 1960.
11. J. D. Stein, Jr., *Continuity of homomorphisms of von Neumann algebras* Amer. J. Math. (to appear).
12. ———, *Homomorphisms of  $B^*$ -algebras* Pacific J. Math. **28** (1969), 431-439.
13. B. Yood, *Homomorphisms on normed algebras*, Pacific J. Math. **8** (1958), 373-381.

Received January 17, 1969. Part of this research was carried out while the author was a visiting fellow at the University of Warwick, Coventry, England. This research was supported in part by State University of New York Research Foundation grants S-68-31-001 and FRF-68-31-002.

STATE UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK AT STONY BROOK



# PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

## EDITORS

H. ROYDEN  
Stanford University  
Stanford, California

J. DUGUNDJI  
Department of Mathematics  
University of Southern California  
Los Angeles, California 90007

R. R. PHELPS  
University of Washington  
Seattle, Washington 98105

RICHARD ARENS  
University of California  
Los Angeles, California 90024

## ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

## SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA  
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY  
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA  
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA  
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY  
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON  
OSAKA UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO  
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH  
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON  
\* \* \*  
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY  
CHEVRON RESEARCH CORPORATION  
TRW SYSTEMS  
NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

---

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

---

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, double spaced with large margins. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. It should not contain references to the bibliography. Manuscripts, in duplicate if possible, may be sent to any one of the four editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Rev. **36**, 1539-1546. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, Richard Arens, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

50 reprints are provided free for each article; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

---

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is published monthly. Effective with Volume 16 the price per volume (3 numbers) is \$8.00; single issues, \$3.00. Special price for current issues to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: \$4.00 per volume; single issues \$1.50. Back numbers are available.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley, California, 94708.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), 7-17, Fujimi 2-chome, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan.

# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 30, No. 2

October, 1969

Gregory Frank Bachelis, <i>Homomorphisms of annihilator Banach algebras. II</i> .....	283
Leon Bernstein and Helmut Hasse, <i>An explicit formula for the units of an algebraic number field of degree <math>n \geq 2</math></i> .....	293
David W. Boyd, <i>Best constants in a class of integral inequalities</i> .....	367
Paul F. Conrad and John Dauns, <i>An embedding theorem for lattice-ordered fields</i> .....	385
H. P. Dikshit, <i>Summability of Fourier series by triangular matrix transformations</i> .....	399
Dragomir Z. Djokovic, <i>Linear transformations of tensor products preserving a fixed rank</i> .....	411
John J. F. Fournier, <i>Extensions of a Fourier multiplier theorem of Paley</i> ....	415
Robert Paul Kopp, <i>A subcollection of algebras in a collection of Banach spaces</i> .....	433
Lawrence Louis Larmore, <i>Twisted cohomology and enumeration of vector bundles</i> .....	437
William Grenfell Leavitt and Yu-Lee Lee, <i>A radical coinciding with the lower radical in associative and alternative rings</i> .....	459
Samuel Merrill and Nand Lal, <i>Characterization of certain invariant subspaces of <math>H^p</math> and <math>L^p</math> spaces derived from logmodular algebras</i> .....	463
Sam Bernard Nadler, Jr., <i>Multi-valued contraction mappings</i> .....	475
T. V. Panchapagesan, <i>Semi-groups of scalar type operators in Banach spaces</i> .....	489
J. W. Spellmann, <i>Concerning the infinite differentiability of semigroup motions</i> .....	519
H. M. (Hari Mohan) Srivastava, <i>A note on certain dual series equations involving Laguerre polynomials</i> .....	525
Ernest Lester Stitzinger, <i>A nonimbedding theorem of associative algebras</i> .....	529
J. Jerry Uhl, Jr., <i>Martingales of vector valued set functions</i> .....	533
Gerald S. Ungar, <i>Conditions for a mapping to have the slicing structure property</i> .....	549
John Mays Worrell Jr., <i>On continuous mappings of metacompact Čech complete spaces</i> .....	555