

# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**THE BENDING OF SPACE CURVES INTO PIECEWISE  
HELICAL CURVES**

JAMES MCLEAN SLOSS

## THE BENDING OF SPACE CURVES INTO PIECEWISE HELICAL CURVES

JAMES M. SLOSS

It is the purpose of this paper to show that a regular  $C^3$  space curve  $\Gamma$  in a Euclidean 3-space, whose curvature  $\kappa \neq 0$ , can be bent into a piecewise helix (i.e., a curve that is a helix but for a finite number of corners) in such a way that the piecewise helix remains within a tubular region about  $C$  of arbitrarily small preassigned radius. Moreover, we shall show that the bending can be carried out in such a way that either (a) the piecewise helix is circular or (b) the piecewise helix has the same curvature as  $\Gamma$  at corresponding points except possibly at corners, or (c) if the torsion of  $\Gamma$  is nowhere zero, then the piecewise helix has the same torsion as  $\Gamma$  at corresponding points except possibly at corners.

Also we shall show that if, in addition,  $\Gamma$  has a bounded fourth derivative, then an explicit formula can be given for a sufficient number  $n$  of helices that make up the piecewise helix, where  $n$  depends on  $\Gamma$  and the radius of the tubular region about  $\Gamma$ . In this case, we shall also show how the determination of the piecewise helix can be reduced to a problem in simple integration.

### 1. Bendability.

DEFINITION 1. A curve is called a *piecewise helix* if it consists of a finite number of segments, each of which is a helix (i.e., a curve whose tangent makes a constant angle with a fixed direction). A point at which two consecutive helices meet will be called a *corner* of the piecewise helix.

REMARK. If, in particular, between corners the helix is a circular helix, then the piecewise helix will be called a *piecewise circular helix*.

THEOREM 1. Let  $\Gamma: r(s)$ ,  $s = \text{arc length}$ ,  $0 \leq s \leq l$ , be a regular  $C^3[0, l]^1$  curve whose curvature  $\kappa(s)$  is nowhere zero. Then for any given  $\varepsilon > 0$

(a) there exists a piecewise circular helix  $\Gamma_1^*: h_1^*(s)$ ,  $s = \text{arc length}$ ,  $0 \leq s \leq l$ , such that:

$$|r(s) - h_1^*(s)| < \varepsilon, \quad 0 \leq s \leq l;$$

---

<sup>1</sup> (I.e.,  $r(s)$  can be extended to lie in  $C^3$  on some open set containing  $0 \leq s \leq l$ .)

(b) *there exists a piecewise helix  $\Gamma_2^*: h_2^*(s)$ ,  $s = \text{arc length}$ ,  $0 \leq s \leq l$ , such that:*

$$|r(s) - h_2^*(s)| < \varepsilon, \quad 0 \leq s \leq l$$

*and  $\Gamma_2^*$  has the same curvature as  $\Gamma$  at corresponding points, except possibly at the corners of  $h_2^*(s)$ ;*

(c) *provided the torsion  $\tau(s)$  is nowhere zero, there exists a piecewise helix  $\Gamma_3^*: h_3^*(s)$ ,  $s = \text{arc length}$ ,  $0 \leq s \leq l$ , such that:*

$$|r(s) - h_3^*(s)| < \varepsilon, \quad 0 \leq s \leq l$$

*and  $\Gamma_3^*$  has the same torsion as  $\Gamma$  at corresponding points, except possibly at corners of  $h_3^*(s)$ .*

REMARK. In each case the curve  $\Gamma$  is “bent” into a piecewise helix.

*Proof.* We shall prove (b) and indicate what minor modifications are necessary to prove (a) and (c). Let  $\kappa(s)$  and  $\tau(s)$  be the curvature and torsion respectively of  $\Gamma$ . Then  $\kappa(s) \in C^1[0, l]$  and  $\tau(s) \in C^0[0, l]$  since  $r(s) \in C^3[0, l]$ . By hypothesis,  $\kappa(s) \neq 0$ ; therefore,

$$f(s) = \frac{\tau(s)}{\kappa(s)}$$

is continuous and thus uniformly continuous on  $[0, l]$ . Let

$$(1.1) \quad |\kappa(s)| \leq \kappa_{\max} \quad \text{on } 0 \leq s \leq l$$

and

$$(1.2) \quad |f(s)| \leq f_{\max} \quad \text{on } 0 \leq s \leq l$$

and choose  $\delta(\varepsilon) > 0$  such that

$$(1.3) \quad |f(s_2) - f(s_1)| < \alpha\varepsilon$$

provided  $|s_2 - s_1| \leq \delta$ , where

$$(1.4) \quad \alpha = \langle \kappa_{\max} l^2 \sqrt{6} \exp \{ l \kappa_{\max} \sqrt{2(1 + f_{\max}^2)} \} \rangle^{-1}.$$

Let

$$n = n(\varepsilon) = \text{smallest integer } \geq \frac{l}{\delta}$$

and

$$(1.4.1) \quad \begin{aligned} I_0 &= \{s: 0 \leq s \leq \delta\} \\ I_j &= \{s: j\delta < s \leq (j + 1)\delta\}, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, n - 2 \\ I_{n-1} &= \{s: (n - 1)\delta < s \leq l\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $I_j(0 \leqq j \leqq n - 1)$  form a disjoint covering of  $[0, l]$ , each of length  $\leqq \delta$ .

Let

$$(1.5) \quad \tau_j(s) = f_j \kappa(s), \quad s \in I_j, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, n - 1$$

where

$$f_j = \begin{cases} f[(j + 1)\delta] & \text{for } j = 0, 1, \dots, n - 2. \\ f[l] & \text{for } j = n - 1 \end{cases}$$

By the fundamental theorem for space curves there exists a unique curve  $h_j(s), s \in I_j$ , for which:

(i) its curvature and torsion are respectively  $\kappa(s)$  and  $\tau_j(s)$  as defined by (1.5), and

(ii) its position  $h_j(s)$ , tangent  $t_j(s)$ , principal normal  $n_j(s)$  and binomial  $b_j(s)$  satisfy the initial conditions:

$$(1.6) \quad h_j(j\delta) = r(j\delta), \quad t_j(j\delta) = e_1(j\delta), \quad n_j(j\delta) = e_2(j\delta), \quad b_j(j\delta) = e_3(j\delta)$$

where  $e_1(s), e_2(s)$  and  $e_3(s)$  are the tangent, principal normal and binormal of  $r(s)$  respectively, and  $s$  is the arc length parameter of  $h_j$ .

Moreover, if

$$(1.7) \quad \Phi_j(s) = \begin{bmatrix} t_j(s) \\ n_j(s) \\ b_j(s) \end{bmatrix}, \quad A_j = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & f_j \\ 0 & -f_j & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

then  $\Phi_j(s)$  satisfies the differential equation:

$$(1.8) \quad \Phi'_j(s) = \kappa(s)A_j\Phi_j(s).$$

Also, because  $\tau_j(s)/\kappa(s) = f_j = \text{constant}$  on  $I_j$ ,  $h_j(s)$  is a helix on  $I_j$ .

By the Frenet formulae for  $\Gamma$ , we have:

$$(1.9) \quad \Psi'(s) = \kappa(s)A(s)\Psi(s), \quad 0 \leqq s \leqq l,$$

where

$$(1.10) \quad \Psi(s) = \begin{bmatrix} e_1(s) \\ e_2(s) \\ e_3(s) \end{bmatrix}, \quad A(s) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & f(s) \\ 0 & -f(s) & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Considering both (1.8) and (1.9) as differential equations on  $I_j$ , we obtain:

$$(1.11) \quad \Phi_j(s) = \Phi_j(j\delta) + \int_{j\delta}^s \kappa(t)A_j\Phi_j(t)dt, \quad s \in I_j, \\ j = 0, 1, \dots, n - 1$$

and

$$(1.12) \quad \Psi(s) = \Psi(j\delta) + \int_{j\delta}^s \kappa(t) A(t) \Psi(t) dt, \quad s \in I_j, \\ j = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$$

Since by (1.6)  $\Phi_j(j\delta) = \Psi(j\delta)$ , we see that if

$$\|c_{ij}\| = \sqrt{\sum_{i,j=1}^3 c_{ij}^2},$$

then

$$(1.13) \quad \|\Psi(s) - \Phi_j(s)\| \leq \int_{j\delta}^s |\kappa(t)| \|A(t) - A_j\| \|\Psi(t)\| dt \\ + \int_{j\delta}^s |\kappa(t)| \|A_j\| \|\Psi(t) - \Phi_j(t)\| dt.$$

But by (1.7), (1.10), and (1.3)

$$\|A(t) - A_j\| = \sqrt{2[f(t) - f_j]^2} < \sqrt{2}\alpha\varepsilon \quad \text{for } t \in I_j.$$

Also we have

$$\|\Psi(t)\| = \sqrt{3}$$

and by (1.7)

$$\|A_j\| = \sqrt{2(1 + f_j^2)} \leq \sqrt{2(1 + f_{\max}^2)}.$$

Thus

$$(1.14) \quad \|\Psi(s) - \Phi_j(s)\| < M\delta\varepsilon\alpha + N \int_{j\delta}^s \|\Psi(t) - \Phi_j(t)\| dt, \quad s \in I_j,$$

where

$$M = \kappa_{\max} \sqrt{6} \\ N = \kappa_{\max} \sqrt{2(1 + f_{\max}^2)}.$$

Let

$$C = \sup_{t \in I_j} \|\Psi(t) - \Phi_j(t)\|,$$

then by (1.14)

$$(1.15) \quad \|\Psi(s) - \Phi_j(s)\| < M\delta\varepsilon\alpha + NC(s - j\delta)$$

from which we see upon combining (1.14) and (1.15) that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \| \Psi(s) - \Phi_j(s) \| \\
 & < M\delta\varepsilon\alpha \left[ 1 + N(s - j\delta) + N^2 \frac{(s - j\delta)^2}{2!} + \dots + N^k \frac{(s - j\delta)^k}{k!} \right] \\
 (1.16) \quad & + \frac{(s - j\delta)^{k+1}}{(k + 1)!} CN^{k+1} < M\delta\varepsilon\alpha e^{N\delta} \\
 & < Ml\varepsilon\alpha e^{Nl} \\
 & < \varepsilon/l, \qquad \qquad \qquad s \in I_j,
 \end{aligned}$$

by the definition (1.4) of  $\alpha$ .

If we let

$$\Phi^*(s) \equiv \begin{bmatrix} t(s) \\ n(s) \\ b(s) \end{bmatrix} = \Phi_j(s) \equiv \begin{bmatrix} t_j(s) \\ n_j(s) \\ b_j(s) \end{bmatrix}, \quad s \in I_j,$$

$$j = 0, 1, \dots, n - 1,$$

then  $\Phi^*(s)$  is piecewise continuous on  $[0, l]$  with discontinuities possibly at

$$s = j\delta, \qquad j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n - 1,$$

and by (1.16) since  $I_j$  is a cover of  $[0, l]$ ,

$$(1.17) \qquad \| \Psi(s) - \Phi^*(s) \| < \varepsilon/l \qquad \text{for } 0 \leq s \leq l.$$

Let

$$(1.18) \qquad h^*(s) = r(0) + \int_0^s t(\sigma) d\sigma, \qquad \text{for } 0 \leq s \leq l.$$

Then  $h^*(s)$  is a piecewise helix  $\Gamma_2^*$  for which

$$h^{*'}(s) = h_j'(s), \qquad \text{for } s \in I_j, j = 0, 1, \dots, n - 1.$$

Thus for  $0 \leq s \leq l$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
 |r(s) - h^*(s)| & \leq \int_0^s |e_i(s) - t(s)| ds \\
 & \leq \int_0^l \| \Psi(s) - \Phi^*(s) \| ds \\
 & < \varepsilon
 \end{aligned}$$

by (1.17).

Next we note that  $s$  is the arc length of  $h^*(s)$  since

$$|h^{*'}(s)| = |h_j'(s)| = |t_j(s)| = 1, \qquad \text{for } s \in I_j.$$

Moreover on the interior of  $I_j$ :

$$|h^{*''}(s)| = |h_j''(s)| = \text{curvature} = \kappa(s)$$

by construction of  $h_j(s)$ .

This completes the proof of part (b). For the proof of part (a) and part (c), only obvious slight modifications are necessary. In part (a), we need only the additional fact that a helix is circular if the curvature and torsion are both constant.

2. **Explicit results.** If we allow  $r(s)$  to have one more bounded derivative we have:

**THEOREM 2.** *If in addition to the assumptions of Theorem 1, we also assume that  $r(s)$  has a bounded fourth derivative on  $[0, l]$ , then we can choose  $n(\varepsilon)$  in part 2 to be*

$$(2.1) \quad n(\varepsilon) = \text{smallest integer} > \frac{g^*l}{\alpha\varepsilon}$$

where

$$(2.2) \quad \alpha = \langle \kappa_{\max} l^2 \sqrt{6} \exp \{ l \kappa_{\max} \sqrt{2(1 + f_{\max}^2)} \} \rangle^{-1}$$

$$(2.3) \quad \left| \frac{r' \cdot (r'' \times r''')}{[r'' \cdot r'']^{3/2}} - \frac{3[r''' \cdot r''] [r' \cdot (r'' \times r''')]}{[r'' \cdot r'']^{5/2}} \right| < g^*, \quad 0 \leq s \leq l,$$

$$\left| \frac{r' \cdot (r'' \times r''')}{[r'' \cdot r'']^{3/2}} \right| < f_{\max}, \quad [r'' \cdot r'']^{1/2} < \kappa_{\max}, \quad 0 \leq s \leq l,$$

REMARK. A similar result holds for parts (a) and (c).

*Proof.* Since

$$\kappa(s) = [r''(s) \cdot r''(s)]^{1/2}$$

and

$$\tau(s) = \frac{r' \cdot (r'' \times r''')}{r'' \cdot r''}$$

the expression in the first inequality in (2.3) is simply the derivative of

$$f(s) = \frac{\tau(s)}{\kappa(s)}.$$

Thus

$$|f(s_2) - f(s_1)| = \left| \int_{s_1}^{s_2} f'(s) ds \right| < g^* [s_2 - s_1].$$

If we choose

$$(2.4) \quad \delta = \frac{\alpha\varepsilon}{g^*},$$

where  $\alpha$  is given by (2.2) = (1.4), then

$$|f(s_2) - f(s_1)| < \alpha\varepsilon$$

whenever  $|s_2 - s_1| < \delta$ . This, by the proof of part (b) of Theorem 1, gives the result since

$$n(\varepsilon) = \text{smallest integer} > \frac{g^*l}{\alpha\varepsilon} = \frac{l}{\delta}.$$

**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $\Gamma: r(s)$ ,  $s = \text{arc length}$ ,  $0 \leq s \leq l$ , be a regular space curve with bounded fourth derivative and nowhere-zero curvature. Denote the curvature, torsion, tangent, principal normal and binormal of  $\Gamma$  by  $\kappa(s)$ ,  $\tau(s)$ ,  $e_1(s)$ ,  $e_2(s)$  and  $e_3(s)$ . For any given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , let  $n(\varepsilon)$ ,  $\delta$ , and  $I_j$  ( $j = 0, 1, \dots, n$ ) be given by (2.1), (2.4) and (1.4.1), respectively. Put*

$$t_j(s) = \frac{1}{m^2} \{ [f_j^2 + \cos(g_j(s)m)]e_1(j\delta) + [m \sin(g_j(s)m)]e_2(j\delta) + f_j[1 - \cos(g_j(s)m)]e_3(j\delta) \}$$

where

$$f_j = \tau[(j + 1)\delta] / \{ \kappa[(j + 1)\delta] \}, m = +\sqrt{1 + f_j^2}, g_j(s) = \int_{j\delta}^s \kappa(\sigma) d\sigma$$

and let

$$t(s) = t_j(s), s \in I_j, j = 0, 1, \dots, n.$$

Then the curve

$$\Gamma^*: h^*(s) = r(0) + \int_0^s t(\sigma) d\sigma, s = \text{arc length}, 0 \leq s \leq l,$$

is a piecewise helix such that

$$|r(s) - h^*(s)| < \varepsilon, 0 \leq s \leq l,$$

and  $\Gamma^*$  has the same curvature as  $\Gamma$  at corresponding points except possibly at the corners.

*Proof.* From (1.7)

$$\Phi_j(s) = \begin{bmatrix} t_j(s) \\ n_j(s) \\ b_j(s) \end{bmatrix}$$

satisfies the system of differential equations



$$(1.8) \quad \Phi'_j(s) = \kappa(s)A_j\Phi_j(s) \quad \text{on } I_j,$$

where  $A_j$  is given by (1.7). The solution of (1.8) for which  $\Phi_j(j\delta) = \Psi(j\delta)$  is given by

$$\Phi_j(s) = e^{g_j(s)A_j}\Psi(j\delta).$$

The eigenvalues of  $A_j$  are  $0$ ,  $im$  and  $-im$  and the corresponding eigenvectors are:

$$T_1 = \begin{bmatrix} f_j \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, T_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ im \\ -f_j \end{bmatrix}, T_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -im \\ -f_j \end{bmatrix}.$$

Also the matrix  $T = (T_1, T_2, T_3)$  has the inverse

$$T^{-1} = \frac{1}{2m^2} \begin{bmatrix} 2f_j & 0 & 2 \\ 1 & -im & -f_j \\ 1 & im & -f_j \end{bmatrix}.$$

Thus

$$T^{-1}e^{g_j(s)A_j}T = e^{g_j(s)D_j},$$

where

$$D_j = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & im & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -im \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} e^{g_j(s)A_j} &= Te^{g_j(s)D_j}T^{-1} \\ &= \frac{1}{m^2} \begin{bmatrix} f_j^2 + \cos g_j(s)m, & m \sin g_j(s)m, & f_j(1 - \cos g_j(s)m) \\ * & * & * \\ * & * & * \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

From this it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{bmatrix} t_j(s) \\ n_j(s) \\ b_j(s) \end{bmatrix} &\equiv \Phi_j(s) = e^{g_j(s)A_j} \begin{bmatrix} e_1(j\delta) \\ e_2(j\delta) \\ e_3(j\delta) \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{m^2} \times \\ &\times \begin{bmatrix} [f_j^2 + \cos g_j(s)m]e_1(j\delta) + [m \sin g_j(s)m]e_2(j\delta) + f_j[1 - \cos g_j(s)m]e_3(j\delta) \\ * & * & * \\ * & * & * \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

which gives (2.5) and the theorem is proved.

REMARKS. By using the definition of torsion as given by Hartman and Wintner [1], p. 771, [3] p. 202, the continuity requirement of Theorem 1 can be relaxed from  $C^3$  to  $C^2$ . A question of further interest would be to consider the bending of normal curves, see for example, Nomizu [2] and Wong and Lai [4].

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. P. Hartman and A. Wintner, *On the fundamental equations of differential geometry* Amer. J. Math. **72** (1950), 757-774.
2. K. Nomizu, *On Frenet equations for curves of class  $C^\infty$* , Tohoku J. Math. **11** (1959), 106-112.
3. A. Wintner, *On the infinitesimal geometry of curves*, Amer. J. Math. **75** (1953).
4. Y. C. Wong and H. F. Lai, *A critical examination of the theory of curves in three dimensional differential geometry*, Tohoku J. Math. **19** (1967), 1-31.

Received March 17, 1969, and revised form June 13, 1969. The author wishes to acknowledge support for this research by the National Aeronautics Space Administration, NASA Grant NGR 05-010-008.

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA  
SANTA BARBARA, CALIFORNIA



# PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

## EDITORS

H. SAMELSON  
Stanford University  
Stanford, California 94305

J. DUGUNDJI  
Department of Mathematics  
University of Southern California  
Los Angeles, California 90007

RICHARD PIERCE  
University of Washington  
Seattle, Washington 98105

BASIL GORDON\*  
University of California  
Los Angeles, California 90024

## ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

## SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA  
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY  
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA  
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA  
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY  
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON  
OSAKA UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO  
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH  
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON  
\* \* \*  
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY  
CHEVRON RESEARCH CORPORATION  
TRW SYSTEMS  
NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 32, No. 1

January, 1970

Robert Alexander Adams, <i>Compact Sobolev imbeddings for unbounded domains</i> . . . . .	1
Bernhard Amberg, <i>Groups with maximum conditions</i> . . . . .	9
Tom M. (Mike) Apostol, <i>Möbius functions of order <math>k</math></i> . . . . .	21
Stefan Bergman, <i>On an initial value problem in the theory of two-dimensional transonic flow patterns</i> . . . . .	29
Geoffrey David Downs Creede, <i>Concerning semi-stratifiable spaces</i> . . . . .	47
Edmond Dale Dixon, <i>Matric polynomials which are higher commutators</i> . . . . .	55
R. L. Duncan, <i>Some continuity properties of the Schnirelmann density. II</i> . . . . .	65
Peter Larkin Duren and Allen Lowell Shields, <i>Coefficient multipliers of <math>H^p</math> and <math>B^p</math> spaces</i> . . . . .	69
Hector O. Fattorini, <i>On a class of differential equations for vector-valued distributions</i> . . . . .	79
Charles Hallahan, <i>Stability theorems for Lie algebras of derivations</i> . . . . .	105
Heinz Helfenstein, <i>Local isometries of flat tori</i> . . . . .	113
Gerald J. Janusz, <i>Some remarks on Clifford's theorem and the Schur index</i> . . . . .	119
Joe W. Jenkins, <i>Symmetry and nonsymmetry in the group algebras of discrete groups</i> . . . . .	131
Herbert Frederick Kreimer, Jr., <i>Outer Galois theory for separable algebras</i> . . . . .	147
D. G. Larman and P. Mani, <i>On visual hulls</i> . . . . .	157
R. Robert Laxton, <i>On groups of linear recurrences. II. Elements of finite order</i> . . . . .	173
Dong Hoon Lee, <i>The adjoint group of Lie groups</i> . . . . .	181
James B. Lucke, <i>Commutativity in locally compact rings</i> . . . . .	187
Charles Harris Scanlon, <i>Rings of functions with certain Lipschitz properties</i> . . . . .	197
Binyamin Schwarz, <i>Totally positive differential systems</i> . . . . .	203
James McLean Sloss, <i>The bending of space curves into piecewise helical curves</i> . . . . .	231
James D. Stafney, <i>Analytic interpolation of certain multiplier spaces</i> . . . . .	241
Patrick Noble Stewart, <i>Semi-simple radical classes</i> . . . . .	249
Hiroyuki Tachikawa, <i>On left QF - 3 rings</i> . . . . .	255
Glenn Francis Webb, <i>Product integral representation of time dependent nonlinear evolution equations in Banach spaces</i> . . . . .	269