

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

COMPLETELY INJECTIVE SEMIGROUPS

EDMUND H. FELLER AND RICHARD LAHAM GANTOS

COMPLETELY INJECTIVE SEMIGROUPS

E. H. FELLER AND R. L. GANTOS

A semigroup S with identity is termed completely right injective if every right unitary S -system is injective. The semigroup S is called completely injective if every right and left unitary S -system is injective. We prove that S is completely injective if and only if S is a semigroup with zero, where every right ideal and every left ideal of S is generated by an idempotent. This condition is equivalent to the statement that S is an inverse semigroup with zero, whose idempotents are dually well-ordered.

If S is completely injective and if e is an idempotent in S , then eSe , and every two-sided ideal of S , is completely injective.

A completely injective semigroup S is termed *central* if S is the union of groups. If S is completely injective and S has a finite number of right ideals, or if the two-sided ideals of S are local, then S is central.

2. Main theorems. Throughout this paper S will always denote a semigroup with 1, and all S -systems will be unitary. The set of idempotents of any semigroup T will be denoted by $E(T)$.

Using 2.2, and the proof of 2.7 of [3], we have the first part of the following theorem.

THEOREM 2.1. *If S is completely right injective, then every right ideal is generated by an idempotent. Thus the right ideals form a chain under set inclusion, which is dually well-ordered. In addition, S contains a zero element.*

Proof. From the proof of 2.6 of [3], we have S contains a left zero 0. Now $0S = \{0\}$ is contained in every right ideal. Hence for $a \in S$, then $0S \subseteq a0S$. Thus $0 = a0x = a0$.

LEMMA 2.2. *Let $e, f \in E(S)$.*

(i) *If every right ideal of S is generated by an idempotent, then $Se \subseteq Sf$ implies $eS \subseteq fS$.*

(ii) *If every left ideal of S is generated by an idempotent, then $eS \subseteq fS$ implies $Se \subseteq Sf$.*

(iii) *If every right and left ideal of S is generated by an idempotent, then $Se \subseteq Sf$ if and only if $eS \subseteq fS$. In particular, $Se = Sf$ if and only if $eS = fS$.*

Proof. Clearly, $eS \subseteq fS$ if and only if $fe = e$, and $Se \subseteq Sf$ if and only if $ef = e$. To prove (i), suppose $Se \subseteq Sf$. Then $ef = e$. Either $eS \subseteq fS$ or $fS \subset eS$. The latter is impossible for then $f \neq e$ and $ef = f$.

Part (ii) is proved in a similar way, while (iii) follows from (i) and (ii).

LEMMA 2.3. *If every right and left ideal of S is generated by an idempotent, then S is an inverse semigroup. Moreover, $E(S)$ is a chain under the natural partial ordering, which is dually well-ordered.*

Proof. The fact that S is regular follows from Lemma 1.13 of [1, p. 27]. We shall now prove (i) of Lemma 1.17 of [1, p. 28] to show that S is inverse.

For $e, f \in E(S)$, then either $eS \subseteq fS$ or $fS \subseteq eS$. If $eS \subseteq fS$, then by 2.2 we have $Se \subseteq Sf$. Hence $fe = ef = e$, and $e \leq f$ under the natural partial ordering. Thus the idempotents of S commute, and form a chain.

Since $E(S)$ is commutative, then $e \leq f$ if and only if $eS \subseteq fS$. By 2.1, the dual well-ordering of the right ideals implies that any nonempty subset $\{e_\alpha | \alpha \in I\}$ of $E(S)$ contains a greatest element; namely the idempotent which generates $\bigcup_{\alpha \in I} e_\alpha S$.

LEMMA 2.4. *If T is an inverse semigroup and $e \in E(T)$, then aea^{-1} and $a^{-1}ea$ are in $E(T)$.*

Proof. Since $a^{-1}a \in E(T)$ and $E(T)$ is commutative, then

$$(aea^{-1})(aea^{-1}) = a(a^{-1}a)e^2a^{-1} = aea^{-1}.$$

Similarly, $(a^{-1}ea)^2 = a^{-1}ea$.

FIRST MAIN THEOREM 2.5. *A semigroup S is completely injective if and only if S is a semigroup with zero, and every left and right ideal of S is generated by an idempotent.*

Proof. From 2.1, we have the "only if" part of this theorem.

Suppose now S is a semigroup with zero, and every right and left ideal is generated by an idempotent. From 2.3, S is an inverse semigroup and $E(S)$ is dually well-ordered. Using the same technique employed in the proof of Theorem 2.6 of [3], we show every right S -system is injective. A similar argument shows left S -systems are injective.

Let M, P , and R be S -systems where $P \subseteq R$. If $f: P \rightarrow M$ is a

S -homomorphism of P_S into M_S , let (P_0, f_0) be the maximal pair defined in the proof of 2.6 of [3]. To show M is injective, it suffices to show $P_0 = R$. Suppose $r \in R, r \notin P$, and let $A = \{a \in S \mid ra \in P_0\}$. As in 2.6 of [3], we will reach a contradiction for $P_0 \neq R$, if we can show the existence of an S -homomorphism $h: rS \rightarrow M$ which agrees with f_0 on $P_0 \cap rS$. If A is empty, the argument is the same as in 2.6 of [3].

Suppose A is nonempty. Then $A = eS$, for $e \in E(S)$. Let h be the same mapping, $h(rs) = zes$ for all $s \in S$, defined in 2.6 of [3]. We need only show that h is single-valued. The argument of 2.6 of [3] will then complete the proof.

As shown in 2.6 of [3], h will be single-valued if and only if $rs_1 = rs_2$ implies $res_1 = res_2$, for all $s_1, s_2 \in S$. Since S is inverse, then $res_1 = r(es_1s_1^{-1}s_1) = r(s_1s_1^{-1})es_1 = (rs_1)s_1^{-1}es_1 = rs_2s_1^{-1}es_1$. Likewise $res_2 = rs_1s_2^{-1}es_2$. Since es_1 , and es_2 belong to A , then res_1 and res_2 belong to P_0 . Therefore $s_2s_1^{-1}es_1$ and $s_1s_2^{-1}es_2$ belong to A . Since $A = eS$, then $s_2s_1^{-1}es_1 = es_2s_1^{-1}es_1$; consequently $res_1 = res_2s_1^{-1}es_1$. Likewise $res_2 = res_1s_2^{-1}es_2$. Using the fact that idempotents commute and Lemma 2.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} res_1 &= (res_2)s_1^{-1}es_1 = (res_1s_2^{-1}es_1)s_1^{-1}es_1 \\ &= res_1(s_2^{-1}es_2)(s_1^{-1}es_1) = res_1(s_1^{-1}es_1)(s_2^{-1}es_2) \\ &= r(es_1s_1^{-1}es_1)s_2^{-1}es_2 = res_1s_2^{-1}es_2 = res_2. \end{aligned}$$

SECOND MAIN THEOREM 2.6. *A semigroup T is completely injective if and only if T is an inverse semigroup with zero, and $E(T)$ is dually well-ordered.*

Proof. The definition of completely injective implies such semigroups contain an identity 1. Using 2.5 and 2.3, we have the necessity.

Conversely, suppose T is inverse with zero and $E(T)$ is dually well-ordered. Using the argument in the proof of Lemma 2.1 of [4], the greatest element of $E(T)$ is the identity element of T .

Let R be any right ideal of T . By Theorem 1.13 of [1, p. 27], the principal right ideals of T are generated by idempotents. Therefore $E(T) \cap R$ is not empty. Since $E(T)$ is dually well-ordered, then $E(T) \cap R$ contains a greatest element f . It follows $R = fT$. In this way every right and left ideal is generated by an idempotent. Applying 2.5 we have T is completely injective.

If S is completely injective, it is of interest to note that the \mathcal{R} -classes of S , defined in [1, p. 47], are of the form $eS \setminus fS$, where fS is maximal in eS .

EXAMPLE 2.7. N. R. Reilly [4] called a semigroup T an ω -semi-

group if and only if there exists a one-to-one map φ of $E(T)$, which is commutative, onto the set of nonnegative integers such that

$$\varphi(e) \leq \varphi(f)$$

if and only if $f \leq e$. Thus $E(T)$ is dually well-ordered. Applying 2.6, for any inverse ω -semigroup T , we have $T^0 = T \cup 0$ is completely injective. The bisimple ω -semigroups are concrete examples of inverse ω -semigroups. In particular, the bicyclic semigroup of [1, p. 43] with zero adjoined is completely injective. These provide examples of completely injective semigroups, which are not the union of groups, as discussed in [3].

A trivial example of a completely right injective semigroup which is not completely left injective is a right zero semigroup containing two or more elements with 0 and 1 adjoined. In fact, applying the technique of 2.5, the authors have shown that if S is a right 0-simple semigroup containing an idempotent $e \neq 0$, then $S^1 = S \cup 1$ is completely right injective.

3. Properties of completely injective semigroups. In §'s 3 and 4, S will always denote a completely injective semigroup. We begin this section with a discussion of a one-to-one correspondence between the lattices of right ideals and of left kernel congruences belonging to S -endomorphisms of ${}_sS$. The left kernel congruence belonging to a S -endomorphism g of ${}_sS$ is defined to be that left congruence ρ on S given by $a\rho b$ if and only if $g(a) = g(b)$.

DEFINITION 3.1. If K is a subset of S , let $\rho(K)[\lambda(K)]$ denote the right [left] congruence of S defined by: $(a, b) \in \rho(K)[(a, b) \in \lambda(K)]$ if and only if $ka = kb[ak = bk]$ for all $k \in K$. If σ is a right [left] congruence on S , let $\ell(\sigma)[\varepsilon(\sigma)]$ denote the set of all $s \in S$ such that if $a\sigma b$, then $sa = sb[as = bs]$. Clearly, $\ell(\sigma)[\varepsilon(\sigma)]$ is a left [right] ideal of S .

PROPOSITION 3.2. If $e \in E(S)$, then $\varepsilon(\lambda(eS)) = eS$ and $\ell(\rho(Se)) = Se$.

Proof. If $b \in \varepsilon(\lambda(eS))$, then $\lambda(e) \subseteq \lambda(b)$. Thus the mapping $g: xe \rightarrow xb$ is an S -homomorphism of Se onto Sb . Now $b = g(e) = eg(e)$. Therefore $b \in eS$ and $\varepsilon(\lambda(eS)) \subseteq eS$. Since the opposite inclusion is immediate, we have equality. Similarly, $\ell(\rho(Se)) = Se$.

The left congruence $\lambda(eS)$, where $e \in E(S)$, is the left kernel congruence belonging to the S -endomorphism $h: {}_sS \rightarrow {}_sS$, where $h(x) = xe$ for all $x \in S$. Conversely, every left kernel congruence belonging to a S -endomorphism h of ${}_sS$ is of this form. Indeed, the left kernel

congruence belonging to h is $\lambda(h(1)S)$, which equals $\lambda(eS)$ for some $e \in E(S)$.

Since $e_1S \subseteq e_2S$ implies $\lambda(e_1S) \supseteq \lambda(e_2S)$, then 3.2 implies that the mapping $eS \rightarrow \lambda(eS)$ is a one-to-one inclusion reversing correspondence between the lattice of right ideals of S and the set \mathcal{K} of all left kernel congruences belonging to S -endomorphisms of ${}_sS$. Thus we have the following theorem.

THEOREM 3.3. *The lattice of right ideals of S and the lattice of left kernel congruences belonging to S -endomorphisms of ${}_sS$ are dual isomorphic.*

Thus S satisfies the minimum condition (D.C.C.) on right ideals if and only if \mathcal{K} satisfies the maximum condition (A.C.C.). These results are similar to results for quasi-Frobenius rings.

Note that if $\sigma \in \mathcal{K}$, then $\lambda({}_*(\sigma)) = \sigma$. It is not difficult to show this relation is not true for an arbitrary left congruence on S .

Next we show certain subsystems of S are completely injective.

THEOREM 3.4. *For every $e \in E(S)$, eSe is completely injective.*

Proof. We show every left and right ideal of eSe is generated by an idempotent. Let L be a left ideal of eSe . It follows directly that $L = SL \cap eSe$. Now $SL = Sf$, for some $f \in E(S)$. Using Lemma 1.19 of [1, p. 30], we have $L = Sf \cap eSe = Sf \cap Se \cap eS = Sfe \cap eS = (eSe)f$. If $ef = e$, then $L = eSe$. If $ef = f$, then $f = efe \in eSe$, and $L = (eSe)f$. A similar argument holds for right ideals.

If H is a two-sided ideal of S , we have by 2.2 and Theorem 1.17(ii) of [1, p. 28], that $H = eS = Se$. Hence $H = eS \cap Se = eSe$ and we can write

COROLLARY 3.5. *Every two-sided ideal of S is completely injective.*

4. Central completely injective semigroups. Throughout this section, S denotes a completely injective semigroup and T an arbitrary semigroup. If $E(T)$ is contained in the center of T , then T is termed *central*. In [3], the authors determined a structure for central completely injective semigroups. We use the fact that an inverse semigroup T is central if and only if T is the union of groups (see the proof of 2.8 of [3]). Applying this together with 2.6 we have 4.1 and 4.2.

THEOREM 4.1. *A semigroup T with 1 is central completely injective if and only if T is an inverse semigroup with 0, $E(T)$ is*

dually well-ordered, and T is a union of groups.

THEOREM 4.2. *S is central if and only if S is a union of groups.*

Certainly, there are many conditions on an inverse semigroup which imply that it is the union of groups. For example, 7.4 of [2, p. 41] would imply that S is central if and only if the left and right units of each element are equal.

Next we shall give a condition in terms of local semigroups. Using the terminology of [1, p. 21], if T is a semigroup with 1, then an element a in T is called a *right [left] unit* provided there exist $x \in T$ such that $ax = 1$ [$xa = 1$]. A left and right unit is called a *unit*.

PROPOSITION 4.3. *A semigroup T with 1 is termed local provided one of the following equivalent conditions are satisfied.*

- (i) *Every right unit is a left unit.*
- (ii) *The set of nonunits form a proper ideal of T .*
- (iii) *T contains an ideal, which is a unique maximal right ideal.*

THEOREM 4.4. *S is central if and only if the two-sided ideals of S are local.*

Proof. If S is central, then each two-sided ideal of S has the form eS , where the fS of 2.11 of [3] satisfies (iii) of 4.3. Thus eS is local.

To prove the converse we shall establish the statement, "all the idempotents of S are contained in its center". For S , $E(S)$ is dually well-ordered. Thus we can list the elements of $E(S)$ as

$$1 = e_0 > e_1 > e_2 \cdots > e_\alpha > \cdots > 0$$

where the subscripts are ordinal numbers less than the ordinal number of $E(S)$. It follows

$$S = e_0S \supset e_1S \supset e_2S \supset \cdots \supset e_\alpha S \supset \cdots \supset 0.$$

We use transfinite induction to prove the above statement. To show that e_1 is in the center of S , let K be the set of nonunits of S . Since S is local, then K is a two-sided ideal which is a unique maximal right ideal of S . Thus $K = e_1S$ and, as in the discussion preceding 3.5, $e_1S = Se_1$. Hence e_1 is in the center of S .

Assume inductively that all e_α , for $\alpha < \beta$, are in the center. If β is not a limit ordinal, then $\beta = \alpha + 1$, where e_α is in the center. Hence $e_\alpha S$ is local. Using the fact that a right ideal of an ideal of S is itself a right ideal of S , then the argument in the preceding

paragraph can be applied to show that e_β is in the center.

If e_β is a limit ordinal, then $\bigcap_{\alpha < \beta} e_\alpha S = e_\beta S$. Since the $e_\alpha S$, for $\alpha < \beta$, are two-sided ideals, then $e_\beta S$ is a two-sided ideal and e_β is in the center.

One could use 4.2 to prove the following result. However, 4.5 follows directly from 7.5 of [2, p. 41]. The second part is a consequence of 3.3.

PROPOSITION 4.5. *If S satisfies the minimum condition for right ideals, or the maximum condition for left kernel congruences belonging to endomorphisms of ${}_s S$, then S is central.*

A right T -system is projective if the usual diagram of right T -systems can be completed. We call a semigroup T with identity 1 *completely right projective* if every right T -system is projective. In ring theory, completely projective is equivalent to completely injective. This is not the case for semigroups, which can be deduced from the following theorem.

THEOREM 4.6. *If T is a completely injective and completely right projective semigroup, then T is a group with zero.*

Proof. Let us denote the right annihilator of x by x^* . For any idempotent e of T , we have $eT \cap e^* = 0$. Since the right ideals of T form a chain, then $e^* = 0$ for any nonzero e in $E(T)$.

Let N be a nonzero right ideal of T . Let T/N denote the Rees factor T -system of T by N defined in [2, p. 252]. Let g denote the natural homomorphism of T onto T/N . Since T/N is projective, there exists a monomorphism h of T/N into T such that $gh = 1$ and hg is idempotent. Consequently $hg(1) = e, e \in E(T)$, and $hg(x) = ex$ for all $x \in T$.

By the definition of g , we have $hg(N) = h(\bar{0}) = 0$. On the other hand, $hg(N) = hg(1)N = eN$. Thus $eN = 0$. The discussion in the first paragraph together with the fact that $N \neq 0$ implies $e = 0$. Hence $T/N = 0$, and $N = T$. Therefore each element in the semigroup of nonzero elements has a right inverse in T and T is a group with zero.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. A. H. Clifford and G. B. Preston, *The algebraic theory of semigroups*, Vol. I, Math. Surveys No. 7, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I., 1961.
2. ———, Vol. II, 1967.

3. E. H. Feller and R. L. Gantos, *Completely injective semigroups with central idempotents*, Glasgow Math. J. (to appear)
4. N. R. Reilly, *Bisimple ω -semigroups*, Proc. Glasgow Math. Assoc. **7** (1966), 160-169.

Received May 31, 1968. Research supported by the National Science Foundation under grant GP-6816 for the first author.

UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MILWAUKEE

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

H. ROYDEN
Stanford University
Stanford, California

J. DUGUNDJI
Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, California 90007

RICHARD PIERCE
University of Washington
Seattle, Washington 98105

BASIL GORDON
University of California
Los Angeles, California 90024

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

* * *
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
CHEVRON RESEARCH CORPORATION
TRW SYSTEMS
NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, double spaced with large margins. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. It should not contain references to the bibliography. Manuscripts, in duplicate if possible, may be sent to any one of the four editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Rev. **36**, 1539-1546. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, Richard Arens, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

50 reprints are provided free for each article; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is published monthly. Effective with Volume 16 the price per volume (3 numbers) is \$8.00; single issues, \$3.00. Special price for current issues to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: \$4.00 per volume; single issues \$1.50. Back numbers are available.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley, California, 94708.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), 7-17, Fujimi 2-chome, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan.

Efraim Pacillas Armendariz, <i>Quasi-injective modules and stable torsion classes</i>	277
J. Adrian (John) Bondy, <i>On Ulam's conjecture for separable graphs</i>	281
Vasily Cateforis and Francis Louis Sandomierski, <i>On commutative rings over which the singular submodule is a direct summand for every module</i>	289
Rafael Van Severen Chacon, <i>Approximation of transformations with continuous spectrum</i>	293
Raymond Frank Dickman and Alan Zame, <i>Functionally compact spaces</i>	303
Ronald George Douglas and Walter Rudin, <i>Approximation by inner functions</i>	313
John Walter Duke, <i>A note on the similarity of matrix and its conjugate transpose</i>	321
Micheal Neal Dyer and Allan John Sieradski, <i>Coverings of mapping spaces</i>	325
Donald Campbell Dykes, <i>Weakly hypercentral subgroups of finite groups</i>	337
Nancy Dykes, <i>Mappings and realcompact spaces</i>	347
Edmund H. Feller and Richard Laham Gantos, <i>Completely injective semigroups</i>	359
Irving Leonard Glicksberg, <i>Semi-square-summable Fourier-Stieltjes transforms</i>	367
Samuel Irving Goldberg and Kentaro Yano, <i>Integrability of almost cosymplectic structures</i>	373
Seymour Haber and Charles Freeman Osgood, <i>On the sum $\sum \langle n\alpha \rangle^{-1}$ and numerical integration</i>	383
Sav Roman Harasymiv, <i>Dilations of rapidly decreasing functions</i>	395
William Leonard Harkness and R. Shantaram, <i>Convergence of a sequence of transformations of distribution functions</i>	403
Herbert Frederick Kreimer, Jr., <i>A note on the outer Galois theory of rings</i>	417
James Donald Kuelbs, <i>Abstract Wiener spaces and applications to analysis</i>	433
Roland Edwin Larson, <i>Minimal T_0-spaces and minimal T_D-spaces</i>	451
A. Meir and Ambikeshwar Sharma, <i>On Ilyeff's conjecture</i>	459
Isaac Namioka and Robert Ralph Phelps, <i>Tensor products of compact convex sets</i>	469
James L. Rovnyak, <i>On the theory of unbounded Toeplitz operators</i>	481
Benjamin L. Schwartz, <i>Infinite self-interchange graphs</i>	497
George Szeto, <i>On the Brauer splitting theorem</i>	505
Takayuki Tamura, <i>Semigroups satisfying identity $xy = f(x, y)$</i>	513
Kenneth Tolo, <i>Factorizable semigroups</i>	523
Mineko Watanabe, <i>On a boundary property of principal functions</i>	537
James Juei-Chin Yeh, <i>Singularity of Gaussian measures in function spaces with factorable covariance functions</i>	547