Pacific Journal of Mathematics

COVERINGS OF MAPPING SPACES

MICHEAL NEAL DYER AND ALLAN JOHN SIERADSKI

Vol. 31, No. 2 December 1969

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS
Vol. 31, No. 2, 1969

COVERINGS OF MAPPING SPACES

M. N. DYER AND A. J. SIERADSKI

The purpose of this paper is to give conditions on a pair of topological spaces (X, B) such that any covering $\rho: E \to B$ induces a covering map

$$\bar{\rho}: E^X \to \bar{\rho}(E^X) \subset B^X$$

where $\bar{\rho}(f) = \rho^\circ f$ and the mapping spaces have the compact-open topology.

This is given in Theorem 1.1. In the classical theory of (connected) coverings over a space B which is connected, locally pathwise connected and semi-locally 1-connected, it is known that to each subgroup $H \subset \pi_1(B, b_0)$ there corresponds a covering projection $\rho: E \to B$ for which

$$\rho_\#(\pi_1(E, e_0)) = H$$

for some $e_0 \in \rho^{-1}(b_0)$. Section 2 gives a characterization of those subgroups $H \subset \pi_1(B^X, v)$ which correspond to a mapping covering $\rho: E^X \to B^X$ for some covering $\rho: E \to B$. Section 3 gives partial answers to several questions about mapping coverings, such as when mapping coverings are regular or universal.

1. Mapping coverings. Given a topological space X and a map $\rho: E \to B$, then $\bar{\rho}: E^X \to B^X$, $\bar{\rho}(f) = \rho \circ f$, is continuous if the function spaces of continuous maps E^X and B^X are each given the compact-open topology. In this section we prove

THEOREM 1.1. *Let $\rho: E \to B$ be a covering projection for which E and B are* ANR'*s, and let X be a compact Hausdorff space. Then $\bar{\rho}: E^X \to B^X$ is a covering projection of E^X onto $\bar{\rho}(E^X) \subset B^X$.*

Actually E is automatically an ANR if B is (see § 3), so the hypothesis of (1.1) is just a condition on X and B. We begin the proof of (1.1) by considering two lemmas, the first of which is a result in Spanier [6; 2.5.10].

LEMMA 1.2. *Every Hurewicz fibration with unique path lifting whose base space is locally path connected and semilocally 1-connected and whose total space is locally path connected is a covering projection onto its image.*

Since we eventually want to apply this result to the map $\bar{\rho}: E^X \to$

B^X we need information about the local structure of the function spaces E^X and B^X. If X is a compact metrizable space and Y is an ANR, then the function space Y^X is an ANR ([4; p. 186]) and consequently is locally contractible ([4; p. 96]). We now give a direct proof of this local contractibility of Y^X which does not require the metrizability restriction on X.

LEMMA 1.3. *If X is a compact Hausdorff space and Y is an* ANR, *then the function space Y^X of continuous maps is locally contractible in the compact-open topology.*

Proof. Let the metrizable space Y be considered as a closed subset of a convex set Z in a locally convex topological vector space L ([4; p. 81]). Since Y is an ANR there exists an open neighborhood W of Y in Z together with a retraction $r: W \to Y$, i.e., $r|_Y = 1_Y$.

Given a map $f \in Y^X$ and a neighborhood P of f, we may assume $P = \bigcap_{i=1}^n K(C_i, U_i)$ where $K(C_i, U_i) = \{g \in Y^X : g(C_i) \subset U_i\}$ for each member of the collection $\{C_i\}$ of compact subspaces of X and corresponding member of the collection $\{U_i\}$ of open subsets of Y. Since W is an open subset of a convex set Z in a locally convex topological vector space L, each open covering $\alpha_i = \{r^{-1}(U_i), r^{-1}(Y - f(C_i))\}$ of W admits an open refinement β_i consisting of convex sets. For each $x \in X$ and index i, let $V_{x,i}$ be a member of the covering β_i which contains $f(x)$. Form the convex set $V_x = \bigcap_{i=1}^n V_{x,i}$ for each $x \in X$ and choose by the regularity of X a closed neighborhood $A_x \subset f^{-1}(V_x)$ of x. By the compactness of X, select points $x_1, \cdots, x_m$ of X so that $\{A_j = A_{x_j}: j = 1, \cdots, m\}$ is a collection of closed sets which cover X; then let $V_j = V_{x_j}$ an $V_{j,i} = V_{x_j,i}$. Note that

$$(1.4) \qquad C_i \cap A_j \neq \emptyset \quad \text{implies} \quad V_j \cap Y \subset U_i\,.$$

This follows from the facts that $f(A_j) \subset V_j \cap Y$ and that V_j is contained in $V_{j,i}$, a member of the covering β_i which refines α_i.

We define an open neighborhood of

$$f \in Y^X \quad \text{by} \quad K = \bigcap_{j=1}^m K(A_j, V_j \cap Y)\,.$$

Our first claim for K is that it lies in P, i.e., that for $g \in K$, $g(C_i) \subset U_i (i = 1, \cdots, n)$. Since $\{A_j\}_{j=1}^m$ is a cover of X, we need merely to show that $g(C_i \cap A_j) \subset U_i$ for all i, j. If $C_i \cap A_j = \emptyset$, the result holds trivially; if $C_i \cap A_j \neq \emptyset$, it follows from the relations

$$g(C_i \cap A_j) \subset g(A_j) \subset V_j \cap Y \subset U_i\,,$$

the last being due to (1.4).

Our second claim on K is that it is contractible rel f in P. Because Y is contained in Z, a convex subset of a topological vector space, we can define a continuous function $H: Y^X \times I \times X \to Z$ by $H(g, t, x) = tf(x) + (1-t)g(x)$. Since on the member A_j of the covering $\{A_j\}_{j=1}^m$ both f and $g \in K$ take values in the convex subset $V_j \subset W$, it follows that $H(K \times I \times X) \subset W$ and therefore the composition $r^\circ H$: $K \times I \times X \to W \to Y$ is well defined. The associated map $h: K \times I \to Y^X$ given by $h(g, t)(x) = r(H(g, t, x))$ takes values in $P \subset Y^X$ since $r(H(K \times I \times (C_i \cap A_j))) \subset r(H(K \times I \times A_j)) \subset r(V_j)$ and the latter is contained in $r(r^{-1}(U_i)) = U_i$ when $C_i \cap A_j \neq \varnothing$. Thus $h: K \times I \to P$ is a homotopy rel f from the inclusion $K \subset P$ to the constant map $K \to f \in P$. This shows that Y^X is locally contractible.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We first show that if $\rho: E \to B$ is a covering projection and X is a compact Hausdorff space, then $\bar{\rho}: E^X \to B^X$ (and hence $\bar{\rho}: E^X \to \bar{\rho}(E^X)$) is a Hurewicz fibration with unique path lifting. For a homotopy $h_t: Z \to B^X$ of a map $h_0: Z \to B^X$ which lifts to a map $g_0: Z \to E^X$, the associated map $h'_t: Z \times X \to B$ is a homotopy of the associate $h'_0: Z \times X \to B$ which lifts to $g'_0: Z \times X \to E$. Since $\rho: E \to B$ is a Hurewicz fibration, the homotopy h'_t lifts to a homotopy $g'_t: Z \times X \to E$ of g'_0, and therefore the associate $g_t: Z \to E^X$ is a homotopy of g_0 which is a lifting of h_t. This shows that $\bar{\rho}: E^X \to B^X$ is a Hurewicz fibration.

If $\omega, \gamma: I \to E^X$ are paths in E^X which cover the same path $\alpha: I \to B^X$ and $\omega(0) = \gamma(0)$, then their associates $\omega', \gamma': I \times X \to E$ agree on the subspace $0 \times X$ of $I \times X$ and they are liftings of the associate $\alpha': I \times X \to B$. Since a covering map has the unique lifting property for connected spaces, the fact that $0 \times X$ meets each component of $I \times X$ implies that $\omega' = \gamma'$ and hence $\omega = \gamma$. This shows that $\bar{\rho}: E^X \to B^X$ has unique path lifting.

In view of Lemma 1.2 the proof that $\bar{\rho}: E^X \to \bar{\rho}(E^X)$ is a covering projection is complete once it is shown that E^X and $\bar{\rho}(E^X)$ are locally path connected and $\bar{\rho}(E^X)$ is semilocally 1-connected. Since E^X is locally contractible by (1.3) the condition on E^X is trivial; since B^X is also locally contractible the conditions on $\bar{\rho}(E^X) \subset B^X$ follows from the fact that the image of a Hurewicz fibration is the union of path components of the base space.

There are two convenient corollaries of Theorem 1.1. In the first, the notation $(Y^X)_f$ is used for the path component of the function space Y^X containing $f: X \to Y$.

COROLLARY 1.5. *If, in addition to the hypotheses of* (1.1), $v': X \to E$ *is a lifting of* $v: X \to B$, *then* $\bar{\rho}: (E^X)_{v'} \to (B^X)_v$ *is a covering* *projection.*

COROLLARY 1.6. *If, in addition to the hypotheses of* (1.1), X *is locally path connected and* Hom $(\pi_1(X, x_0), \pi_1(B, b_0)) = 0$ *for every* $x_0 \in X$, $b_0 \in B$, *then* $\bar{\rho}: E^X \to B^X$ *is a covering projection.*

Corollary 1.5 is immediate. In (1.6) we are asserting that the additional hypotheses imply the surjectivity of $\bar{\rho}: E^X \to B^X$. Since $\rho: E \to B$ is a covering projection a necessary and sufficient condition that a map $f: (Y, y_0) \to (B, b_0)$ with connected locally path connected domain have a lifting $(Y, y_0) \to (E, e_0)$ is that in $\pi_1(B, b_0)$, $f_\# \pi_1(Y, y_0) \subset \rho_\# \pi_1(E, e_0)$. Thus the hypothesis Hom $(\pi_1(X, x_0), \pi_1(B, b_0)) = 0$, for every $x_0 \in X$, $b_0 \in B$, implies that a map $f: X \to B$ has a lifting on each (path) component of X. Because the components of a locally path connected space are open and closed, liftings on the components of X determine a lifting on all of X. Thus $\bar{\rho}$ is surjective.

2. Subgroups of $\pi_1(B^X, v)$ realizable by mapping coverings. In this section X will always represent a connected finite CW complex of dim $\leqq n$, B a path connected simple ANR, and $v: X \to B$ a selected map. For convenience in stating the main theorem of this section, we define $K_B = \ker \{r_\#: \pi_1(B^X, v) \to \pi_1(B^{X^0}, r(v))\}$, where $r: B^X \to B^{X^0}$ is the map induced by restriction to the 0-skeleton X^0 of X, and we define $e_{x_0}: B^X \to B$ to be the evaluation map $e_{x_0}(f) = f(x_0)$ at $x_0 \in X^0$.

If $\rho: E \to B$ is a covering projection, it follows that E is an ANR (see § 3) so that by (1.5) $\bar{\rho}: (E^X)_{v'} \to (B^X)_v$ is a covering projection for each lifting $v': X \to E$ of $v: X \to B$. We say a subgroup $G \subset \pi_1(B^X, v)$ can be *realized by a mapping covering* if there exists a covering projection $\rho: E \to B$ with fundamental group $(e_{x_0})_\#(G)$ (that is, $\rho_\# \pi_1(E, e_0) = (e_{x_0})_\#(G)$) and a lifting $v': X \to E$ of $v: X \to B$ such that the covering projection $\bar{\rho}: (E^X)_{v'} \to (B^X)_v$ has fundamental group G (that is, $\bar{\rho}_\# \pi_1(E^X, v') = G \subset \pi_1(B^X, v)$). When $v: X \to B$ is homotopic to the constant map, it follows from [2; 6.1] that the condition on the fundamental group of ρ is a consequence of that on the fundamental group of $\bar{\rho}$.

THEOREM 2.1. *A subgroup* $G \subset \pi_1(B^X, v)$ *can be realized by a mapping covering if and only if* $G \supset K_B$ *and* $e_{x_0\#}(G) \supset v_\#(\pi_1(X, x_0))$.

COROLLARY 2.2. *When* X *is simply connected, a subgroup* $G \subset \pi_1(B^X, v)$ *can be realized by a mapping covering if and only if it contains* K_B.

COROLLARY 2.3. *Let* $\pi_1(B) = 0$ *for* $1 < i \leqq n$. *Then a subgroup* $G \subset \pi_1(B^X, v)$ *can be realized by a mapping covering if and only if* $G \supset K_B = H^n(X; \pi_{n+1}(B))$ *and* $(e_{x_0})_\#(G) \supset v_\#(\pi_1(X, x_0))$.

EXAMPLE. Let $X = S^2, E = S^3, B = P^3$, the 3-dimensional real projective space. P^3 is a topological group $(SO(3)) \Rightarrow P^3$ is simple. Let $\rho: S^3 \to P^3$ be the antipodal identification map. The hypothesis of 2.2 and 2.3 are satisfied for $n = 2$. Thus the only subgroups of $\pi_1(P^{3S^2}, v)$ realized by a mapping covering are those containing $K_B = H^2(S^2, \pi_3(P^3)) \approx Z$.

Let $c: S^2 \to P^3$ be the constant map to $p_0 \in P^3$. It follows easily from the spectral sequence in [3] and Theorem 6.1 of [2] that the sequence below is split exact

$$0 \longrightarrow H^2(S^2: \pi_3(P^3)) \longrightarrow \pi_1(P^{3S^2}, c) \xrightarrow{r_\#} \pi_1(P^3, c) \longrightarrow 0$$

where $H^2(S^2; \pi_3(P^3)) \approx K_{p^3}$ and $r_\#$ is induced by the restriction map r. P^3 is a topological group $\Rightarrow P^{3S^2}$ is a topological group $\Rightarrow \pi_1(P^{3S^2}, c)$ is abelian $\Rightarrow \pi_1(P^{3S^2}, c) \approx Z \oplus Z_2$.

Thus the only subgroups of $\pi_1(P^{3S^2}, c)$ which are realizable by a mapping covering are $Z \oplus \{0\}$ and $Z \oplus Z_2$ which correspond to $\bar{\rho}: S^{3S^2} \to P^{3S^2}$ and $I: P^{3S^2} \to P^{3S^2}$.

We give the proof of (2.1) after a few preliminary propositions. The first involves exact couples of Federer [3] and is easily proved from the data given there.

PROPOSITION 2.4. *Let $\rho: W \to Z$ be a map between path connected simple spaces. Then ρ induces a map*

$$\rho^i: \mathscr{C}^i(X, W, f) \longrightarrow \mathscr{C}^i(X, Z, \rho \circ f)$$

of the ith Federer exact couples.. Furthermore, there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E^2_{p,q}(W) & \xrightarrow{\rho^2} & E^2_{p,q}(Z) \\ {\scriptstyle \gamma_W}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \gamma_Z} \\ H^q(X; \pi_{p+q}(W)) & \xrightarrow{(\rho_\#)^*} & H^q(X; \pi_{p+p}(Z)) \end{array}$$

where γ is an isomorphism onto if $p > 0$ and into if $p = 0$.

PROPOSITION 2.5. *Let $\rho: W \to Z$ be a covering projection between path connected simple spaces. Then for the map*

$$\rho^i: \mathscr{C}^i(X, W, f) \longrightarrow \mathscr{C}^i(X, Z, \rho \circ f)$$

of the i-th Federer exact couple,

$$\rho^i: E^i_{p,q}(W) \longrightarrow E^i_{p,q}(Z) \qquad (i \geqq 2)$$

is an isomorphism for all (p, q) satisfying either (a) *if $p \geqq 1$, then*

$p+q>1$ *or* (b) *if* $p=0$, *then* $q \geqq i$.

Proof. We proceed by induction on $i \geqq 2$. Since $p\colon W \to Z$ is a covering projection, $\rho_\sharp\colon \pi_j(W) \to \pi_j(Z)$ is an isomorphism for $j \geqq 2$ and a monomorphism for $j=1$. Then in the commutative diagram of (2.4) γ_W, γ_Z, and $(\rho_\sharp)^*$ are isomorphisms for $p+q \geqq 2, p \geqq 1$, hence ρ^2 is an isomorphism here. For $p=0, q \geqq 2, \gamma_W, \gamma_Z$ are injective and $(\rho_\sharp)^*$ is bijective; consequently ρ^2 is injective. That ρ^2 is also surjective when $p=0, q \geqq 2$ follows from the definition of $\mathscr{C}^1(X)$ in [3] and the following statement which has the same proof as that of [6, 7.6.22].

(2.6) Let $q \geqq 2$ and let $h\colon X^q \to Z$ be given such that $h \mid X^{q-1} = p \circ f \mid X^{q-1}$. Then since $\rho_\sharp\colon \pi_{q-1}(W) \to \pi_{q-1}(Z)$ is injective and $\rho_\sharp\colon \pi_q(W) \to \pi_q(Z)$ is surjective, there exists $h'\colon X^q \to E$ such that

$$h' \mid X^{q-1} = f \mid X^{q-1} \quad \text{and} \quad \rho \circ h' \cong h(\mathrm{rel}\, X^{q-1})\,.$$

We now assume that (2.5) holds for $i = k-1 \geqq 2$, i.e., ρ^{k-1} is an isomorphism if (a) $p \geqq 1$ and $p+q>1$, or (b) $p=0$ and $q \geqq k-1$. If $p \geqq 1$ and $p+q>1$, $(q \geqq 0)$, then in

$$\begin{array}{c} E^k_{p,q}(W) = \dfrac{\ker\{d\colon E^{k-1}_{p,q}(W) \longrightarrow E^{k-1}_{p-1,q+k-1}(W)\}}{\operatorname{im}\{d\colon E^{k-1}_{p+1,q-k+1}(W) \longrightarrow E^{k-1}_{p,q}(W)\}} \\ \Big\downarrow \rho^k \\ E^k_{p,q}(Z) = \dfrac{\ker\{d\colon E^{k-1}_{p,q}(Z) \longrightarrow E^{k-1}_{p-1,q+k-1}(Z)\}}{\operatorname{im}\{d\colon E^{k-1}_{p+1,q-k+1}(Z) \longrightarrow E^{k-1}_{p,q}(Z)\}} \end{array}$$

we have $E^{k-1}_{p,q}(W) \approx E^{k-1}_{p,q}(Z)$ by case (a) of the induction hypothesis; $E^{k-1}_{p-1,q+k-1}(W) \approx E^{k-1}_{p-1,q+k-1}(Z)$ when $p \geqq 2$ by case (a) and when $p=1$ by case (b); and $E^{k-1}_{p+1,q-k+1}(W) \approx E^{k-1}_{p+1,q-k+1}(Z)$ because if $q<k-1$ then both are zero, and if $q \geqq k-1$ then case (a) of the induction hypothesis applies. Thus case (a) of (2.5) holds for ρ^k.

To show that case (b) of (2.5) holds for ρ^k suppose that index $p=0$. Here we must show that

$$\begin{array}{l} E^k_{0,q}(W) = E^{k-1}_{0,q}(W)/\operatorname{im}\{d\colon E^{k-1}_{1,q-k+1}(W) \longrightarrow E^{k-1}_{0,q}(W) \\ \Big\downarrow \rho^k \\ E^k_{0,q}(Z) = E^{k-1}_{0,q}(Z)/\operatorname{im}\{d\colon E^{k-1}_{1,q-k+1}(Z) \longrightarrow E^{k-1}_{0,q}(Z)\} \end{array}$$

is an isomorphism for $q \geqq k$. This is obvious since then $E^{k-1}_{0,q}(W) \approx E^{k-1}_{0,q}(Z)$ by case (b) of the induction hypothesis and $E^{k-1}_{1,q-k+1}(W) \approx E^{k-1}_{1,q-k+1}(Z)$ by case (a).

Before giving the proof of Theorem 2.1, we prove two lemmas.

LEMMA 2.7. *If* $\rho\colon E \to B$ *is a covering projection with* E *a path connected simple space and* $v'\colon X \to E$ *is a lifting of* $v\colon X \to B$, *then there is a commutative ladder*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & K_E & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(E^X, v') & \xrightarrow{e_{x_0}} & E^\infty_{1,0}(E) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\phi} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle(\bar\rho)_\sharp} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle\rho^\infty} & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & K_B & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(B^X, v) & \xrightarrow{e_{x_0}} & E^\infty_{1,0}(B) & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

in which the rows are exact and ϕ is an isomorphism.

Proof. By a theorem on page 351 of [3], the images of

$$r_\sharp\colon \pi_1(E^X, v') \longrightarrow \pi_1(E^{X^0}, r(v')),\ r_\sharp\colon \pi_1(B^X, v) \longrightarrow \pi_1(B^{X^0}, r(v))$$

can be identified with the subgroups

$$E^\infty_{1,0}(E) \subset E^2_{1,0}(E) = H^0(X, \pi_1(E)) = \pi_1(E),$$
$$E^\infty_{1,0}(B) \subset E^2_{1,0}(B) = H^0(X, \pi_1(B)) = \pi_1(B).$$

by means of diagonal homomorphisms:

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l} \Delta\colon \pi_1(E, v'(x_0)) \longrightarrow \pi_1(E^{X^0}, r(v')) \\ \Delta\colon \pi_1(B, v(x_0)) \longrightarrow \pi_1(B^{X^0}, r(v)) \end{array}\right\}.$$

The identification process is natural in E, B and so there is a commutative ladder as indicated.

To show that ϕ is an isomorphism we consider the following normal chains (see [3, p. 351]) for $\pi_1(E^X, v'), \pi_1(B^X, v)$ and maps induced by $\bar\rho$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \pi_1(E^X, v') & \xrightarrow{\bar\rho_\sharp} & \pi_1(B^X, v) \\ \cup & & \cup \\ H_0 & \longrightarrow & G_0 \\ \cup & & \cup \\ H_1 & \longrightarrow & G_1 \\ \cup & & \cup \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ \cup & & \cup \\ H_{n-1} & \longrightarrow & G_{n-1} \\ \cup & & \cup \\ 0 & & 0 \end{array} \tag{2.8}$$

given by

$$\begin{array}{l} H_i = \ker\{r_\sharp\colon \pi_1(E^X, v') \longrightarrow \pi_1(E^{X^i}, r(v'))\} \\ G_i = \ker\{r_\sharp\colon \pi_1(B^X, v) \longrightarrow \pi_1(B^{X^i}, r(v))\} \end{array} \qquad (i = 0, 1, \cdots, n).$$

Thus we must show $K_E = H_0 \approx G_0 = K_B$. By [3, p. 351], there are isomorphisms

$$(2.9)\qquad \frac{H_i}{H_{i+1}} \approx E^\infty_{1,i+1}(E), \frac{G_i}{G_{i+1}} \approx E^\infty_{1,i+1}(B) \qquad (i = 0, \cdots, n-1)$$

which can be shown to be compatible with the homomorphisms induced by $\bar{\rho}$. Since $E^\infty_{1,i}(E) = E^k_{1,i}(E)$ and $E^\infty_{1,i}(B) = E^k_{1,i}(B)$ for $k > \max(i, \dim X - i)$, Proposition (2.5) implies that

$$\rho^\infty\colon E^\infty_{1,i}(E) \longrightarrow E^\infty_{1,i}(B)$$

is an isomorphism for $i \geqq 1$. Via induction and the five lemma, these isomorphisms together with those of (2.9) imply that all but the top homomorphism of the ladder (2.8) are isomorphisms.

LEMMA 2.10. *Let $\rho\colon E \to B$ be a covering projection with E a path connected (simple) space. If in the commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
E^\infty_{1,0}(E) \subset E^2_{1,0}(E) & \overset{\gamma}{\approx} & H^0(X, \pi_1(E)) & = & \pi_1(E) \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle \rho^\infty} \qquad \downarrow{\scriptstyle \rho^2} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle (\rho_\#)^*} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \rho_\#} \\
E^\infty_{1,0}(B) \subset E^2_{1,0}(B) & \overset{\gamma}{\approx} & H^0(X, \pi_1(B)) & = & \pi_1(B)
\end{array}$$

$\rho_\#(\pi_1(E)) \subset E^\infty_{1,0}(B)$, then $E^\infty_{1,0}(E) = \pi_1(E)$.

Proof. We will show by induction on $k \geqq 2$ that $E^k_{1,0}(E) = E^2_{1,0}(E)$, or equivalently, that $d^k_E\colon E^k_{1,0}(E) \to E^k_{0,k}(E)$ is zero. By (2.4) there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\pi_1(E) \approx & E^2_{1,0}(E) \supset & E^k_{1,0}(E) & \xrightarrow{d^k_E} & E^k_{0,k}(E) \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle \rho_\#} & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \rho^2_{1,0}} & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \rho^k_{1,0}} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \rho^k_{0,k}} \\
\pi_1(B) \approx & E^2_{1,0}(B) \supset & E^k_{1,0}(B) & \xrightarrow{d^k_B} & E^k_{0,k}(B)
\end{array}$$

in which $\rho^k_{0,k}$ is an isomorphism for $k \geqq 2$ by (2.5) and $\rho^k_{1,0}$ is a monomorphism for $k \geqq 2$ because $\rho_\#$ is a monomorphism. In order to prove d^k_E is zero for $k \geqq 2$, we need only show $\ker d^k_B \supset \operatorname{im} \rho^k_{1,0}$ for $k \geqq 2$. We proceed by induction on $k \geqq 2$. For $k = 2$, the statement follows from the relations

$$\ker d^2_B \supset E^\infty_{1,0}(B) \supset \rho_\#(\pi_1(E)) = \operatorname{im} \rho^2_{1,0}\,.$$

If we assume $\ker d^j_B \supset \operatorname{im} \rho^j_{1,0}$ for $j < k$, $k \geqq 2$, then $E^2_{1,0}(E) = E^k_{1,0}(E)$ and hence $\rho_\#(\pi_1(E)) = \operatorname{im} \rho^k_{1,0}$. Then we have the relation

$$\ker d^k_B \supset E^\infty_{1,0}(B) \supset \rho_\#(\pi_1(E)) = \operatorname{im} \rho^k_{1,0}$$

which completes the proof by induction.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Suppose that $G \subset \pi_1(B^X, v)$ can be realized by a mapping covering, i.e., there exists a covering projection $\rho: E \to B$ and a lifting $v': X \to E$ of $v: X \to B$ such that $\bar{\rho}_\#(\pi_1(E^X, v') = G$ and $\rho_\#(\pi_1(E, v'(x_0)) = (e_{x_0})_\#(G)$. Since we may assume that E is path connected and simple, Lemma 2.7 is applicable. If follows from commutativity of the diagram given there and the surjectivity of ϕ that

$$\bar{\rho}_\#(\pi_1(E^X, v')) \supset \bar{\rho}_\#(K_E) = K_B, \text{ i.e., } G \supset K_B .$$

Furthermore, we have relations

$$(e_{x_0})_\#(G) = \rho_\#(\pi_1(E, v'(x_0)) \supset v_\#(\pi_1(X, x_0)) .$$

Conversely, suppose given $G \supset K_B$ and $G' = (e_{x_0})_\#(G) \supset v_\#(\pi_1(X, x_0))$. Using the subgroup $G' \subset E^\infty_{1,0}(B) \subset \pi_1(B, v(x_0))$, it is possible to construct a covering projection $\rho: E \to B$ with E a path connected simple space such that $\rho_\#(\pi_1(E, e_0)) = G'$. Since $v_\#(\pi_1(X, x_0)) \subset G' \subset \rho_\#(\pi_1(E, e_0))$, there exists a lifting $v': (X, x_0) \to (E, e_0)$ of $v: X \to B$. Then by Lemma (2.7) there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & K_E & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(E^X, v') & \xrightarrow{(e_{x_0})_\#} & E^\infty_{1,0}(E) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \phi} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \bar{\rho}_\#} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \rho_\infty} & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & K_B & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(B^X, v) & \xrightarrow{(e_{x_0})_\#} & E^\infty_{1,0}(B) & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

in which ϕ is an isomorphism and im $\rho^\infty = G' = (e_{x_0})_\#(G)$ since $E^\infty_{1,0}(E) = \pi_1(E)$ by Lemma (2.10). It follows from some diagram chasing that $\bar{\rho}_\#(\pi_1(E^X, v')) = G$.

3. Miscellaneous questions. Many questions arise concerning mapping coverings. In this section we consider certain ones and give partial answers.

(a) Is a covering space of an ANR an ANR?

(b) If G is a properly discontinuous group of homeomorphisms acting on an *ANR* E, is E/G, the orbit space of G, an ANR?

(c) If $\rho: E \to B$ is a covering, what is card $(\bar{\rho}^{-1}(f)), f \in \bar{\rho}(E^X)$?

(d) If $\rho: E \to B$ is regular, then is $\bar{\rho}: E^X \to B^X$?

(e) When does a fiber $\bar{\rho}^{-1}(f)$ lie in a single path component of E^X, i.e., when are all the lifts of f homotopic?

(*f*) When is $\bar{\rho}: E^X \to B^X$ universal?

For convenience, throughout this section we assume that B is an ANR and X is a compact Hausdorff space.

(a) Since a covering space of an ANR is locally homeomorphic to an ANR, it is an ANR provided it is metrizable (see [4], III, 7.9 and 8.7). So Question (a) now reduces to a consideration of metrizability.

THEOREM 3.1. *If $\rho: E \to B$ is a covering with B metrizable, then E is metrizable.*

The proof utilizes the characterization of T_0 spaces which are metrizable due to A. H. Stone (see [1], page 196).

COROLLARY 3.2. *Every covering of an* ANR *is an* ANR.

(b) Since $\bar{\rho}: E \to E/G$ is a covering projection, the question, as in (a), reduces to one of metrizability.

THEOREM 3.3. *If a finite group of homeomorphisms G acts on a metric space E without fixed points, then E/G is metrizable.*

This again follows from Stone's characterization.

COROLLARY 3.4. *G finite, acting without fixed points on an* ANR *$E \Rightarrow E/G$ is an* ANR.

(c) If X is connected and locally pathwise connected, then $f: (X, x_0) \to (B, b_0)$ has a (unique) lift to $f^*: (X, x_0) \to (E, e_0)$, when $e_0 \in \rho^{-1}(b_0)$, if $f_\#(\pi_1(X, x_0)) \subset \rho_\#(\pi_1(E, e_0))$. If E is path connected and nonempty, the cardinality of $\bar{\rho}^{-1}(f)(f \in \bar{\rho}(E^X))$ reduces to the following question: How many conjugate subgroups of $\rho_\#(\pi_1(E, e_0))$ contain $f_\#(\pi_1(X, x_0))$?

THEOREM 3.5. *Let $\rho: E \to B$ be a regular covering such that E is connected. For any $f \in \bar{\rho}(E^X)$,* $\text{card}\, \bar{\rho}^{-1}(f) = \text{card}\, \rho^{-1}(b_0)$, $b_0 \in B$.

Proof. E, B ANR $\Rightarrow E, B$ are locally pathwise connected. E is connected $\Rightarrow E, B$ are path connected. ρ is regular $\Rightarrow$ that the group G of covering transformations $\approx \pi_1(B, \rho(e_0))/\rho_\#(\pi_1(E, e_0)) \leftrightarrow \rho^{-1}(b_0)$. Then $f \in \bar{\rho}(E^X) \Rightarrow \exists f^*: X \to E \ni f = \rho \circ f^*$. Then

$$\rho^{-1}(f) = \{g \circ f^* \mid g \in G\} \longleftrightarrow \rho^{-1}(b_0)$$

because G acts transitively on $\rho^{-1}(b_0)$ and any lift of f is determined uniquely by the image of a single point.

With the same hypotheses as 3.5, we can show that any two path components of E^X lying over $(B^X)_v$ are homeomorphic. Specifically,

COROLLARY 3.6. *If v', v'' are any two lifts of $v: X \to B$, then $(E^X)_{v'} \approx (E^X)_{v''}$.*

Proof. ρ regular $\Rightarrow \exists$ a covering transformation $v: E \to E \ni r_0 v' = v''$. Then $\bar{r}: E^X \to E^X$ is a covering transformation of $E^X \ni \bar{r}(v') = v''$. Thus $\bar{r}: (E^X)v' \approx (E^X)v''$.

For example, let $X = S^2 = E$ and $B = P^2$, the real projective plane. Let $a: S^2 \to S^2$ denote the antipodal map, $i: S^2 \to S^2$, the identity. $i \not\simeq a$ because $\deg(i) = 1$ and $\deg(a) = -1$. $\therefore (S^{2^{S^2}})_i \neq (S^{2^{S^2}})_a$ but if $\rho: S^2 \to P^2$ is the antipodal identification, then $\rho \circ a = \rho \circ i = \rho: S^2 \to P^2$. Thus $(S^{2^{S^2}})_a \approx (S^{2^{S^2}})_i$ as components of $S^{2^{S^2}}$ lying over $(P^{2^{S^2}})_\rho$.

(d) The answer is probably no in general, although the authors have not been able to construct a counterexample. We prove the following

THEOREM 3.7. *Let $\rho: E \to B$ be a covering such that E, B are simple, path-connected* ANR's. *Let X be a finite CW complex. Then the covering projection*

$$\bar{\rho}: E^X \longrightarrow B^X$$

is a regular covering onto $\bar{\rho}(E^X)$.

Proof. As in § 2, the following is a commutative ladder of exact sequences $\ni \bar{\rho}_\sharp$ and ρ^∞ are injective:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & \pi_1(E^X, v') & \xrightarrow{f'} & E^\infty_{1,0}(E) & & \\ & \nearrow & \downarrow \bar{\rho}_\sharp & & \downarrow \rho_\infty & \searrow & \\ 0 \longrightarrow K & & & & & & 0 \\ & \searrow & \pi_1(B^X, v) & \xrightarrow{f} & E^\infty_{1,0}(B) & \nearrow & \end{array}$$

where

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E^\infty_{1,0}(E) & \subset & \pi_1(E, v'(x_0)) \\ \rho_\infty \downarrow & & \downarrow \rho_\sharp \\ E^\infty_{1,0}(B) & \subset & \pi_1(B, v(x_0)) \end{array}$$

commutes.

E, B are simple $\Rightarrow E^\infty_{1,0}(E), E^\infty_{1,0}(B)$ are abelian. We will show that $\bar{\rho}_\sharp(\pi_1(E^X, v'))$ is a normal subgroup of $\pi_1(B^X, v)$ for any $v, v' \ni \rho \circ v' = v$. Choose $x \in \bar{\rho}_\sharp(\pi_1(E^X)), b \in \pi_1(B^X)$. Then $f(bxb^{-1}) = f(b)f(x)f(b)^{-1} = f(x)$ since $E^\infty_{1,0}(B)$ is abelian $\Rightarrow bxb^{-1}x^{-1} = k \in K \Rightarrow bxb^{-1} = kx \in \bar{\rho}_\sharp(\pi_1(E^X))$.

$$\therefore \bar{\rho}_\sharp(\pi_1(E^X, v')) \lhd \pi_1(B^X, v) \quad \text{for any} \quad v, v' \ni p \circ v' = v .$$

Theorem 12 on page 74 of [6] $\Rightarrow \bar{\rho}\,|_{(E^X)_{v'}}: (E^X)_{v'} \to (B^X)_v$ is a regular covering for each $v' \in \bar{\rho}^{-1}(v)$. Fix $v' \in \bar{\rho}^{-1}(v)$. Suppose $v'' \in \bar{\rho}^{-1}(v)$ but $(E^X)_{v'} \neq (E^X)_{v''}$. Then by 3.6 $\exists$ a homeomorphism

$$\bar{r}: (E^X)_{v'} \to (E^X)_{v''} \ni \bar{r}(v') = v'' \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\rho} \circ \bar{r} = \bar{\rho} .$$

Hence a loop at v in B^X lifts to a loop at v' if and only if it lifts to a loop at v''. Therefore $\bar{\rho}: E^X \to \bar{\rho}(E^X)$ is a regular covering.

(e) We quote a result essentially due to Serre, [5], Proposition 3, page 479.

PROPOSITION 3.8. *If G is a path-connected, locally path connected, and semilocally 1-connected H-space, then each covering transformation on any connected covering space E of G is homotopic to the identity map* $i: E \rightarrow E$.

COROLLARY 3.9. *If* $\rho: E \rightarrow B$ *is a covering* $\ni B$ *is an H-space, then* $\bar{\rho}^{-1}((B^X)_v)$ *is path-connected.*

(f) This question only makes sense when we are considering $(B^X)_v$. Let us ask: When is $(E^X)_{v'}$ a universal covering over $(B_X)_v$, where $\rho \circ v' = v$?

THEOREM 3.10. *If X is a CW complex of* dim $\leqq n$ *and E is an n-connected space, then* $\pi_1(E^X, v) = 0$ *for all* $v \in E^X$.

The proof follows easily from Federer's spectral sequence [3].

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. J. Dugundi, *Topology*, Allyn and Bacon, 1966.
2. M. Dyer, *Two term conditions in* π*-exact couples*, Canad. J. Math. **19** (1967), 1263-1288.
3. H. Federer, *A study of function spaces by spectral sequences* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **82** (1956), 340-361.
4. S. -T. Hu, *Theory of retracts*, Wayne State Univ. Press, 1965.
5. J. -P. Serre, *Homologie singuliere des espaces fibres*, Ann. of Math. **54** (1951), 425-505.
6. E. Spanier, *Algebraic topology*, McGraw-Hill, 1966.

Received January 14, 1969. This research was performed while the authors were supported by a summer research grant at the University of Oregon.

UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
EUGENE, OREGON

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, double spaced with large margins. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. It should not contain references to the bibliography. Manuscripts, in duplicate if possible, may be sent to any one of the four editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Rev. **36**, 1539-1546. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, Richard Arens, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

50 reprints are provided free for each article; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is published monthly. Effective with Volume 16 the price per volume (3 numbers) is \$8.00; single issues, \$3.00. Special price for current issues to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: \$4.00 per volume; single issues \$1.50. Back numbers are available.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley, California, 94708.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), 7-17, Fujimi 2-chome, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan.

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 31, No. 2 December, 1969