

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**SINGULARITY OF GAUSSIAN MEASURES IN FUNCTION
SPACES WITH FACTORABLE COVARIANCE FUNCTIONS**

JAMES JUEI-CHIN YEH

SINGULARITY OF GAUSSIAN MEASURES IN FUNCTION SPACES WITH FACTORABLE COVARIANCE FUNCTIONS

J. YEH

Singularity of Gaussian measures μ_1 and μ_2 on the function space R^D of real valued functions $x(t)$ on an arbitrary interval D with factorable covariance functions $r_i(s, t)$, i.e., $r_i(s, t) = u_i(s)v_i(t)$ for $s \leq t$ and $r_i(s, t) = v_i(s)u_i(t)$ for $s \geq t$, $i = 1, 2$, is treated. Local conditions on the factor functions $u_i(t)$ and $v_i(t)$ which insure the singularity of μ_1 and μ_2 are given.

Consider the measurable space (R^D, \mathfrak{F}) where R^D is the space of all real valued functions $x(t)$ on a fixed but unspecified interval D of the real line and \mathfrak{F} is the smallest σ -field of subsets of R^D with respect to which all real valued functions $Y(t, x) = x(t)$ defined on R^D with parameter $t \in D$ are measurable. A probability measure μ on (R^D, \mathfrak{F}) is called a Gaussian measure on the function space R^D if the stochastic process $Y(t, x) = x(t)$ on the probability space (R^D, \mathfrak{F}, μ) with the domain of definition D is a Gaussian process. From the viewpoint of stochastic processes if $X(t, \omega)$ is a stochastic process on an arbitrary probability space $(\Omega, \mathfrak{B}, P)$ with the domain of definition D then a probability measure μ_x is induced on the measurable space (R^D, \mathfrak{F}) by embedding the sample functions $X(\cdot, \omega)$, $\omega \in \Omega$, in R^D . The stochastic process $Y(t, x) = x(t)$ defined on the probability space $(R^D, \mathfrak{F}, \mu_x)$ with the domain of definition D is equivalent to the original process $X(t, \omega)$ so that if $X(t, \omega)$ is a Gaussian process so is $Y(t, x)$. Thus a Gaussian measure on (R^D, \mathfrak{F}) can be defined equivalently as the probability measure μ_x induced on (R^D, \mathfrak{F}) by a Gaussian process $X(t, \omega)$.

J. Feldman [3] and J. Hájek [4], [5] showed independently that any two Gaussian measures are either equivalent or singular. In [7] we applied Hájek's criterion for equivalence or singularity to investigate the singularity of Gaussian measures induced by Brownian motion processes with nonstationary increments. In the present paper we consider the singularity of Gaussian measures μ_1 and μ_2 on (R^D, \mathfrak{F}) for which the covariance functions $r_i(s, t)$ of the stochastic process $Y(t, x) = x(t)$ are factorable. Our main result is the following theorem

THEOREM. *Let μ_1 and μ_2 be Gaussian measures on (R^D, \mathfrak{F}) with zero mean functions and factorable covariance functions $r_i(s, t)$, $i = 1, 2$, given by*

$$(1.1) \quad r_i(s, t) = \begin{cases} u_i(s)v_i(t) & s \leq t, s, t \in D, i = 1, 2 \\ v_i(s)u_i(t) & s \geq t, s, t \in D, i = 1, 2 \end{cases}$$

where $u_i(t)$ and $v_i(t)$ are nonnegative functions on D satisfying

$$(1.2) \quad u_i(t'')v_i(t') - u_i(t')v_i(t'') \geq 0 \quad t', t'' \in D, t' < t'', i = 1, 2.$$

If there exists $t_0 \in D$ such that $v_i(t) > 0$ and $u_i(t)[v_i(t)]^{-1}$ are strictly increasing on $(t_0, t_0 + \delta)$ for some $\delta > 0$, the right derivatives $D^+u_i(t_0)$ and $D^+v_i(t_0)$ of $u_i(t)$ and $v_i(t)$ at t_0 exist and

$$(1.3) \quad u_i(t_0) = 0, D^+u_i(t_0) = \lambda_i > 0, \quad i = 1, 2$$

$$(1.4) \quad v_i(t_0) = r_i > 0, \quad i = 1, 2$$

then the condition

$$\lambda_1 r_1 \neq \lambda_2 r_2$$

implies the singularity of μ_1 and μ_2 .

We remark that the above theorem can also be stated in terms of the left derivatives of $u_i(t)$ and $v_i(t)$. When $v_i(t)$ are positive on D the condition (1.2) is equivalent to the condition that $u_i(t)[v_i(t)]^{-1}$ be nondecreasing on D . For a symmetric function $r(s, t)$, $s, t \in D$, defined as in (1.1) by means of two nonnegative functions $u(t)$ and $v(t)$ on D to be the covariance function of a Gaussian process it is necessary and sufficient that for any $t_1, \dots, t_n \in D, t_1 < \dots < t_n$, the $n \times n$ matrix $[r(t_k, t_l), k, l = 1, 2, \dots, n]$ be nonnegative definite. The condition (1.2) is equivalent to this condition (see p. 525, [1]). In particular for every $n \times n$ matrix $[r(t_k, t_l), k, l = 1, 2, \dots, n]$ to be positive definite it is necessary and sufficient that $u(t)$ and $v(t)$ be positive on D and the strict inequality in (1.2) hold. In connection with our theorems we mention an earlier result by G. Baxter, corollary [1], which showed that if $u_i(t)$ and $v_i(t)$ have bounded second derivatives on $D = [0, 1]$ then for the two subsets $E_i, i = 1, 2$, of R^D defined by

$$\begin{aligned} E_i &= \left\{ x \in R^D; \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{2^n} \left[x\left(\frac{k}{2^n}\right) - x\left(\frac{k-1}{2^n}\right) \right]^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. = \int_0^1 \{u_i'(t)v_i(t) - u_i(t)v_i'(t)\} dt \right\} \end{aligned}$$

the equalities $\mu_i(E_i) = 1, i = 1, 2$, hold so that the condition

$$\int_0^1 \{u_1'(t)v_1(t) - u_1(t)v_1'(t)\} dt \neq \int_0^1 \{u_2'(t)v_2(t) - u_2(t)v_2'(t)\} dt$$

implies $E_1 \cap E_2 = \emptyset$ as well as $\mu_i(E_j) = \delta_{ij}$.

The proof of the theorem is given in § 3. For some examples of factorable covariance functions to which our theorem can be applied see J. A. Beekman, pp. 805-806, [2].

2. A lemma concerning the inversion of a class of symmetric matrices.

LEMMA. Given real or complex numbers

$$a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \quad \text{and} \quad b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n .$$

Let $M = [m_{k,l}, k, l = 1, 2, \dots, n]$ be an $n \times n$ symmetric matrix with entries

$$m_{k,l} = a_k b_l \quad \text{for } k \leq l, \quad k, l = 1, 2, \dots, n .$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} C_j &= a_j b_{j-1} - a_{j-1} b_j & j &= 2, 3, \dots, n \\ D_j &= a_j b_{j-2} - a_{j-2} b_j & j &= 3, 4, \dots, n \end{aligned}$$

then

$$\det M = a_1 b_n \prod_{j=2, \dots, n} C_j .$$

For the determinants $M_{k,l}$ of the minor matrices corresponding to the entries $m_{k,l}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} M_{1,1} &= a_2 b_n \prod_{j=3, \dots, n} C_j, & M_{1,2} &= a_1 b_n \prod_{j=3, \dots, n} C_j, \\ M_{1,l} &= 0 \text{ for } l = 3, \dots, n, & M_{k,k} &= a_1 b_n D_{k+1} \prod_{\substack{j=2, \dots, n \\ j \neq k, k+1}} C_j, \\ M_{k,k+1} &= a_1 b_n \prod_{\substack{j=2, \dots, n \\ j \neq k+1}} C_j, & M_{k,l} &= 0 \text{ for } l = k+2, \dots, n, \end{aligned}$$

for $k = 2, \dots, n-1$, and finally

$$M_{n,n} = a_1 b_{n-1} \prod_{j=2, \dots, n-1} C_j .$$

In particular M is invertible if and only if $a_1, b_n, C_j \neq 0$ for $j = 2, \dots, n$. In this case

$$(2.1) \quad M^{-1} = \left[\frac{(-1)^{k+l}}{\det M} M_{k,l}, k, l = 1, 2, \dots, n \right] .$$

The proof of this lemma is lengthy and will not be given here. We merely mention that the expression (2.1) for M^{-1} can be verified by direct multiplication with M .

3. Proof of the theorem. The J -divergence of two probability measures P and Q on a measurable space (Ω, \mathfrak{B}) is defined to be

$$(3.1) \quad J(P, Q) = \begin{cases} E_P \left[\log \frac{dP}{dQ}(\omega) \right] + E_Q \left[\log \frac{dQ}{dP}(\omega) \right] & \text{when } P \text{ and } Q \\ \infty & \text{are equivalent} \\ & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where E_P and E_Q denote integration with respect to the probability measures P and Q .

Let \mathfrak{F} be the smallest σ -field of subsets of the function space R^D with respect to which the real valued function $Y(t, x) = x(t)$ on R^D is measurable for every $t \in D$. For $t_1, \dots, t_n \in D, t_1 < \dots < t_n$, let

$$\begin{aligned} p_{t_1, \dots, t_n}(x) &= [x(t_1), \dots, x(t_n)] \quad x \in R^D \\ p_{t_1, \dots, t_n}^{-1}(B) &= \{x \in R^D; [x(t_1), \dots, x(t_n)] \in B\} \quad B \in \mathfrak{B}^n \end{aligned}$$

where \mathfrak{B}^n is the σ -field of Borel sets in the n -dimensional Euclidean space R^n , and let

$$(3.2) \quad \mathfrak{F}_{t_1, \dots, t_n} = \{p_{t_1, \dots, t_n}^{-1}(B), B \in \mathfrak{B}^n\}.$$

Then $\mathfrak{F}_{t_1, \dots, t_n}$ is a σ -field of subsets of R^D and \mathfrak{F} is the σ -field generated by the union of all the σ -fields $\mathfrak{F}_{t_1, \dots, t_n}$. Given two probability measures $\mu_i, i = 1, 2$, on (R^D, \mathfrak{F}) , let $\mu_{i, t_1, \dots, t_n} = \mu_i | \mathfrak{F}_{t_1, \dots, t_n}$, i.e., the restrictions of μ_i to the σ -field $\mathfrak{F}_{t_1, \dots, t_n}$. Let $J = J(\mu_1, \mu_2)$ and

$$J_{t_1, \dots, t_n} = J(\mu_{1, t_1, \dots, t_n}, \mu_{2, t_1, \dots, t_n}).$$

According to J. Hájek [4], [5], $J = \sup J_{t_1, \dots, t_n}$ where the supremum is taken over the collection of $\{t_1, \dots, t_n\}$, i.e., over the collection of all the σ -fields $\mathfrak{F}_{t_1, \dots, t_n}$, and $J < \infty$ implies the equivalence of μ_1 and μ_2 . Furthermore if μ_1 and μ_2 are Gaussian then $J = \infty$ implies the singularity of μ_1 and μ_2 .

Let $t_1, \dots, t_n \in D, t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n < t_0 + \delta$. For the fixed $\{t_1, \dots, t_n\}$ there is a one-to-one correspondence between the members of $\mathfrak{F}_{t_1, \dots, t_n}$ and the members of \mathfrak{B}^n according to the definition (3.2). Since the measures $\mu_i, i = 1, 2$, are Gaussian, i.e., the stochastic process $Y(t, x) = x(t)$ is a Gaussian process on each of the two probability spaces $(R^D, \mathfrak{F}, \mu_i)$, we have

$$(3.3) \quad \mu_i(p_{t_1, \dots, t_n}^{-1}(B)) = \Phi_{i, t_1, \dots, t_n}(B), \quad B \in \mathfrak{B}^n, i = 1, 2$$

where $\Phi_{i, t_1, \dots, t_n}$ are n -dimensional (regular or degenerate) normal distributions on (R^n, \mathfrak{B}^n) .

Now since $v_i(t) > 0$ and $u_i(t)[v_i(t)]^{-1}$ are strictly increasing on $(t_0, t_0 + \delta)$ we have

$$u_i(t'')v_i(t') - u_i(t')v_i(t'') > 0 \quad \text{for } t', t'' \in (t_0, t_0 + \delta), t' < t'', i = 1, 2.$$

Then the covariance matrices $[r_i(t_k, t_l), k, l = 1, 2, \dots, n], i = 1, 2$, of the n -dimensional normal distributions $\Phi_{i, t_1, \dots, t_n}$ are positive definite and

consequently $\Phi_{i,t_1 \dots t_n}$ are regular with density functions given by

$$(3.4) \quad \Phi'_{i,t_1 \dots t_n}(\xi) = \frac{1}{\{(2\pi)^n \det W_{i,t_1 \dots t_n}\}^{1/2}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} (W_{i,t_1 \dots t_n}^{-1} \xi, \xi) \right\}, \quad \xi \in R^n, \quad i = 1, 2$$

where $W_{i,t_1 \dots t_n} = [w_{i,k,l}, k, l = 1, 2, \dots, n]$ are $n \times n$ symmetric and positive definite matrices with entries

$$(3.5) \quad w_{i,k,l} = u_i(t_k)v_i(t_l) \quad \text{for } k \leq l, k, l = 1, 2, \dots, n, i = 1, 2.$$

Now

$$(3.6) \quad \Phi_{i,t_1 \dots t_n}(B) = \int_{R^n} \Phi'_{i,t_1 \dots t_n}(\xi) m_L(d\xi), \quad B \in \mathfrak{B}^n, \quad i = 1, 2$$

where m_L is the Lebesgue measure on (R^n, \mathfrak{B}^n) . The regularity of $\Phi_{1,t_1 \dots t_n}$ and $\Phi_{2,t_1 \dots t_n}$ implies their equivalence. This in turn implies the equivalence of $\mu_{1,t_1 \dots t_n}$ and $\mu_{2,t_1 \dots t_n}$ on account of the one-to-one correspondence between the members of $\mathfrak{F}_{t_1 \dots t_n}$ and the members of \mathfrak{B}^n and the relation (3.3) between $\mu_{i,t_1 \dots t_n}$ and $\Phi_{i,t_1 \dots t_n}$. From (3.6) and (3.4) we obtain the Radon-Nikodym derivatives

$$(3.7) \quad \frac{d\mu_{j,t_1 \dots t_n}(x)}{d\mu_{i,t_1 \dots t_n}} = \frac{d\Phi_{j,t_1 \dots t_n}(\xi)}{d\Phi_{i,t_1 \dots t_n}(\xi)} = \frac{\Phi'_{j,t_1 \dots t_n}(\xi)}{\Phi'_{i,t_1 \dots t_n}(\xi)} = \left[\frac{\det W_{i,t_1 \dots t_n}}{\det W_{j,t_1 \dots t_n}} \right]^{1/2} \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{2} ([W_{i,t_1 \dots t_n}^{-1} - W_{j,t_1 \dots t_n}^{-1}] \xi, \xi) \right\}, \quad i, j = 1, 2.$$

According to (3.1), (3.3) and (3.7)

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{aligned} J_{t_1 \dots t_n} &= E_{\mu_{2,t_1 \dots t_n}} \left[\log \frac{d\mu_{2,t_1 \dots t_n}(x)}{d\mu_{1,t_1 \dots t_n}} \right] + E_{\mu_{1,t_1 \dots t_n}} \log \left[\frac{d\mu_{1,t_1 \dots t_n}(x)}{d\mu_{2,t_1 \dots t_n}} \right] \\ &= E_{\Phi_{2,t_1 \dots t_n}} \left[\log \frac{\Phi'_{2,t_1 \dots t_n}(\xi)}{\Phi'_{1,t_1 \dots t_n}(\xi)} \right] + E_{\Phi_{1,t_1 \dots t_n}} \left[\log \frac{\Phi'_{1,t_1 \dots t_n}(\xi)}{\Phi'_{2,t_1 \dots t_n}(\xi)} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

In evaluating the integrals in (3.8) we quote the well known equality that for any $n \times n$ matrices A and B where A is symmetric and B is positive definite

$$(3.9) \quad \frac{1}{\{(2\pi)^n \det B\}^{1/2}} \int_{R^n} (A\xi, \xi) \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} (B^{-1}\xi, \xi) \right\} m_L(d\xi) = Tr(C)$$

where $C = AB$ and $Tr(C) = \sum_{k=1}^n c_{k,k}$ for $C = [c_{k,l}, k, l = 1, 2, \dots, n]$. Applying (3.9) to (3.8) remembering (3.7), (3.6) and (3.4)

$$(3.10) \quad J_{t_1 \dots t_n} = \frac{1}{2} Tr [W_{1,t_1 \dots t_n}^{-1} W_{2,t_1 \dots t_n} + W_{2,t_1 \dots t_n}^{-1} W_{1,t_1 \dots t_n} - 2I].$$

We proceed to evaluate the diagonal entries of the two product

matrices in (3.10). Let us consider $W_{1,t_1,\dots,t_n}^{-1}W_{2,t_1,\dots,t_n}$ for example. The entries of W_{i,t_1,\dots,t_n} are given by (3.5). Let $M_{i,k,l}$ be the determinant of the minor matrix corresponding to $w_{i,k,l}$. According to our lemma, § 2, the 1st diagonal entry of $W_{1,t_1,\dots,t_n}^{-1}W_{2,t_1,\dots,t_n}$ is given by

$$(3.11) \quad \frac{M_{1,1,1}w_{2,1,1} - M_{1,1,2}w_{2,1,2}}{\det W_{1,t_1,\dots,t_n}} = [u_1(t_2)v_1(t_n)u_2(t_1)v_2(t_1) - u_1(t_1)v_1(t_n)u_2(t_1)v_2(t_2)] \cdot [u_1(t_1)v_1(t_n)\{u_1(t_2)v_1(t_1) - u_1(t_1)v_1(t_2)\}]^{-1}.$$

The k -th diagonal entry, $k \neq 1, n$, is given by

$$(3.12) \quad \frac{-M_{1,k-1,k}w_{2,k-1,k} + M_{1,k,k}w_{2,k,k} - M_{1,k,k+1}w_{2,k,k+1}}{\det W_{1,t_1,\dots,t_n}} = u_1(t_1)v_1(t_n)[- \{u_1(t_{k+1})v_1(t_k) - u_1(t_k)v_1(t_{k+1})\}u_2(t_{k-1})v_2(t_k) + \{u_1(t_{k+1})v_1(t_{k-1}) - u_1(t_{k-1})v_1(t_{k+1})\}u_2(t_k)v_2(t_k) - \{u_1(t_k)v_1(t_{k-1}) - u_1(t_{k-1})v_1(t_k)\} \cdot u_2(t_k)v_2(t_{k+1})][u_1(t_1)v_1(t_n)\{u_1(t_k)v_1(t_{k-1}) - u_1(t_{k-1})v_1(t_k)\}\{u_1(t_{k+1})v_1(t_k) - u_1(t_k)v_1(t_{k+1})\}]^{-1}.$$

Finally, the n -th diagonal entry is given by

$$(3.13) \quad \frac{-M_{1,n-1,n}w_{2,n-1,n} + M_{1,n,n}w_{2,n,n}}{\det W_{1,t_1,\dots,t_n}} = [-u_1(t_1)v_1(t_n)u_2(t_{n-1})v_2(t_n) + u_1(t_1)v_1(t_{n-1})u_2(t_n)v_2(t_n)][u_1(t_1)v_1(t_n)\{u_1(t_n)v_1(t_{n-1}) - u_1(t_{n-1})v_1(t_n)\}]^{-1}.$$

Now according to (1.3)

$$u_i(t) = \lambda_i(t - t_0) + \varepsilon_i(t - t_0) \quad \text{where} \quad \lim_{t \downarrow t_0} \varepsilon_i = 0, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

For fixed n let p be a sufficiently large positive integer so that $t_k = t_0 + k/p \in (t_0, t_0 + \delta)$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Then

$$(3.14) \quad u_i(t_k) = \frac{k}{p}(\lambda_i + \varepsilon_i) = k \frac{\lambda_i}{p} \{1 + o(1)\}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n, \quad p \rightarrow \infty, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

From (1.4), writing ν_i for $D^+v_i(t_0)$,

$$(3.15) \quad v_i(t_k) = r_i + k \frac{\nu_i}{p} \{1 + o(1)\} = r_i \left\{ 1 + O\left(\frac{n}{p}\right) \right\}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n, \quad p \rightarrow \infty, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

If we apply (3.14) and (3.15) to (3.11), the 1st diagonal entry of $W_{1,t_1,\dots,t_n}^{-1}W_{2,t_1,\dots,t_n}$ is reduced to

$$(3.16) \quad \frac{\lambda_1 \lambda_2 r_1 r_2 p^{-2} \{2 - 1\} \{1 + o(1)\} \left\{1 + O\left(\frac{n}{p}\right)\right\}}{\lambda_1^2 r_1^2 p^{-2} \{2 - 1\} \{1 + o(1)\} \left\{1 + O\left(\frac{n}{p}\right)\right\}} = \frac{\lambda_2 r_2}{\lambda_1 r_1} \{1 + o(1)\} .$$

Similarly the k -th diagonal entry, $k \neq 1, n$, is reduced to

$$(3.17) \quad \frac{\lambda_1^2 \lambda_2 r_1^2 r_2 p^{-3} [-\{(k + 1) - k\}(k - 1) + \{(k + 1) - (k - 1)\}]}{\lambda_1^3 r_1^3 p^{-3} \{k - (k - 1)\} \{(k + 1) - k\}} \\ \times \frac{k - \{k - (k - 1)\}k \{1 + o(1)\} \left\{1 + O\left(\frac{n}{p}\right)\right\}}{\{1 + o(1)\} \left\{1 + O\left(\frac{n}{p}\right)\right\}} = \frac{\lambda_2 r_2}{\lambda_1 r_1} \{1 + o(1)\}$$

and finally the n -th diagonal entry is reduced to

$$(3.18) \quad \frac{\lambda_1 \lambda_2 r_1 r_2 p^{-2} \{-(n - 1) + n\} \{1 + o(1)\} \left\{1 + O\left(\frac{n}{p}\right)\right\}}{\lambda_1^2 r_1^2 p^{-2} \{n - (n - 1)\} \{1 + o(1)\} \left\{1 + O\left(\frac{n}{p}\right)\right\}} \\ = \frac{\lambda_2 r_2}{\lambda_1 r_1} \{1 + o(1)\} .$$

From (3.16), (3.17) and (3.18)

$$T_r [W_{1,t_1 \dots t_n}^{-1} W_{2,t_1 \dots t_n}] = n \frac{\lambda_2 r_2}{\lambda_1 r_1} \{1 + o(1)\} , \quad p \rightarrow \infty .$$

Similarly

$$T_r [W_{2,t_1 \dots t_n}^{-1} W_{1,t_1 \dots t_n}] = n \frac{\lambda_1 r_1}{\lambda_2 r_2} \{1 + o(1)\} , \quad p \rightarrow \infty .$$

Substituting these estimates in (3.10) we obtain

$$J_{t_1 \dots t_n} = \frac{n}{2} \left\{ \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_2 r_2}{\lambda_1 r_1}} - \sqrt{\frac{\lambda_1 r_1}{\lambda_2 r_2}} \right\}^2 + no(1) , \quad p \rightarrow \infty .$$

Since n is fixed, $no(1) \rightarrow 0$ as $p \rightarrow \infty$. Thus for sufficiently large p chosen for the given n , $no(1)$ is as small as we wish. Therefore

$$\sup J_{t_1 \dots t_n} = \infty .$$

This proves the singularity of μ_1 and μ_2 .

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. G. Baxter, *A strong limit theorem for Gaussian processes*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **7** (1956), 522-527.

2. J. A. Beekman, *Gaussian processes and generalized Schroedinger equations*, J. Math. Mech. **14** (1965), 789-806.
3. J. Feldman, *Equivalence and perpendicularity of Gaussian processes*, Pacific J. Math. **8** (1958), 699-708.
4. J. Hájek, *A property of J-divergence of marginal probability distributions*, Czechoslovak Math. J. **8** (1958), 460-463.
5. ———, *On a property of normal distributions of an arbitrary stochastic process* (in Russian), Czechoslovak Math. J. Vol. **8** (1958), 610-618.
6. A. M. Yaglom, *On the equivalence and perpendicularity of two Gaussian probability measures in function space*, Proceedings of the Symposium on Time Series Analysis, held at Brown University, 1962, 327-346.
7. J. Yeh, *Singularity of Gaussian measures on function spaces induced by Brownian motion processes with non-stationary increments*, (to appear shortly in the Illinois J. Math.)

Received April 3, 1969. This research was supported in part by the National Science Foundation Grant NSF GP-8291

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, IRVINE

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

H. ROYDEN
Stanford University
Stanford, California

J. DUGUNDJI
Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, California 90007

RICHARD PIERCE
University of Washington
Seattle, Washington 98105

BASIL GORDON
University of California
Los Angeles, California 90024

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
* * *
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
CHEVRON RESEARCH CORPORATION
TRW SYSTEMS
NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, double spaced with large margins. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. It should not contain references to the bibliography. Manuscripts, in duplicate if possible, may be sent to any one of the four editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Rev. 36, 1539-1546. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, Richard Arens, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

50 reprints are provided free for each article; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is published monthly. Effective with Volume 16 the price per volume (3 numbers) is \$8.00; single issues, \$3.00. Special price for current issues to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: \$4.00 per volume; single issues \$1.50. Back numbers are available.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley, California, 94708.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), 7-17, Fujimi 2-chome, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan.

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 31, No. 2

December, 1969

| | |
|---|-----|
| Efraim Pacillas Armendariz, <i>Quasi-injective modules and stable torsion classes</i> | 277 |
| J. Adrian (John) Bondy, <i>On Ulam's conjecture for separable graphs</i> | 281 |
| Vasily Cateforis and Francis Louis Sandomierski, <i>On commutative rings over which the singular submodule is a direct summand for every module</i> | 289 |
| Rafael Van Severen Chacon, <i>Approximation of transformations with continuous spectrum</i> | 293 |
| Raymond Frank Dickman and Alan Zame, <i>Functionally compact spaces</i> | 303 |
| Ronald George Douglas and Walter Rudin, <i>Approximation by inner functions</i> | 313 |
| John Walter Duke, <i>A note on the similarity of matrix and its conjugate transpose</i> | 321 |
| Micheal Neal Dyer and Allan John Sieradski, <i>Coverings of mapping spaces</i> | 325 |
| Donald Campbell Dykes, <i>Weakly hypercentral subgroups of finite groups</i> | 337 |
| Nancy Dykes, <i>Mappings and realcompact spaces</i> | 347 |
| Edmund H. Feller and Richard Laham Gantos, <i>Completely injective semigroups</i> | 359 |
| Irving Leonard Glicksberg, <i>Semi-square-summable Fourier-Stieltjes transforms</i> | 367 |
| Samuel Irving Goldberg and Kentaro Yano, <i>Integrability of almost cosymplectic structures</i> | 373 |
| Seymour Haber and Charles Freeman Osgood, <i>On the sum $\sum \langle n\alpha \rangle^{-1}$ and numerical integration</i> | 383 |
| Sav Roman Harasymiv, <i>Dilations of rapidly decreasing functions</i> | 395 |
| William Leonard Harkness and R. Shantaram, <i>Convergence of a sequence of transformations of distribution functions</i> | 403 |
| Herbert Frederick Kreimer, Jr., <i>A note on the outer Galois theory of rings</i> | 417 |
| James Donald Kuelbs, <i>Abstract Wiener spaces and applications to analysis</i> | 433 |
| Roland Edwin Larson, <i>Minimal T_0-spaces and minimal T_D-spaces</i> | 451 |
| A. Meir and Ambikeshwar Sharma, <i>On Ilyeff's conjecture</i> | 459 |
| Isaac Namioka and Robert Ralph Phelps, <i>Tensor products of compact convex sets</i> | 469 |
| James L. Rovnyak, <i>On the theory of unbounded Toeplitz operators</i> | 481 |
| Benjamin L. Schwartz, <i>Infinite self-interchange graphs</i> | 497 |
| George Szeto, <i>On the Brauer splitting theorem</i> | 505 |
| Takayuki Tamura, <i>Semigroups satisfying identity $xy = f(x, y)$</i> | 513 |
| Kenneth Tolo, <i>Factorizable semigroups</i> | 523 |
| Mineko Watanabe, <i>On a boundary property of principal functions</i> | 537 |
| James Juei-Chin Yeh, <i>Singularity of Gaussian measures in function spaces with factorable covariance functions</i> | 547 |