Pacific Journal of Mathematics

DOMAIN-PERTURBED PROBLEMS FOR ORDINARY LINEAR DIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS

JOHN FROESE

Vol. 32, No. 3

March 1970

DOMAIN-PERTURBED PROBLEMS FOR ORDINARY LINEAR DIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS

J. FROESE

The variation of the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions of an ordinary linear self-adjoint differential operator L is considered under perturbations of the domain of L. The basic problem is defined as a suitable singular eigenvalue problem for L on the open interval $\omega_{-} < s < \omega_{+}$ and is assumed to have at least one real eigenvalue λ of multiplicity k. The perturbed problem is a regular self-adjoint problem defined for L on a closed subinterval [a, b] of (ω_{-}, ω_{+}) . It is proved under suitable conditions on the boundary operators of the perturbed problem that exactly k perturbed eigenvalues $\mu_{ab}^{i} \rightarrow \lambda$ as $a, b \rightarrow \omega_{-}, \omega_{+}$. Further, asymptotic estimates are obtained for $\mu_{ab}^{i} - \lambda$ as $a, b \rightarrow \omega_{-}, \omega_{+}$. The other results are refinements which lead to asymptotic estimates for the eigenfunctions and variational formulae for the eigenvalues.

Let L be the *n*-th order ordinary linear differential operator defined by

(1.1)
$$Lx = \frac{1}{k(s)} \sum_{i=0}^{n} p_i(s) x^{(n-i)}(s)$$

on the open interval $\omega_{-} < s < \omega_{+}$, where k and p_i , $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$ are real-valued functions on this interval with the properties that

(i) $p_i \in C^{n-i}(\omega_{-}, \omega_{+}), i = 0, 1, \dots, n;$

(ii) k is piecewise continuous on (ω_{-}, ω_{+}) ; and

(iii) p_0 and k are positive-valued. Furthermore the operator $k \cdot L$ is assumed to be formally self-adjoint, i.e. $k \cdot L$ coincides with its Lagrangian adjoint $[k \cdot L]^+$ where

(1.2)
$$[k \cdot L]^+ x = \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^{n-i} [p_i x]^{(n-i)} .$$

The points ω_+ and ω_- are in general singularities for L; the possibility that they are $\pm \infty$ is not excluded.

It will be convenient to use the following notations:

(1.3)
$$(x, y)_s^t = \int_s^t x(u)\overline{y(u)}k(u)du, \, \omega_- \leq s < t \leq \omega_+ ;$$

(1.4)
$$(x, y)_a = (x, y)_a^{w_+}; (x, y)^b = (x, y)_{w_-}^b;$$

(1.5)
$$(x, y) = (x, y)_{\omega_{-}}^{\omega_{+}};$$

J. FROESE

(1.6)
$$[xy](s) = \sum_{m=1}^{n} \sum_{\substack{j+k=m-1\\j \ge 0, k \ge 0}} (-1)^{j} x^{(k)}(s) [p_{n-m}(s)\overline{y(s)}]^{(j)};$$

(1.7)
$$[xy](\pm) = \lim_{s \to \omega \pm} [xy](s)$$
.

Since the operator $k \cdot L$ is formally self-adjoint Green's symmetric formula has the form

(1.8)
$$(Lx, y)_s^t - (x, Ly)_s^t = [xy](t) - [xy](s)$$
.

Let H, H[a, b] denote the Hilbert spaces which are the Lebesgue spaces with respective inner products $(x, y), (x, y)_a^b$ and norms $||x|| = (x, x)^{1/2},$ $||x||_a^b = [(x, x)_a^b]^{1/2}, \omega_- \leq a < b \leq \omega_+$. For c any intermediate point, $\omega_- < c < \omega_+$, the symbols $H(\omega_-, c], H[c, \omega_+)$ will similarly denote the Lebesgue spaces with respective inner products $(x, y)^c, (x, y)_c$ and norms $||x||^c = [(x, x)^c]^{1/2}, ||x||_c = [(x, x)_c]^{1/2}$. From (1.8) it is clear that [xy](+)(or [xy](-)) exists provided x, y, Lx, Ly are in $H[c, \omega_+)$ (or x, y, Lx, Lyare in $H(\omega_-, c]$).

Let a_0 and b_0 be fixed numbers satisfying $\omega_- < a_0 < b_0 < \omega_+$ and let R_0 be the rectangle in the a - b-plane described by the inequalities $\omega_- < a \leq a_0, b_0 \leq b < \omega_+$. Then every closed, bounded interval [a, b], $\omega_- < a \leq a_0, b_0 \leq b < \omega_+$, can be associated in a one-to-one manner with a point of R_0 . For $k = 0, 1, \dots, n - 1$, let $\alpha_{ik}(a), i = 1, 2, \dots, m$, and $\beta_{jk}(b), j = 1, 2, \dots, n - m$ be real-valued functions defined on the respective intervals $\omega_- < a \leq a_0, b_0 \leq b < \omega_+$, such that for every $[a, b] \in R_0$ the boundary operators

(1.9)
$$\begin{cases} U_a^i y = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \alpha_{ik}(a) y^{(k)}(a), \ i = 1, 2, \cdots, m \\ U_b^j y = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \beta_{jk}(b) y^{(k)}(b), \ j = 1, 2, \cdots, n-m \end{cases}$$

yield a linearly independent self-adjoint set of boundary conditions

(1.10)
$$\begin{cases} U_a^i y = 0, \ i = 1, 2, \ \cdots, \ m \\ U_b^i y = 0, \ i = 1, 2, \ \cdots, \ n - m \end{cases}$$

for L (see [3] Chapter 11). Also for each $[a, b] \in R_0$ let D[a, b] denote the set of all $y \in H[a, b]$ which have the properties that

- (i) $y \in C^{n-1}[a, b], y^{(n-1)}$ is absolutely continuous on [a, b];
- (ii) $Ly \in H[a, b]$; and
- (iii) y satisfies (1.10).

Then the self-adjoint eigenvalue problem

$$(1.11) Ly = \mu y , y \in D[a, b]$$

is known to have a countable set of real eigenvalues with no finite

cluster point and a corresponding set of (real) eigenfunctions complete in H[a, b]. Our problem is to obtain estimates for each eigenvalue $\mu = \mu_{ab}$ of (1.11) for a, b near ω_{-}, ω_{+} under hypotheses that will ensure that the limits of μ_{ab} as $a, b \to \omega_{-}, \omega_{+}$ will exist. Accordingly, eigenvalues λ of suitable singular eigenvalue problems for L on (ω_{-}, ω_{+}) will be assumed to exist. If the eigenspace of λ is k-dimensional the first theorem shows in particular that at least k eigenvalues of (1.11) converge to λ as $a, b \to \omega_{-}, \omega_{+}$. The other results are refinements of this which lead to asymptotic estimates for eigenfunctions. The method of estimation used is due to H. F. Bohnenblust [1]. Results like these have been previously obtained for second order cases by C. A. Swanson [8], [9]. See also [10] where he considers the biharmonic operator.

Let l_0 be any fixed complex number, Im $l_0 \neq 0$, and let ψ_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, denote linearly independent solutions (hereafter to be referred to as *basic solutions*) of $L_0 x = 0$ where $L_0 = L - l_0$. If basic solutions ψ_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ exist such that the $\lim |\psi_i/\psi_j|$ is either 0 or ∞ as $s \rightarrow \omega_+$ for each pair $\psi_i, \psi_j, i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n, i \neq j$, then ω_+ will be referred to as a *class 1* singularity. On the other hand, ω_+ will be called a *class 2* singularity when the behaviour of the basic solutions is essentially arbitrary as $s \rightarrow \omega_+$. In particular this includes cases where the basic solutions may oscillate as $s \rightarrow \omega_+$. Similar definitions also apply to the singularity ω_- . The singularity ω_+ (or ω_-) is further characterized by the number of basic solutions in $H[c, \omega_+)$ (or in $H(\omega_-, c])$ where c is any number satisfying $\omega_- < c < \omega_+$. For n = 2 this reduces to Weyl's well-known *limit circle, limit point* classification of singular points [3, p. 225].

For the present perturbation problems will be considered for which both ω_{-} and ω_{+} are *both* class 2 singularities and all basic solutions are in $H(\omega_{-}, c]$ and in $H[c, \omega_{+})$. In another paper class 1 singularities (and mixed cases) as well as examples will be considered.

2. Basic and perturbed problems. Rather than general spectral theory, one is interested in cases that the limits of μ_{ab} as $a, b \rightarrow \omega_{-}, \omega_{+}$ exist in an elementary sense. Thus, eigenvalues of suitable singular eigenvalue problems for L on (ω_{-}, ω_{+}) are supposed to exist. Such eigenvalue problems may be established by following basically the methods suggested by Kodaira [5] and Coddington [2]. Note that for the particular case n = 2, a theorem of Weyl [7] leads to singular "limit circle" problems which possess eigenvalues.

Let D be the set of all $x \in H$ such that $x \in C^{n-1}(\omega_{-}, \omega_{+})$ and $x^{(n-1)}$ is absolutely continuous on every closed bounded sub-interval of (ω_{-}, ω_{+}) . Let χ_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ be functions (to remain fixed) such that

(i) $L\chi_i \in H, i = 1, 2, \dots, n;$

(ii) the end conditions $[x\chi_i](-) = 0, i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ are linearly

independent; and

(iii) the end conditions $[x\chi_i](+) = 0$, i = m + 1, m + 2, \dots , n, are linearly independent.

Then the basic problem is the singular eigenvalue problem

$$Lx = \lambda x, \qquad x \in D_0$$

where D_0 is the set of all $x \in D$ such that

(2.2)
$$\begin{cases} [x\chi_i](-) = 0, \ i = 1, 2, \cdots, m \\ [x\chi_i](+) = 0, \ i = m+1, \cdots, n \end{cases}$$

Again (2.1) is to be a reasonable eigenvalue problem, i.e., at least one eigenvalue λ is supposed to exist which is assumed to be real. Note that the methods used by Coddington [2] and Kodaira [5] ensure that all eigenvalues are real. The eigenvalue problem (1.11) is to be regarded as a perturbation of (2.1) and hence will be referred to as the *perturbed* problem.

For the class of perturbation problems to be considered, the basic solutions are not necessarily ordered according to their asymptotic behaviour at ω_+ or at ω_- . Consequently strong conditions have to be imposed on the limiting behaviour of the boundary operators U_a^i , U_b^i as $a, b \rightarrow \omega_-$, ω_+ . In particular every n-1 times differentiable function y shall satisfy

(2.3)
$$\begin{cases} U_a^i y = [y\chi_i](a)[1+o(1)] \text{ as } a \to \omega_-, & i = 1, 2, \cdots, m \\ U_b^i y = [y\chi_{m+i}](b)[1+o(1)] \text{ as } b \to \omega_+ & i = 1, 2, \cdots, n-m \end{cases}$$

Let A denote the matrix (A_{ij}) where

$$A_{ij} = egin{cases} [\psi_i \chi_j](-), \ i = 1, \, 2, \, \cdots, \, n; \, j = 1, \, 2, \, \cdots \, m \ [\psi_i \chi_j](+), \ i = 1, \, 2, \, \cdots \, n; \, j = m + 1, \, \cdots, \, n \end{cases}$$

and let $\Omega = \det A$. Then since $\Omega = \det A^t$, where A^t is the transpose of A, and since l_0 is nonreal it follows immediately that $\Omega \neq 0$ (otherwise l_0 would be an eigenvalue of (2.1)). Also for each $j, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$, $\psi_j, L\psi_j, \chi_j, L\chi_j$ are in H; hence (1.8) implies that each limit $[\psi_i\chi_j](\pm)$ exists (finite) for $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$. This implies that Ω is equal to some nonzero constant.

Let A(a, b) denote the matrix $(A_{ij}(a, b))$ where

$$A_{ij}(a,\,b) = egin{cases} U_a^i \psi_j,\,i=1,\,2,\,\cdots,\,m;\,j=1,\,2,\,\cdots,\,n\ U_b^{i-m}\psi_j,\,i=m+1,\,\cdots,\,n;\,j=1,\,2,\,\cdots,\,n \end{cases}$$

ane let $\Omega(a, b) = \det A(a, b)$. Since $[\psi_i \chi_j](a)$ and $[\psi_i \chi_j](b)$ are finite as $a \to \omega_-$ and $b \to \omega_+$ for $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$, it follows from (2.3) that numbers a_0, b_0 , can be selected (which may be pre-supposed to be the original

choices) and a constant C such that

whenever $\omega_{-} < a \leq a_0$, $b_0 \leq b < \omega_{+}$. Also by (2.3) the element in the *i*-th row and *j*-th column in A(a, b) approaches the element in the *i*-th row and *j*-th column in A^t as $a, b \rightarrow \omega_{-}, \omega_{+}$. This implies that

as $a, b \to \omega_{-}, \omega_{+}$ and hence by (2.4) and (2.5) the numbers a_{0}, b_{0} previously chosen can be assumed to be such that $\Omega(a, b)$ is bounded above and away from zero whenever $\omega_{-} < a \leq a_{0}, b_{0} \leq b < \omega_{+}$.

3. Comparison of the basic and perturbed problems. The two problems (1.11) and (2.1) will be compared, with (1.11) regarded as a perturbation of (2.1). An estimate will be obtained for the variation of the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions under the perturbation $D_0 \rightarrow D[a, b]$. In particular it will be shown that this variation has the limit 0 as $a, b \rightarrow \omega_{-}, \omega_{+}$. Let λ be an eigenvalue of (2.1) and let A_{λ} denote the eigenspace of dimension k corresponding to λ . Let $x_{j}, j = 1, 2, \dots, k$ be an orthonormal basis for A_{λ} and define $\tau_a^i(x), \tau_b^i(x), \Gamma_a(x)$ and $\Gamma_b(x)$ by

(3.1)
$$\tau_a^i(x) = \sum_{j=1}^k |U_a^i x_j|; \tau_b^i(x) = \sum_{j=1}^k |U_b^i x_j|;$$

(3.2)
$$\Gamma_{a}(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \tau_{a}^{i}(x); \Gamma_{b}(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-m} \tau_{b}^{i}(x) .$$

Then (2.2) and (2.3) clearly imply that $\tau_a^i(x) = o(1), i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ and $\tau_b^i(x) = o(1), i = 1, 2, \dots, n - m$ and hence

(3.3)
$$\Gamma_a(x) = o(1), \qquad \Gamma_b(x) = o(1)$$

as $a \to \omega_{-}, b \to \omega_{+}$. The following theorem proves the convergence of the eigenvalues of (1.11) to those of (2.1).

THEOREM 1. Let ω_{-} and ω_{+} be singularities for L as described in § 1. Let λ be an eigenvalue of (2.1) possessing k orthonormal eigenfunctions. Then under assumption (2.3) there exists a rectangle R_{0} , and a constant C on R_{0} , such that at least k perturbed eigenvalues μ_{ab}^{i} of (1.11) satisfy

$$(3.4) \qquad |\mu_{ab}^{j} - \lambda| \leq C[\Gamma_{a}(x) + \Gamma_{b}(x)]$$

whenever $[a, b] \in R_0$.

Proof. Let $G_{ab}(s, t)$ be the Green's function for the operator $k \cdot L_0$

associated with (1.10) and let G_{ab} be the linear transformation on H[a, b] defined by

$$G_{ab}y=\int_a^b\!\!G_{ab}(s,\,t)y(t)k(t)dt\;,\qquad y\in H[a,\,b]\;.$$

It is well-known [3, Chapter 7], that for any function $y \in H[a, b]$, the function $w = G_{ab}y$ is the unique solution in D[a, b] of the differential equation $L_0w = y$. For λ an eigenvalue and x any corresponding normalized eigenfunction of (2.1), we define a function f on [a, b] by

(3.5)
$$f = x - \gamma G_{ab} x , \qquad \gamma = \lambda - l_0 .$$

It is easily verified because of the linearity of all the operators involved that f is a solution of the boundary problem

$$(3.6) L_{{}_{0}}f=0, \ U_{a}^{i}f=U_{a}^{i}x, \ i=1, \ 2, \ \cdots, \ m \ , \\ U_{b}^{i}f=U_{b}^{i}x, \ i=1, \ 2, \ \cdots, \ n-m \ .$$

Let $K^{j}(a, b)$ denote the determinant of the matrix obtained from A(a, b) by replacing the *j*-th column by

$$U^{\scriptscriptstyle 1}_a x,\; U^{\scriptscriptstyle 2}_a x,\; \cdots,\; U^{\scriptscriptstyle m}_a x,\; U^{\scriptscriptstyle 1}_b x,\; \cdots,\; U^{{\scriptscriptstyle n-m}}_b x$$
 .

Then Cramer's rule yields the following representation of f in terms of the basic solutions:

(3.7)
$$f(s) = \frac{1}{\Omega(a, b)} \sum_{j=1}^{n} K^{j}(a, b) \psi_{j}(s) .$$

The solution f of (3.6) is unique for if g is any solution of (3.6) then the function h = g - f satisfies $L_0 h = 0$, $U_a^i h = 0$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$, $U_b^i h = 0$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n - m$. This implies that h is the zero function or g = f.

It follows from (2.4), (3.1) and (3.2) that there exists a constant C such that

$$|K^{j}(a, b)| \leq C[\Gamma_{a}(x) + \Gamma_{b}(x)]$$

for each $j, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ whenever $[a, b] \in R_0$. This in addition to (2.5), (3.5), (3.7) and the fact that all the basic solutions are in H, enables one to deduce that there exists a constant C such that

$$(3.8) || x - \gamma G_{ab} x ||_a^b \leq C(\Gamma_a(x) + \Gamma_b(x)) || x ||_a^b$$

whenever $[a, b] \in R_0$. The following fundamental lemma was obtained by H. F. Bohnenblust the proof of which is outlined in [8, p. 1554].

LEMMA 1. Let $P(\delta)$ be the projection mapping from the Hilbert space H[a, b] onto its subspace $H_{\delta}[a, b] (\delta > 0)$ spanned by all the eigenfunctions y_j of (1.11) such that the corresponding eigenvalues μ^j satisfy $|\mu^j - \lambda| \leq \delta$. Then for any $w \in H[a, b]$,

$$||w-P(\delta)w||_a^b \leq \left(1+rac{|\gamma|}{\delta}
ight)\!||w-\gamma G_{ab}w||_a^b$$
 .

It follows from (3.8) and Lemma 1 that there exists a constant C on R_{\circ} such that

(3.9)
$$||x - P(\delta)x||_a^b \leq \frac{C}{2\delta} (\Gamma_a(x) + \Gamma_b(x)) ||x||_a^b.$$

With the choice $\delta = C[\Gamma_a(x) + \Gamma_b(x)]$, we obtain

(3.10)
$$||x - P(\delta)x||_a^b \leq \frac{1}{2} ||x||_a^b$$

and conclude that $P(\delta)x = 0$ implies x = 0 on [a, b]. But dim $A_{\lambda} = k$; hence there exists at least k perturbed eigenvalues μ_{ab}^{2} (counting multiplicities) of (1.11) such that

$$|\mu_{ab}^j - \lambda| \leq C[\Gamma_a(x) + \Gamma_b(x)]$$

for $[a, b] \in R_0$. This completes the proof of the theorem.

Theorem 1 and (3.3) show in particular that if λ is a basic eigenvalue of multiplicity k there exist at least k perturbed eigenvalues μ_{ab}^{j} (counting multiplicities) such that $\mu_{ab}^{j} \rightarrow \lambda$ when $a, b \rightarrow \omega_{-}, \omega_{+}$. To obtain the stronger result that exactly k perturbed eigenvalues μ_{ab}^{j} satisfy (3.4) in Theorem 1, we require the monotonicity property that the absolute value of the *n*-th eigenvalue of (2.1), $|\lambda_{1}| \leq |\lambda_{2}| \leq \cdots$, is not larger than the absolute value of the *n*-th eigenvalue of (1.11), $|\mu_{1}| \leq |\mu_{2}| \leq \cdots$. Then an inductive proof similar to that used in [8, p. 1554] yields the following result:

THEOREM 2. If in addition to the hypotheses of Theorem 1 the above monotonicity property holds, then for every basic eigenvalue λ of (2.1), of multiplicity k, there exists a rectangle R_0 and a constant C on R_0 , such that exactly k eigenvalues μ_{ab}^{i} (counting multiplicities) of (1.11) satisfy (3.4) whenever $[a, b] \in R_0$.

THEOREM 3. Let the hypotheses of Theorem 2 be satisfied. Then corresponding to the eigenvalues λ and μ_{ab}^{i} of Theorem 2, there are orthogonal eigenfunctions x^{i} on [a, b] associated with λ and y^{i} associated with the μ_{ab}^{i} such that

$$egin{aligned} &||y^j_{ab}-x^j||^b_a \leq C[{arGamma}_a(x)+{arGamma}_b(x)],\, ||\,x^j\,||^b_a = ||\,y^j\,||^b_a = 1 \;, \ &j=1,\,2,\,\cdots,\,k \;, \end{aligned}$$

whenever $[a, b] \in R_0$.

J. FROESE

Proof. Let $\{y^i\}$ be a set of orthonormal eigenfunctions on [a, b] corresponding to the set of eigenvalues $\{\mu_{ab}^i\}$ in Theorem 2. Then $H_i[a, b]$ is k-dimensional by Theorem 2 and $P(\delta) x = 0$ implies x = 0 by (3.10). Hence there exist k unique linearly independent eigenfunctions z^j corresponding to λ which $P(\delta)$ maps into the orthonormal eigenfunctions y^j and by (3.9)

$$(3.11) || z^{j} - y^{j} ||_{a}^{b} = O[\Gamma_{a}(x) + \Gamma_{b}(x)], [a, b] \in R_{0}.$$

Since

$$||(z^i,z^j)^b_a-(y^i,y^j)^b_a| \leq |||y^i||^b_a\,||\,z^j-y^j\,||^b_a+||z^j||^b_a\,||\,z^i-y^i\,||^b_a$$

by the Schwarz inequality

$$(z^i, z^j)^b_a = \delta_{ij} + O[\Gamma_a(x) + \Gamma_b(x)]$$

for $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, k$ where δ_{ij} denotes the Kronecker delta. Since the z^{j} are linearly independent, an orthonormal sequence x^{j} can be constructed by the Schmidt process as linear combinations of the z^{j} and it is easily verified that

$$||x^{j} - z^{j}||_{a}^{b} = O[\Gamma_{a}(x) + \Gamma_{b}(x)]$$
.

This combined with (3.11) gives the desired result.

4. Uniform estimate for eigenfunctions. For the class of singular problems under consideration, additional restrictions are needed on the basic solutions ψ_j , $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$, to obtain uniform estimates for $y_{ab}^j(s) - x^j(s)$, $a \leq s \leq b$, in Theorem 3. In particular the requirement will be that all basic solutions are bounded on (ω_-, ω_+) .

LEMMA 2. Let $G_{ab}(s, t)$ be the Green's function for $k \cdot L_0$ associated with (1.10). Then the positive function $g_{ab}(s)$ defined by

(4.1)
$$[g_{ab}(s)]^2 = \int_a^b |G_{ab}(s, t)|^2 k(t) dt$$

is uniformly bounded on $a \leq s \leq b$ provided $a \leq a_0, b_0 \leq b$.

Proof. The Green's function $G_{ab}(s, t)$ will be constructed first. From (1.6) it is clear that [xy](s) may be written in the form

$$[xy](s) = \sum_{i,j=0}^{n-1} B_{ij}(s) x^{(i)}(s) \overline{y^{(j)}(s)}$$

with

(4.2)
$$B_{ij}(s) = \begin{cases} (-1)^j P_0(s) , & i+j=n-1 \\ 0 , & i+j>n-1 . \end{cases}$$

Let B denote the *n*-by-*n* matrix which has $B_{ij} = B_{ij}(s)$ in the i + 1-th row and j + 1-th column, $i, j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1$. Then (4.2) implies that B is nonsingular on (ω_{-}, ω_{+}) .

Considering now the basic solutions one obtains from Green's formula (1.8) that $[\psi_{\alpha}\bar{\psi}_{\beta}](s)$ is a constant $[\psi_{\alpha}\bar{\psi}_{\beta}]$ independent of $s, \alpha, \beta =$ 1, 2, ..., n. With S representing the matrix with element $[\psi_{\alpha}\bar{\psi}_{\beta}]$ in the α -th row and β -th column, it is easily verified that

$$(4.3) S = Y^{t}BY$$

where Y denotes the Wronskian matrix $(\psi_j^{(i-1)}(s))$, $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ and Y^t the transpose of the matrix Y. Since B, Y (and hence Y^t) are nonsingular it follows that S is a nonsingular constant matrix. Let $S^{-1} = (\gamma_{\alpha\beta})$ denote the matrix inverse to S and consider the function K(s, t) defined by

(4.4)
$$K(s, t) = \sum_{\alpha,\beta=1}^{n} \gamma_{\alpha\beta} \psi_{\alpha}(t) \psi_{\beta}(s) .$$

Since $YS^{-1}Y^t = B^{-1}$ by (4.3) one obtains by inspection that

$$K^{(i)}_{s}(s,\,t) = egin{cases} 0\,\,, & i=1,\,2,\,\cdots,\,n-2\ -1/p_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}(s)\,\,, & i=n-1\,\,. \end{cases}$$

Let

(4.5)
$$K_{ab}(s, t) = \begin{cases} K(s, t) , & a \leq t \leq s \leq b \\ 0 , & a \leq s \leq t \leq b \end{cases}$$

where [a, b] is any closed sub-interval of (ω_{-}, ω_{+}) . Then from the above remarks it follows that

(4.6)
$$G_{ab}(s, t) = K_{ab}(s, t) + \sum_{k=1}^{n} A_{k}(t)\psi_{k}(s)$$

where $A_k(t)$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$, is chosen in such a way that $G_{ab}(s, t)$, as a function of s, satisfies (1.10). Compare (4.6) with [4, Th. 8, p. 1319]. In particular, one obtains by Cramer's rule that

$$A_k(t) = rac{arOmega_{ab}(t)}{arOmega(a, \, b)}$$

where $\Omega_{ab}^{k}(t)$ denotes the determinant of the matrix obtained from A(a, b) by replacing the k-th column by the column whose r-th component v_r is given by

$$v_r = egin{cases} 0 ext{ , } & r=1,\,2,\,\cdots,\,m \ -\sum\limits_{lpha,\,eta=1}^n \gamma_{lphaeta}\psi_{lpha}(t) U_b^{r-m}\psi_{eta} ext{ , } & r=m+1,\,\cdots,\,n ext{ .} \end{cases}$$

Since $\psi_k \in H$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ it follows immediately from (2.4) and (2.5) that there exists a constant C such that

$$(4.7) || A_k(t) ||_a^b \leq C , k = 1, 2, \cdots, n$$

whenever $a \leq a_0, b_0 \leq b$.

It follows from (4.1) that for $a \leq s \leq b$

$$(4.8) \qquad g_{ab}(s) \leq \left\{ \int_{a}^{s} |G_{ab}(s, t)|^{2} k(t) dt \right\}^{1/2} + \left\{ \int_{s}^{b} |G_{ab}(s, t)|^{2} k(t) dt \right\}^{1/2} .$$

By (4.4), (4.6) and the triangle inequality we obtain that

$$egin{aligned} &\left\{ \int_{a}^{s} \mid G_{ab}(s,\,t) \mid^{2} k(t) dt
ight\}^{1/2} &\leq \sum_{i,\,j=1}^{n} \mid \gamma_{ij} \psi_{j}(s) \mid \mid \mid \psi_{i}(t) \mid \mid^{s}_{a} \ &+ \sum_{j=1}^{n} \mid \psi_{j}(s) \mid \mid \mid A_{j}(t) \mid \mid^{s}_{a} \,. \end{aligned}$$

But ψ_j is bounded on (ω_-, ω_+) and $\psi_j \in H, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$; hence by (4.7) the first quantity on the right in (4.8) is uniformly bounded on $a \leq s \leq b$ provided $a \leq a_0, b_0 \leq b$. A similar proof shows that the second integral on the right in (4.8) is also uniformly bounded on $a \leq s \leq b$ provided $a \leq a_0, b_0 \leq b$. This gives the desired result. The next result gives uniform estimates for the eigenfunctions of Theorem 3.

THEOREM 4. If in addition to the hypotheses of Theorem 3, ψ_j is bounded on $(\omega_{-}, \omega_{+}), j = 1, 2, \dots, n$, then the eigenfunctions x^j corresponding to λ and y_{ab}^j corresponding to μ_{ab}^j of Theorem 3 are such that

(4.9)
$$y_{ab}^{j}(s) = x^{j}(s) - f^{j}(s) + O[\Gamma_{a}(x)] + O[\Gamma_{b}(x)], \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, k$$

where $f^{j}(s)$ is the unique solution of the boundary problem

(4.10)
$$\begin{array}{ccc} Lf = l_{_{0}}f, \ U_{a}^{i}f = U_{a}^{i}x^{j} \ , & i = 1, \ 2, \ \cdots, \ m \ , \\ U_{b}^{i}f = U_{b}^{i}x^{j} \ , & i = 1, \ 2, \ \cdots, \ n - m \ . \end{array}$$

Proof. The Schwarz inequality for H[a, b] yields

$$egin{array}{l} |\,y^{j}_{ab}(s)\,-\,(\lambda\,-\,l_{0})G_{ab}x^{j}(s)\,| \ &=|\,G_{ab}[(\mu^{j}_{ab}\,-\,l_{0})y^{j}_{ab}(s)\,-\,(\lambda\,-\,l_{0})x^{j}(s)]\,| \ &\leq g_{ab}(s)\{|\,\mu^{j}_{ab}\,-\,l_{0}\,|\,||\,y^{j}_{ab}\,-\,x^{j}\,||^{b}_{a}\,+\,|\,\mu^{j}_{ab}\,-\,\lambda\,|\,||\,x^{j}\,||^{b}_{a}\}\,. \end{array}$$

Hence Lemma 2 and Theorems 2 and 3 show that there exists a constant C such that

(4.11)
$$|y_{ab}^{j}(s) - (\lambda - l_{0})G_{ab}x^{j}(s)| \leq C[\Gamma_{a}(x) + \Gamma_{b}(x)]$$

on $a \leq s \leq b$, whenever $a \leq a_0, b_0 \leq b$.

The solution $f^{i}(s)$ of the boundary problem (4.10) is given by (3.5) or (3.7) with x replaced by x^{i} . The function F^{i} defined by

$$F^{j}(s) = (\lambda - l_{0})G_{ab}x^{j}(s) - x^{j}(s) + f^{j}(s)$$

satisfies

$$egin{array}{lll} LF^{j} = l_{_{0}}F^{j}, \ U^{i}_{a}F^{j} = 0 \ , & i = 1, \, 2, \, \cdots, \, m \ , \ U^{i}_{b}F^{j} = 0 \ , & i = 1, \, 2, \, \cdots, \, n - m \end{array}$$

and hence F^{j} is the zero solution on $a \leq s \leq b$ for $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$. This with (4.11) immediately gives the uniform estimates (4.9).

5. Asymptotic variational formulae for eigenvalues. The purpose here is to derive formulae for the change $\mu_{ab}^{j} - \lambda$ of eigenvalues under the perturbation $D_{0} \rightarrow D[a, b]$, valid for a, b in neighbourhoods of ω_{-}, ω_{+} respectively. Let x^{j}, y^{j} denote the normalized eigenfunctions associated with λ and $\mu^{j} = \mu_{ab}^{j}$ as described in Theorem 3 and let f^{j} be the unique solution of (4.10). One obtains the following theorem:

THEOREM 5. Under the assumptions of Theorem 4 the following asymptotic variational formulae for the eigenvalues λ , μ_{ab}^{j} are valid:

(5.1)
$$\begin{aligned} \lambda - \mu_{ab}^{j} &= [f^{j}x^{j}](b) - [f^{j}x^{j}](a) \\ &+ (l_{0} - \lambda)(f^{j}, f^{j})_{a}^{b} + [\Gamma_{a}(x) + \Gamma_{b}(x)](f^{j}, 1)_{a}^{b}O(1) \end{aligned}$$

as $a, b \rightarrow \omega_{-}, \omega_{+}$.

Proof. Let Uy = 0 denote the self-adjoint set of boundary conditions given by (1.10). Then by [3, Chapter 11] there exist boundary forms U_c , U_c^+ of rank n such that

$$[uv](b) - [uv](a) = Uu \cdot U_{s}^{+}v + U_{s}^{+}u \cdot Uv$$

for any pair $u, v \in C^{n-1}[a, b]$, where \cdot represents the scalar product.

Now $Uy^{j} = 0$ by (1.10) and (1.11) and $Ux^{j} = Uf^{j}$ by (4.10); hence (dropping the superscripts j)

$$[xy](b) - [xy](a) = Ux \cdot U_c^+ y$$

= [fy](b) - [fy](a).

Then, application of Green's formula (1.8) to the differential equations $Lx = \lambda x$, $Lf = l_0 f$ and $Ly = \mu y$ on [a, b], leads to

(5.2)
$$(\lambda - \mu)(x, y)_a^b = (l_0 - \mu)(f, y)_a^b;$$

(5.3)
$$[fx](b) - [fx](a) = (l_0 - \lambda)(f, x)_a^b.$$

Hence one obtains as a consequence of Theorems 1, 2 and 3 that $\mu = \lambda + o(1)$ and

$$|(x, y)_a^b - (x, x)_a^b| \leq ||x||_a^b ||y - x||_a^b = o(1)$$

as $a, b \rightarrow \omega_{-}, \omega_{+}$. Hence

 $(x, y)^{\scriptscriptstyle b}_{\scriptscriptstyle a} = 1 + o(1)$, $a, b \rightarrow \omega_{\scriptscriptstyle -}, \omega_{\scriptscriptstyle +}$

and (5.2) yield

(5.4)
$$\lambda - \mu = (l_0 - \lambda)(f, y)_a^b [1 + o(1)] .$$

We now appeal to the uniform estimate (4.9) to obtain

(5.5)
$$(f, y)_a^b = (f, x)_a^b - (f, f)_a^b + [\Gamma_a(x) + \Gamma_b(x)](f, 1)_a^b O(1)$$
.

Then applying (5.3) and (5.5) to (5.4) the result (5.1) follows easily.

The author is indebted to Dr. C. A. Swanson for his helpful suggestions and comments in connection with this work.

References

1. H. F. Bohnenblust et al, *The Laplacian operator on perturbed domains*, unpublished manuscript.

2. E. A. Coddington, The spectral representation of ordinary self-adjoint differential operators, Ann. of Math. 60 (1954), 192-211.

3. E. A. Coddington and N. Levinson, Theory of ordinary differential equations, McGraw-Hill, 1955.

4. N. Dunford and J. T. Schwartz, *Linear operators, Part II: Spectral theory*, Interscience Publishers Inc., New York, 1963.

5. K. Kodaira, On ordinary differential equations of any even order and the corresponding eigenfunction expansions, Amer. J. Math. 72 (1950), 502-544.

6. M. A. Neumark, Lineare Differentialoperatoren, Akademie-Verlag, Berlin, 1960.

7. F. Rellich, Spectral theory of a second order ordinary differential operator, New York University, 1953.

8. C. A. Swanson, Asymptotic estimates for limit circle problems, Pacific J. Math. 11 (1961), 1549-1559.

9. ____, Asymptotic estimates for limit point problems, Pacific J. Math. 13 (1963), 305-316.

10. ____, Domain perturbations of the Biharmonic operator, Canad. J. Math. 17 (1965), 1053-1063.

Received January 23, 1968. The preparation of this paper was supported in part by a Grant from The Department of University Affairs, Ontario.

UNIVERSITY OF WATERLOO

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

H. SAMELSON Stanford University Stanford, California 94305

RICHARD PIERCE University of Washington Seattle, Washington 98105 J. DUGUNDJI Department of Mathematics University of Southern California Los Angeles, California 90007

BASIL GORDON* University of California Los Angeles, California 90024

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH B. H. NEUMANN

F. Wolf

K. Yoshida

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA	STANFORD UNIVERSITY
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY	UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA	UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY	WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA	UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY	* * *
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY	AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON	CHEVRON RESEARCH CORPORATION
OSAKA UNIVERSITY	TRW SYSTEMS
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA	NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, double spaced with large margins. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. It should not contain references to the bibliography. Manuscripts, in duplicate if possible, may be sent to any one of the four editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Rev. **36**, 1539-1546. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, Richard Arens, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

50 reprints are provided free for each article; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is published monthly. Effective with Volume 16 the price per volume (3 numbers) is \$8.00; single issues, \$3.00. Special price for current issues to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: \$4.00 per volume; single issues \$1.50. Back numbers are available.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley, California, 94708.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), 7-17, Fujimi 2-chome, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan.

* Acting Managing Editor.

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 32, No. 3 March, 1970

Charles A. Akemann and Bernard Russo, Geometry of the unit sphere of a 575 Philip Bacon, The compactness of countably compact spaces 587 Richard Blaine Barrar and Henry Loeb, On the continuity of the nonlinear 593 L. Carlitz, Factorization of a special polynomial over a finite field 603 Joe Ebeling Cude, Compact integral domains 615 Frank Rimi DeMeyer, On automorphisms of separable algebras. II 621 James B. Derr, Generalized Sylow tower groups 633 Raouf Doss, Some inclusions in multipliers 643 Mary Rodriguez Embry, The numerical range of an operator 647 John Froese, Domain-perturbed problems for ordinary linear differential operators 651 Zdeněk Frolík, Absolute Borel and Souslin sets 663
Philip Bacon, The compactness of countably compact spaces587Richard Blaine Barrar and Henry Loeb, On the continuity of the nonlinear Tschebyscheff operator593L. Carlitz, Factorization of a special polynomial over a finite field603Joe Ebeling Cude, Compact integral domains615Frank Rimi DeMeyer, On automorphisms of separable algebras. II621James B. Derr, Generalized Sylow tower groups633Raouf Doss, Some inclusions in multipliers643Mary Rodriguez Embry, The numerical range of an operator647John Froese, Domain-perturbed problems for ordinary linear differential operators651
Richard Blaine Barrar and Henry Loeb, On the continuity of the nonlinear Tschebyscheff operator593L. Carlitz, Factorization of a special polynomial over a finite field603Joe Ebeling Cude, Compact integral domains615Frank Rimi DeMeyer, On automorphisms of separable algebras. II621James B. Derr, Generalized Sylow tower groups633Raouf Doss, Some inclusions in multipliers643Mary Rodriguez Embry, The numerical range of an operator647John Froese, Domain-perturbed problems for ordinary linear differential operators651
Tschebyscheff operator593L. Carlitz, Factorization of a special polynomial over a finite field603Joe Ebeling Cude, Compact integral domains615Frank Rimi DeMeyer, On automorphisms of separable algebras. II621James B. Derr, Generalized Sylow tower groups633Raouf Doss, Some inclusions in multipliers643Mary Rodriguez Embry, The numerical range of an operator647John Froese, Domain-perturbed problems for ordinary linear differential operators651
L. Carlitz, Factorization of a special polynomial over a finite field 603 Joe Ebeling Cude, Compact integral domains 615 Frank Rimi DeMeyer, On automorphisms of separable algebras. II 621 James B. Derr, Generalized Sylow tower groups 633 Raouf Doss, Some inclusions in multipliers 643 Mary Rodriguez Embry, The numerical range of an operator 647 John Froese, Domain-perturbed problems for ordinary linear differential operators 651
Joe Ebeling Cude, Compact integral domains615Frank Rimi DeMeyer, On automorphisms of separable algebras. II621James B. Derr, Generalized Sylow tower groups633Raouf Doss, Some inclusions in multipliers643Mary Rodriguez Embry, The numerical range of an operator647John Froese, Domain-perturbed problems for ordinary linear differential operators651
Frank Rimi DeMeyer, On automorphisms of separable algebras. II
James B. Derr, Generalized Sylow tower groups633Raouf Doss, Some inclusions in multipliers643Mary Rodriguez Embry, The numerical range of an operator647John Froese, Domain-perturbed problems for ordinary linear differential operators651
Raouf Doss, Some inclusions in multipliers 643 Mary Rodriguez Embry, The numerical range of an operator 647 John Froese, Domain-perturbed problems for ordinary linear differential operators 651
Mary Rodriguez Embry, The numerical range of an operator647John Froese, Domain-perturbed problems for ordinary linear differential operators651
John Froese, Domain-perturbed problems for ordinary linear differential operators
operators
1
Zdeněk Frolík, Absolute Borel and Souslin sets
Ronald Owen Fulp, Tensor and torsion products of semigroups
George Grätzer and J. Płonka, On the number of polynomials of an idempotent algebra. I
Newcomb Greenleaf and Walter Read, <i>Positive holomorphic differentials on</i>
Klein surfaces
John Willard Heidel, Uniqueness, continuation, and nonoscillation for a
second order nonlinear differential equation
Leon A. Henkin, <i>Extending Boolean operations</i>
R. Hirshon, On hopfian groups 753
Melvin Hochster, Totally integrally closed rings and extremal spaces 767
R. Mohanty and B. K. Ray, On the convergence of a trigonometric
<i>integral</i>
Michael Rich, On a class of nodal algebras
Emile B. Roth, Conjugate space representations of Banach spaces
Rolf Schneider, On the projections of a convex polytope
Bertram Manuel Schreiber, <i>On the coset ring and strong Ditkin sets</i> 805
Edgar Lee Stout, Some remarks on varieties in polydiscs and bounded
holomorphic functions
James Edward Ward, <i>Two-groups and Jordan algebras</i>