

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

ON THE SUM $\sum \langle n\alpha \rangle^{-t}$ AND NUMERICAL INTEGRATION

SEYMOUR HABER AND CHARLES FREEMAN OSGOOD

ON THE SUM $\sum \langle n\alpha \rangle^{-t}$ AND NUMERICAL INTEGRATION

SEYMOUR HABER AND CHARLES F. OSGOOD

Let " $\langle x \rangle$ " denote the distance of the real number x from the nearest integer. If α is an irrational number, the growth of the sum

$$\sum_{K < n \leq AK} \langle n\alpha \rangle^{-t}$$

(A is a fixed number, > 1) as $K \rightarrow \infty$ depends on the nature of the rational approximations to α . We shall find estimates of this sum, for certain classes of irrational numbers. Part of the motivation for these estimates is an application to Korobov's theory of numerical evaluation of multiple integrals.

A few years ago N. M. Korobov [8], [9] (and independently E. Hlawka [4]) invented a number-theoretical method for the numerical integration of periodic functions of several variables. Let $E_s^n(C)$, $n > 1$, be the set of all functions f of s real variables having period 1 in each variable, and whose Fourier expansion

$$(1) \quad f(x) = \sum_m C(m) e^{2\pi i x \cdot m}$$

(here x and m are s -tuples, of real numbers and of integers respectively, and the sum is over all possible m) satisfies the condition

$$(2) \quad |C(m)| \leq C \left(\prod_{i=1}^s \max(1, |m_i|) \right)^n.$$

We shall denote the product inside the parentheses in (2) by " $\|m\|$ ". (It is not a norm in the usual sense.)

Let G_s be the unit cube in s -space. Korobov considered the approximation of

$$I = I(f) = \int_{G_s} f(x) dx$$

by the sum

$$(3) \quad Q(f) = Q(f, N, a) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{r=1}^N f(ra);$$

the problem is to choose $a = a(N) = (a_1(N), \dots, a_s(N))$ so that $|Q - I|$ will go to zero rapidly as N increases. He made the following definition: ([9], p. 96; we have modified the form slightly).

DEFINITION. Let N_1, N_2, \dots be an increasing sequence of positive integers. Then a sequence $a(N_1), a(N_2), \dots$ of s -tuples of integers is

called an “ s -dimensional optimal coefficient sequence” (and each $\mathbf{a}(N_i)$ is called a “set of optimal coefficients mod N_i ”) if:

(1) for $i = 1, 2, \dots$, each component of $\mathbf{a}(N_i)$ is relatively prime to N_i

$$(4) \quad (2) \quad \sum'_{m_1, \dots, m_s = -(N_i-1)}^{N_i-1} \frac{\delta(\mathbf{m} \cdot \mathbf{a}(N_i), N_i)}{\|\mathbf{m}\|} = O\left(\frac{\log^\beta N_i}{N_i}\right)$$

as $N_i \rightarrow \infty$, for some fixed number β ; where $\delta(p, q) = 1$ if q divides p , and is 0 otherwise. (The prime on the sum indicates that the term with $\mathbf{m} = (0, \dots, 0)$ is omitted.)

The lowest β for which (4) holds is called the “index” of the optimal coefficient sequence.

Korobov then proves ([9], p. 101):

THEOREM A. *Let $\mathbf{a}(N_1), \mathbf{a}(N_2), \dots$ be an optimal coefficient sequence of index β . Then for any $f \in E_s^n(C)$,*

$$(5) \quad |I(f) - Q(f, N_i, \mathbf{a}(N_i))| \leq C' C \frac{\log^{\beta n} N_i}{N_i^n}$$

where C' is a constant depending on s, n , and the sequence.

He further proved that if N_1, N_2, \dots is the sequence of prime numbers, then there does in fact exist an optimal coefficient sequence, of index at most equal to s ; thus quadrature formulas Q of the form (3) exist for which

$$(6) \quad |Q - I| = O\left(\frac{\log^{ns} N}{N^n}\right)$$

for the function class $E_s^n(C)$.

N. S. Bahvalov [1] showed that the exponent ns in (6) can be improved to $n(s-1)$; I. F. Sharygin [10] showed that it cannot be lowered beyond $s-1$. The gap between $n(s-1)$ and $s-1$ has been closed only in the case $s=2$ (and the case $s=1$, which is trivial):

Using the expansion (1) in (3), we obtain

$$(7) \quad Q(f) = C(0, \dots, 0) + \sum' C(\mathbf{m}) \delta(\mathbf{m} \cdot \mathbf{a}, N).$$

Since $C(0, \dots, 0) = I(f)$, we have, for $f \in E_s^n$

$$(8) \quad |Q - I| \leq \sum' |C(\mathbf{m})| \delta(\mathbf{m} \cdot \mathbf{a}, N) < C \sum' \frac{\delta(\mathbf{m} \cdot \mathbf{a}, N)}{\|\mathbf{m}\|^n}.$$

It's easy to show that

$$(9) \quad \sum' \frac{\delta(\mathbf{m} \cdot \mathbf{a}, N)}{\|\mathbf{m}\|^n} = \sum'_{\substack{|\mathbf{m}_i| \leq (N-1/2) \\ i=1, \dots, s}} \frac{\delta(\mathbf{m} \cdot \mathbf{a}, N)}{\|\mathbf{m}\|^n} + O\left(\frac{\log^{s-1} N}{N^n}\right)$$

so that only the finite sum in (9) need be considered. Furthermore, if we let b be the integer such that $ba_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{N}$, it is clear from (3) that Q is unchanged if \mathbf{a} is multiplied by b and then each of its components is reduced mod N . Thus we may take $a_1 = 1$.

Thus in the case $s = 2$, we have to estimate the sum

$$(10) \quad \sum'_{|\mathbf{m}_1|, |\mathbf{m}_2| \leq (N-1/2)} \frac{\delta(m_1 + a_2 m_2, N)}{\|\mathbf{m}\|^n}.$$

Now N divides $m_1 + a_2 m_2$ if and only if (m_1/N) and $(m_2 a_2/N)$ sum to an integer; and then (since each m is smaller than $N/2$),

$$\left\langle m_2 \frac{a_2}{N} \right\rangle = \frac{m_1}{N}$$

(where " $\langle x \rangle$ " denotes the distance from x to the nearest integer). For each $m_2 \neq 0$ there is exactly one m_1 such that $\delta(m_1 + a_2 m_2, N) = 1$.

Thus (10) can be rewritten as

$$(11) \quad N^{-n} \sum_{m=1}^{N-1} \left(m \left\langle m \frac{a_2}{N} \right\rangle \right)^{-n}.$$

To estimate this we use the following result of Hardy and Littlewood ([2] - [3]):

THEOREM. *If α is an irrational number, or a rational number whose denominator (when α is expressed in lowest terms) is greater than K , and the partial quotients of the continued fraction expansion of α are bounded by a fixed number M , then*

$$(12) \quad \begin{matrix} C_1 K \log K, & t = 1 \\ C_2 K^t, & t > 1 \end{matrix} < \sum_{n=1}^K \frac{1}{|\sin 2\pi n\alpha|^t} < \begin{cases} C_3 K \log K, & t = 1 \\ C_4 K^t, & t > 1 \end{cases}$$

where the C 's depend only on M and on t .

The left-hand inequality is stated without proof by Hardy and Littlewood, and is in fact true without any hypothesis on the partial quotients of α . For completeness we include a proof here (the scheme of this proof will be used again in this paper):

Since $|\sin 2\pi x|/\langle x \rangle$ is bounded away from zero and from infinity, the sum in (12) may be replaced by

$$(13) \quad \sum_{n=1}^K \langle n\alpha \rangle^{-t}.$$

Let $B \in (0, 1)$ be a real number to be specified later. Partition $[0, 1]$ into $[BK]$ equal subintervals (where “ $[x]$ ” is the greatest integer less than or equal to x). Some one subinterval must contain $\{\alpha n\}$ -the fractional part of αn - for at least $[1/B]$ distinct values of n between 1 and K . It follows by subtraction that for at least $[1/B] - 1$ values of n ,

$$\langle n\alpha \rangle < 1/[BK] .$$

Choose $[1/B] - 1$ such values, and note that each of them contributes at least $[BK]^t$ to the sum in (13). Now partition $[0, 1]$ into $[BK/2]$ equal subintervals. We see, as before, that there are at least $[2/B] - 1$ values of n for which

$$\langle n\alpha \rangle < 2/[BK] ,$$

and that at least $[2/B] - [1/B] \geq [1/B]$ of them are distinct from the n 's previously chosen. We now choose $[1/B]$ of these new n 's; the resulting set contributes least

$$[1/B] \left(\frac{[BK]}{2} \right)^t$$

to the sum in (13).

Repeating this process with $[BK/4]$ subintervals, we find a second group of n 's, distinct from the previous ones, which contributes at least

$$[2/B] \left(\frac{[BK]}{4} \right)^t .$$

Continuing in this manner for $[\log BK]$ steps, we see that

$$\sum_{n=1}^K \langle \alpha n \rangle^{-t} \geq [BK]^t \sum_{s=1}^{[\log BK]} [2^{s-1}/B] 2^{-st} .$$

Taking $B = 1/2$, the sum on the right becomes

$$\sum_{s=1}^{[\log K/2]} \left(\frac{1}{2^{t-1}} \right)^s$$

which is bounded below for any $t > 1$, and is of the order of $\log K$ for $t = 1$ and the inequality follows.

Returning to (11), we rewrite the sum as

$$(14) \quad \sum_{m=1}^2 + \sum_{m=3}^4 + \sum_{m=5}^8 + \cdots + \sum_{m=p+1}^{N-1}$$

where p is the highest power of 2 below $N - 1$. If we now assume

that $a_2 = a_2(N)$ is such that the partial quotients of the continued fraction expansion of a_2/N are bounded by some number M independent of N , we can apply (12) to these sums and conclude that each one is

$$\leq \begin{cases} 2C_3 \log N, & n = 1 \\ 2^n C_4, & n > 1 \end{cases}.$$

Since the number of these sums is $< 2 \log N$, we conclude that

$$(15) \quad \sum_{m=1}^{N+1} \left(m \left\langle m \frac{a_2}{N} \right\rangle \right)^{-n} \leq \begin{cases} C' \log^2 N, & n = 1 \\ C'' \log N, & n > 1 \end{cases}.$$

By (8)–(11), the case $n > 1$ implies:

THEOREM B. (*N.S. Bahvalov; L.K. Hua and Y. Wang [5]*). *If N_1, N_2, \dots is an increasing sequence of positive integers, and $a(N_1), a(N_2), \dots$ are integers relatively prime to N_1, N_2, \dots respectively and such that the partial quotients of the simple continued fraction of $a(N_i)/N_i$ are bounded uniformly for all i , then there is a constant C' such that if $f \in E_2^n(C)$,*

$$(16) \quad |I(f) - Q(f, N_i, (1, a(N_i)))| \leq C' C \frac{\log N}{N^n}.$$

In particular, if α is an irrational number having bounded partial quotients and p_i/q_i is the i 'th convergent to α , then (16) holds with $N_i = q_i, a(N_i) = p_i$.

Although Sharygin's theorem shows that (16) is best possible, it is desirable to have a direct proof that (15) cannot be improved. This will have implications for the "index" of optimal coefficient sequences. To do this it is sufficient to get lower bounds on sums of the form occurring in (14). We thus show

THEOREM 1. *If $t \geq 1$ and $A > 1$, and M and r are fixed positive numbers, then*

$$(17) \quad \sum_{n=k+1}^{[AK]} \langle n\alpha \rangle^{-t} > \begin{cases} CK \log K, & t = 1 \\ CK^{1+(t-1)/r}, & t > 1 \end{cases}$$

if the convergents $p_1/q_1, p_2/q_2, \dots$ of α satisfy

$$(18) \quad q_{i+1} < Mq_i^r$$

and α is either irrational or is a rational number whose denominator (when α is expressed in lowest terms) is greater than AK . $C =$

$C(t, A, M, r)$ is independent of α and of K .

Proof. Set $D = (A - 1)/2$. Following the proof of the left half of (12), we see that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{[DK]} \langle n\alpha \rangle^{-t} \geq [BDK]^t \sum_{s=1}^{[\log BDK]} 2^{-st} \left[\frac{2^{s-1}}{B} \right].$$

The s 'th term in the sum on the right arose from the consideration of $[2^s/B]n$'s—all between 1 and DK —each of which satisfies the condition

$$\langle n\alpha \rangle < \frac{2^s}{[BDK]}.$$

we shall show that to each such n there corresponds a distinct n' , with $K < n' \leq AK$, such that

$$(19) \quad \langle n'\alpha \rangle < 2\langle n\alpha \rangle;$$

and it will follow that

$$(20) \quad \sum_{n=K+1}^{[AK]} \langle \alpha n \rangle^{-t} > \frac{1}{2} [BDK]^t \sum_{s=1}^{[\log BDK]} 2^{-st} \left[\frac{2^{s-1}}{B} \right].$$

To define n' , we let q_i be the greatest denominator of a convergent of α which is less than DK . Then

$$\langle q_i \alpha \rangle < \frac{1}{q_{i+1}} \leq \frac{1}{DK},$$

and by our hypothesis on the q 's, there is a constant E such that $q_i > EK^{1/r}$. There is therefore a number $N < E^{-1}K^{1-1/r}$ such that for every one of the n 's under consideration $n + Nq_i$ is between $K + 1$ and $[AK]$. We set $n' = n + Nq_i$; then

$$\langle n'\alpha \rangle \leq \langle n\alpha \rangle + N\langle q_i \alpha \rangle \leq \frac{2^s}{[BDK]} + \frac{1}{EDK^{1/r}}.$$

If we now choose B to satisfy $BDK = EDK^{1/r}$, (19) will hold, and (20) becomes

$$\sum_{n=K+1}^{[AK]} \langle \alpha n \rangle^{-t} > \frac{1}{2} (ED)^t K^{t/r} \sum_{s=1}^M 2^{-st} \left[\frac{2^{s-1}}{B} \right],$$

where $M = [1/r \log K + \log ED]$. Since

$$\sum_{s=1}^M 2^{-st} \left[\frac{2^{s-1}}{B} \right] = \sum_{s=1}^M 2^{-st} \left(\frac{2^{s-1}}{B} \right) + O(1),$$

the theorem follows.

COROLLARY. If N_1, N_2, \dots and $a(N_1), a(N_2), \dots$ are sequences satisfying the hypotheses of Theorem B, then $(1, a(N_1)), (1, a(N_2)), \dots$ is an optimal coefficient sequence of index 2.

Proof. By (9), and the equality of (10) and (11),

$$(21) \quad \sum'_{m_1, m_2=1-N}^{N-1} \frac{\delta(m_1 + m_2 a(N_i), N_i)}{\|m\|} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{m=1}^{N-1} \left(m \left\langle m \frac{a(N_i)}{N_i} \right\rangle \right)^{-1} + O\left(\frac{\log N_i}{N_i}\right).$$

If b_j is the j 'th partial quotient in the continued fraction of $a(N_i)/N_i$, and p_j/q_j the r 'th convergent, then

$$q_{j+1} = b_j q_j + q_{j-1} \leq (b_j + 1)q_j < Mq_j$$

for some constant M , by the assumptions on the $a(N_i)$. Thus the $a(N_i)/N_i$ satisfy the hypothesis of Theorem 1., with $r = 1$. Breaking up the sum on the right of (21) as in (14) and using (17) (with $t = 1$), we see that

$$(22) \quad \sum_{m=1}^{N_i-1} \left(m \left\langle m \frac{a(N_i)}{N_i} \right\rangle \right)^{-1} > C \left(\frac{\log 2}{2} + \frac{\log 4}{2} + \dots + \frac{\log p}{2} \right) > C_1 \log^2 N_i$$

for some C_1 independent of i . Thus

$$(23) \quad \sum_{m_1, m_2=1-N}^{N-1} \frac{\delta(m_1 + m_2 a(N_i), (N_i))}{\|m\|} > \frac{C_1}{2} \frac{\log^2 N_i}{N_i}.$$

The case $n = 1$ of (15) is a reverse of (22), and (23) can similarly be reversed by using (15) in place of (22); so that $(1, a(N_1)), (1, a(N_2)), \dots$ is an optimal coefficient sequence of index ≤ 2 . By (23), its index is also ≥ 2 .

It follows that for these sequences, Korobov's Theorem A proves much less than Theorem B. Korobov's proof of Theorem A seems to leave no opening for reducing the exponent on the right side of (5) below β . It thus seems that the concept of "index" for optimal coefficients does not seem helpful for indicating the accuracy of the optimal coefficient sequence in evaluation of integrals.

(It appears likely that any 2-dimensional optimal coefficient sequence is of index 2 or higher.)

Theorem 1 suggests further consideration of sums of the form

$$\sum_{n=K+1}^{[AK]} \langle n\alpha \rangle^{-t}.$$

In the following theorems A is any fixed number greater than 1.

THEOREM 2. *If α is any irrational number, then*

$$\frac{1}{K} \sum_{n=K+1}^{[AK]} \frac{1}{\langle n\alpha \rangle} \rightarrow \infty$$

as $K \rightarrow \infty$; but if f is any (however slowly) increasing function such that $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} f(x) = \infty$, then there is an α such that

$$\liminf_{K \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{Kf(K)} \sum_{n=K+1}^{[AK]} \frac{1}{\langle n\alpha \rangle} = 0.$$

Proof. For the 1'st part, let p_i/q_i be the i 'th convergent of α , and let g be a monotonic increasing function such that $q_{i+1} < g(q_i)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots$. Then the proof of Theorem 1 (in the case $t = 1$) can be carried through with $s^{-1}(K)$ in place of $K^{1/r}$ until

$$\sum_{n=K+1}^{[AK]} \langle n\alpha \rangle^{-1} > \frac{1}{2} ED g^{-1}(K) \sum_{s=1}^M 2^{-s} \left[\frac{2^{s-1}}{B} \right]$$

is obtained, with $M = [ED \log g^{-1}(K)]$; and it follows that

$$\sum_{n=K+1}^{AK} \langle n\alpha \rangle^{-1} > CK \log g^{-1}(K)$$

for some constant C .

For the second part, we first specify that α_i , the i 'th partial quotient of the simple continued fraction of α , be $\geq 1000A$, $i = 1, 2, \dots$. For large i we can then choose K so that $q_{i+1}/10 < AK < q_{i+1}/5$. Let

$$I_s = \frac{sp_i + p_{i-1}}{sq_i + q_{i-1}}, \frac{a_{i+1}}{10A} < s < a_{i+1}$$

be any "intermediate fraction" (see, e.g., [6], p. 22) whose denominator lies between K and $[AK] + q_i$. Then

$$sq_i + q_{i-1} < \frac{1}{5}(a_{i+1}q_i + q_{i-1}) + q_i;$$

so that

$$s + \frac{q_{i-1}}{q_i} - 1 < \frac{1}{5} \left(a_{i+1} + \frac{q_{i-1}}{q_i} \right)$$

and therefore (since $s > 100$)

$$s + \frac{q_{i-1}}{q_i} < \frac{1}{4} \left(a_{i+1} + \frac{q_{i-1}}{q_i} \right).$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \left| I_s - \frac{p_{i+1}}{q_{i+1}} \right| &= \frac{1}{q_i^2} \sum_{r=s}^{a_{i+1}-1} \frac{1}{\left(r + \frac{q_{i-1}}{q_i}\right) \left(r + 1 + \frac{q_{i-1}}{q_i}\right)} \\ &= \frac{1}{q_i^2} \left(\frac{1}{s + \frac{q_{i-1}}{q_i}} - \frac{1}{a_{i+1} + \frac{q_{i-1}}{q_i}} \right) \\ &> \frac{3/4}{q_i^2} \frac{1}{s + \frac{q_{i-1}}{q_i}} > \frac{3/4}{(s+1)q_i^2}; \end{aligned}$$

and since

$$\left| \frac{p_{i+1}}{q_{i+1}} - \alpha \right| < \frac{1}{q_{i+1}q_{i+2}} < \frac{1}{a_{i+1}^2 a_{i+2} q_i^2} < \frac{1}{100s q_i^2},$$

we have

$$|I_s - \alpha| > \frac{1}{2s q_i^2}.$$

Now if m/n is any rational number with

$$s q_i + q_{i-1} \leq n < (s+1)q_i + q_{i-1}$$

then either $|m/n - \alpha| \geq |I_s - \alpha|$ or $|m/n - \alpha| \geq |p_i/q_i - \alpha|$. In the first case

$$\langle n\alpha \rangle \geq \langle s q_i + q_{i-1} \rangle \alpha > \frac{1}{2q_i} > \frac{1}{20A q_i}$$

and in the second

$$\langle n\alpha \rangle \geq n |p_i/q_i - \alpha| > \frac{n}{2q_i q_{i+1}} > \frac{q_{i+1}/10A}{2q_i q_{i+1}} = \frac{1}{20A q_i}.$$

We therefore have

$$\sum_{n=K+1}^{[AK]} \frac{1}{\langle n\alpha \rangle} < 20A(A-1)K q_i;$$

and we now specify that a_{i+1} be also sufficiently large that

$$q_i < \frac{1}{i} f\left(\frac{a_{i+1}}{10A}\right) < \frac{1}{i} f(K),$$

and the construction is complete.

We conclude by showing that the results of Theorem 1 cannot be

improved. If $t = 1$ or $r = 1$, this is clear from the theorem of Hardy and Littlewood. In the remaining case we have:

THEOREM 3. *If t and r are any real numbers greater than 1, then there is a constant C and an irrational number α whose convergents p_i/q_i satisfy*

$$q_{i+1} < Mq_i^r$$

(for some fixed M) such that

$$\sum_{n=K+1}^{[AK]} \langle n\alpha \rangle^{-t} < CK^{1+(t-1)/r}$$

for arbitrarily large values of K .

Proof. As before, we specify that each partial quotient of α be $\geq 1000A$, and for each of a sequence of numbers m_1, m_2, \dots (which we shall later construct inductively), we choose K to satisfy

$$q_{m_i+1}/10 < AK < q_{m_i+1}/5.$$

Then by the previous argument,

$$\langle n\alpha \rangle \geq \frac{1}{20Aq_{m_i}}$$

for all n between $K+1$ and $[AK]$.

Now if n_1 and $n_2, n_1 > n_2$, both satisfy

$$(24) \quad \langle n\alpha \rangle \leq \frac{1}{4q_{m_i-1}}.$$

then

$$\langle (n_1 - n_2)\alpha \rangle \leq \frac{1}{2q_{m_i-1}}$$

This implies that $n_1 - n_2 > q_{m_i} - 2$; for otherwise $\langle (n_1 - n_2)\alpha \rangle \leq \langle q_{m_i-2}\alpha \rangle$, and $\langle q_{m_i-2}\alpha \rangle > (1/2)q_{m_i-1}$ since

$$\left| \frac{p_{m_i-2}}{q_{m_i-2}} - \frac{p_{m_i-1}}{q_{m_i-1}} \right| = \frac{1}{q_{m_i-2}q_{m_i-1}}$$

while

$$\left| \frac{p_{m_i-1}}{q_{m_i-1}} - \alpha \right| < \frac{1}{q_{m_i-1}q_{m_i}} < \frac{1}{2q_{m_i-2}q_{m_i-1}}.$$

Therefore there are at most $(A-1)K/q_{m_i-2}$ n 's satisfying (24); and

their contribution to the sum in (17) is at most

$$\frac{(A-1)K}{q_{m_i-2}} (20Aq_{m_i})^t.$$

Similarly, the n 's between $K+1$ and $[AK]$ which satisfy

$$\frac{1}{4q_{m_i-1}} < \langle n\alpha \rangle \leq \frac{1}{4q_{m_i-2}}$$

contribute at most

$$\frac{(A-1)K}{q_{m_i-3}} (4q_{m_i-1})^t < \frac{(A-1)K}{q_{m_i-3}} (20Aq_{m_i-1})^t,$$

etc.; therefore

$$(25) \quad \sum_{n=K+1}^{[AK]} \langle n\alpha \rangle^{-t} \leq (20A)^t (A-1)K \left(\frac{q_{m_i}^t}{q_{m_i-2}} + \frac{q_{m_i-1}^t}{q_{m_i-3}} + \dots \right).$$

Now we suppose that the q 's have been determined through $q_{m_{i-1}+1}$. For some constant $C_0 > 1000A$, we determine

$$q_{m_{i-1}+2}, \dots, q_{m_{i-1}+L+1} = q_{m_i}$$

so that

$$q_{m_i-(s+1)} = \frac{q_{m_i-s}}{C_0 + \theta_s} \quad (0 \leq s \leq L-1)$$

where $-1 \leq \theta_s \leq 1$ and L is the least positive integer satisfying

$$(C_0 - 1)^L > (q_{m_i})^{(t+1/2t)}.$$

Then the sum of the first $L+1$ terms in the sum on the right of (25) is no greater than

$$(C_0 + 1)^2 q_{m_i}^{t-1} + \frac{(C_0 + 1)^2}{(C_0 - 1)^{t-1}} q_{m_i}^{t-1} + \frac{(C_0 + 1)^2}{(C_0 - 1)^{2t-2}} q_{m_i}^{t-1} + \dots \leq C_1 q_{m_i}^{t-1};$$

and the sum of the remaining terms is no greater than

$$3q_{m_i}^{(t-1/2)} \log q_{m_i} = o(q_{m_i}^{t-1})$$

(since there are less than $3 \log q_{m_i}$ terms). Therefore

$$\sum_{n=K+1}^{[AK]} \langle n\alpha \rangle^{-t} < C_2 K q_{m_i}^{t-1};$$

we finally specify that $q_{m_i}^r < q_{m_i+1} < 2q_{m_i}^r$ and $m_{i-1} < m_i - L$ and conclude that

$$q_{m_i} < C_3 K^{1/r},$$

so that

$$\sum_{n=K+1}^{[AK]} \langle n\alpha \rangle^{-t} < C_4 K^{1+(t-1)/r}.$$

REFERENCES

1. N. S. Bahvalov, *On approximate calculation of multiple integrals* (Russian), Vestnik Moscow Univ. **4**, (1959), 3-18.
2. G. H. Hardy and J. E. Littlewood, *Some problems of Diophantine approximation: The lattice points of a right-angled triangle*, Abhandl. Math. Seminar. Hamburg Univ. **1** (1922), 212-249.
3. ———, *Some problems of Diophantine approximation: A series of cosecants*, Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc. **20** (1930), 251-266.
4. E. Hlawka, *Uniform distribution modulo 1 and numerical analysis*, Compositio Mathematica **16**, 92-105.
5. L. K. Hua and Y. Wang, *Remarks concerning numerical integration*, Science Record. (N. S.) **4** (1960), 8-11.
6. A. Ya. Khinchin, *Continued fractions*, University of Chicago Press, Chicago, 1964.
7. J. F. Koksma, *Diophantische Approximationen*, Chelsea Publishing Co., New York.
8. N. M. Korobov, *On approximate calculation of multiple integrals* (Russian), Doklady A. N. U. S. S. R. **124** (1959), 1207-1210.
9. ———, *Number-theoretical methods of approximate analysis* (Russian), Moscow, 1963.
10. I. F. Sharygin, *A lower estimate for the error of quadrature formulae for certain classes of functions*, J. Comp. Math. and Math. Physics **3** (1963), 489-497.

Received December 12, 1968.

NATIONAL BUREAU OF STANDARDS
WASHINGTON, D. C.

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

H. ROYDEN
Stanford University
Stanford, California

J. DUGUNDJI
Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, California 90007

RICHARD PIERCE
University of Washington
Seattle, Washington 98105

BASIL GORDON
University of California
Los Angeles, California 90024

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
* * *
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
CHEVRON RESEARCH CORPORATION
TRW SYSTEMS
NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, double spaced with large margins. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. It should not contain references to the bibliography. Manuscripts, in duplicate if possible, may be sent to any one of the four editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Rev. **36**, 1539-1546. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, Richard Arens, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

50 reprints are provided free for each article; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is published monthly. Effective with Volume 16 the price per volume (3 numbers) is \$8.00; single issues, \$3.00. Special price for current issues to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: \$4.00 per volume; single issues \$1.50. Back numbers are available.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley, California, 94708.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), 7-17, Fujimi 2-chome, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan.

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 31, No. 2

December, 1969

Efraim Pacillas Armendariz, <i>Quasi-injective modules and stable torsion classes</i>	277
J. Adrian (John) Bondy, <i>On Ulam's conjecture for separable graphs</i>	281
Vasily Cateforis and Francis Louis Sandomierski, <i>On commutative rings over which the singular submodule is a direct summand for every module</i>	289
Rafael Van Severen Chacon, <i>Approximation of transformations with continuous spectrum</i>	293
Raymond Frank Dickman and Alan Zame, <i>Functionally compact spaces</i>	303
Ronald George Douglas and Walter Rudin, <i>Approximation by inner functions</i>	313
John Walter Duke, <i>A note on the similarity of matrix and its conjugate transpose</i>	321
Micheal Neal Dyer and Allan John Sieradski, <i>Coverings of mapping spaces</i>	325
Donald Campbell Dykes, <i>Weakly hypercentral subgroups of finite groups</i>	337
Nancy Dykes, <i>Mappings and realcompact spaces</i>	347
Edmund H. Feller and Richard Laham Gantos, <i>Completely injective semigroups</i>	359
Irving Leonard Glicksberg, <i>Semi-square-summable Fourier-Stieltjes transforms</i>	367
Samuel Irving Goldberg and Kentaro Yano, <i>Integrability of almost cosymplectic structures</i>	373
Seymour Haber and Charles Freeman Osgood, <i>On the sum $\sum \langle n\alpha \rangle^{-t}$ and numerical integration</i>	383
Sav Roman Harasymiv, <i>Dilations of rapidly decreasing functions</i>	395
William Leonard Harkness and R. Shantaram, <i>Convergence of a sequence of transformations of distribution functions</i>	403
Herbert Frederick Kreimer, Jr., <i>A note on the outer Galois theory of rings</i>	417
James Donald Kuelbs, <i>Abstract Wiener spaces and applications to analysis</i>	433
Roland Edwin Larson, <i>Minimal T_0-spaces and minimal T_D-spaces</i>	451
A. Meir and Ambikeshwar Sharma, <i>On Ilyeff's conjecture</i>	459
Isaac Namioka and Robert Ralph Phelps, <i>Tensor products of compact convex sets</i>	469
James L. Rovnyak, <i>On the theory of unbounded Toeplitz operators</i>	481
Benjamin L. Schwartz, <i>Infinite self-interchange graphs</i>	497
George Szeto, <i>On the Brauer splitting theorem</i>	505
Takayuki Tamura, <i>Semigroups satisfying identity $xy = f(x, y)$</i>	513
Kenneth Tolo, <i>Factorizable semigroups</i>	523
Mineko Watanabe, <i>On a boundary property of principal functions</i>	537
James Juei-Chin Yeh, <i>Singularity of Gaussian measures in function spaces with factorable covariance functions</i>	547