Pacific Journal of Mathematics

ON RIGHT ALTERNATIVE RINGS WITHOUT PROPER RIGHT IDEALS

ERWIN KLEINFELD

Vol. 31, No. 1 November 1969

ON RIGHT ALTERNATIVE RINGS WITHOUT PROPER RIGHT IDEALS

ERWIN KLEINFELD

It is shown that a right alternative ring R without proper right ideals, of characteristic not two, containing idempotents e and 1, $e \neq 1$, such that ex = e(ex) for all $x \in R$ must be alternative and hence a Cayley vector matrix algebra of dimension 8 over its center.

In the classification of simple right alternative rings of characteristic not two it is still an open question whether there exist any which are not alternative, in contrast to characteristic two, where there do exist division rings which are not alternative [8]. A number of people have worked on this problem and were able to prove the alternative identity whenever they assumed an additional hypothesis such as finite dimensionality [1, 3], other identities [6, 7], or internal conditions on the ring [4, 5, 9]. It seems natural to try to tackle the case where there exists an idempotent $e \neq 1$ in e such that e of characteristic not 2 are alternative, then this would be a natural generalization of the theorem of Albert [2] for alternative rings, in which he showed that a simple alternative ring with idempotent $e \neq 1$ had to be either associative or a Cayley vector matrix algebra of dimension eight over its center.

In this paper we do not quite achieve this result, for we need to strengthen the hypothesis of simplicity to the assumption that the ring has no proper right ideals. On the other hand there is a good deal of information here that should prove useful in either romoving the hypothesis of (e, e, R) = 0, or in constructing an example of a simple, right alternative ring of characteristic not two which is not alternative, if indeed such an example exists.

The main tool here is the fact that (e, e, R) = 0 allows a Peirce decomposition into four "subspaces" $R_{i,j}$, i, j = 0, 1 as in the associative and alternative cases. The multiplication table for these subspaces differs in six places from the same table for alternative rings. By constructing appropriate right ideals we show in fact that the tables are the same. In the process we reduce the problem to the one studied by M. Humm-Kleinfeld [4], although by that time one can deduce from our work quite readily that indeed R must be alternative.

2. Preliminary identities. In the course of the paper we require a number of identities which are true in arbitrary right alternative rings of characteristic not two:

- (1) (ab, c, d) + (a, b, (c, d)) = a(b, c, d) + (a, c, d)b.
- (2) (x, ab, a) = (x, b, a)a.
- (3) ([ab]c)b = a([bc]b).

Proofs of these identities may be found on page 940 of [5].

(4) (ab)c = a(bc) + a(cb) - (ac)b,

also holds as this is the linearization of the right alternative identity.

3. Peirce decomposition. Henceforth in the paper, we assume that R is a right alternative ring of characteristic not two, and that R contains 1 and an idempotent of $e \neq 1$, such that (e, e, R) = 0. If we define $R_{ij} = \{x \in R \mid ex = ix, xe = jx\}$ and i, j = 0, 1, then R may be decomposed into a direct sum by $R = R_{11} + R_{10} + R_{01} + R_{00}$. Humm-Kleinfeld has shown on page 166 [4] that the multiplication table of the R_{ij} has the following containment properties:

	R_{11}	R_{10}	R_{01}	R_{00}
R_{11}	$R_{11}+R_{01}$	R_{10}	R_{10}	0
R_{10}	0	$R_{11} + R_{01}$	R_{11}	R_{10}
R_{01}	R_{01}	R_{00}	$R_{00} + R_{10}$	0
R_{00}	0	R_{01}	R_{01}	$R_{00} + R_{10}$

Thus the first entry gives the information that $(R_{11})^2 \subset R_{11} + R_{01}$, etc. Besides, it is true that $x_{ii}^2 \in R_{ii}$, and whenever $i \neq j$ that $x_{ij}^2 \in R_{ii}$ as well as $x_{ij}^3 = 0$.

Throughout the paper whenever we need to refer to this result we shall use the phrase "it follows from the table that..."

We should bear in mind that in an alternative ring there are six places where stronger assertions can be made. These are: $(R_{11})^2 \subset R_{11}$, $R_{10}^2 \subset R_{01}$, $R_{01}^2 \subset R_{01}$, $(R_{00})^2 \subset R_{00}$, $R_{11}R_{01} = 0$, and $R_{00}R_{10} = 0$.

4. Main section.

Lemma 1. In R we have $(R_{11}^2)_{01}R_{10}=0$, and $(R_{11}^2)_{01}R_{01}\subset R_{10}$.

Proof. Let x_{11} , $y_{11} \in R_{11}$, $z_{10} \in R_{10}$. From the table it is obvious that $(x_{11}, z_{10}, y_{11}) = 0$. Hence, using the right alternative identity,

$$0=(x_{11},y_{11},z_{10})=(x_{11}y_{11})z_{10}-x_{11}(y_{11}z_{10})$$
.

Let $x_{11}y_{11}=a_{11}+b_{01}$. Then, by substituting this in the previous equation, it follows that $a_{11}z_{10}+b_{01}z_{10}-x_{11}(y_{11}z_{10})=0$, so that $b_{01}z_{10}=x_{11}(y_{11}z_{10})-a_{11}z_{10}\in R_{10}\cap R_{00}=0$, by use of the table. Hence, $b_{01}z_{10}=0$, thus proving the first part. Also let $z_{01}\in R_{01}$. Then

$$(x_{11}, y_{11}, z_{01}) = (x_{11}y_{11})z_{01} - x_{11}(y_{11}z_{01}) = a_{11}z_{01} + b_{01}z_{01} - x_{11}(y_{11}z_{01}).$$

From the right alternative identity it follows that

$$(x_{11}, y_{11}, z_{01}) = -(x_{11}, z_{01}, y_{11}) = -(x_{11}z_{01})y_{11} + x_{11}(z_{01}y_{11}) = x_{11}(z_{01}y_{11}) \in R_{10},$$

using the table. Hence solving the previous equation for $b_{01}z_{01}$, we see that $b_{01}z_{01}=(x_{11},\,y_{11},\,z_{01})-a_{11}z_{01}+x_{11}(y_{11}z_{01})\in R_{10}$, using the table. This completes the proof of the lemma.

DEFINITION. Let $T_{01}=\{x_{01}\in R_{01}\,|\,x_{01}R_{10}=0,\,\,\text{and}\,\,x_{01}R_{01}\subset R_{10}\}$ and form $T=T_{01}+T_{01}R_{01}+\cdots+(\cdots(T_{01}R_{01})R_{01}\cdots)R_{01}+\cdots+$ where each term except the first is obtained from the preceding by right multiplication by R_{01} .

LEMMA 2. T is a right ideal of R such that $T \subset R_{01} + R_{10} + R_{11}$.

Proof. For arbitrary $t_{01} \in T_{01}$, $x_{11} \in R_{11}$, $y_{10} \in R_{10}$ and $z_{01} \in R_{01}$ we have

$$(t_{01}x_{11})y_{10}=(t_{01},\,x_{11},\,y_{10})=-(t_{01},\,y_{10},\,x_{11})=-(t_{01}y_{10})x_{11}+t_{01}(y_{10}x_{11})=0$$
 ,

using the right alternative identity and the definition of T_{01} , as well as the table. Also,

$$egin{aligned} (t_{01}x_{11})z_{01} &= (t_{01},\,x_{11},\,z_{01}) \,+\, t_{01}(x_{11}z_{01}) \,=\, (t_{01},\,x_{11},\,z_{01}) \ &= \,-\, (t_{01},\,z_{01},\,x_{11}) \,=\, -\, (t_{01}z_{01})x_{11} \,+\, t_{01}(z_{01}x_{11}) \ &= lpha_{10}x_{11} \,+\, t_{01}(z_{01}x_{11}) \,=\, t_{01}(z_{01}x_{11}) \,\in\, R_{10}, \end{aligned}$$

using the same reasons as before. But then $t_{01}x_{11} \in T_{01}$ and thus $T_{01}R_{11} \subset T_{01}$. Also, from the definition of T_{01} it follows almost immediately that $T_{01}R_{10}=0$, and $T_{01}R_{01} \subset R_{10}$, while the table implies that $T_{01}R_{00}=0$. Let P(n) be the $n+1^{st}$ term in the sum that defines T, and let $U(n)=T_{01}+T_{01}R_{01}+\cdots+P(n)$, be the sum of the first n+1 terms in the definition of T. We shall prove by induction that $P(n)R_{11} \subset U(n)$, $P(n)R_{10} \subset U(n)$ and $P(n)R_{00} \subset U(n)$. We have already seen this is true for n=0. Assume it is true for n and then we shall prove it true for n+1. We abbreviate P(n) by simply P. Then using (4), and the table, $(PR_{01})R_{11} \subset P(R_{01}R_{11}) + P(R_{11}R_{01}) + (PR_{11})R_{01} \subset PR_{01} + PR_{10} + (PR_{11})R_{01} \subset P(n+1) + U(n) + U(n)R_{01} \subset U(n+1)$. Also similarly,

$$egin{aligned} (PR_{\scriptscriptstyle{01}})R_{\scriptscriptstyle{10}} \subset P(R_{\scriptscriptstyle{01}}R_{\scriptscriptstyle{10}}) \,+\, P(R_{\scriptscriptstyle{10}}R_{\scriptscriptstyle{01}}) \,+\, (PR_{\scriptscriptstyle{10}})R_{\scriptscriptstyle{01}} \subset PR_{\scriptscriptstyle{00}} \,+\, PR_{\scriptscriptstyle{11}} \ &+\, (PR_{\scriptscriptstyle{10}})R_{\scriptscriptstyle{01}} \subset U(n) \,+\, U(n)R_{\scriptscriptstyle{01}} \subset U(n+1) \;, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$egin{aligned} (PR_{\scriptscriptstyle{01}})R_{\scriptscriptstyle{00}} \subset P(R_{\scriptscriptstyle{01}}R_{\scriptscriptstyle{00}}) \,+\, P(R_{\scriptscriptstyle{00}}R_{\scriptscriptstyle{01}}) \,+\, (PR_{\scriptscriptstyle{00}})R_{\scriptscriptstyle{01}} \subset PR_{\scriptscriptstyle{01}} \ &+\, (PR_{\scriptscriptstyle{00}})R_{\scriptscriptstyle{01}} \subset P(n\,+\,1) \,+\, U(n)R_{\scriptscriptstyle{01}} \subset U(n\,+\,1) \; extbf{.} \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, $P(n+1)A \subset U(n+1)$ for $A=R_{11}$, R_{10} , and R_{00} . This completes the induction. But then, $TA \subset T$. Of course, also $TR_{01} \subset T$. But then, $TR \subset T$ and, hence, T is a right ideal of R. Also, $P(1)=T_{01}R_{01} \subset R_{10}$ by definition of T_{01} . Hence $P(2) \subset R_{10}R_{01} \subset R_{11}$, and so $P(3) \subset R_{11}R_{01} \subset R_{10}$. Thence $P(2n+1) \subset R_{10}$ and $P(2n) \subset R_{11}$, so that $T \subset T_{01} + R_{10} + R_{11} \subset R_{01} + R_{10} + R_{11}$. This completes the proof of the lemma.

We note that there is complete symmetry if the idempotent e is replaced by the idempotent 1-e. In terms of the Peirce decomposition this has the effect of simply permuting subscripts. We shall frequently use this play in order to obtain new results from theorems already proved, and justify it by stating that "we may reverse subscripts..." Thus we may assert:

COROLLARY 1. If R has no proper right ideals then $R_{11}^2 \subset R_{11}$.

COROLLARY 2. If R has no proper right ideals then $R_{00}^2 \subset R_{00}$.

Proof. The right ideal T of Lemma 2 cannot be R since $1 - e \in R_{00}$ would then have to be zero, contrary to assumption. But then T = 0, hence $T_{01} = 0$. But Lemma 1 implies that $(R_{11}^2)_{01} \in T_{01}$, so that $(R_{11}^2)_{01} = 0$, hence $R_{11}^2 \subset R_{11}$. But then we may reverse subscripts and obtain the second corollary as well.

In the remainder of the paper we shall assume tacitly that, in addition, R has no proper right ideals, so that we may freely use the results of the last two corollaries.

LEMMA 3. R_{11} is associative.

Proof. Let $A = \sum (R_{11}, R_{11}, R_{11}) + R_{11}(R_{11}, R_{11}, R_{11})$. Since $R_{10}R_{11} = 0$ follows from the table, while $R_{11}^2 \subset R_{11}$ because of Corollary 1, we can easily verify that $(R_{10}, R_{11}, R_{11}) = 0$. Select $w_{11}, y_{11}, z_{11}, \in R_{11}$ and $x_{10} \in R_{10}$. Then substitute $a = w_{11}, b = x_{10}, c = y_{11}, d = z_{11}$ in (1), obtaining

$$(w_{11}x_{10}, y_{11}, z_{11}) + (w_{11}, x_{10}, (y_{11}, z_{11})) = w_{11}(x_{10}, y_{11}, z_{11}) + (w_{11}, y_{11}, z_{11})x_{10}$$
.

However, by inspection $(R_{11}, R_{10}, R_{11}) = 0$, as a consequence of the table, so that only one term survives in the preceding equation. Thus $(R_{11}, R_{11}, R_{11})R_{10} = 0$. We have already observed that $(R_{11}, R_{10}, R_{11}) = 0$. If we apply the right alternative identity in this situation then it follows that $(R_{11}, R_{11}, R_{10}) = 0$, and hence $(R_{11}, (R_{11}, R_{11}, R_{11}), R_{10}) = 0$. Expanding the last associator, thus $R_{11}(R_{11}, R_{11}, R_{11}) \cdot R_{10} = 0$. But then,

 $AR_{10}=0$. Since $A \subset R_{11}$, it follows from the table that $AR_{00}=0$. Besides, it is well known that even if R_{11} where an arbitrary ring, not necessarily right alternative, that A is always a two-sided ideal of R_{11} , so that $AR_{11} \subset A$. Let us form

$$B = A + AR_{01} + (AR_{01})R_{01} + \cdots + (\cdots (AR_{01})R_{01} \cdots) + \cdots$$

where the n^{th} term is obtained from the preceding by right multiplication by R_{01} , except for n=1. As in the proof of Lemma 2 the reader may easily check that B is a right ideal of R using induction. But the odd terms in the equation defining B are contained in R_{11} , while the even terms are contained in R_{10} , using the table. Hence, $B \subset R_{10} + R_{11}$. Since B = R implies 1 - e = 0, we must have B = 0, hence A = 0. Thus R_{11} is associative, completing the proof of the lemma.

COROLLARY. $R_{\scriptscriptstyle 00}$ is associative.

Proof. We may reverse subscripts in the lemma.

LEMMA 4. (i)
$$R_{11} + R_{01} = R_{10}R_{01} + R_{10}^2 + R_{00}R_{10}$$
.

- (ii) $R_{00} + R_{10} = R_{01}R_{10} + R_{01}^2 + R_{11}R_{01}$.
- (iii) $R_{00} = R_{01}R_{10}$.
- (iv) $R_{11} = R_{10}R_{01}$.

Proof. Define inductively $R_{10}^n=R_{10}^{n-1}R_{10}$ and form $A=R_{10}R_{01}+R_{10}+\cdots+R_{10}^n+\cdots$. First we aim to show that A must be a right ideal of R. By repeated use of (4) and table we see that

$$(R_{10}R_{01})R_{11} \subset R_{10}(R_{01}R_{11}+R_{11}R_{01})+(R_{10}R_{11})R_{01} \subset R_{10}R_{01}+R_{10}^2$$
 , $(R_{10}R_{01})R_{10} \subset R_{11}R_{10} \subset R_{10}$, $(R_{10}R_{01})R_{01} \subset R_{11}R_{01} \subset R_{10}$, $(R_{10}R_{01})R_{00} \subset R_{11}R_{00} = 0$,

thus showing that $(R_{10}R_{01})R \subset R_{10}R_{01} + R_{10} + R_{10}^2 \subset A$. Also $R_{10}R_{11} = 0$, $R_{10}R_{10} = R_{10}^2$, $R_{10}R_{01} \subset A$, $R_{10}R_{00} \subset R_{10}$, using the table. But use of (4) and the table shows that

$$egin{aligned} (R_{10}^2)R_{11} \subset R_{10}(R_{10}R_{11} + R_{11}R_{10}) &+ (R_{10}R_{11})R_{10} \subset R_{10}^2, R_{10}^2R_{10} = R_{10}^3 \ , \ (R_{10}^2)R_{01} \subset R_{10}(R_{10}R_{01} + R_{01}R_{10}) &+ (R_{10}R_{01})R_{10} \subset R_{10}R_{11} \ &+ R_{10}R_{00} + R_{11}R_{10} \subset R_{10} \ , \end{aligned}$$

while $(R_{10}^2)R_{00} \subset (R_{11} + R_{01})R_{00} = 0$. Now define

$$Q(n) = R_{10}R_{01} + R_{10} + \cdots + R_{10}^{n}$$
.

The above calculations show that $Q(2)B \subset Q(2)$, for $B = R_{11}$, R_{01} and

 R_{00} . Assume inductively that $R_{10}^nB\subset Q(n)$ and we proceed to prove this inclusion for n+1 in place of n. Besides the induction hypothesis, our main tools are (4) and the table. $(R_{10}^nR_{10})R_{11}\subset R_{10}^n(R_{10}R_{11}+R_{11}R_{10})+(R_{10}^nR_{11})R_{10}\subset R_{10}^nR_{10}+(R_{10}^nR_{11})R_{10}\subset R_{10}^nR_{10}+Q(n)R_{10}\subset Q(n+1)$. Similarly,

$$egin{aligned} (R_{\scriptscriptstyle{10}}^{\scriptscriptstyle{n}}R_{\scriptscriptstyle{10}})R_{\scriptscriptstyle{01}} \subset R_{\scriptscriptstyle{10}}^{\scriptscriptstyle{n}}(R_{\scriptscriptstyle{10}}R_{\scriptscriptstyle{01}} + R_{\scriptscriptstyle{01}}R_{\scriptscriptstyle{10}}) + (R_{\scriptscriptstyle{10}}^{\scriptscriptstyle{n}}R_{\scriptscriptstyle{01}})R_{\scriptscriptstyle{10}} \subset R_{\scriptscriptstyle{10}}^{\scriptscriptstyle{n}}R_{\scriptscriptstyle{11}} + R_{\scriptscriptstyle{10}}^{\scriptscriptstyle{n}}R_{\scriptscriptstyle{00}} \ & + Q(n)R_{\scriptscriptstyle{10}} \subset Q(n) + Q(n+1) \subset Q(n+1) \; . \end{aligned}$$

Finally, $(R_{10}^nR_{10})R_{00} \subset R_{10}^n(R_{10}R_{00} + R_{00}R_{10}) + (R_{10}^nR_{00})R_{10} \subset R_{10}^nR_{10} + R_{10}^nR_{01} +$ $Q(n)R_{10} \subset Q(n+1)$. This completes the induction. Armed with this information we are now ready to prove that A is a right ideal of R. Since $Q(2)B \subset Q(2) \subset A$ and $R_{10}^n B \subset Q(n) \subset A$, we see that $AB \subset A$. Since obviously $R_{10}^nR_{10}=R_{10}^{n+1}$, it follows also that $AR_{10}\subset A$. But then $AR\subset A$, and thus A is a right ideal of R. Let us consider first the case A=0. In that case, $R_{10}=0$. Form $B=R_{00}+R_{01}$. Using the table and Corollary 2 of Lemma 2, we may varify that B is a right ideal. Since $e \notin B$, we must then have B = 0. But then $R = R_{11}$, so that e = 1, contrary to assumption. Hence the case A=0 cannot arise. only open possibility is that A = R. Now from the table we see that $R_{\scriptscriptstyle 10}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2} \subset R_{\scriptscriptstyle 11} + R_{\scriptscriptstyle 01}, ext{ while } R_{\scriptscriptstyle 10}^{\scriptscriptstyle 3} \subset (R_{\scriptscriptstyle 11} + R_{\scriptscriptstyle 01}) R_{\scriptscriptstyle 10} \subset R_{\scriptscriptstyle 10} + R_{\scriptscriptstyle 00}, ext{ and } (R_{\scriptscriptstyle 10} + R_{\scriptscriptstyle 00}) R_{\scriptscriptstyle 10} \subset$ $R_{11}+R_{01}$. Consequently, $R_{10}^{2n}\subset R_{11}+R_{01}$, and $R_{10}^{2n+1}\subset R_{10}+R_{00}$, for all positive integers n. Since the Peirce decomposition is direct and A = R, it must be that $R_{11}+R_{01}=\sum R_{10}^{2n}+R_{10}R_{01}$, and $R_{10}+R_{00}=\sum R_{10}^{2n-1}$. But note that by definition $R_{10}^{2n+2}=(R_{10}^{2n}R_{10})R_{10}\subset ([R_{11}+R_{01}]R_{10})R_{10}\subset R_{10}^2+$ $R_{00}R_{10}$ and so $\sum R_{10}^{2n} \subset R_{10}^2 + R_{00}R_{10}$. But then from two equations back it follows that $R_{11} + R_{01} \subset R_{10}^2 + R_{00}R_{10} + R_{10}R_{01}$. On the other hand it is a consequence of the table that $R_{\scriptscriptstyle 10}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2}+R_{\scriptscriptstyle 00}R_{\scriptscriptstyle 10}+R_{\scriptscriptstyle 10}R_{\scriptscriptstyle 01}$ $\subset R_{\scriptscriptstyle 11}+R_{\scriptscriptstyle 01}$, so that $R_{11} + R_{01} = R_{10}^2 + R_{00}R_{10} + R_{10}R_{01}$. This establishes part (i). obtain (ii) from (i), simply reverse subscripts. By definition

$$egin{aligned} R_{10}^{2n+1} \subset (R_{10}^{2n-1}R_{10})R_{10} \subset ([R_{10}+R_{00}]R_{10})R_{10} \subset \ R_{10}^3 + R_{01}R_{10} \subset (R_{11}+R_{01})R_{10} + R_{01}R_{10} \subset R_{10} + R_{01}R_{10} \end{aligned}$$

But then $R_{10} + R_{00} = \sum R_{10}^{2n-1} \subset R_{10} + R_{01}R_{10} \subset R_{10} + R_{00}$. But then $R_{10} + R_{01}R_{10} = R_{10} + R_{00}$. Using the directness of the Peirce decomposition we obtain that $R_{01}R_{10} = R_{00}$. This establishes part (iii). Part (iv) follows from part (iii) by reversing subscripts. This completes the proof of the lemma.

LEMMA 5. For all
$$a_{01} \in R_{01}$$
 and $x_{11}, y_{11} \in R_{11}, (a_{01}x_{11}) y_{11} = a_{01}(y_{11}x_{11})$.

Proof. It follows from Lemma 4—(i) that $a_{01} \in R_{10}R_{01} + R_{10}^2 + R_{00}R_{10}$. Using (4) and the table we see that for

$$b_{10}, c_{10} \in R_{10}, (b_{10}c_{10})x_{11} = b_{10}(c_{10}x_{11} + x_{11}c_{10}) - (b_{10}x_{11})c_{10} = b_{10}(x_{11}c_{10})$$
.

By repeated use of this last equation, then $([b_{10}c_{10}]x_{11})y_{11} = (b_{10}[x_{11}c_{10}])y_{11} = b_{10}(y_{11}[x_{11}c_{10}])$. As previously observed, $(y_{11}, x_{11}, c_{10}) = -(y_{11}, c_{10}, x_{11}) = 0$. Thus, $b_{10}(y_{11}[x_{11}c_{10}]) = b_{10}([y_{11}x_{11}]c_{10}) = (b_{10}c_{10})(y_{11}x_{11})$ using the table, Lemma 2-Corollary 1, and the previous observation we made use of just before. Combining two previous equations, we see that $([b_{10}c_{10}]x_{11})y_{11} = (b_{10}c_{10})(y_{11}x_{11})$. Thus $b_{10}c_{10}$ has the desired property. Let $b_{00} \in R_{00}$. Then (4) and the table imply that $(b_{00}c_{10})x_{11} = b_{00}(c_{10}x_{11} + x_{11}c_{10}) - (b_{00}x_{11})c_{10} = b_{00}(x_{11}c_{10})$. Using the table, Lemma 2-Corollary 1, and the previous equation repeatedly, it follows that $([b_{00}c_{10}]x_{11})y_{11} = (b_{00}[x_{11}c_{10}])y_{11} = b_{00}(y_{11}[x_{11}c_{10}])$. As already noted, $(y_{11}, x_{11}, c_{10}) = 0$, so that $b_{00}(y_{11}[x_{11}c_{10}]) = b_{00}([y_{11}x_{11}]c_{10}) = (b_{00}c_{10})(y_{11}x_{11})$. Thus $b_{00}c_{10}$ has the desired property. Finally, if $z_{11} \in R_{11}$, then $(z_{11}x_{11})y_{11} - z_{11}(y_{11}x_{11}) \in R_{11}$ because of Lemma 2-Corollary 1. Hence, $(a_{01}x_{11})y_{11} - a_{01}(y_{11}x_{11}) \in R_{11}$. But from the table it follows that $(a_{01}x_{11})y_{11} - a_{01}(y_{11}x_{11}) \in R_{01}$. Since $R_{01} \cap R_{11} = 0$, it must be that $(a_{01}x_{11})y_{11} - a_{01}(y_{11}x_{11}) = 0$. This completes the proof of the lemma.

LEMMA 6. R_{11} and R_{00} are commutative.

Proof. Let $a_{01} \in R_{01}$, $b_{10} \in R_{10}$ and x_{11} , $y_{11} \in R_{11}$. As a result of (1), $(b_{10}a_{01}, x_{11}, y_{11}) + (b_{10}, a_{01}, (x_{11}, y_{11})) = b_{10}(a_{01}, x_{11}, y_{11}) + (b_{10}, x_{11}, y_{11})a_{01}$. Use of the table reveals that $(b_{10}, x_{11}, y_{11}) = 0$, since R_{11} is a subring. Moreover, Lemma 3 and the table imply that $(b_{10}a_{01}, x_{11}, y_{11}) = 0$. Thus only two terms survive in the first equation and we see that $(b_{10}, a_{01}, (x_{11}, y_{11})) = b_{10}(a_{01}, x_{11}, y_{11})$. Moreover,

 $(b_{\scriptscriptstyle 10},\,a_{\scriptscriptstyle 01},\,(x_{\scriptscriptstyle 11},\,y_{\scriptscriptstyle 11}))=(b_{\scriptscriptstyle 10}a_{\scriptscriptstyle 01})(x_{\scriptscriptstyle 11}y_{\scriptscriptstyle 11}-y_{\scriptscriptstyle 11}x_{\scriptscriptstyle 11})-b_{\scriptscriptstyle 10}[a_{\scriptscriptstyle 01}(x_{\scriptscriptstyle 11}y_{\scriptscriptstyle 11}-y_{\scriptscriptstyle 11}x_{\scriptscriptstyle 11})]$, expanding the associator. But

$$egin{aligned} &-b_{10}[a_{01}(x_{11}y_{11}-y_{11}x_{11})]=-b_{10}[(a_{01}y_{11})x_{11}-a_{01}(y_{11}x_{11})]\ &=-b_{10}(a_{01},y_{11},x_{11})=b_{10}(a_{01},x_{11},y_{11}) \;, \end{aligned}$$

using Lemma 5 and the right alternative identity. Now if we compare the last three equations we conclude that $(b_{10}a_{01})(x_{11}y_{11}-y_{11}x_{11})=0$. At this point Lemma 4-(iv) may be utilized to conclude that for every $z_{11} \in R_{11}$, $z_{11}(x_{11}y_{11}-y_{11}x_{11})=0$. In particular we may choose $z_{11}=e$. Then because of Lemma 2-Corollary 1, $x_{11}y_{11}-y_{11}x_{11}=0$. Thus R_{11} is seen to be commutative. By reversing subscripts, it follows that R_{00} is also commutative. This completes the proof of the lemma.

LEMMA 7.
$$(R_{01}, R_{11}, R_{11}) = 0 = (R_{10}, R_{00}, R_{00})$$
.

Proof. Let $a_{01} \in R_{01}$ and x_{11} , $y_{11} \in R_{11}$. Then because of Lemmas 5 and 6, $(a_{01}x_{11})y_{11} = a_{01}(y_{11}x_{11}) = a_{01}(x_{11}y_{11})$, thus establishing $(a_{01}, x_{11}, y_{11}) = 0$. Hence, $(R_{01}, R_{11}, R_{11}) = 0$. By reversing subscripts, $(R_{10}, R_{00}, R_{00}) = 0$ follows. This completes the proof of the lemma.

DEFINITION. We define $Q_{00} = \text{nilpotent}$ elements of R_{00} , and $Q_{11} = \text{nilpotent}$ elements of R_{11} .

We note, since R_{11} , R_{00} are associative, commutative, subrings of R, that Q_{11} is an ideal of R_{11} and Q_{00} an ideal of R_{00} .

LEMMA 8. If $a_{11} \in R_{11}$, $b_{01} \in R_{01}$, $c_{10} \in R_{10}$, then

$$d_{11}=(a_{11}b_{01})c_{10}=(a_{11},b_{01},c_{10})=-(a_{11},c_{10},b_{01})$$

satisfies $d_{11}^2=0$, so that $d_{11}\in Q_{11}$. Similarly, if

$$d_{00} = (a_{00}b_{10})c_{01} = (a_{00}, b_{10}, c_{01}) = -(a_{00}, c_{01}, b_{10})$$

then $d_{00}^2=0$ and $d_{00}\in Q_{00}$. Thus $(R_{11}R_{01})R_{10}\subset Q_{11}$ and $(R_{00}R_{10})R_{01}\subset Q_{00}$.

Proof. As a result of (4), $(a_{11}b_{01})c_{10} = a_{11}(b_{01}c_{10} + c_{10}b_{01}) - (a_{11}c_{10})b_{01}$. But $a_{11}(b_{01}c_{10}) \in R_{11}R_{00} = 0$, from the table, while $a_{11}(c_{10}b_{01}) \in R_{11}^2 \subset R_{11}$, $-(a_{11}c_{10})b_{01} \in R_{10}R_{01} \subset R_{11}$, using the table and Lemma 2-Corollary. Hence $(a_{11}b_{01})c_{10} \in R_{11}$ and so $(R_{11}R_{01})R_{10} \subset R_{11}$. Let $f_{10} = a_{11}b_{01}$ and $d_{11} = (a_{11}b_{01})c_{10}$. Then $f_{10}c_{10} = d_{11}$, while $d_{11}^2 = (f_{10}c_{10})d_{11} = f_{10}(c_{10}d_{11} + d_{11}c_{10}) - (f_{10}d_{11})c_{10}$, using (4). Since $R_{10}R_{11} = 0$, follows from the table, two terms vanish in the last equation, so that $d_{11}^2 = f_{10}(d_{11}c_{10})$. But $f_{10}(d_{11}c_{10}) = f_{10}([f_{10}c_{10}]c_{10}) = f_{10}(f_{10}[c_{10}^2])$, because of the right alternative identity. Since

$$f_{\scriptscriptstyle 10}(c_{\scriptscriptstyle 10}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2})\in R_{\scriptscriptstyle 10}R_{\scriptscriptstyle 11}=0$$
 ,

as a result of the table, it follows that $d_{11}^2 = 0$, and so $d_{11} \in Q_{11}$. By interchanging subscripts we obtain the second part. This completes the proof of the lemma.

LEMMA 9. Let

$$egin{aligned} Q &= Q_{11} + Q_{11}R_{10} + R_{01}Q_{11} + R_{01}Q_{11}R_{10} + Q_{00} + Q_{00}R_{01} + R_{10}Q_{00} \ &+ R_{10}Q_{00}R_{01} + R_{11}R_{01} + (R_{11}R_{01})R_{01} + R_{00}R_{10} + (R_{00}R_{10})R_{10} \ . \end{aligned}$$

Then Q is a right ideal of R.

Proof. Most of the calculations involved are routine, and (4) is an important tool. Unless the reasoning is complicated, we shall state the appropriate inclusions without comment. $(R_{11}R_{01})R_{11} \subset R_{10}R_{11} = 0$. $(R_{11}R_{01})R_{10} \subset Q_{11}$, because of Lemma 8. $(R_{11}R_{01})R_{01} \subset Q$. $(R_{11}R_{01})R_{00} \subset R_{11}(R_{01}R_{00} + R_{00}R_{01}) + (R_{11}R_{00})R_{01} \subset R_{11}(R_{00}R_{01}) \subset R_{11}R_{01}$, using (4) and the table.

$$egin{align*} ([R_{11}R_{01}]R_{01})R_{11} &\subset (R_{11}R_{01})(R_{01}R_{11}+R_{11}R_{01}) \ &+ ([R_{11}R_{01}]R_{11})R_{01} &\subset (R_{11}R_{01})R_{01}+(R_{11}R_{01})R_{10}+([R_{11}R_{01}]R_{11})R_{01} \ , \end{split}$$

using (4). Now $(R_{11}R_{01})R_{10} \subset Q_{11}$ because of Lemma 8, while we observed earlier in the proof that $(R_{11}R_{01})R_{11} = 0$. Consequently,

$$egin{align*} &([R_{11}R_{01}]R_{01})R_{11} \subset (R_{11}R_{01})R_{01} \,+\, Q_{11} \;. \ &([R_{11}R_{01}]R_{01})R_{10} \subset (R_{11}R_{01})(R_{01}R_{10} \,+\, R_{10}R_{01}) \ &+\, ([R_{11}R_{01}]R_{10})R_{01} \subset (R_{11}R_{01})R_{00} \,+\, (R_{11}R_{01})R_{11} \,+\, ([R_{11}R_{01}]R_{10})R_{01} \;, \end{split}$$

using (4). But we have already observed that $(R_{11}R_{01})R_{00} \subset R_{11}R_{01}$, and $(R_{11}R_{01})R_{11} = 0$, while Lemma 8 implies $(R_{11}R_{01})R_{10} \subset R_{11}$, so that

$$([R_{\scriptscriptstyle 11}R_{\scriptscriptstyle 01}]R_{\scriptscriptstyle 10})R_{\scriptscriptstyle 01}\!\subset\! R_{\scriptscriptstyle 11}R_{\scriptscriptstyle 01}$$
 .

Combining these observations it follows that $([R_{11}R_{01}]R_{01})R_{10} \subset R_{11}R_{01}$. $([R_{11}R_{01}]R_{01})R_{01} \subset (R_{10}R_{01})R_{01} \subset R_{11}R_{01}$.

$$([R_{\scriptscriptstyle 11}R_{\scriptscriptstyle 01}]R_{\scriptscriptstyle 01})R_{\scriptscriptstyle 00}$$
 \subset $(R_{\scriptscriptstyle 10}R_{\scriptscriptstyle 01})R_{\scriptscriptstyle 00}$ \subset $R_{\scriptscriptstyle 11}R_{\scriptscriptstyle 00}$ $=$ 0 .

As we have already observed, Q_{11} is an ideal of R_{11} , and so $Q_{11}R_{11} \subset Q_{11}$. $Q_{11}R_{10} \subset Q$. $Q_{11}R_{01} \subset R_{11}R_{01}$. $Q_{11}R_{00} = 0$. $(Q_{11}R_{10})R_{11} \subset R_{10}R_{11} = 0$. In order to obtain the desired inclusion for $(Q_{11}R_{10})R_{10}$, we observe first that $a_{10}^3 = 0$ follows from the table, hence $a_{10}^4 = 0$, so that $a_{10}^2 \in Q_{11}$. By linearization, then $a_{10}b_{10} + b_{10}a_{10} \in Q_{11}$. If $q_{11} \in Q_{11}$, then $q_{11}a_{10} \in R_{10}$, so that $(q_{11}a_{10})b_{10} + b_{10}(q_{11}a_{10}) = q'_{11} \in Q_{11}$. However, using (4), $(b_{10}a_{10})q_{11} = b_{10}(a_{10}q_{11} + q_{11}a_{10}) - (b_{10}q_{11})a_{10} = b_{10}(q_{11}a_{10})$, since $R_{10}R_{11} = 0$ follows from the table. Comparing the last two equations, $(q_{11}a_{10})b_{10} = q'_{11} - (b_{10}a_{10})q_{11}$. But

$$(b_{\scriptscriptstyle 10}a_{\scriptscriptstyle 10})q_{\scriptscriptstyle 11}$$
 \subset $(R_{\scriptscriptstyle 10}^2)Q_{\scriptscriptstyle 11}$ \subset $(R_{\scriptscriptstyle 11}+R_{\scriptscriptstyle 01})Q_{\scriptscriptstyle 11}$ \subset $R_{\scriptscriptstyle 11}Q_{\scriptscriptstyle 11}+R_{\scriptscriptstyle 01}Q_{\scriptscriptstyle 11}$ \subset $Q_{\scriptscriptstyle 11}+R_{\scriptscriptstyle 01}Q_{\scriptscriptstyle 11}$.

Hence $(q_{11}a_{10})b_{10} \in Q_{11} + R_{01}Q_{11}$, and thus $(Q_{11}R_{10})R_{10} \subset Q_{11} + R_{01}Q_{11}$. Also, $(Q_{11}R_{10})R_{01} \subset Q_{11}(R_{10}R_{01} + R_{01}R_{10}) + (Q_{11}R_{01})R_{10} \subset Q_{11}R_{11} + Q_{11}R_{00} + (R_{11}R_{01})R_{10}$, as a result of (4). But $Q_{11}R_{11} \subset Q_{11}$, $Q_{11}R_{00} \subset R_{11}R_{00} = 0$, and $(R_{11}R_{01})R_{10} \subset Q_{11}$ because of Lemma 8. Hence $(Q_{11}R_{10})R_{01} \subset Q_{11}$.

$$egin{aligned} (Q_{11}R_{10})R_{00} \subset Q_{11}(R_{10}R_{00}+R_{00}R_{10}) \ &+ (Q_{11}R_{00})R_{10} \subset Q_{11}R_{10}+Q_{11}R_{01} \subset Q_{11}R_{10}+R_{11}R_{01} \ , \end{aligned}$$

using (4) and the table. Hence $(Q_{11}R_{10})R_{00} \subset Q_{11}R_{10} + R_{11}R_{01}$.

$$(R_{\scriptscriptstyle 01}Q_{\scriptscriptstyle 11})R_{\scriptscriptstyle 11}$$
 \subset $R_{\scriptscriptstyle 01}(Q_{\scriptscriptstyle 11}R_{\scriptscriptstyle 11}+R_{\scriptscriptstyle 11}Q_{\scriptscriptstyle 11})+(R_{\scriptscriptstyle 01}R_{\scriptscriptstyle 11})Q_{\scriptscriptstyle 11}$ \subset $R_{\scriptscriptstyle 01}Q_{\scriptscriptstyle 11}$,

using (4). $(R_{01}Q_{11})R_{10} \subset Q$. $(R_{01}Q_{11})R_{00} \subset R_{01}R_{00}$. To handle $(R_{01}Q_{11})R_{01}$, we recall from Lemma 4-(i) that $R_{01} \subset R_{11} + R_{10}^2 + R_{00}R_{10}$, so that

$$(5) \qquad (R_{\scriptscriptstyle 01}Q_{\scriptscriptstyle 11})R_{\scriptscriptstyle 01} \subset (R_{\scriptscriptstyle 11}Q_{\scriptscriptstyle 11})R_{\scriptscriptstyle 01} + (]R_{\scriptscriptstyle 10}^2]Q_{\scriptscriptstyle 11})R_{\scriptscriptstyle 01} + ([R_{\scriptscriptstyle 00}R_{\scriptscriptstyle 10}]Q_{\scriptscriptstyle 11})R_{\scriptscriptstyle 01}$$
 .

Next we shall work on each of the three terms in the right hand side of (5). Thus $(R_{11}Q_{11})R_{01} \subset Q_{11}R_{01} \subset R_{11}R_{01}$, or

$$(6) (R_{11}Q_{11})R_{01} \subset R_{11}R_{01}.$$

As previously noted, $(R_{10}^2)Q_{11} = R_{10}(Q_{11}R_{10}) \subset (Q_{11}R_{10})R_{10} + Q_{11}$, since $a_{10}b_{10} + b_{10}a_{10} \in Q_{11}$. Thus $([R_{10}^2]Q_{11})R_{01} \subset ([Q_{11}R_{10}]R_{10})R_{01} + Q_{11}R_{01}$. But by use of (4), $([Q_{11}R_{10}]R_{10})R_{01} \subset (Q_{11}R_{10})(R_{10}R_{01} + R_{01}R_{10}) + ([Q_{11}R_{10}]R_{01})R_{10} \subset (Q_{11}R_{10})R_{11} + (Q_{11}R_{10})R_{00} + ([Q_{11}R_{10}]R_{01})R_{10}$. We saw previously in the lemma that $(Q_{11}R_{10})R_{11} = 0$, $(Q_{11}R_{10})R_{00} \subset Q_{11}R_{10} + R_{11}R_{01}$, and $([Q_{11}R_{10}]R_{01}) \subset Q_{11}$. Thus $([Q_{11}R_{10}]R_{01})R_{01} \subset Q_{11}R_{10} + R_{11}R_{01}$. Putting together the various inclusions we see that

$$(7)$$
 $([R_{10}^2]Q_{11})R_{01} \subset Q_{11}R_{10} + R_{11}R_{01}$.

Using (4) it follows that

$$(R_{00}R_{10})Q_{11} \subset R_{00}(R_{10}Q_{11} + Q_{11}R_{10}) + (R_{00}Q_{11})R_{10} \subset R_{00}(Q_{11}R_{10})$$
,

because of the table. But then

$$egin{aligned} ([R_{00}R_{10}]Q_{11})R_{01} &\subset (R_{00}[Q_{11}R_{10}])R_{01} \subset R_{00}([Q_{11}R_{10}]R_{01} + R_{01}[Q_{11}R_{10}]) \ &+ (R_{00}R_{01})(Q_{11}R_{10}) \subset R_{00}(R_{10}R_{01}) + R_{00}(R_{01}Q_{11}R_{10}) \ &+ R_{01}Q_{11}R_{10} \subset R_{00}(R_{01}Q_{11}R_{10}) + R_{01}Q_{11}R_{10} \ , \end{aligned}$$

using (4) and the table. Observe that

$$(R_{00},\,R_{01},\,R_{10})$$
 \subset $(R_{00},\,R_{10},\,R_{01})$ \subset $(R_{00}R_{10})R_{01}$ \subset Q_{00} ,

using the right alternative identity and Lemma 8. Hence

$$R_{00}(R_{01}Q_{11}R_{10}) \subset (R_{00}R_{01})(Q_{11}R_{10}) + Q_{00} \subset R_{01}Q_{11}R_{10} + Q_{00}$$
.

Now piecing together various inclusions we see that

(8)
$$([R_{00}R_{10}]Q_{11})R_{01} \subset R_{01}Q_{11}R_{10} + Q_{00}.$$

By combining (5), (6), (7), and (8) we now see that $(R_{01}Q_{11})R_{01} \subset R_{11}R_{01} + Q_{11}R_{10} + R_{01}Q_{11}R_{10} + Q_{00}$. $(R_{01}Q_{11}R_{10})R_{11} \subset R_{00}R_{11} = 0$. $(R_{01}Q_{11}R_{10})R_{10} \subset R_{00}R_{10}$. $(R_{01}Q_{11}R_{10})R_{00} = R_{00}(R_{01}Q_{11}R_{10})$, as a result of Lemma 6. However, in the process of establishing (8) we observed that $R_{00}(R_{01}Q_{11}R_{10}) \subset Q_{00} + R_{01}Q_{11}R_{10}$. Therefore $(R_{01}Q_{11}R_{10})R_{00} \subset Q_{00} + R_{01}Q_{11}R_{10}$. Because of (4),

$$egin{aligned} (R_{\scriptscriptstyle 01}Q_{\scriptscriptstyle 11}R_{\scriptscriptstyle 10})R_{\scriptscriptstyle 01} &\subset (R_{\scriptscriptstyle 01}Q_{\scriptscriptstyle 11})(R_{\scriptscriptstyle 10}R_{\scriptscriptstyle 01} + R_{\scriptscriptstyle 01}R_{\scriptscriptstyle 10}) \ &+ ([R_{\scriptscriptstyle 01}Q_{\scriptscriptstyle 11}]R_{\scriptscriptstyle 01})R_{\scriptscriptstyle 10} &\subset (R_{\scriptscriptstyle 01}Q_{\scriptscriptstyle 11})R_{\scriptscriptstyle 11} + (R_{\scriptscriptstyle 01}Q_{\scriptscriptstyle 11})R_{\scriptscriptstyle 00} + ([R_{\scriptscriptstyle 01}Q_{\scriptscriptstyle 11}]R_{\scriptscriptstyle 01})R_{\scriptscriptstyle 10} \ . \end{aligned}$$

We established earlier in the proof that $(R_{01}Q_{11})R_{11} \subset R_{01}Q_{11}$, $(R_{01}Q_{11})R_{00} = 0$, $(R_{01}Q_{11})R_{01} \subset R_{11}R_{01} + Q_{11}R_{10} + R_{01}Q_{11}R_{10} + Q_{00}$. Hence

$$egin{aligned} ([R_{\scriptscriptstyle 01}Q_{\scriptscriptstyle 11}]R_{\scriptscriptstyle 01})R_{\scriptscriptstyle 10} &\subset (R_{\scriptscriptstyle 11}R_{\scriptscriptstyle 01})R_{\scriptscriptstyle 10} + (Q_{\scriptscriptstyle 11}R_{\scriptscriptstyle 10})R_{\scriptscriptstyle 10} \ &+ (R_{\scriptscriptstyle 01}Q_{\scriptscriptstyle 11}R_{\scriptscriptstyle 10})R_{\scriptscriptstyle 10} + Q_{\scriptscriptstyle 00}R_{\scriptscriptstyle 10} \subset Q_{\scriptscriptstyle 11} + R_{\scriptscriptstyle 01}Q_{\scriptscriptstyle 11} + R_{\scriptscriptstyle 00}R_{\scriptscriptstyle 10} \ \end{aligned}$$

again utilizing inclusions previously established in the proof. There-

fore $(R_{01}Q_{11}R_{10})R_{01} \subset Q_{11} + R_{01}Q_{11} + R_{00}R_{10}$. We have now established half of the necessary inclusions for proving that Q is a right ideal of R. The others all follow from reversing subscripts. This completes the proof of the lemma.

LEMMA 10. If Q of Lemma 9 is zero, then the table becomes the same as that for alternative rings.

 $Proof. \ \ Q=0 \ \ ext{implies} \ R_{_{11}}R_{_{01}}=0=R_{_{00}}R_{_{10}}, \ \ ext{as well as} \ \ a_{_{10}}^2=0=b_{_{01}}^2.$ Now define $A=R_{_{01}}+R_{_{01}}^2+(R_{_{01}}^2)R_{_{01}}+R_{_{01}}R_{_{10}}.$ We now proceed to establish that A is a right ideal of $R. \ R_{_{01}}R_{_{11}}{\subset}R_{_{01}}. \ R_{_{01}}R_{_{10}}{\subset}A. \ R_{_{01}}R_{_{01}}{\subset}A. \ R_{_{01}}R_{_{00}}{=}0,$ follows from the table. Because of (4), $(R_{_{01}}^2)R_{_{11}}{\subset}R_{_{01}}(R_{_{01}}R_{_{11}}+R_{_{11}}R_{_{01}})+(R_{_{01}}R_{_{11}})R_{_{01}}{\subset}R_{_{01}}^2,$ while $(R_{_{01}}^2)R_{_{01}}{\subset}A.$ Again using (4),

$$(R_{\scriptscriptstyle 01}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2})R_{\scriptscriptstyle 10}{\subset}R_{\scriptscriptstyle 01}(R_{\scriptscriptstyle 01}R_{\scriptscriptstyle 10}+R_{\scriptscriptstyle 10}R_{\scriptscriptstyle 01})+(R_{\scriptscriptstyle 01}R_{\scriptscriptstyle 10})R_{\scriptscriptstyle 01}{\subset}R_{\scriptscriptstyle 01}R_{\scriptscriptstyle 00}+R_{\scriptscriptstyle 01}R_{\scriptscriptstyle 11}+R_{\scriptscriptstyle 00}R_{\scriptscriptstyle 01}{\subset}R_{\scriptscriptstyle 01}$$
 ,

as a result of the table. Again utilizing (4),

$$(R_{\scriptscriptstyle 01}^2)R_{\scriptscriptstyle 00}$$
 \subset $R_{\scriptscriptstyle 01}(R_{\scriptscriptstyle 01}R_{\scriptscriptstyle 00}+\,R_{\scriptscriptstyle 00}R_{\scriptscriptstyle 01})+(R_{\scriptscriptstyle 01}R_{\scriptscriptstyle 00})R_{\scriptscriptstyle 01}$ \subset $R_{\scriptscriptstyle 01}^2$,

because of the table. Again because of (4) and the table

$$egin{aligned} ([R_{01}^2]R_{01})R_{11} &\subset (R_{01}^2)(R_{01}R_{11}+R_{11}R_{01}) \ &+ ([R_{01}^2]R_{11})R_{01} &\subset (R_{01}^2)R_{01}+([R_{01}^2]R_{11})R_{01} \ . \end{aligned}$$

But we just established that $(R_{01}^2)R_{11} \subset R_{01}^2$ so that

$$([R_{01}^2]R_{11})R_{01} \subset (R_{01}^2)R_{01}$$
 .

Thence $([R_{01}^2]R_{01})R_{11} \subset (R_{01}^2)R_{01}$. Again because of (4),

$$egin{align*} ([R_{\scriptscriptstyle 01}^2]R_{\scriptscriptstyle 01})R_{\scriptscriptstyle 10} \subset (R_{\scriptscriptstyle 01}^2)(R_{\scriptscriptstyle 01}R_{\scriptscriptstyle 10} + R_{\scriptscriptstyle 10}R_{\scriptscriptstyle 01}) \ &+ ([R_{\scriptscriptstyle 01}^2]R_{\scriptscriptstyle 10})R_{\scriptscriptstyle 01} \subset (R_{\scriptscriptstyle 01}^2)R_{\scriptscriptstyle 00} + (R_{\scriptscriptstyle 01}^2)R_{\scriptscriptstyle 11} + ([R_{\scriptscriptstyle 01}^2]R_{\scriptscriptstyle 10})R_{\scriptscriptstyle 01} \;. \end{split}$$

But we already know that $(R_{01}^2)R_{00} \subset R_{01}^2$, $(R_{01}^2)R_{11} \subset R_{01}^2$ and $(R_{01}^2R_{10}) \subset R_{01}$, so that $([R_{01}^2]R_{10})R_{01} \subset R_{01}^2$. Hence $([R_{01}^2]R_{01})R_{10} \subset R_{01}^2$. Since $R_{01}^2 \subset R_{00} + R_{10}$ follows from the table and $R_{11}R_{01} = 0$,

$$egin{aligned} ([R_{01}^2]R_{01})R_{01} &\subset ([R_{10}+R_{00}]R_{01})R_{01} &\subset (R_{11}+R_{01})R_{01} &\subset R_{01}^2 \ . \ ([R_{01}^2]R_{01})R_{00} &\subset (R_{11}+R_{01})R_{00} &= 0 \ . \ (R_{01}R_{10})R_{11} &\subset R_{00}R_{11} &= 0 \ . \ (R_{01}R_{10})R_{10} &\subset R_{00}R_{10} &= 0 \ . \ (R_{01}R_{10})R_{01} &\subset R_{00}R_{01} &\subset R_{01} \ . \end{aligned}$$

Because of (4), $(R_{01}R_{10})R_{00} \subset R_{01}(R_{10}R_{00} + R_{00}R_{10}) + (R_{01}R_{00})R_{10} \subset R_{01}R_{10}$. Thus we have proved that A is a right ideal of R. If A = 0, then $R_{01} = 0$. But then we may verify directly that $B = R_{11} + R_{10}$ is a right ideal, for $R_{11}^2 \subset R_{11}$, $R_{11}R_{10} \subset R_{10}$, $R_{11}R_{00} = 0$, $R_{10}R_{11} = 0$, $R_{10}R_{10} \subset R_{11}$, $R_{10}R_{00} \subset R_{10}$. As $1 - e \notin B$, then B = 0, and $R_{00} = R$. Since $e \notin R_{00}$, this leads to a

contradiction. Hence we cannot have A=0. But then A=R. We recall that $A=R_{01}+R_{01}^2+(R_{01}^2)R_{01}+R_{01}R_{10}$, while $R_{01}^2\subset R_{10}+R_{00}$,

$$(R_{\scriptscriptstyle 01}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2})R_{\scriptscriptstyle 01}$$
 \subset $(R_{\scriptscriptstyle 10}\,+\,R_{\scriptscriptstyle 00})R_{\scriptscriptstyle 01}$ \subset $R_{\scriptscriptstyle 11}\,+\,R_{\scriptscriptstyle 01}$, $R_{\scriptscriptstyle 01}R_{\scriptscriptstyle 10}$ \subset $R_{\scriptscriptstyle 00}$.

But because the Peirce decomposition is direct, we must have $R_{10} \subset R_{01}^2 + R_{00}$. And thus $R_{10}^2 \subset (R_{01}^2)R_{10} + R_{00}R_{10} \subset (R_{01}^2)R_{10}$. But as a result of (4), $(R_{01}^2)R_{10} \subset R_{01}(R_{01}R_{10} + R_{10}R_{01}) + (R_{01}R_{10})R_{01} \subset R_{01}R_{00} + R_{01}R_{11} + R_{00}R_{01} \subset R_{01}$. We have shown that $R_{10}^2 \subset R_{01}$. By reversing subscripts we also obtain $R_{01}^2 \subset R_{10}$. This completes the proof of the lemma.

LEMMA 11.
$$R_{01}Q_{11}R_{10} \subset Q_{00}$$
 and $R_{10}Q_{00}R_{01} \subset Q_{11}$.

Proof. Note that $(x_{01}q_{11}y_{10})^2 = -(x_{01}q_{11}y_{10}, x_{01}, q_{11}y_{10}) + ([x_{01}q_{11}y_{10}]x_{01})$ $(q_{11}y_{10})$. Using (3) with $a = x_{01}$, $b = q_{11}y_{10}$, $c = x_{01}$, we see that $([x_{01}q_{11}y_{10}]x_{01})$ $(q_{11}y_{10}) = x_{01}\{([q_{11}y_{10}]x_{01})(q_{11}y_{10})\}$. However, $([q_{11}y_{10}]x_{01})(q_{11}y_{10}) = (q_{11}, y_{10}, x_{01})$ $(q_{11}y_{10}) + (q_{11}[y_{10}x_{01}])(q_{11}y_{10})$. Since $q_{11}[y_{10}x_{01}] \in R_{11}$, and $(R_{11}, R_{11}, R_{10}) = 0$, we use Lemma 6 to obtain $(q_{11}[y_{10}x_{01}])(q_{11}y_{10}) = (q_{11}^2[y_{10}x_{01}])y_{10}$. Going back to an earlier equation, thus

$$([q_{\scriptscriptstyle 11}y_{\scriptscriptstyle 10}]x_{\scriptscriptstyle 01})(q_{\scriptscriptstyle 11}y_{\scriptscriptstyle 10})=(q_{\scriptscriptstyle 11},\,y_{\scriptscriptstyle 10},\,x_{\scriptscriptstyle 01})(q_{\scriptscriptstyle 11}y_{\scriptscriptstyle 10})+(q_{\scriptscriptstyle 11}^2[y_{\scriptscriptstyle 10}x_{\scriptscriptstyle 01}])y_{\scriptscriptstyle 10}$$
 ,

and hence

$$(x_{01}q_{11}y_{10})^2 = -(x_{01}q_{11}y_{10}, x_{01}, q_{11}y_{10}) \ + x_{01}\{(q_{11}, y_{10}, x_{01})(q_{11}y_{10})\} \ + x_{01}\{(q_{11}^2[y_{10}x_{01}])y_{10}\}.$$

We shall now establish that $x_{01}q_{11}y_{10} \in Q_{00}$, by induction on the degree of nilpotency of q_{11} . Start off by assuming $q_{11}^2 = 0$. Then (1), with $a = b = q_{11}, c = y_{10}, d = x_{01}$ yields $(q_{11}^2, y_{10}, x_{01}) + (q_{11}, q_{11}, y_{10}x_{01} - x_{01}y_{10}) = q_{11}(q_{11}, y_{10}, x_{01}) + (q_{11}, q_{11}, q_{11}, q_{11}, q_{11}) + (q_{11}, q_{11}, q_{11},$

Assume inductively that $x_{01}q_{11}y_{10} \in Q_{00}$ whenever the degree of nilpotency of q_{11} is k < n and let us then consider the case when q_{11} has degree of nilpotency n. As before, the proof that

$$-(x_{01}q_{11}y_{10}, x_{01}q_{11}, y_{10}) \in Q_{00}$$

goes over. Now $(q_{11}, y_{10}, x_{01}) \in R_{11}$ so that $(q_{11}, y_{10}, x_{01})q_{11} \in R_{11}$. Lemmas 3 and 6 imply that R_{11} is both associative and commutative so that $[(q_{11}, y_{10}, x_{01})q_{11}]^2 = (q_{11}, y_{10}, x_{01})^2q_{11}^2$. However, as a result of Lemma 8, we have $(q_{11}, y_{10}, x_{01})^2 = 0$, so that $(q_{11}, y_{10}, x_{01})q_{11} \in Q_{11}$ and its degree of nilpotency is 2. But then by the previous calculation, or for that matter by the induction hypothesis, it becomes clear that

$$x_{01}\{[(q_{11}, y_{10}, x_{01})q_{11}]y_{10}\} \in Q_{00}$$
.

Since $(R_{11}, R_{11}, R_{10}) = 0$ has already been established,

$$x_{\scriptscriptstyle 01} \{ (q_{\scriptscriptstyle 11},\, y_{\scriptscriptstyle 10},\, x_{\scriptscriptstyle 01}) (q_{\scriptscriptstyle 11} y_{\scriptscriptstyle 10}) \} \in Q_{\scriptscriptstyle 00}$$
 .

Finally, $[q_{11}^2(y_{10}x_{01})]^{[(n+1)/2]}=0$, and [(n+1)/2]< n in our situation, so that we may use the induction hypothesis to obtain that

$$x_{\scriptscriptstyle 01}\{(q_{\scriptscriptstyle 11}^{\scriptscriptstyle 2}[y_{\scriptscriptstyle 10}x_{\scriptscriptstyle 01}])y_{\scriptscriptstyle 10}\}\in Q_{\scriptscriptstyle 00}$$
 .

Now going back to (9) we see that $(x_{01}q_{11}y_{10})^2 \in Q_{00}$, since Q_{00} is closed under addition. But then $x_{01}q_{11}y_{10} \in Q_{00}$, as before and the induction is completed. This proves $R_{01}Q_{11}R_{10} \subset Q_{00}$. By reversing subscripts we obtain the second part. This completes the proof of the lemma.

LEMMA 12.
$$(Q_{11}R_{01})R_{01} \subset Q_{11}$$
 and $(Q_{00}R_{10})R_{10} \subset Q_{00}$.

Proof. Let $q_{11} \in Q_{11}$ and a_{01} , b_{01} , x_{01} , $y_{01} \in R_{01}$. Then because of (4), $(q_{11}a_{01})b_{01} = q_{11}(a_{01}b_{01} + b_{01}a_{01}) - (q_{11}b_{01})a_{01}$. But $q_{11}(a_{01}b_{01} + b_{01}a_{01}) \in R_{11}R_{00} = 0$. Thus

$$(q_{\scriptscriptstyle 11}a_{\scriptscriptstyle 01})b_{\scriptscriptstyle 01}=-(q_{\scriptscriptstyle 11}b_{\scriptscriptstyle 01})a_{\scriptscriptstyle 01}.$$

Now $([q_{11}x_{01}]y_{01})^2 = -([q_{11}x_{01}]y_{01}, q_{11}x_{01}, y_{01}) + (\{[q_{11}x_{01}]y_{01}\}\{q_{11}x_{01}\})y_{01}$. But as a result of Lemma 8, $-([q_{11}x_{01}]y_{01}, q_{11}x_{01}, y_{01}) \in (R_{11}, R_{10}, R_{01}) \subset Q_{11}$. Hence let $-([q_{11}x_{01}]y_{01}, q_{11}x_{01}, y_{01}) = q'_{11}$. On the other hand (3) implies that $(\{[q_{11}x_{01}]y_{01}\}\{q_{11}x_{01}\})y_{01} = (q_{11}x_{01})([y_{01}(q_{11}x_{01})]y_{01})$. But then apply (10) with $a_{01} = x_{01}, b_{01} = [y_{01}(q_{11}x_{01})]y_{01}$. Thus

$$(q_{11}x_{01})([y_{01}(q_{11}x_{01})]y_{01}) = -(q_{11}\{[y_{01}(q_{11}x_{01})]y_{01}\})x_{01}$$

= $(q_{11}, y_{01}(q_{11}x_{01}), y_{01})x_{01} = [(q_{11}, q_{11}x_{01}, y_{01})y_{01}]x_{01}$

using (2). Now $([q_{11}x_{01}]y_{01})^2 = q'_{11} + [(q_{11}, q_{11}x_{01}, y_{01})y_{01}]x_{01}$. Let

$$(q_{11}, q_{11}x_{01}, y_{01}) = t_{11}$$
.

Then as a result of Lemma 8, we have $t_{11}^2 = 0$, and

$$([q_{11}x_{01}]y_{01})^2 = q'_{11} + (t_{11}y_{01})x_{01}.$$

In (11), replace q_{11} by t_{11} . Then

$$([t_{11}x_{01}]y_{01})^2 = S'_{11} + [(t_{11}, t_{11}x_{01}, y_{01})y_{01}]x_{01}.$$

In an arbitrary ring one may verify the Teichmüller identity:

$$(wx, y, z) - (w, xy, z) + (w, x, yz) = w(x, y, z) + (w, x, y)z$$
.

Hence let $w=x=t_{11}, y=x_{01}, \text{ and } z=y_{01}.$ Then

$$(t_{11}^2, x_{01}, y_{01}) - (t_{11}, t_{11}x_{01}, y_{01}) + (t_{11}, t_{11}, x_{01}y_{01})$$

= $t_{11}(t_{11}, x_{01}, y_{01}) + (t_{11}, t_{11}, x_{01})y_{01}$.

Since $t_{11}^2 = 0$, the first term of the left hand side vanishes. Since $(t_{11}, t_{11}, x_{01}y_{01}) \subset (R_{11}, R_{11}, R_{00} + R_{10}) = 0$, the third term of the left hand side also vanishes. From (1) it follows that $(t_{11}^2, x_{01}, y_{01}) + (t_{11}, t_{11}, (x_{01}, y_{01})) = t_{11}(t_{11}, x_{01}, y_{01}) + (t_{11}, x_{01}, y_{01})t_{11}$. But $t_{11}^2 = 0$, while

$$(t_{\scriptscriptstyle 11},\,t_{\scriptscriptstyle 11},\,(x_{\scriptscriptstyle 01},\,y_{\scriptscriptstyle 01}))\in (R_{\scriptscriptstyle 11},\,R_{\scriptscriptstyle 11},\,R_{\scriptscriptstyle 00}\,+\,R_{\scriptscriptstyle 10})\,=\,0$$
 ,

so the left hand side of the last equation is zero. If we let $(t_{11}x_{01})y_{01}=a_{11}$, and $t_{11}(x_{01}y_{01})=b_{10}$, then $t_{11}(a_{11}-b_{10})+(a_{11}-b_{10})t_{11}=0$. But $t_{11}b_{10}=t_{11}(t_{11}[x_{01}y_{01}])=-(t_{11},t_{11},x_{01}y_{01})\in (R_{11},R_{11},R_{00}+R_{10})=0$, while $b_{10}t_{11}=0$, from the table. Thus $t_{11}a_{11}+a_{11}t_{11}=0$. Then from Lemma 6 we have $2t_{11}a_{11}=0$, so that $t_{11}a_{11}=0$. But then $t_{11}(t_{11},x_{01},y_{01})=t_{11}(a_{11}-b_{10})=0$. Thus what remains from the Teichmüller identity is $-(t_{11},t_{11}x_{01},y_{01})=(t_{11},t_{11},x_{01})y_{01}$. Substituting this into (12) we see that $([z_{11}x_{01}]y_{01})^2=S'_{11}-\{[(t_{11},t_{11},x_{01})y_{01}]y_{01}\}x_{01}=S'_{11}-\{(t_{11},t_{11},x_{01})y_{01}^2\}x_{01}$, as a result of the right alternative identity. But $y_{01}^2\in Q_{00}$, as a result of the table, while $(t_{11},t_{11},x_{01})=-(t_{11},x_{01},t_{11})\in R_{10}$. Thus $-\{(t_{11},t_{11},x_{01})y_{01}^2\}x_{01}\in R_{10}Q_{00}R_{01}$. But as a result of Lemma 11, $R_{10}Q_{00}R_{01}\subset Q_{11}$. Thus $([t_{11}x_{01}]y_{01})^2\in Q_{11}$. But then $(t_{11}x_{01})y_{01}\in Q_{11}$. Now we may go back to (11) and obtain $([a_{11}x_{01}]y_{01})^2\in Q_{11}$ and so $(a_{11}x_{01})y_{01}\in Q_{11}$. We have shown that

$$(Q_{\scriptscriptstyle 11}R_{\scriptscriptstyle 01})R_{\scriptscriptstyle 01}\subset Q_{\scriptscriptstyle 11}$$
 .

By reversing subscripts we obtain $(Q_{00}R_{10})R_{10} \subset Q_{00}$. This completes the proof of the lemma.

LEMMA 13. $S=Q_{11}+R_{01}Q_{11}+Q_{11}R_{10}+Q_{11}R_{01}+Q_{00}+R_{10}Q_{00}+Q_{00}R_{01}+Q_{00}R_{10},\ is\ a\ right\ ideal\ of\ R.$

Proof. We observe that Q, as defined in Lemma 9, has six of the eight terms appearing in S. Indeed we can extract the following inclusions directly from the proof of Lemma 9.

$$egin{aligned} Q_{11}R_{11} \subset Q_{11}, \, Q_{11}R_{00} &= 0, \, (R_{01}Q_{11})R_{11} \subset R_{01}Q_{11} \;, \ (R_{01}Q_{11})R_{01} \subset Q_{11}R_{01} + Q_{11}R_{10} + R_{01}Q_{11}R_{10} + Q_{00}, \, (R_{01}Q_{11})R_{00} &= 0 \;, \ (Q_{11}R_{10})R_{11} &= 0, \, (Q_{11}R_{10})R_{10} \subset Q_{11} + R_{01}Q_{11} \;, \ (Q_{11}R_{10})R_{01} \subset Q_{11}, \, (Q_{11}R_{10})R_{00} \subset Q_{11}R_{10} + Q_{11}R_{01} \;. \end{aligned}$$

Also because of Lemma 11, $R_{01}Q_{11}R_{10} \subset Q_{00}$, so that in fact

$$(R_{\scriptscriptstyle 01}Q_{\scriptscriptstyle 11})R_{\scriptscriptstyle 01} \subset Q_{\scriptscriptstyle 11}R_{\scriptscriptstyle 01} + Q_{\scriptscriptstyle 11}R_{\scriptscriptstyle 10} + Q_{\scriptscriptstyle 00} \subset S$$
 .

Besides, $Q_{11}R_{10} \subset S$, and $Q_{11}R_{01} \subset S$. Thus we have proved that

$$(Q_{\scriptscriptstyle 11} + R_{\scriptscriptstyle 01}Q_{\scriptscriptstyle 11} + Q_{\scriptscriptstyle 11}R_{\scriptscriptstyle 10})R \subset S$$
 .

Then $(Q_{11}R_{01})R_{11} \subset R_{10}R_{11} = 0$, because of the table. $(Q_{11}R_{01})R_{10} \subset Q_{11}$, as a consequence of Lemma 8. As a result of Lemma 12, $(Q_{11}R_{01})R_{01} \subset Q_{11}$. Using (4) and the table,

$$(Q_{11}R_{01})R_{00} \subset Q_{11}(R_{01}R_{00} + R_{00}R_{01}) + (Q_{11}R_{00})R_{01} \subset Q_{11}R_{01} \subset S$$
 .

This completes half of the required number of inclusions. The remaining ones follow by reversing subscripts. This completes the proof of the lemma.

Corollary. S=0.

Proof. Assume $S \neq 0$. Then it follows from the lemma that S = R. But then from the directness of the Peirce decomposition we must have $Q_{11} = R_{11}$. Since $e \notin Q_{11}$, while $e \in R_{11}$, we have reached a contradiction. Hence, S = 0.

LEMMA 14. Q=0.

Proof. Suppose $Q \neq 0$. Then as a result of Lemma 9, Q = R. Since the corollary to Lemma 13 gives us S = 0, looking at Lemma 9 we see that $R = R_{11}R_{01} + (R_{11}R_{01})R_{01} + R_{00}R_{10} + (R_{00}R_{10})R_{10}$. Since the Peirce decomposition is direct, then $R_{11}R_{01} = R_{10}$. But from this it follows that $R_{10}R_{10} \subset (R_{11}R_{01})R_{10} \subset Q_{11}$, as a result of Lemma 8. But $Q_{11} \subset S = 0$, hence $R_{10}R_{10} = 0$. At this point form $U = R_{11} + R_{10}$. Then it follows from the table that $R_{11}R_{11} \subset R_{11}$, $R_{11}R_{10} \subset R_{10}$, $R_{11}R_{01} \subset R_{10}$, $R_{11}R_{01} \subset R_{10}$, $R_{11}R_{01} \subset R_{10}$, as othat $Q_{11} \subset Q_{11} \subset Q_{11}$, then $Q_{11} \subset Q_{11} \subset Q_{11}$, so that $Q_{11} \subset Q_{11} \subset Q_{11}$, then $Q_{11} \subset Q_{11} \subset Q_{11}$, we would have $Q_{11} \subset Q_{11} \subset Q_{11} \subset Q_{11}$, then $Q_{11} \subset Q_{11} \subset Q_{11} \subset Q_{11}$, then $Q_{11} \subset Q_{11} \subset Q_{11} \subset Q_{11}$, we would have $Q_{11} \subset Q_{11} \subset Q_{11} \subset Q_{11}$, then $Q_{11} \subset Q_{11} \subset Q_{11} \subset Q_{11}$, then $Q_{11} \subset Q_{11} \subset Q_{11} \subset Q_{11}$, we would have $Q_{11} \subset Q_{11} \subset Q_{11} \subset Q_{11}$. The contradiction was brought about by supposing $Q_{11} \subset Q_{11} \subset Q_{11} \subset Q_{11}$. This completes the proof of the lemma.

We are now ready to state and prove our main result.

THEOREM. Let R be a right alternative ring without proper right ideals, of characteristic not two. Suppose that $e, 1 \in R$, where e is an idempotent other than 1, such that (e, e, R) = 0. Then R must be alternative, hence a Cayley vector matrix algebra of dimension eight over its center.

Proof. Combining Lemmas 14 and 10, it follows that the table must be the same as that for an alternative ring and that R_{00} and R_{11} have no nilpotent elements. Then it follows from the main theorem of [4] that R must be alternative. However, the reader can get by with proving only Lemmas 14, 15, and 17 of that paper, since Lemma 16 coincides with our Lemma 7. Once R is alternative, the main result of [2] makes R either associative or a Cayley vector matrix algebra. But R cannot be associative, for having an identity element and no proper right ideals force R to be a division ring, which in turn could not have an idempotent $e \neq 1$. This completes the proof of the theorem.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- 1. A. A. Albert, On right alternative algebras, Ann, of Math. 50 (1949), 318-328.
- 2. _____, On simple alternative rings, Canad. J. Math. 4 (1952), 129-135.
- 3. _____, The structure of right alternative algebras, Ann. of Math. 59 (1954), 408-417.
- 4. M. M. Humm On a class of right alternative rings without nilpotent ideals, J. Algebra 5 (1967), 164-174.
- 5. Erwin Kleinfeld, Right alternative rings, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 4 (1953), 939-944.
- 6. _____ On a class of right alternative rings, Math. Zeit. 87 (1965), 12-16.
- 7. Carl Maneri, Simple (-1,1) rings with an idempotent, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **14** (1963), 110-117.
- 8. R. L. San Soucie, Right alternative division rings of characteristic 2, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 6 (1955), 291-296.
- 9. L. A. Skornyakov, *Right alternative fields*, Izvestia Akad. Nauk SSSR. Ser. Mat. **15** (1951), 177-184.

Received November 1, 1968. This work was supported in part by a grant from U.S. Army Research (Durham).

University of Hawaii and University of Iowa

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

H. ROYDEN

Stanford University Stanford, California J. Dugundji

Department of Mathematics University of Southern California

Los Angeles, California 90007

RICHARD PIERCE

University of Washington Seattle, Washington 98105

BASIL GORDON

University of California Los Angeles, California 90024

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. Wolf

K. Yoshida

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF OREGON OSAKA UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF UTAH WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY CHEVRON RESEARCH CORPORATION TRW SYSTEMS

NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

Printed in Japan by International Academic Printing Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 31, No. 1 November, 1969

James Burton Ax, Injective endomorphisms of varieties and schemes	1
Richard Hindman Bouldin, A generalization of the Weinstein-Aronszajn	
formula	9
John Martin Chadam, The asymptotic behavior of the Klein-Gordon equation with external potential. II	19
Rina Hadass, On the zeros of the solutions of the differential equation	
$y^{(n)}(z) + p(z) = 0$	33
John Sollion Hsia, Integral equivalence of vectors over local modular lattices. II	47
Robert Hughes, Boundary behavior of random valued heat polynomial	
expansions	61
Surender Kumar Jain, Saad H. Mohamed and Surjeet Singh, <i>Rings in which</i>	
every right ideal is quasi-injective	73
T. Kawata, On the inversion formula for the characteristic function	81
Erwin Kleinfeld, On right alternative rings without proper right ideals	87
Robert Leroy Kruse and David Thomas Price, On the subring structure of	
finite nilpotent rings	103
Marvin David Marcus and Stephen J. Pierce, Symmetric positive definite	
multilinear functionals with a given automorphism	119
William Schumacher Massey, Pontryagin squares in the Thom space of a	
bundle	133
William Schumacher Massey, Proof of a conjecture of Whitney	143
John William Neuberger, Existence of a spectrum for nonlinear	
transformations	157
Stephen E. Newman, Measure algebras on idempotent semigroups	161
K. Chandrasekhara Rao, <i>Matrix transformations of some sequence</i>	
spaces	171
Robert Bruce Schneider, Some theorems in Fourier analysis on symmetric	175
sets	175
Ulrich F. K. Schoenwaelder, Centralizers of abelian, normal subgroups of	107
hypercyclic groups	197
Jerrold Norman Siegel, G-spaces, H-spaces and W-spaces	209
Robert Irving Soare, Cohesive sets and recursively enumerable Dedekind cuts	215
Kwok-Wai Tam, Isometries of certain function spaces	233
Awadhesh Kumar Tiwary, Injective hulls of semi-simple modules over regular	
rings	247
Eldon Jon Vought, Concerning continua not separated by any	
nonaposyndetic subcontinuum	257
Robert Breckenridge Warfield, Jr., Decompositions of injective modules	263