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Let $X$ be a discrete symmetric Banach function space with absolutely continuous norm. We prove by the method of generalized hermitian operator that an operator $U$ on $X$ is an onto isometry if and only if it is of the form:

$$Uf(.) = u(.)f(T.)$$

all $f \in X$,

where $u$ is a unimodular function and $T$ is a set isomorphism of the underlying measure space. That other types of isometries occur if the symmetry condition is not present is illustrated by an example. We completely describe the isometries of a reflexive Orlicz space $L_{\Phi}(\sim L_{1})$ provided the atoms have equal mass (the atom-free case has been treated by G. Lumer); similarly for the case that no Hilbert subspace occurs.

We shall reproduce some definitions and results from [4] which will be needed in the sequel.

**DEFINITION.** Let $X$ be a vector space. A semi-inner-product on $X$ is a mapping $[ , ]$ of $X \times X$ into the field of numbers (real or complex) such that

$$[x + y, z] = [x, z] + [y, z]$$

$$\lambda [x, z] = [\lambda x, z]$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$ and $\lambda$ scaler.

$$[x, x] > 0 \text{ for all } x \neq 0$$

$$| [x, y]|^2 \leq [x, x][y, y].$$

We call $X$ a semi-inner-product space (in short, s.i.p.s.). If $X$ is a s.i.p.s., one shows easily that $[x, x]^1/2$ is a norm on $X$. On the other hand, let $X$ be a normed space and $X^*$ its dual. For each $x \in X$, there exists by the Hahn-Banach theorem, at least one (and we shall choose one) functional $Wx \in X^*$ such that $<x, Wx> = ||x||^2$. Given any such mapping $W$ from $X$ into $X^*$ (ank in general, there are infinitely many such mappings), it is at once verified that $[x, y] = <x, Wy>$ defines a semi-inner-product (s.i.p.).

**DEFINITION.** Given a linear transformation $T$ on a s.i.p.s., we call the set $W(T) = \{[Tx, x]: [x, x] = 1\}$ the numerical range of $T$.

An important fact concerning the notion of numerical range is the following [4, Th. 14]:

Let $X$ be a complex Banach space, and $T$ an operator on $X$. 
Although there may be many different s.i.p. consistent with the original norm of \( X \), in the sense that \([x, x] = ||x||^2\), nonetheless, the convex hulls of numerical range of \( T \) relative to all such s.i.p. are equal. It has real numerical range with respect to one s.i.p., then it has real numerical range with respect to any other s.i.p. inducing the same norm.

**Definition.** Let \( T \) be an operator on a complex Banach space \( X \), then \( T \) is called hermitian if its numerical range is real, relative to any s.i.p. consistent with the norm.

1. A general setting. We shall call an algebra \( A \) over the complex field \( C \) a \(*\)-algebra if there is a mapping \( * \) defined on \( A \) satisfying:
   (i) \( a \in A \implies a^* \in A \).
   (ii) \((a + b)^* = a^* + b^* \) and \((\lambda a)^* = \overline{\lambda} a^* \).
   (iii) \((a^*)^* = a \) and \((ab)^* = b^* a^* \) for all \( a, b \in A \) and \( \lambda \in C \).

An element \( a \) of a \(*\)-algebra can be written in a unique way: \( a = u + iv \) where \( u \) and \( v \) are s.a. \(*\)-algebra-isomorphism \( \rho \) is an algebra isomorphism on a \(*\)-algebra \( A \) with the condition that \((\rho(a))^* = \rho(a^*)\) for all \( a \) in \( A \).

Let \( X \) be a complex s.i.p.s. and \( A \) be a \(*\)-algebra with a topology. Assume that \( X \) is a two-sided module over \( A \). Suppose that there is a net \( \{e_a\} \) in \( A \) such that \( \lim_{\alpha} f e_a = f \) for all \( f \) in \( X \). For a \(*\)-subalgebra \( A_0 \) of \( A \) such that \( A_0 \) is a subset of \( X \), and \( \{e_a\} \) is contained in \( A_0 \), the following holds:

**Theorem 1.** Suppose that for any s.a. \( h \) in \( A \), \( H_h f = hf \) for all \( f \) in \( X \) defines a bounded hermitian operator on \( X \); and that conversely every bounded hermitian operator is of this form. Then any onto isometry \( U \) of \( X \) when restricted to \( A_0 \) is given by
\[
Uf = \lim_{\alpha} \rho(f) U e_a
\]
where \( \rho \) is a \(*\)-algebra-isomorphism on \( A \).

**Proof.** Let \( h \) in \( A \) be s.a., then \( H_h \) is a bounded hermitian operator on \( X \). On the other hand, let a s.i.p. \([,]\) on \( X \) be given, then \([f, g]' = [U^{-1} f, U^{-1} g]\) defines another s.i.p. on \( X \) inducing the same norm. It follows that
\[
[\text{U}H_h U^{-1} f, f]' = [H_h U^{-1} f, U^{-1} f] \text{ is real for all } f .
\]
Thus \( UH_h U^{-1} \) is another hermitian operator on \( X \), and by hypothesis there is a s.a. \( \hat{h} \) in \( A \) such that
Clearly the mapping \( h \rightarrow \hat{h} \) is linear. If \( \hat{h} = 0 \), then for all \( f \in X \), 
\( UH_{\hat{h}}U^{-1}f = 0 \); in particular \( UH_{\hat{h}}U^{-1}Ue_\alpha = U(he_\alpha) = 0 \). Since \( U \) is one to one, \( he_\alpha = 0 \) and \( \lim_\alpha he_\alpha = h = 0 \). Hence this mapping is one to one. We shall set \( \rho(h) = \hat{h} \). With s.a. \( h \) and \( h' \) in \( A \),
\[
H_{\rho(hh')} = UH_{\hat{hh'}}U^{-1} = UH_{\hat{h}}U^{-1}UH_{\hat{h}}U^{-1} = H_{\rho(h)}H_{\rho(h')}.
\]
Thus \( \rho(hh') = \rho(h)\rho(h') \). Extending \( \rho \) on \( A \) trivially by letting
\[
\rho(h + ih') = \rho(h) + i\rho(h'),
\]
it can easily be shown that \( \rho \) is a \(*\)-algebra-isomorphism on \( A \). For all \( f \) in \( A_\alpha \), \( U(fe_\alpha) = UfU^{-1}Ue_\alpha = \rho(f)Ue_\alpha \), so that
\[
Uf = \lim_\alpha \rho(f)Ue_\alpha.
\]

2. Function spaces. Let \( X \) be a Banach function space with absolutely continuous norm \([6]\) over a \( \sigma \)-finite measure space \((\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)\).

**Lemma 1.** Assume that \( \omega \) is a measurable subset of \( \Omega \) and let \( P \) be the projection of \( X \) onto the subspace \( E \) of functions in \( X \) vanishing outside \( \omega \). Then for any hermitian operator \( H \) on \( X \), \( PHP \) is a hermitian operator on \( E \).

**Proof.** Since \( X \) has absolutely continuous norm \( X^* = X' \), the associated space of \( X \). Let \( W \) be a mapping as before. Then a consistent s.i.p. on \( X \) is given by: with each \( g \in X \),
\[
[f, g] = \langle f, Wg \rangle = \int fWg \quad \text{for all } f \in X.
\]
Without loss of generality we can take \( Wg \) to be \( \chi Wg \) if \( g \in E \) where \( \chi \) is the characteristic function of \( \omega \). Then for all \( g \in E \) such that \( \|g\| = 1 \), we obtain
\[
[Hg, g] = \int Hg\chi Wg = \int \chi Hg\chi Wg = [(PHP)g, g]
\]
which is real valued. Thus \( PHP \) is hermitian on \( E \).

**Lemma 2.** [5, Lemma 7]. If \( h \in L_\infty \) is a real function, the operator \( H_h \), defined by \( H_h f = hf \) for all \( f \in X \), is a bounded hermitian operator on \( X \); and \( \|H_h\| = \|h\|_\infty \).

We shall use the following fact several times later.
LEMMA 3. For $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ complex numbers such that $e^{i\theta}\alpha + e^{-i\theta}\beta + \gamma$ is real for all $0 \leq \theta < 2\pi$, then $\alpha = \bar{\beta}$ and $\gamma$ is real.

Let $E$ be a two-dimensional Banach space. Denote the element $f$ of $E$ as a function defined on the set $\Omega = \{x, y\}$. We shall assume that the norm in $E$ has the following properties:

1. $\|f\| = \|f\|$. 
2. $|f| \leq |g|$ implies that $\|f\| \leq \|g\|$ with all $f, g \in E$.

The real functions in $E$ can be considered as points in the two-dimensional Euclidean plane; let $\gamma$ be the convex curve of the boundary of its real unit ball. At each point $p \in \gamma$ there is a supporting hyperplane, and suppose that the normal vector at $p$ to the hyperplane is given by $(\alpha, \beta)$. We shall define $\text{sgn} \ g$ as the function

$$\text{sgn} \ g = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } g = 0 \\ \frac{|g|}{g} & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases}$$

LEMMA 4. For any nonzero $g \in E$

$$[f, g] = \|g\| A(g)\{f(x) \text{sgn} \ g(x)\alpha(g) + f(y) \text{sgn} \ g(y)\beta(g)\}$$

where

$$A(g) = \left\{ \frac{|g(x)| \alpha(g) + |g(y)| \beta(g)}{\|g\|} \right\}^{-1} \text{ and } (\alpha(g), \beta(g))$$

is a normal vector at $(|g(x)|/\|g\|, |g(y)|/\|g\|)$ for all $f \in E$, defines a consistent s.i.p. on $E$.

Proof. Clearly it is linear in $f$ and $[g, g] = \|g\|^2$. First we assume that $f$ and $g$ are real valued. The fact that $\|g\| = \|g\|$ implies that the curve $\gamma$ is symmetric with respect to both axes. The function $A(g)\{s\alpha(g) + t\beta(g)\}$ has absolute value no greater than one on the region between the two lines $L_1$ and $L_2$ where they are two chosen supporting hyperplanes at $(|g(x)|/\|g\|, |g(y)|/\|g\|)$ and $(-|g(x)|/\|g\|, -|g(y)|/\|g\|)$ with normal vectors $(\alpha(g), \beta(g))$ and $(-\alpha(g), -\beta(g))$ respectively. So that $A(g) \|g\| \{s\alpha(g) + t\beta(g)\} \leq \|g\|$ for all $(s, t) \in \gamma$. For all nonzero $f \in E, (|f(x)|/\|f\|, |f(y)|/\|f\|) \in \gamma$, we obtain

$$A(g) \|g\| \{f(x) \text{sgn} \ g(x)\alpha(g) + f(y) \text{sgn} \ g(y)\beta(g)\} \leq \|f\| \|g\|.$$ 

Now in the above inequality, only the absolute values are involved, it holds for all complex functions $f$ and $g$ as well.
Let $X_n$ be a $n$-dimensional real Banach space ($n \geq 2$) and $S$ its unit ball. We shall fix a basis for $X_n$ and denote every element $x$ as a point in the $n$-dimensional Euclidean space $E_n$. Define a function $F$ on $E_n$ as $F(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n) = \| (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n) \| - 1$. For each $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$, let $e^i = (0, \ldots, 0, 1, 0, \ldots, 0)$ ($1$ at the $i$-th position).

**Lemma 5.** Let $S'$ be an open set of $E_n$ consisting of smooth points of $X_n$, then the function $F$ has continuous first partial derivatives at every point of $S'$.

**Proof.** If $x$ is a point of $S'$, then the norm function is Gateaux differentiable at $x$ [7]. Therefore with $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$

$$\lim_{t \to 0} \frac{\| x + te^i \| - \| x \|}{t} = \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i}(x).$$

Suppose $W$ is as before, then from [5, Lemma 1]

$$\| x \| \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i}(x) = \langle e^i, Wx \rangle = [e^i, x].$$

Since the norm topology of $X_n$ and that of $E_n$ are equivalent, the weak star compactness of the unit ball of $X_n^*$ and the smoothness of $S'$ implies that this mapping $W$ is weak star continuous on $S'$. Thus $\frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i}(x)$ is continuous on $S'$.

**Lemma 6.** Let $H$ be a hermitian operator on $E$ and

$$H = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}.$$  

Then either $b = c = 0$ or else $b/c > 0$ and $E$ is a Hilbert space; in either case $a$ and $d$ are real numbers.

**Proof.** We shall start by proving that the set $S' = \{ (s, t): s \neq 0 \neq t \}$ consists of smooth points if $b$ and $c$ are not both zero. For $0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi$, let $f = (e^{i\theta}s, t)$ be such that $(s, t) \in S'$ and $\| f \| = 1$, then by Lemma 4 $[Hf, f] = A(f)(as \alpha + dt \beta + e^{-i\theta}bt \alpha + e^{i\theta}cs \beta)$ is real, where $(\alpha, \beta)$ is the normal vector of a supporting hyperplane to the real unit ball $S$ at $(s, t)$. We have by Lemma 3 that

$$bt \alpha - \overline{c}s \beta = 0.$$  

We assume that $c \neq 0$. If $b = 0$ then $\beta = 0$ for all such $f$ and $\gamma$ is a rectangle. As $\beta = 0$ cannot occur on all four sides of a rectangle, $b$ and $c$ are not zero. $(\alpha, \beta)$ is uniquely determined up to a scalar multiple. Therefore the hyperplane is unique and every point of $S'$
is smooth. Now for \( b \) and \( c \) being nonzero, the function \( F(s, t) = \| (s, t) \| - 1 \) is differentiable at \( (s, t) \in S' \). The hyperplane is thus given by the tangent plane. So that for all \( g \in E \) such that \( g(x) \neq 0 \neq g(y) \), the linear functional in Lemma 4 can be replaced by

\[
[f, g] = A(g) \| g \| \left\{ f(x) \text{sgn } g(x) \frac{\partial F}{\partial s} + f(y) \text{sgn } g(y) \frac{\partial F}{\partial t} \right\}
\]

and we obtain the equation

\[
\frac{bt}{c} \frac{\partial F}{\partial s} - s \frac{\partial F}{\partial t} = 0.
\]

Now \( (b/c)t^2 + s^2 \) satisfies the partial differential equation. By the uniqueness of solution, the curve \( \gamma \) is given by the equation \( s^2 + (b/c)t^2 = K \). Since the unit ball is bounded, \( b/c \) and \( K \) must be positive. Then an inner-product on \( E \) can be defined by

\[
(f, g) = \frac{f(x)g(x)}{K} + \frac{bf(y)g(y)}{cK}.
\]

Thus \( E \) is a Hilbert space.

For nonzero \( g \in E \) such that \( g(y) = 0 \), by Lemma 4 \([f, g] = \| g \|^2 f(x)/g(x)\) for all \( f \) in \( E \). As \([Hg, g] = a \| g \|^2\) is real, \( a \) is real; similarly \( d \) is real.

3. Discrete symmetric Banach function spaces. Let \( X \) be a Banach function space with absolutely continuous norm and the measure is purely atomic; so that \( X \) is a sequence space. Assume that \( X \) is symmetric, i.e., if \( f \) in \( X \) and \( \phi \) is an isomorphism of the atoms, then \( \| f \| = \| f(\phi) \| \). Choose the set of all characteristic functions of atoms to be a fixed basis for \( X \). Let \( H \) be a hermitian operator on \( X \) and be represented as an infinite matrix \((a_{ij})\), then Lemmas 1 and 6 imply that \( a_{ij} = \bar{a}_{ji} \).

**Lemma 7.** If there is a hermitian operator \( H \) on \( X \) such that its matrix representation is not diagonal, then there is a hermitian operator \( H' \) on \( X \) with all nonzero off diagonal entries.

**Proof.** We write \( H = (a_{ij}) \). Assume that without loss of generality that \( a_{i2} \neq 0 \); then \( a_{21} \neq 0 \). Suppose that \( i \) is the smallest positive integer such that \( a_{ii} = 0 \). Define \( U_1 \) on \( X \) as operator obtained from the identity \( I \) by interchanging its 2nd and \( i \)-th row. Then \( U_1 \) is isometric and \( H_1 = U_1HU_1 \) is hermitian. Choose \( a_1 > 0 \) such that \( \| a_iH_i \| \leq 1/2 \) and the matrix entries of \( a_{ij} \) of \( H + a_iH_i \) are nonzero for all \( 2 \leq j \leq i \). Assume that this has been done for \( i \) steps and
let $i_{n+1}$ be the smallest integer greater than $i_n$ such that $a_i i_{n+1} = 0$. Again let $H_{n+1} = U_{n+1} H_{n+1} U_{n+1}$ where $U_{n+1}$ is the isometric operator obtained from $I$ by interchanging the 2nd and $i_{n+1}$th row. Take $\alpha_{n+1} > 0$ with $||\alpha_{n+1} H_{n+1}|| \leq 1/2^{n+1}$ and the matrix entries $a_{ij}$ of $H + \sum_{k \leq n+1} \alpha_k H_k$ are not zero for $j = 2, \ldots, i_{n+1}$. Then the operator $G_1 = H + \sum_{k \geq 1} \alpha_k H_k$ is a bounded hermitian on $X$. Its entries $a_{ij} \neq 0$ for all $j \geq 2$. With $i = 2, 3, \ldots$ let $V_i$ be the operator by interchanging first and $i$-th row of $I$. Then $G_i = V_i G_1 V_i$ is hermitian and its entries $a_{ij} \neq 0$ for $j = 1, 2, \ldots, i - 1, i + 1, \ldots$. Choose a sequence $\{\beta_i \}$ of positive numbers such that $\sum_{i \leq j} < \infty$ and for each $k = 2, 3, \ldots$ the first $k$ rows of $\sum_{i \leq j \leq k} \beta_j G_j$ are not zero except may be at the $(j, j)$ position. Then $H' = \sum \beta_j G_j$ is the required hermitian operator.

Let $X_n = \{f \in X : f(k) = 0 \text{ all } k > n\}$. Suppose that $S$ is the real unit ball in $X_n$ as represented in the $n$-dimensional Euclidean space $E_n$ and $\gamma$ its boundary. For $\alpha \in \gamma$ there exists at least one supporting hyperplane to $S$ at $\alpha$ with a normal vector $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_n)$.

**Lemma 8.** For nonzero $g \in X_n$,

$$[f, g] = A(g) \| g \| \left\{ \sum_{1 \leq j \leq n} f(j) \operatorname{sgn} g(j) \alpha_j \right\} \quad \text{all } f \in X_n,$$

where $A(g) = (\sum_{j=1}^n |g(j)|/|g| \alpha_j)^{-1}$ and $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_n)$ is the normal vector to a hyperplane at $\left( \frac{|g(1)|}{\|g\|}, \frac{|g(2)|}{\|g\|}, \ldots, \frac{|g(n)|}{\|g\|} \right)$, defines a consistent s.i.p. on $X_n$.

The proof is similar to that as in Lemma 4.

**Lemma 9.** If there is a $H'$ as in Lemma 7, then the set $S' = \{f \in X_n : f(k) \neq 0 \text{ all } k \}$ consists of smooth points.

**Proof.** Let $(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n) \in S'$ and $k = 1, 2, \ldots, n - 1$, $g_k = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, e^{i\theta} x_k, \ldots, x_n)$ in $X_n$ is of unit norm where $0 \leq \theta < 2\pi$. The restriction of $H'$ to $X_n$, $H_n = (a_{ij})_{i,j=1,2,\ldots,n}$ is hermitian by Lemma 1 and

$$[H_n g_k, g_k] = A(g_k) \{(a_{11} x_1 + \cdots + e^{i\theta} a_{1k} x_k + \cdots + a_{1n} x_n) \alpha_1 + \cdots + e^{-i\theta} (a_{k1} x_1 + \cdots + e^{i\theta} a_{kk} x_k + \cdots + a_{kn} x_n) \alpha_k + \cdots$$

$$+ (a_{n1} x_1 + \cdots + e^{i\theta} a_{nk} x_k + \cdots + a_{nn} x_n) \alpha_n \}$$

$$= A(g_k) \{(e^{i\theta} (a_{1k} x_k \alpha_1 + \cdots + a_{k-1,k} x_k \alpha_k + a_{k+1,k} x_k \alpha_{k+1} + \cdots$$

$$+ a_{nk} x_k \alpha_n) + e^{-i\theta} (a_{k1} x_1 + \cdots + a_{k-1,k} x_k \alpha_{k-1}$$

$$+ a_{kk+1} x_{k+1} + \cdots + a_{kn} x_n) \alpha_k + \cdots \}$$
is real valued. By Lemma 3 we obtain the system of equations:

\[(1) \quad \left( \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{kj}^2 \right) \alpha_k - \sum_{j=1}^{n} \bar{a}_{jk} x_k \alpha_j = 0\]

for \(k = 1, 2, \cdots, n - 1\).

For every real number \(\beta\), let \(U\) be a diagonal matrix whose first diagonal element is \(e^{-i\beta}\) and the rest is one. In place of \(H'\) we substitute \(UH'U^{-1}\). Then the resulting matrix elements are changed only for the first row and first column; and the subsequent form of equations (1) are:

\[
\left( \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{jf} e^{-i\beta} x_j \right) \alpha_1 - \sum_{j=1}^{n} \bar{a}_{jf} e^{-i\beta} x_j \alpha_j = 0
\]

\[
\left( a_{k1} e^{i\beta} x_1 + \sum_{j=2}^{n} a_{kj} x_j \right) \alpha_k - \left( \bar{a}_{k1} e^{i\beta} x_1 + \sum_{j=2}^{n} \bar{a}_{kj} x_j \alpha_j \right) = 0
\]

for \(k = 2, 3, \cdots, n - 1\). With any fixed \((x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n)\) where \(x_j \neq 0, j = 1, 2, \cdots, n\), we shall show that this system is linearly independent for some \(\beta\); equivalently we show that the following matrix is rank \(n - 1\):

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\sum_{j=2}^{n} a_{1j} e^{-i\beta} x_j & -\bar{a}_{21} e^{-i\beta} x_1 & \cdots & -\bar{a}_{k1} e^{-i\beta} x_1 & \cdots & -\bar{a}_{n1} e^{-i\beta} x_1 \\
-\bar{a}_{12} e^{i\beta} x_2 & a_{21} e^{i\beta} x_1 + \sum_{j=3}^{n} a_{2j} x_j & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\
-\bar{a}_{1k} e^{i\beta} x_k & \cdots & a_{k1} e^{i\beta} x_1 + \sum_{j=2}^{n} a_{kj} x_j & \cdots & -\bar{a}_{k2} x_2 & \cdots \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\
-\bar{a}_{1n-1} e^{i\beta} x_{n-1} & \cdots & -\bar{a}_{n-1,1} x_n & \cdots & \cdots & -\bar{a}_{n1} e^{i\beta} x_1
\end{bmatrix}
\]

If we take the first \(n - 1\) columns, we obtain a square matrix and its determinant is a polynomial \(P(e^{i\beta})\) of degree \(n - 2\). The coefficient of the \(e^{i(n-2)\beta}\) term is obtained by finding the determinant of the following matrix:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
\sum_{j=2}^{n} a_{1j} x_j & -\bar{a}_{21} x_1 & \cdots & -\bar{a}_{k1} x_1 & \cdots & -\bar{a}_{n1} x_1 \\
-\bar{a}_{12} e^{i\beta} x_2 & a_{21} e^{i\beta} x_1 & & & & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\
-\bar{a}_{1k} e^{i\beta} x_k & \cdots & a_{k1} e^{i\beta} x_1 & & & \cdots \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\
-\bar{a}_{1n-1} x_{n-1} & \cdots & -\bar{a}_{n-1,1} x_n & \cdots & \cdots & a_{n1} e^{i\beta} x_1
\end{bmatrix}
\]

For \(k = 2, 3, \cdots, n - 1\), we add \(\bar{a}_{k1} e^{-i\beta}/a_{k1}\) multiple of \(k\)-th row to the first row. We obtain by the condition that \(a_{1k} = \bar{a}_{k1}\) a matrix of non-
zero diagonal elements and whose entries above diagonal are zero. Thus the polynomial $P$ is not identically zero and the original matrix has rank $n - 1$ for some $\beta$. Thus we may assume that the system (1) is linearly independent. This implies that the normal vector $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \cdots, \alpha_n)$ is uniquely determined up to a multiple of constant. The proof is complete.

**Theorem 2.** Suppose that $H$ is a hermitian operator on $X$, then either there is real valued function $h \in l_\infty$ such that

$$Hf = hf \quad \text{for all } f \in X$$

and $\|H\| = \|h\|_\infty$ or else $X$ is a Hilbert space. Conversely for every real valued function $h \in l_\infty$ the above formula defines a hermitian operator on $X$.

**Proof.** The converse is the content of Lemma 2. Assume that there is a hermitian operator $H$ on $X$ which is not diagonal, then Lemmas 7 and 9 imply that the function $F'$ defined on $E_n$, given by $F'(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n) = \|(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n)\| - 1$, is differentiable at points of $S'$. So that the supporting hyperplane at $g \in S'$ is given by tangent place and the system (1) can be replaced by

$$\sum_{j=1 \neq k}^n a_{kj} x_j \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_k} - \sum_{j=1}^n \bar{a}_{jk} x_k \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_j} = 0$$

$k = 1, 2, \cdots, n - 1$. Observe that the function $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2$ satisfies this system. Let $x' = (x_1', x_2', \cdots, x_n')$ be a point on the unit ball and $\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i')^2 = K$ for some $K > 0$. For all other $x \in S'$ which is on this sphere we have

$$F(x) = F(x') + \int_\gamma \text{grad } F = \int_\gamma \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial F}{\partial x_i} \frac{dx_i}{ds} ds$$

where $T = (dx_1/ds, dx_2/ds, \cdots, dx_n/ds)$ is the unit tangent vector. If $F(x) \neq 0$, since grad $F$, $T$ is continous, then there is a $s_0$ such that $x(s_0) \in \Gamma$ and grad $F(s_0).T(s_0) \neq 0$. But $T(s_0)$ at $x(s_0)$ is on the tangent plane to the sphere at $x(s_0)$ and grad $F(s_0)$ is normal to this plane, this is a contradiction. Therefore $F(x) = 0$ and all $x \in S'$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 = K$ are on the real unit ball. As the surface $\gamma$ is continuous, this equation gives the set of points on $\gamma$.

This will suffice to imply that $X_n$ is a Hilbert space, since an inner-product on it can be found to give the original norm. The absolute continuity of the norm thus implies that $X$ is a Hilbert space.
If $X$ is not a Hilbert space, then every $H$ on $X$ is real diagonal and the rest is clear.

**Theorem 3.** Suppose $U$ is an isometry from $X$ onto itself and assume that $X$ is not a Hilbert space. Then there is a fixed unimodular function $u$ and an isomorphism $T$ of atoms such that

$$Uf(.) = u(.)f(T.) \quad \text{for all } f \in X.$$  

Conversely such a transformation always defines as isometry on $X$.

**Proof.** The line of argument follows that of Theorem 5 below. $u$ is unimodular because of the symmetry condition on $X$.

4. Reflexive Orlicz spaces. Let $L_{M\phi}$ be a reflexive Orlicz space defined by the convex function $\phi$. We assume that $\phi$ is everywhere finite. Suppose that the measure is finite.

**Lemma 10.** [5, Lemma 6]. Let $H$ be a bounded hermitian operator on $L_{M\phi}$. If $\Omega', \Omega''$ are a.e. disjoint, i.e., $\mu(\Omega' \cap \Omega'') = 0$, let $\chi'$ and $\chi''$ be their characteristic functions; then $\int_{\Omega'} H\chi'' = 0$ if and only if $\int_{\Omega''} H\chi' = 0$.

**Lemma 11.** [5, Th. 9]. Suppose $H$ is as above, and $\mu$ is purely nonatomic, then either there exists a real valued function $h \in L_\infty$ such that $Hf = hf$ for all $f \in L_M$ and $\|H\| = \|h\|_\infty$ or else $L_{M\phi} = L_2$.

Let $(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$ be a general measure space and decompose $L_{M\phi} = L'_{M\phi} + l_{M\phi}$ where $L'_{M\phi}$ are functions on nonatomic part and $l_{M\phi}$ are functions on purely atomic part.

**Lemma 12.** Suppose $H$ is as above, then either $L_{M\phi}$ is $L_2$ or else $L'_{M\phi}$ and $l_{M\phi}$ are both invariant under $H$.

**Proof.** Assume that $L_{M\phi}$ is not a $L_2$ space. Let $\Omega'$ be a nonzero atom and $\chi'$ its characteristic function. Suppose that $H\chi'$ is not zero on a nonatomic set $\Omega''$, and $\int_{\Omega''} H\chi' \neq 0$. Take $\chi''$ to be the characteristic function of $\Omega''$. Then for $\alpha \geq 0$ we obtain the equality as in the proof of Lemma 11 [see 5]:

$$\Psi\left(\frac{\alpha}{\|\alpha\chi'' + \chi'\|}\right) = \alpha\Psi\left(\frac{1}{\|\alpha\chi'' + \chi'\|}\right)$$

where $\Psi = 1/2(\psi^+ + \psi^-)$ and $\psi^+$, $\psi^-$ are the right and left hand derivatives of $\psi$ respectively. Since $\Omega''$ is nonatomic, we may replace
Then $\Phi(t) = ct^2$ and $L_{M\phi}$ is actually a $L_2$ space. This contradicts our hypothesis, $H\chi' \in l_{M\phi}$.

Conversely, if $\Omega''$ is nonatomic and $\chi''$ its characteristic function, then by Lemma 10, $\int_{\Omega'} H\chi'' = 0$ if and only if $\int_{\Omega'} H\chi' = 0$ where $\Omega'$ is any atom. The previous result shows that $H\chi' \in l_{M\phi}$ for every atom $\Omega'$. Hence $\int_{\Omega'} H\chi'' = 0$. Therefore $H\chi'' \in L'_{M\phi}$. Since the step functions are dense in their respective subspaces, both $L'_{M\phi}$ and $l_{M\phi}$ are invariant under $H$.

**Theorem 4.** Suppose $H$ is a bounded hermitian operator on $L_{M\phi}$ which is not a $L_2$ space, then one of the following three cases holds:

1. $l_{M\phi}$ is a Hilbert space.
2. $l_{M\phi}$ contains a two-dimensional Hilbert space but is not a Hilbert space.
3. There is a fixed real valued function $h \in l_{\infty}$ such that $Hf = hf$ for all $f \in L_{M\phi}$ and $\|H\| = \|h\|_{\infty}$.

**Proof.** By Lemma 12 and Lemma 11 it is enough to consider the restriction $H'$ of $H$ on $l_{M\phi}$. If $l_{M\phi}$ does not have a two-dimensional Hilbert subspace, the $H'$ is real diagonal by Lemma 6 and case (3) follows.

**Remark.** Let $\mu$ be a $\sigma$-finite measure and $\Omega = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \Omega_n$ where $\{\Omega_n\}$ is a fixed increasing sequence of measurable sets with finite mass. Suppose that for each $n$, $P_n$ is the projection onto the subspace $X_n$ of functions restricted to $\Omega_n$. $H_n = P_n HP_n$ is hermitian. As $L_{M\phi}$ has absolutely continuous norm, we have for $g \in L_{M\phi}$ $\|Hg - HP_ng\| \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$, and $\|Hg - H_ng\| \leq \|Hg - HP_ng\| + \|HP_ng - H_ng\|$, so that $Hg = \lim_n H_ng$. Thus we show that Theorem 4 holds for $\sigma$-finite measure as well.

Let $L'_{M\phi}$ be the set of all $f \in L_{M\phi} \cap L_{\infty}$. $L_{\infty}$ forms a $*$-algebra under the ordinary conjugation with the set of elements $\{\chi_n: \chi_n$ characteristic functions of $\Omega_n\}$ satisfying $\lim_n f\chi_n = f$ for all $f \in L_{M\phi}$. $L'_{M\phi}$ contains this sequence. Suppose that $l_{M\phi}$ is not a Hilbert space and contains no Hilbert subspace. Then the following is true.

**Lemma 13.** Suppose that $U$ is an isometry of $L_{M\phi}$. Then there is a $*$-isomorphism $\rho$ on $L_{\infty}$ such that $Ug = u\rho(g)$ for all $g \in L_{\infty}$, where $u \neq 0$ a.e.
Proof. By Theorem 1 and Theorem 4 we have for all $g \in L^b_{M\Phi}$, \[ \lim_{n} p(g)U\chi_n = Ug. \] It is enough to show that $U\chi_n$ converges a.e. to a nonzero function $u$. Since $\rho$ is an isomorphism, it sends characteristic functions onto themselves. Define $T\omega = \omega'$, where $\rho(\chi_\omega) = \chi_{\omega'}$. For every $n \geq 1$, $UH\chi_n U^{-1} = H(\chi_n) = H_{\chi_{\omega_n}}$, so that $U(\chi_n) = \chi_{T\omega_n} U\chi_n$. That is $U\chi_n = 0$ on $\Omega - T\Omega_n$. Similarly $U(\chi_{\omega_n - \omega_m})$ vanishes on $\Omega - (T\Omega_n - T\Omega_m)$ for $1 \leq m \leq n$; therefore $U\chi_n = U\chi_m$ on $T\Omega_n$ and $\lim_{n} U\chi_n = u$ exists a.e.

Assume that $\omega$ is a measurable subset of $T\Omega$ such that $0 < \mu(\omega) < \infty$ and $u = 0$ on $\omega$. For every $h \in L^b_{M\Phi}$, $Uh = u\rho(h) = 0$ on $\omega$. $L^b_{M\Phi}$ is dense in $L^b_{M\phi}$, so that with every $f \in L^b_{M\phi}$, there is a sequence $\{f_n\}$ in $L^b_{M\phi}$ such that $f_n \rightarrow f$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Since the norm is absolutely continuous, there is a subsequence $\{f_{nk}\}$ such that $Uf_{nk} \rightarrow Uf$ a.e. Thus $Uf = 0$ on $\omega$. But $U$ is onto and $\chi_\omega$ is in the range of $U$. Hence $u$ is nonzero a.e.

DEFINITION. A regular set isomorphism of a measure space $(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$ will mean a mapping $S$ of $\Sigma$ into $\Omega$ defined modulo set of measure zero, satisfying: (i) $S(\Omega - \omega) = S\Omega - S\omega$. (ii) $S(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \omega_n) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} S\omega_n$ for disjoint sets $\{\omega_n\}$. (iii) $\mu(\omega) = 0$ if and only if $\mu(S\omega) = 0$.

LEMMA 14. $T$, defined as in the proof above, is a regular set isomorphism of the underlying measure space; and it induces a linear transformation on $L^b_{M\phi}(f(\cdot) \rightarrow f(T^{-1}\cdot))$.

Proof. It is routine to show that $T$ is regular. Let $f \in L^b_{M\phi}$ be $a \leq f < b$ on a measurable set $\omega$ and zero elsewhere. Assume that $\{f_n\}$ is a sequence of step functions whose values lying between $a$ and $b$ on $\omega$ and zero elsewhere, such that $f_n \rightarrow f$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then $Uf_n = u\rho(f_n)$ converges to $Uf = u\rho(f)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. There is a subsequence $u\rho(f_{nk})$ converging to $u\rho(f)$ a.e. Since $u \neq 0$, $\rho(f_{nk}) \rightarrow \rho(f)$ a.e. We denote the step function $\rho(f_{nk})$ as $f_{nk}(T^{-1}\cdot)$. Then $a \leq f_{nk}(T^{-1}\cdot) < b$ on $T\omega; \rho(f)$, the a.e. limit of $f_{nk}(T^{-1}\cdot)$, has the same property. We shall let this function be $g$. For any nonnegative function $f$ of $L^b_{M\phi}$, let $\omega_n = \{x: n \leq f(x) < n + 1\}$ and $f_n$ be the restriction of $f$ to $\omega_n$. Then $g_n = n \leq g_n < n + 1$ on $T\omega_n$ and zero elsewhere. Since $T$ is regular, we can compose these functions to be a function $g$; and denote it by $f(T^{-1}\cdot)$. Extend this definition to negative and then complex functions. The mapping so defined is clearly linear.

Combining the results, we obtain the following isometry theorem:

THEOREM 5. Let $U$ be an isometry from a reflexive Orlicz space $L^b_{M\phi} = L^b_{M\phi} + l^\infty_{M\phi}$ onto itself. Suppose that $L^b_{M\phi} \neq L_2$, then $U$ can be
decomposed into $U_1 + U_2$ where $U_1$ and $U_2$ are isometric on $L'_{M\Phi}$ and $l_{M\Phi}$ respectively. Moreover one of the following three cases holds.

1. $l_{M\Phi}$ is a Hilbert space.
2. $l_{M\Phi}$ is not a Hilbert space but contains a two-dimensional Hilbert subspace.
3. There is a regular set isomorphism $T$ of the underlying measure space and a fixed a.e. nonzero function $u$ such that $Uf(.) = u(.)f(T^{-1}.)$ for all $f \in L_{M\Phi}$.

Proof. We first show that $U$ decomposes. For all real function $h \in L_{\omega}$, $U^{-1}H_hUL'_{M\Phi} \subseteq L'_{M\Phi}$ by Lemma 12. Hence $H_hUL'_{M\Phi} \subseteq UL'_{M\Phi}$. If $UL'_{M\Phi} \not\subseteq L'_{M\Phi}$, then there is a characteristic function $\chi$ of some atom $\{a\}$ such that $Ug = \chi$ with some $g \in L'_{M\Phi}$. Without loss of generality we may assume that $g$ is a characteristic function of a nonatomic set $\omega$. For two disjoint sets $\omega'$, $\omega''$ and $\chi'$, $\chi''$ their characteristic functions, $||U(\chi' + \alpha\chi'')|| = ||U\chi' + \alpha U\chi''|| = ||\chi' + \chi''||$ where $|\alpha| = 1$ and $\omega = \omega' \cup \omega''$. Thus $U\chi'$ and $U\chi''$ cannot be both nonzero at $\{a\}$. Since $\omega$ is nonatomic, we may replace it by subset of arbitrarily small measure; $Ug = 0$. This contradicts the fact that $\chi \neq 0$. Hence $UL'_{M\Phi} \subseteq L'_{M\Phi}$; similarly $U^{-1}L'_{M\Phi} \subseteq L'_{M\Phi}$. $U(L'_{M\Phi}) = L'_{M\Phi}$. It follows that $UL'_{M\Phi} \subseteq l_{M\Phi}$ with an application of Lemma 12.

Now if $l_{M\Phi}$ is not a Hilbert space and does not contain a two-dimensional Hilbert subspace, then Lemma 2 and Theorem 4 imply that $H$ is a hermitian operator on $L_{M\Phi}$ if and only if it is of the form as stated in case (3) of Theorem 4. Hence case (3) holds for all $g \in L_{M\Phi}$ by Lemma 13 and Lemma 14. Since $L'_{M\Phi}$ is dense, the proof is thus complete.

As a special case of the theorem, we record the following result as a corollary.

COROLLARY. With the conditions as before and assume that the atoms in the measure space have equal mass, either

1. There is a regular set isomorphism $T$ and a fixed a.e. nonzero function $u$ such that $Uf(.) = u(.)f(T^{-1}.)$ for all $f \in L_{M\Phi}$, or else
2. $U_1$ is of the form as stated in (1) ($T$ and $u$ in this case are defined only on the nonatomic part) and $U_2$ is unitary on $l_{M\Phi}$ which is a Hilbert space.

Remark. $U_1$ is always characterized in (3) of the Theorem 5 if $L_{M\Phi}$ is not a $L_2$ space.

5. An example. The following example shows that the Theorem
3 does not hold if the symmetry condition is not present. It also shows that isometries other than the type in Theorem 5 occur if the atoms in the underlying measure space have unequal mass.

Let \((\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)\) be a measure space with contains two atomic sets \(m_1\) and \(m_2\) each with measure 16 and at least one other measurable set \(m_3\) of mass 1. With \(\Phi(x) = \int_0^x \psi(t)dt\) where

\[
\psi(t) = \begin{cases} 
2t & 0 \leq t < 1/2 \\
t^2 + 3/4 & t > 1/2
\end{cases}
\]

the obtained \(L_{M\Phi}\) is not a Hilbert space. Specifically the two dimensional subspace on \(\{m_2, m_3\}\) is not a Hilbert space, because the convex curve \(\{(y, z): 16\Phi(|y|) + \Phi(|z|) = 1\}\) is not an ellipse. Now write \(L_{M\Phi} = l_1 + l_2\) where \(l_2\) is the two dimensional space of functions vanishing on \(\Omega-\{m_1, m_3\}\) and \(l_1\) of those being zero on \(\{m_1, m_2\}\). Define \(U = U_1 + U_2\) where \(U_2\) on \(l_2\) in matrix form is

\[
U_2 = \begin{pmatrix}
\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\
-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}
\end{pmatrix}
\]

and \(U_1\) is identity on \(l_1\). Then for any \(L_{M\Phi}\) such that \(||f|| = 1\), we have \(0 \leq |f(m_1)|, |f(m_2)| \leq 1/4\), so that

\[
\int \Phi(||Uf||) = 16(\Phi(||Uf(m_1)||) + \Phi(||Uf(m_2)||)) + \int_{\Omega-\{m_1, m_2\}} \Phi(||Uf||)
\]

\[
= 16(|f(m_1)|^2 + |f(m_2)|^2) + \int_{\Omega-\{m_1, m_2\}} \Phi(||Uf||) = \int \Phi(||f||).
\]

Therefore \(||Uf|| = ||f|| = 1\). \(U\) is isometric.
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