

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

TWO-GROUPS AND JORDAN ALGEBRAS

JAMES EDWARD WARD

TWO-GROUPS AND JORDAN ALGEBRAS

JAMES E. WARD, III

Stroud and Paige have introduced an important class of central simple Jordan algebras $B(2^n)$ of characteristic two. This paper determines the automorphism groups of the algebras $B(2^n)$ and, in so doing, produces an infinite family of finite 2-groups. This is accomplished by characterizing the automorphisms of $B(2^n)$ as matrices operating on the natural basis for the underlying vector space of $B(2^n)$ and then using this characterization to obtain generators and commuting relations for the automorphism groups.

Throughout the paper let $q = 2^{n-2}$, $r = 2^{n-1}$, $s = 2^n$, and $t = 2^{n+1}$. $\delta_{i,j}$ is the Kronecker delta.

1. The algebras. In 1965 J. B. Stroud [3], pursuing some earlier work of E. C. Paige [2], defined the following class of vector spaces and proved that they are central simple Jordan Algebras of characteristic two:

DEFINITION. Let $B(2^n)$ for $n \geq 2$ be the vector space over the field Z_2 of two elements with basis $u_{-1}, u_0, u_1, \dots, u_{s-2}, v_1, v_2, \dots, v_s$ and with multiplication in $B(2^n)$ defined inductively as follows:

The products $u_i u_j$ for $-1 \leq i, j \leq s-2$ are defined by:

- (1) $u_0 u_i = u_i$ for $-1 \leq i \leq s-2$,
- (2) $u_{-1}^2 = 0, u_{-1} u_i = u_{i-1}$ for $0 \leq i \leq s-2$,
- (3) $u_i u_j = u_j u_i$ for $-1 \leq i, j \leq s-2$,
- (4) $u_1^2 = u_2^2 = u_1 u_2 = 0$.

Assuming the products $u_i u_j$ are defined for $-1 \leq i, j \leq 2^k - 2$ where $2 \leq k \leq n-1$, let $p = 2^k$ and define

- (5) $u_{p+i} u_j = H_{i,j} u_{p+i+j}$ when $u_i u_j = H_{i,j} u_{i+j}$, $j \neq -1$ with $H_{i,j}$ in Z_2 ,
- (6) $u_{p+i} u_{p+j} = 0$.

For $k \geq 1, m \geq 0, p = 2^k$, define the products $u_i v_j$ by:

- (7) $u_i v_j = v_j u_i$ for $-1 \leq i \leq s-2, 1 \leq j \leq s$,
- (8) $u_0 v_j = v_j$ for $1 \leq j \leq s$,
- (9) $u_{-1} v_j = v_j + v_{j-1}, v_0 = 0$ for $1 \leq j \leq s$,
- (10) $u_{p-1} v_{(2m)p+j} = v_{(2m+1)p+j-1} + v_{(2m+1)p+j}$ for $1 \leq j \leq p$,
- (11) $u_{p+i} v_{(2m)p+j} = d_{i,j} v_{(2m+1)p+i+j} + e_{i,j} v_{(2m+1)p+i+j+1}$

when

- (12) $u_i v_j = d_{i,j} v_{i+j} + e_{i,j} v_{i+j+1}$ for $0 \leq i \leq p-2, 1 \leq j \leq p$ and $d_{i,j}, e_{i,j}$ in Z_2 ,
- (13) $u_{p+i} v_{(2m+1)p+j} = 0$ for $-1 \leq i \leq p-2, 1 \leq j \leq p$.

Finally, define the products $v_i v_j$ by:

(14) $v_i v_j = v_j v_i$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq s$,

(15) $v_2^2 = u_0$,

(16) $v_1 v_j = \begin{cases} u_{j-3} + u_{j-2}, & j \text{ even} \\ u_{j-3}, & j \text{ odd} \end{cases}$

where $u_j = 0$ for $j < -1$,

(17) $v_{p+i} v_j = D_{i,j} u_{p+i+j-4} + E_{i,j} u_{p+i+j-3}$

when

(18) $v_i v_j = D_{i,j} u_{i+j-4} + E_{i,j} u_{i+j-3}$ for $1 \leq i \leq p, 2 \leq j \leq p$ and $D_{i,j}, E_{i,j}$ in Z_2 ,

(19) $v_{p+i} v_{p+j} = 0, 1 \leq i, j \leq p$.

From this definition it is clear that $B(2^n)$ is commutative ((3), (7), (14)) and that u_0 is its identity element ((1), (8)). Moreover, for all i, j, a, b (i.e., $-1 \leq i, j \leq s - 2$ and $1 \leq a, b \leq s$),

$u_i u_j = h_{i,j} u_{i+j}$ where $h_{i,j} = 0$ or 1 ,

$v_i v_a = \begin{cases} g_{i,a}(v_{i+a} + v_{i+a+1}), & v_0 = 0, \text{ when } i \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \\ g_{i,a} v_{i+a} & \text{when } i \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \end{cases}$

where $g_{i,a} = 0$ or 1 , and

$v_a v_b = \begin{cases} f_{a,b} u_{a+b-4} & \text{when } a \equiv b \pmod{2} \\ f_{a,b}(u_{a+b-4} + u_{a+b-3}) & \text{when } a \not\equiv b \pmod{2} \end{cases}$

where $f_{a,b} = 0$ or 1 .

The multiplication table for $B(4)$ is easily computed to be

	u_{-1}	u_0	u_1	u_2	v_1	v_2	v_3	v_4
u_{-1}	0	u_{-1}	u_0	u_1	v_1	$v_1 + v_2$	$v_2 + v_3$	$v_3 + v_4$
u_0	u_{-1}	u_0	u_1	u_2	v_1	v_2	v_3	v_4
u_1	u_0	u_1	0	0	$v_2 + v_3$	$v_3 + v_4$	0	0
u_2	u_1	u_2	0	0	v_3	v_4	0	0
v_1	v_1	v_1	$v_2 + v_3$	v_3	0	$u_{-1} + u_0$	u_0	$u_1 + u_2$
v_2	$v_1 + v_2$	v_2	$v_3 + v_4$	v_4	$u_{-1} + u_0$	u_0	$u_1 + u_2$	u_2
v_3	$v_2 + v_3$	v_3	0	0	u_0	$u_1 + u_2$	0	0
v_4	$v_3 + v_4$	v_4	0	0	$u_1 + u_2$	u_2	0	0

and the following table summarizes the inductive definition of $B(2p)$ if the multiplication table of $B(p)$ is known:

	$u_{-1} \cdots u_{p-2}$	$u_{p-1} \cdots u_{2p-2}$	$v_1 \cdots v_p$	$v_{p+1} \cdots v_{2p}$
u_{-1} u_0 \vdots u_{p-2}	KNOWN	(2)	KNOWN	(9)
		(1)		(8)
		(5)		(10), (11), (13) with smaller values of p
u_{p-1} \vdots u_{2p-2}	COMMUTATIVITY (3)	ZERO (6)	(10)	ZERO (13)
			(11)	
v_1 \vdots v_p	KNOWN	COMMUTATIVITY (7)	KNOWN	(16)
				(17) with (14)
v_{p+1} \vdots v_{2p}	COMMUTATIVITY (7)	ZERO ((13) with (7))	COMMUTATIVITY (14)	ZERO (19)

The numbers indicate the equation used to determine the particular block of the multiplication table, COMMUTATIVITY in a block means that the block in question is determined from a corresponding block by the commutativity of $B(2^n)$, and ZERO denotes a block all of whose entries are zero.

2. The automorphisms.

DEFINITION. Define a set of $t \times t$ matrix forms A_n for $n \geq 2$ inductively as follows: Let

$$A_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & a_0 & a_1 & a_2 & 0 & a_0 & 0 & b_4 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & a_0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & a_0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a_0 & 0 & b_4 & 1 & a_0 & a_1 & a_1 + a_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & a_0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & a_0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

where a_0, a_1, a_2 and b_4 are in Z_2 .

Assuming that the matrix $A_n = (c_{i,j})$ where $-1 \leq i, j \leq t - 2$ is defined in terms of elements a_e and b_m of Z_2 with $0 \leq e \leq s - 2$ and

$0 \leq m \leq s$, we define the matrix $A_{n+1} = (d_{i,j})$ for $-1 \leq i, j \leq 2t - 2$ in terms of elements a_e and b_m of Z_2 with $0 \leq e \leq t - 2$ and $0 \leq m \leq t$ as follows: For $-1 \leq i, j \leq s - 2$,

- (i) $d_{i,j} = d_{i+s,j+s} = c_{i,j}$.
- (ii) $d_{i,j+t} = d_{i+s,j+3s} = c_{i,j+s}$.
- (iii) $d_{i+t,j} = d_{i+3s,j+s} = c_{i+s,j}$.
- (iv) $d_{i+t,j+t} = d_{i+3s,j+3s} = c_{i+s,j+s}$.
- (v) $d_{i+s,j} = d_{i+s,j+t} = d_{i+3s,j} = d_{i+3s,j+t} = 0$.
- (vi) $d_{i,j+s} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i = j \\ p_{i,j}a_{e+s} & \text{if } i \neq j \text{ where } p_{i,j} \text{ is in } Z_2 \text{ and } c_{i,j} = p_{i,j}a_e. \end{cases}$
- (vii) $d_{i,j+3s} = p_{i,j+s}b_{m+s}$ where $p_{i,j+s}$ is in Z_2 and $c_{i,j+s} = p_{i,j+s}b_m$

with $a_0 = b_2$.

(viii) $d_{i+t,j+s} = p_{i+s,j}b_{m+s}$ where $p_{i+s,j}$ is in Z_2 and $c_{i+s,j} = p_{i+s,j}b_m$ with $a_0 = b_2$.

- (ix) $d_{i+t,j+3s} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i = j \\ p_{i+s,j+s}a_{e+s} + q_{i+s,j+s}a_{e+s+1} & \text{if } i \neq j \end{cases}$

where $p_{i+s,j+s}$ and $q_{i+s,j+s}$ are in Z_2 and $c_{i+s,j+s} = p_{i+s,j+s}a_e + q_{i+s,j+s}a_{e+1}$ with the convention that if $c_{i+s,j+s} = a_w$, then $e = w$.

The matrices A_n can be summarized by the following figure. If the $2^k \times 2^k$ matrix A_k is known, then A_{k+1} is the $2^{k+1} \times 2^{k+1}$ matrix given in block form by

$$A_{k+1} = \left[\begin{array}{c|cc} & UR(A_k) & UR(A_k) \\ & + 2^{k-1} & + 2^k \\ & \hline & 0_{2^{k-1}} & UR(A_k) \\ & & + 2^{k-1} \\ \hline & 0_{2^k} & A_k \end{array} \right]$$

where, for $m = 2^{k-1}$ or 2^k , 0_m is the $m \times m$ zero matrix and $UR(A_k) + m$ is the $2^{k-1} \times 2^{k-1}$ matrix obtained by adding m to each subscript of the $2^{k-1} \times 2^{k-1}$ block in the upper right hand corner of A_k under the convention that if an entry in the upper right hand block of A_k is zero, then the corresponding entry in $UR(A_k) + m$ is also zero.

We now prove the characterization theorem.

THEOREM. *A linear transformation A of the Jordan algebra $B(2^n)$ over Z_2 with $n \geq 2$ is an automorphism of $B(2^n)$ if and only*

if its matrix relative to the canonical basis of $B(2^n)$ is of the form A_n .

Proof. The proof is outlined by several lemmas.

First we establish some general results about the automorphisms of $B(2^n)$. If A is an automorphism of $B(2^n)$ with matrix $(c_{i,j})$, $-1 \leq i, j \leq t - 2$, $c_{i,j}$ in Z_2 , relative to the canonical basis of $B(2^n)$ then we can show:

LEMMA 1. $c_{i,j} = c_{i,s+j} = c_{s+i,j} = c_{s+i,s+j} = 0$ for $-1 \leq j < i \leq s - 2$.

LEMMA 2. $c_{i,i} = 1$ for $-1 \leq i \leq t - 2$ and $c_{i,s+i} = c_{s+i,i} = 0$ for $-1 \leq i \leq s - 2$.

LEMMA 3. $c_{i,j} = \delta_{i,j}$ for $-1 \leq i, j \leq t - 2$ and $i \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$.

LEMMA 4. $c_{i,s+j} = c_{s+i,j}$ for $-1 \leq i, j \leq s - 2$. In particular, $c_{i,s+j} = c_{s+i,j} = 0$ for $-1 \leq i, j \leq s - 2$ and $j \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$.

LEMMA 5. For $-1 \leq i, j \leq r - 2$, $c_{i,j} = c_{r+i,r+j}$; $c_{i,s+j} = c_{r+i,3r+j}$; $c_{s+i,j} = c_{3r+i,r+j}$; and $c_{s+i,s+j} = c_{3r+i,3r+j}$.

LEMMA 6. For $-1 \leq i \leq j \leq r - 2$,

(i) $c_{i,r+j} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i = j \\ p_{i,j}c_{-1,r+j-i-1} & \text{if } i \neq j \end{cases}$
 if $c_{i,j} = p_{i,j}c_{-1,j-i-1}$ where $p_{i,j}$ is in Z_2 .

(ii) $c_{i,3r+j} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i = j \\ q_{i,j}c_{-1,3r+j-i-1} & \text{if } i \neq j \end{cases}$
 if $c_{i,s+j} = q_{i,j}c_{-1,s+j-i-1}$ where $q_{i,j}$ is in Z_2 .

(iii) $c_{s+i,3r+j} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i = j \\ m_{i,j}c_{-1,r+j-i-1} + k_{i,j}c_{-1,r+j-i-2} & \text{if } i \neq j \text{ and } j \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ (\text{mod } 2) \\ m_{i,j}c_{-1,r+j-i-1} & \text{if } i \neq j \text{ and } j \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \end{cases}$

if

$c_{s+i,s+j} = \begin{cases} m_{i,j}c_{-1,j-i-1} + k_{i,j}c_{-1,j-i-2} & \text{for } j \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ m_{i,j}c_{-1,j-i-1} & \text{for } j \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \end{cases}$

where $m_{i,j}$ and $k_{i,j}$ are in Z_2 .

To establish the necessity of the condition of the theorem, we proceed by induction on n . The case $n = 2$ is straightforward in view of the preceding lemmas and, for the induction step, we make the following definitions and state a lemma about them:

DEFINITION. If A is an automorphism of $B(2^{n+1})$ with matrix

$(c_{i,j})$, $-1 \leq i, j \leq 2t - 2$, relative to the canonical basis of $B(2^{n+1})$, then the restriction of A to $B(2^n)$ is the linear transformation A' of $B(2^n)$ onto itself whose matrix $(d_{i,j})$, $-1 \leq i, j \leq t - 2$, relative to the canonical basis of $B(2^n)$ is defined by:

$$d_{i,j} = \begin{cases} c_{i,j} & \text{for } -1 \leq i, j \leq s - 2 \\ c_{s+i,j} & \text{for } s - 1 \leq i \leq t - 2 \text{ and } -1 \leq j \leq s - 2 \\ c_{i,s+j} & \text{for } -1 \leq i \leq s - 2 \text{ and } s - 1 \leq j \leq t - 2 \\ c_{s+i,s+j} & \text{for } s - 1 \leq i, j \leq t - 2. \end{cases}$$

DEFINITION. If A is an automorphism of $B(2^n)$ with matrix $(c_{i,j})$, $-1 \leq i, j \leq t - 2$, relative to the canonical basis of $B(2^n)$, then the linear transformation of $B(2^{n+1})$ induced by A is the linear transformation A^* of $B(2^{n+1})$ onto itself whose matrix $(b_{i,j})$, $-1 \leq i, j \leq 2t - 2$, relative to the canonical basis of $B(2^{n+1})$ is defined by:

For $-1 \leq i, j \leq s - 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} b_{i,j} &= b_{s+i,s+j} = c_{i,j}, \\ b_{t+i,t+j} &= b_{3s+i,3s+j} = c_{s+i,s+j}, \\ b_{t+i,j} &= b_{3s+i,s+j} = c_{s+i,j}, \\ b_{i,t+j} &= b_{s+i,3s+j} = c_{i,s+j}, \text{ and} \\ b_{s+i,j} &= b_{i,s+j} = b_{3s+i,t+j} = b_{t+i,3s+j} = b_{3s+i,j} = b_{t+i,s+j} \\ &= b_{s+i,t+j} = b_{i,3s+j} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 7. (i) If A is an automorphism of $B(2^{n+1})$, then the restriction A' of A to $B(2^n)$ is an automorphism of $B(2^n)$.
 (ii) If A is an automorphism of $B(2^n)$, then the linear transformation A^* of $B(2^{n+1})$ induced by A is an automorphism of $B(2^{n+1})$.

The induction step then proceeds as follows: We assume that every automorphism of $B(2^n)$ has matrix of the form A_n relative to the canonical basis of $B(2^n)$ and we let A be an automorphism of $B(2^{n+1})$ with matrix $(d_{i,j})$, $-1 \leq i, j \leq 2t - 2$, relative to the canonical basis of $B(2^{n+1})$. We must show that $(d_{i,j})$ satisfies (i)-(ix).

By the induction hypothesis and Lemmas 5 and 7, we have (i)-(iv). Lemma 1 establishes (v) and (vi)-(ix) follow from the induction hypothesis and Lemmas 4 and 6. Thus we have that $(d_{i,j})$, $-1 \leq i, j \leq 2t - 2$, is of the form A_{n+1} , completing the induction. Therefore every automorphism of $B(2^n)$, $n \geq 2$, has matrix of the form A_n relative to the canonical basis of $B(2^n)$.

To establish the sufficiency of the condition we first show that $\det A_n = 1$ for $n \geq 2$. This is accomplished by expanding $\det A_n$ by the cofactors of its first column, expanding the resulting $(t - 1) \times (t - 1)$

determinant by the cofactors of its first row, expanding the resulting $(t - 2) \times (t - 2)$ determinant by the cofactors of its first column, and continuing to alternate in this manner. In view of Lemmas 1, 3 and 4, after $t - 2$ such steps we have

$$\det A_n = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & c_{-1,0} \\ 0 & 1 \end{vmatrix} = 1 .$$

This fact about the determinant says that A_n is the matrix relative to the canonical basis of $B(2^n)$ of a nonsingular linear transformation A of $B(2^n)$ onto itself. Hence it only remains to show that A preserves products of the basis elements of $B(2^n)$ and this may be checked by a straightforward but lengthy calculation. This completes the proof.

3. The automorphism groups. At this point we know that the automorphism group \mathcal{A}_n of $B(2^n)$ over Z_2 is the group formed by all matrices of the form A_n under matrix multiplication. From the definition of the matrices A_n , $n \geq 2$, it follows that such a matrix has $3q$ elements a_i and $r - 1$ elements b_j in its first row. Since any matrix of the form A_n is completely determined by the elements of its first row, this says that the order of \mathcal{A}_n is $2^{3q+r-1} = 2^{5q-1}$.

In order to examine the structure of \mathcal{A}_n , we make the following

DEFINITION. For $n \geq 2$, let

$$I_1^n = \{i: 0 \leq i \leq s - 2, i \not\equiv 3 \pmod{4}\},$$

$$I_2^n = \{s + 2i - 2: 2 \leq i \leq r\} \text{ and}$$

$$I^n = I_1^n \cup I_2^n.$$

Then I^n is a subset of $\{i: 0 \leq i \leq t - 2\}$ and consists of $5q - 1$ elements.

For i in I^n , define G_i as follows:

(i) If i is in I_1^n , G_i is the matrix of the form A_n with $a_i = 1$, $a_j = 0$ for j in I_1^n and $j \neq i$, and $b_{2k} = 0$ for $2 \leq k \leq r$.

(ii) If i is in I_2^n , G_i is the matrix of the form A_n with $b_{i-s+2} = 1$, $b_{j-s+2} = 0$ for j in I_2^n and $j \neq i$, and $a_k = 0$ for k in I_1^n .

Denote by (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m) , where i_j is in I^n for $1 \leq j \leq m$ and $i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_m$, the matrix of the form A_n in which for each $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$, $a_{i_j} = 1$ if i_j is in I_1^n and $b_{i_j-s+2} = 1$ if i_j is in I_2^n while $a_k = 0$ for k in I_1^n and $k \neq i_j$ for any $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ and $b_{k-s+2} = 0$ for k in I_2^n and $k \neq i_j$ for any $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$. Clearly any element of \mathcal{A}_n can be expressed in the form (i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m) for some i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m .

Using a technique due to Bobo [1], we can show that the set $\{G_i: i \text{ in } I^n\}$ generates \mathcal{A}_n . Finally, we determine the commutator subgroup of \mathcal{A}_n by using a straightforward induction on n together

with the properties of matrix multiplication and matrices of the form G_i . The results are summarized in the following

THEOREM. *The automorphism group \mathcal{A}_n of the Jordan algebra $B(2^n)$, $n \geq 2$, can be described abstractly as the group generated by the $5(2^{n-2}) - 1$ generators G_i where i is in I^n and where $G_i^2 = I$ for all i in I^n , I being the $t \times t$ identity matrix. Moreover, the commuting relations among the generators of \mathcal{A}_n may be described inductively as follows:*

In \mathcal{A}_2 , $G_0G_1 \neq G_1G_0$ but $G_0G_1 = G_2G_6G_1G_0$. For all other i and j in I^2 , $G_iG_j = G_jG_i$.

If the commuting relations among the generators G'_i , i in I^{n-1} , of \mathcal{A}_{n-1} are known, the commuting relations in \mathcal{A}_n are given by:

If i and m are in I^{n-1} with $i, m \neq 0$ and $i \neq m$ and if j and k are in I_2^{n-1} with $j \neq k$, then

- (a) *If $i \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$,*
 $G_0G_i = G_{i+1}G_{s+i+1}G_iG_0$,
 $G_0G_{i+r} = G_{r+i+1}G_{s+r+i+1}G_{i+r}G_0$,
 $G_iG_r = G_{r+i+1}G_rG_i$, and
 $G_iG_{s+r} = G_{s+r+i+1}G_{s+r}G_i$.
- (b) *If $G'_iG'_m = G'_{i+m+1}G'_mG'_i$,*
 $G_iG_m = G_{i+m+1}G_mG_i$, and
 $G_iG_{m+r} = G_{r+i+m+1}G_{m+r}G_i$.
- (c) *If $G'_iG'_j = G'_{i+j+1}G'_jG'_i$,*
 $G_iG_{j+r} = G_{r+i+j+1}G_{j+r}G_i$;
 $G_iG_{j+s} = G_{s+i+j+1}G_{j+s}G_i$, and
 $G_{i+r}G_{j+r} = G_{s+i+j+1}G_{j+r}G_{i+r}$.

Otherwise, $G_eG_f = G_fG_e$ for e and f in I^n .

The structure of the group \mathcal{A}_2 of order 16 becomes clearer if it is recognized as the direct product of two familiar groups. Since $I_1^2 = \{0, 1, 2\}$ and $I_2^2 = \{6\}$, \mathcal{A}_2 is generated by G_0, G_1, G_2 , and G_6 . Let H_1 be the subgroup of \mathcal{A}_2 generated by G_0 and G_1 . Then, if $a = G_1G_0$ and $b = G_0$, direct computation using the commuting relations in \mathcal{A}_2 yields $H_1 = \{I, a, b, ab, a^2, a^3, a^2b, a^3b\} \cong$ the dihedral group D_4 . If $H_2 = \{I, G_2\}$ then both H_1 and H_2 are normal in \mathcal{A}_2 , $H_1 \cap H_2 = \{I\}$, and $H_1H_2 = \mathcal{A}_2$. Hence

$$\mathcal{A}_2 \cong D_4 \times Z_2$$

where D_4 is the dihedral group of order eight and Z_2 is the cyclic group of order two.

Detailed proofs of some of the results summarized in this paper

may be found in the author's doctoral thesis written at the University of Virginia under Eugene C. Paige.

REFERENCES

1. E. R. Bobo, *Automorphism groups of Jordan algebras*, Nagoya Math. J. **32** (1968), 227-235.
2. E. C. Paige, Jr., *Jordan algebras of characteristic two*, Dissertation, University of Chicago, Chicago, Illinois, 1954.
3. J. B. Stroud, *Simple Jordan algebras of characteristic two*, Dissertation, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, Virginia, 1965.

Received May 21, 1969. This research was supported in part by the Danforth Foundation.

BOWDOIN COLLEGE
BRUNSWICK, MAINE

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

H. SAMELSON
Stanford University
Stanford, California 94305

J. DUGUNDJI
Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, California 90007

RICHARD PIERCE
University of Washington
Seattle, Washington 98105

BASIL GORDON*
University of California
Los Angeles, California 90024

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
* * *
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
CHEVRON RESEARCH CORPORATION
TRW SYSTEMS
NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, double spaced with large margins. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. It should not contain references to the bibliography. Manuscripts, in duplicate if possible, may be sent to any one of the four editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Rev. **36**, 1539-1546. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, Richard Arens, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

50 reprints are provided free for each article; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is published monthly. Effective with Volume 16 the price per volume (3 numbers) is \$8.00; single issues, \$3.00. Special price for current issues to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: \$4.00 per volume; single issues \$1.50. Back numbers are available.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley, California, 94708.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), 7-17, Fujimi 2-chome, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan.

* Acting Managing Editor.

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 32, No. 3

March, 1970

Shair Ahmad, <i>Dynamical systems of characteristic 0^+</i>	561
Charles A. Akemann and Bernard Russo, <i>Geometry of the unit sphere of a C^*-algebra and its dual</i>	575
Philip Bacon, <i>The compactness of countably compact spaces</i>	587
Richard Blaine Barrar and Henry Loeb, <i>On the continuity of the nonlinear Tschebyscheff operator</i>	593
L. Carlitz, <i>Factorization of a special polynomial over a finite field</i>	603
Joe Ebeling Cude, <i>Compact integral domains</i>	615
Frank Rimi DeMeyer, <i>On automorphisms of separable algebras. II</i>	621
James B. Derr, <i>Generalized Sylow tower groups</i>	633
Raouf Doss, <i>Some inclusions in multipliers</i>	643
Mary Rodriguez Embry, <i>The numerical range of an operator</i>	647
John Froese, <i>Domain-perturbed problems for ordinary linear differential operators</i>	651
Zdeněk Frolík, <i>Absolute Borel and Souslin sets</i>	663
Ronald Owen Fulp, <i>Tensor and torsion products of semigroups</i>	685
George Grätzer and J. Plonka, <i>On the number of polynomials of an idempotent algebra. I</i>	697
Newcomb Greenleaf and Walter Read, <i>Positive holomorphic differentials on Klein surfaces</i>	711
John Willard Heidel, <i>Uniqueness, continuation, and nonoscillation for a second order nonlinear differential equation</i>	715
Leon A. Henkin, <i>Extending Boolean operations</i>	723
R. Hirshon, <i>On hopfian groups</i>	753
Melvin Hochster, <i>Totally integrally closed rings and extremal spaces</i>	767
R. Mohanty and B. K. Ray, <i>On the convergence of a trigonometric integral</i>	781
Michael Rich, <i>On a class of nodal algebras</i>	787
Emile B. Roth, <i>Conjugate space representations of Banach spaces</i>	793
Rolf Schneider, <i>On the projections of a convex polytope</i>	799
Bertram Manuel Schreiber, <i>On the coset ring and strong Ditkin sets</i>	805
Edgar Lee Stout, <i>Some remarks on varieties in polydiscs and bounded holomorphic functions</i>	813
James Edward Ward, <i>Two-groups and Jordan algebras</i>	821