Pacific Journal of Mathematics

MÖBIUS FUNCTIONS OF ORDER k

TOM M. (MIKE) APOSTOL

Vol. 32, No. 1 January 1970

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS
Vol. 32, No. 1, 1970

MÖBIUS FUNCTIONS OF ORDER k

TOM M. APOSTOL

Let k denote a fixed positive integer. We define an arithmetical function μ_k, the Möbius function of order k, as follows:

$$\mu_k(1) = 1 ,$$
$$\mu_k(n) = 0 \text{ if } p^{k+1} | n \text{ for some prime } p ,$$
$$\mu_k(n) = (-1)^r \text{ if } n = p_1^k \cdots p_r^k \prod_{i>r} p_i^{a_i} , \qquad 0 \leqq a_i < k ,$$
$$\mu_k(n) = 1 \text{ otherwise} .$$

In other words, $\mu_k(n)$ vanishes if n is divisible by the $(k+1)$st power of some prime; otherwise, $\mu_k(n)$ is 1 unless the prime factorization of n contains the kth powers of exactly r distinct primes, in which case $\mu_k(n) = (-1)^r$. When $k = 1$, $\mu_k(n)$ is the usual Möbius function, $\mu_1(n) = \mu(n)$.

This paper discusses some of the relations that hold among the functions μ_k for various values of k. We use these to derive an asymptotic formula for the summatory function

$$M_k(x) = \sum_{n \leqq x} \mu_k(n)$$

for each $k \geqq 2$. Unfortunately, the analysis sheds no light on the behavior of the function $M_1(x) = \sum_{n \leqq x} \mu(n)$.

It is clear that $|\mu_k|$ is the characteristic function of the set Q_{k+1} of $(k+1)$-free integers (positive integers whose prime factors are all of multiplicity less than $k+1$). Further relations with Q_{k+1} are given in §'s 4 and 5.

The asymptotic formula for $M_k(x)$ is given in the following theorem.

THEOREM 1. *If $k \geqq 2$ we have*

$$\sum_{n \leqq x} \mu_k(n) = A_k x + O(x^{1/k} \log x) , \tag{1}$$

where

$$A_k = \frac{1}{\zeta(k)} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(n)}{n^k} \prod_{p|n} \frac{1 - p^{-1}}{1 - p^{-k}} . \tag{2}$$

Note. In (2), $\zeta(k)$ is the Riemann zeta function. The formula for A_k can also be expressed in the form

$$A_k = \frac{1}{\zeta(k)} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(n)\varphi(n)}{nJ_k(n)} \tag{3}$$

where $\varphi(n)$ and $J_k(n)$ are the totient functions of Euler and Jordan, given by

$$\varphi(n) = n \prod_{p \mid n} (1 - p^{-1}),\ J_k(n) = n^k \prod_{p \mid n} (1 - p^{-k}) .$$

We also have the Euler product representation

$$A_k = \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{2}{p^k} + \frac{1}{p^{k+1}}\right) . \tag{4}$$

2. **Lemmas.** The proof of Theorem 1 is based on a number of lemmas.

LEMMA 1. *If $k \geqq 1$ we have $\mu_k(n^k) = \mu(n)$.*

LEMMA 2. *Each function μ_k is multiplicative. That is,*

$$\mu_k(mn) = \mu_k(m)\mu_k(n) \quad \textit{whenever} \quad (m, n) = 1 .$$

LEMMA 3. *Let f and g be multiplicative arithmetical functions and let a and b be positive integers, with $a \geqq b$. Then the function h defined by the equation*

$$h(n) = \sum_{d^a \mid n} f\left(\frac{n}{d^a}\right) g\left(\frac{n}{d^b}\right)$$

is also multiplicative. (The sum is extended over those divisors d of n for which d^a divides n.)

The first two lemmas follow easily from the definition of the function μ_k. The proof of Lemma 3 is a straightforward exercise.
The next lemma relates μ_k to μ_{k-1}.

LEMMA 4. *If $k \geqq 2$ we have*

$$\mu_k(n) = \sum_{d^k \mid n} \mu_{k-1}\left(\frac{n}{d^k}\right) \mu_{k-1}\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) .$$

Proof. By Lemmas 2 and 3, the sum on the right is a multiplicative function of n. To complete the proof we simply verify that the sum agrees with $\mu_k(n)$ when n is a prime power.

LEMMA 5. *If $k \geqq 1$ we have*

$$| \mu_k(n) | = \sum_{d^{k+1} \mid n} \mu(d) .$$

Proof. Again we note that both members are multiplicative functions of n which agree when n is a prime power.

LEMMA 6. *If $k \geqq 2$ and $r \geqq 1$, let*

$$F_r(x) = \sum_{n \leqq x} \mu_{k-1}(n)\mu_{k-1}(r^{k-1}n) .$$

Then we have the asymptotic formula

$$F_r(x) = \frac{x}{\zeta(k)} \frac{\mu(r)\varphi(r)r^{k-1}}{J_k(r)} + O(x^{1/k}\sigma_{-s}(r)) ,$$

where $\sigma_\alpha(r)$ is the sum of the αth powers of the divisors of r, and s is any number satisfying $0 < s < 1/k$. (The constant implied by the O-symbol is independent of r.)

Proof. In the sum defining $F_r(x)$ the factor $\mu_{k-1}(r^{k-1}n) = 0$ if r and n have a prime factor in common. Therefore we need consider only those n relatively prime to r. But if $(r, n) = 1$ the multiplicative property of μ_{k-1} gives us

$$\mu_{k-1}(n)\mu_{k-1}(r^{k-1}n) = \mu_{k-1}(n)^2\mu_{k-1}(r^{k-1}) = |\mu_{k-1}(n)|\,\mu(r) ,$$

where in the last step we used Lemma 1. Therefore we have

$$F_r(x) = \mu(r) \sum_{\substack{n \leqq x \\ (n,r)=1}} |\mu_{k-1}(n)| .$$

Using Lemma 5 we rewrite this in the form

$$\begin{aligned} F_r(x) &= \mu(r) \sum_{\substack{n \leqq x \\ (n,r)=1}} \sum_{d^k \mid n} \mu(d) = \mu(r) \sum_{\substack{d^k \leqq x \\ (d,r)=1}} \mu(d) \sum_{\substack{q \leqq x/d^k \\ (q,r)=1}} 1 \\ &= \mu(r) \sum_{\substack{d^k \leqq x \\ (d,r)=1}} \mu(d) \sum_{t \mid r} \mu(t)\left[\frac{x}{td^k}\right] \\ &= \mu(r) \sum_{t \mid r} \mu(t) \sum_{\substack{d^k \leqq x \\ (d,r)=1}} \mu(d)\left[\frac{x}{td^k}\right] . \end{aligned}$$

At this point we use the relation $[x] = x + O(x^s)$, valid for any fixed s satisfying $0 \leqq s < 1$, to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} F_r(x) &= \mu(r) \sum_{t \mid r} \mu(t) \sum_{\substack{d^k \leqq x \\ (d,r)=1}} \mu(d)\left\{\frac{x}{td^k} + O\left(\frac{x^s}{t^s d^{ks}}\right)\right\} \\ &= x\mu(r) \sum_{t \mid r} \frac{\mu(t)}{t} \sum_{\substack{d^k \leqq x \\ (d,r)=1}} \frac{\mu(d)}{d^k} + O\left(x^s \sum_{t \mid r} \frac{1}{t^s} \sum_{d \leqq x^{1/k}} \frac{1}{d^{ks}}\right) . \end{aligned}$$

If we choose s so that $0 < ks < 1$ we have

$$\sum_{d\leqq x^{1/k}} \frac{1}{d^{ks}} = O\left(\int_1^{x^{1/k}} \frac{dt}{t^{ks}}\right) = O(x^{-s+1/k}) ,$$

and the O-term in the last formula for $F_r(x)$ is $O(x^{1/k}\sigma_{-s}(r))$. To complete the proof of Lemma 6 we use the relations

$$\sum_{t\mid r} \frac{\mu(t)}{t} = \frac{\varphi(r)}{r}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{\substack{d^k\leqq x\\(d,r)=1}} \frac{\mu(d)}{d^k} &= \sum_{\substack{d=1\\(d,r)=1}}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(d)}{d^k} + O\Big(\sum_{d>x^{1/k}} d^{-k}\Big)\\ &= \frac{1}{\zeta(k)} \prod_{p\mid r} \frac{1}{1-p^{-k}} + O(x^{(1-k)/k})\\ &= \frac{1}{\zeta(k)} \frac{r^k}{J_k(r)} + O(x^{(1-k)/k}) .\end{aligned}$$

3. Proof of Theorem 1. In the sum defining $M_k(x)$ we use Lemma 4 to write

$$\begin{aligned}M_k(x) &= \sum_{n\leqq x} \mu_k(n) = \sum_{n\leqq x} \sum_{d^k\mid n} \mu_{k-1}\Big(\frac{n}{d^k}\Big)\mu_{k-1}\Big(\frac{n}{d}\Big)\\ &= \sum_{d^k\leqq x} \sum_{m\leqq x/d^k} \mu_{k-1}(m)\mu_{k-1}(d^{k-1}m)\\ &= \sum_{d^k\leqq x} F_d(x/d^k) = \sum_{r\leqq x^{1/k}} F_r(x/r^k) .\end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 6 we obtain

$$M_k(x) = \frac{x}{\zeta(k)} \sum_{r\leqq x^{1/k}} \frac{\mu(r)\varphi(r)}{rJ_k(r)} + O\Big(x^{1/k} \sum_{r\leqq x^{1/k}} \frac{\sigma_{-s}(r)}{r}\Big) . \tag{5}$$

The sum in the first term is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{r\leqq x^{1/k}} \frac{\mu(r)}{r^k} \prod_{p\mid r} \frac{1-p^{-1}}{1-p^{-k}} &= \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(r)}{r^k} \prod_{p\mid r} \frac{1-p^{-1}}{1-p^{-k}} + O\Big(\sum_{r>x^{1/k}} \frac{1}{r^k}\Big)\\ &= \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(r)\varphi(r)}{rJ_k(r)} + O(x^{(1-k)/k}) .\end{aligned}$$

The sum in the O-term in (5) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{r\leqq x^{1/k}} \frac{\sigma_{-s}(r)}{r} &= \sum_{r\leqq x^{1/k}} r^{-1} \sum_{d\delta=r} d^{-s} = \sum_{\delta\leqq x^{1/k}} \delta^{-1} \sum_{d\leqq x^{1/k}/\delta} d^{-1-s}\\ &= O\Big(\sum_{\delta\leqq x^{1/k}} \delta^{-1}\Big) = O(\log x) .\end{aligned}$$

Therefore (5) becomes

$$M_k(x) = \frac{x}{\zeta(k)} \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(r)\varphi(r)}{rJ_k(r)} + O(x^{1/k} \log x) ,$$

which completes the proof of Theorem 1.

To deduce (4) from (2) we note that (2) has the form

$$A_k = \frac{1}{\zeta(k)} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f(n)$$

where $f(n)$ is multiplicative and $f(p^a) = 0$ for $a \geqq 2$. Hence we have the Euler product decomposition: [see 3, Th. 286]

$$\begin{aligned} A_k &= \frac{1}{\zeta(k)} \prod_p \{1 + f(p)\} = \prod_p (1 - p^{-k}) \prod_p \left\{1 - \frac{1}{p^k} \frac{1 - p^{-1}}{1 - p^{-k}}\right\} \\ &= \prod_p \left\{1 - p^{-k} - \frac{1 - p^{-1}}{p^k}\right\} = \prod_p \left\{1 - \frac{2}{p^k} + \frac{1}{p^{k+1}}\right\} . \end{aligned}$$

4. Relations to k-free integers. Let Q_k denote the set of k-free integers (positive integers whose prime factors are all of multiplicity less than k), and let q_k denote the characteristic function of Q_k:

$$q_k(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if} \quad n \in Q_k, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Gegenbauer [2, p. 47] has proved that the number of k-free integers $\leqq x$ is given by

$$\sum_{n \leqq x} q_k(n) = \frac{x}{\zeta(k)} + O(x^{1/k}) , \qquad (k \geqq 2) . \tag{6}$$

From the definition of μ_k it follows that $q_{k+1}(n) = |\mu_k(n)|$, so Gegenbauer's theorem implies the asymptotic formula

$$\sum_{n \leqq x} |\mu_k(n)| = \frac{x}{\zeta(k+1)} + O(x^{1/(k+1)}) , \qquad (k \geqq 1) . \tag{7}$$

From our Theorem 1 we have

$$\sum_{n \leqq x} \mu_k(n) = A_k x + O(x^{1/k} \log x) \qquad (k > 1) . \tag{8}$$

The two formulas (7) and (8) show that among the $(k + 1)$-free integers, $k > 1$, those for which $\mu_k(n) = 1$ occur asymptotically more frequently than those for which $\mu_k(n) = -1$; in particular, these two sets of integers have, respectively, the densities

$$\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{\zeta(k+1)} + A_k\right) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{\zeta(k+1)} - A_k\right) .$$

This is in contrast to the case $k = 1$ for which it is known that

$$\sum_{n \leq x} |\mu(n)| = \frac{x}{\zeta(2)} + O(x^{1/2}), \quad \text{but} \quad \sum_{n \leq x} \mu(n) = o(x) ,$$

so the square-free integers with $\mu(n) = 1$ occur with the same asymptotic frequency as those with $\mu(n) = -1$ [see 3, p. 270].

Our Theorem 1 can also be derived very simply from an asymptotic formula of Cohen [1, Th. 4.2]. Following the notation of Cohen, let Q_k^* denote the set of positive integers n with the property that the multiplicity of each prime divisor of n is not a multiple of k. Let q_k^* denote the characteristic function of Q_k^*. Then $q_k^*(1) = 1$, and for $n > 1$ we have

$$q_k^*(n) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if} \quad n = \prod_{i=1}^{r} p_i^{a_i}, \quad \text{with each} \quad a_i \not\equiv 0 \pmod{k} , \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The functions q_k^* and μ_k are related by the following identity:

$$q_k^*(n) = \sum_{d^k | n} \mu_k\left(\frac{n}{d^k}\right) . \tag{9}$$

This is easily verified by noting that both members are multiplicative functions of n that agree when n is a prime power, or by equating coefficients in the Dirichlet series identity (14) given below in § 5. Inversion of (9) gives us

$$\mu_k(n) = \sum_{d^k | n} \mu(d) q_k^*\left(\frac{n}{d^k}\right) . \tag{10}$$

Cohen's asymptotic formula states that for $k \geqq 2$ we have

$$\sum_{n \leq x} q_k^*(n) = A_k \zeta(k) x + O(x^{1/k}) , \tag{11}$$

where A_k is the same constant that appears in our Theorem 1. To deduce Theorem 1 from (11) we use (10) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n \leq x} \mu_k(n) &= \sum_{n \leq x} \sum_{d^k | n} \mu(d)\, q_k^*\left(\frac{n}{d^k}\right) = \sum_{d^k \leq x} \mu(d) \sum_{m \leq x/d^k} q_k^*(m) \\
&= \sum_{d^k \leq x} \mu(d) \left\{ A_k \zeta(k) \frac{x}{d^k} + O\left(\frac{x^{1/k}}{d}\right) \right\} \\
&= A_k \zeta(k) x \sum_{d \leq x^{1/k}} \frac{\mu(d)}{d^k} + O\left(x^{1/k} \sum_{d^k \leq x} \frac{1}{d} \right) \\
&= A_k \zeta(k) x \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(d)}{d^k} + O\left(\sum_{d > x^{1/k}} d^{-k} \right) + O(x^{1/k} \log x) \\
&= A_k x + O(x^{1/k} \log x) .
\end{aligned}$$

Conversely, if we start with equation (9) and use Theorem 1 we can deduce Cohen's asymptotic formula (11) but with an error term $O(x^{1/k}\log x)$ in place of $O(x^{1/k})$.

5. **Generating functions.** The generating function for the k-free integers is known to be given by the Dirichlet series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{q_k(n)}{n^s}=\frac{\zeta(s)}{\zeta(ks)}\qquad(s>1) \tag{12}$$

[see 3, Th. 303, p. 255]. It is not difficult to determine the generating functions for the functions μ_k and q_k^* as well. Straightforward calculations with Euler products show that we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{\mu_k(n)}{n^s}=\zeta(s)\prod_p\left\{1-\frac{2}{p^{ks}}+\frac{1}{p^{(k+1)s}}\right\} \tag{13}$$

and

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{q_k^*(n)}{n^s}=\zeta(ks)\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{\mu_k(n)}{n^s} \tag{14}$$

for $s>1$. Equation (14) is also equivalent to equations (9) and (10). From (12) and (14) we obtain the following identity relating μ_k, q_k, and q_k^*:

$$\zeta(s)\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{\mu_k(n)}{n^s}=\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{q_k(n)}{n^s}\right)\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{q_k^*(n)}{n^s}\right).$$

This shows [see 3, §17.1] that the numerical integral of μ_k is the Dirichlet convolution of q_k and q_k^*:

$$\sum_{d\mid n}\mu_k(d)=\sum_{d\mid n}q_k(d)q_k^*\left(\frac{n}{d}\right).$$

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. Eckford Cohen, *Some sets of integers related to the k-free integers*, Acta Sci. Math. Szeged **22** (1961), 223-233.

2. Leopold Gegenbauer, *Asymptotische Gesetze der Zahlentheorie*, Denkschriften der Akademie der Wissenschaften zu Wien **49** (1885), 37-80.

3. G. H. Hardy and E. M. Wright, *Introduction to the theory of numbers*, 4th edition, Oxford, 1962.

Received April 11, 1969.

CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

Printed in Japan by International Academic Printing Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 32, No. 1 January, 1970