Pacific Journal of Mathematics

SOME EXAMPLES IN FIXED POINT THEORY

EDWARD RICHARD FADELL

Vol. 33, No. 1 March 1970

SOME EXAMPLES IN FIXED POINT THEORY

E. FADELL

It is known that the fixed point property (f.p.p.) is not invariant under suspension and join in the category of simply connected polyhedra. In this paper we exhibit examples to show that f.p.p. is not invariant under suspension and join in the category of simply connected polyhedra satisfying the Shi condition and more strongly, in the category of simply connected compact manifolds. We also exhibit a simply connected polyhedron X such that the smash product $X \wedge X$ fails to have f.p.p. if one choice of base point is used to form $X \wedge X$, while $X \wedge X$ has f.p.p. using another choice of base point. In the last section we prove that f.p.p. is invariant under Cartesian products in very special circumstances.

It is known that the fixed point property (f.p.p.) in the category of simply connected polyhedra is not an invariant under cartesian products, smash products, suspension, join or homotopy type (Lopez [3] and [1]). In all cases the counterexamples are based upon polyhedra which fail to satisfy the Shi condition, namely that for each vertex v, ∂Stv (boundary of the star of v) be connected and the dimension is ≥ 3 . It is therefore natural to consider the behavior of f.p.p. in more restrictive categories. As suggested in [1], one should look at f.p.p. in the following categories:

S: Polyhedra satisfying the Shi condition.

 \mathcal{S}_0 : Simply connected polyhedra in \mathcal{S} .

 \mathcal{M} : Compact topological manifolds, dimension ≥ 3 .

 \mathcal{M}_0 : Simply connected manifolds in \mathcal{M} .

In the categories \mathscr{S} and \mathscr{M} f.p.p. is a homotopy type invariant. In fact, if X is any compact ANR dominated by Y, where Y is in \mathscr{S} or \mathscr{M} , then Y f.p.p. implies X f.p.p. [1]. Thus the result, Y f.p.p. implies $Y \times I$ f.p.p., is valid in the categories \mathscr{S} or \mathscr{M} even though it is false for (simply connected) polyhedra in general.

The question

(1)
$$X \text{ f.p.p.}, Y \text{ f.p.p.} \Longrightarrow X \times Y \text{ f.p.p.}$$
?

in the categories \mathscr{S} or \mathscr{M} remains open. In § 4, we prove two very special cases for the categories \mathscr{S}_0 and \mathscr{M}_0 . In § 2 we provide the details of the examples announced in [1] which show that in \mathscr{S}_0 and \mathscr{M}_0 f.p.p. is not invariant under the suspension and join operations. In § 3 we use one of the examples of § 2 to construct a simply connected polyhedron X which has f.p.p. and with the curious property

that with one choice of base point (a, a) the resulting smash product $X \wedge X = X \times X/a \times X \cup X \times a$ fails to have f.p.p., while constructing $X \wedge X$ with another choice of base point preserves f.p.p.

2. Two examples. If $F: X \to X$ is a self-map of a compact connected metric ANR, then for any field Λ

(1)
$$L(f; \Lambda) = \sum_{k} (-1)^{k} \operatorname{Trace} f_{k}^{*}$$

is the Lefschetz number of f over Λ and $\bar{L}(f,\Lambda) = L(f,\Lambda) - 1$ is the reduced Lefschetz number of over Λ . When $\Lambda = Q$, the field of rational numbers, then L(f) = L(f,Q) is the usual Lefschetz number of f. $\chi(X)$ and $\bar{\chi}(X) = \chi(X) - 1$ will denote the Euler characteristic and reduced Euler characteristic, respectively. All spaces in this paper will be connected compact metric ANR's.

We will make use of the following simple lemma.

LEMMA 2.1. Suppose Λ is a field of characteristic $p \neq 2$ and X and Y are spaces with the property that for every self-map $f: X \to X$, $\overline{L}(f; \Lambda) = 0$ or 1 and every self-map $g: Y \to Y$, $\overline{L}(g, \Lambda) = 0$. Then any space $W \sim X \vee Y$ has f.p.p.

Proof. Let

$$(2) X \xrightarrow{i_1} X \vee Y \xrightarrow{r_1} X$$

$$(3) Y \xrightarrow{i_2} X \vee Y \xrightarrow{r_2} Y$$

denote the natural inclusions and retractions. Then, if $\varphi: X \vee Y \to X \vee Y$ is any map, let $f = r_1 \varphi i_1$ and $g = r_2 \varphi i_2$. It is easy to verify that

$$ar{L}(arphi, arLambda) = ar{L}(f, arLambda) + ar{L}(g, arLambda) = 0 ext{ or } 1.$$

Therefore, $L(\varphi, \Lambda) \neq 0$. Thus, $X \vee Y$ has the property that every self-map φ has nonzero Lefschetz number over Λ . Since this property is a homotopy type invariant, it follows that if $W \sim X \vee Y$, then W has f.p.p.

LEMMA 2.2. If HP^4 is quaternionic projective 4-space, then for every self-map $f: HP^4 \to HP^4$, $\bar{L}(f, Z_3) = 0$ or 1.

Proof. Let u denote a generator in $H^4(HP^4; \mathbb{Z}_3)$. Then, if $f^*(u) = au$,

(5)
$$\bar{L}(f; Z_3) = a + a^2 + a^3 + a^4 = 0 \text{ or } 1.$$

LEMMA 2.3. If SHP^3 is the suspension of quaternionic projective 3-space, then for every self-map $g: SHP^3 \to SHP^3$, $\bar{L}(g; Z_3) = 0$.

Proof. Choose a generator $v \in H^5(SHP^3; \mathbb{Z}^3)$ such that P^1v and P^2v generate the \mathbb{Z}_3 -cohomology in dimensions 9 and 13, respectively. P^i is the mod 3 Steenrod reduced power operator. Now, if $g: SHP^3 \to SHP^3$ and $g^*(v) = bv$,

(6)
$$\bar{L}(g; Z_3) = b + b + b = 0$$
.

Proposition 2.4. Any space $W \sim HP^4 \vee SHP^3$ has f.p.p.

Proposition 2.5. Let

$$K = HP^4 \cup {}_{\scriptscriptstyle I}SHP^3$$

denote the union of HP^4 and SHP^3 along an edge. Then, K is a simply connected polyhedron which has f.p.p. and satisfies the Shi condition. Moreover, $\gamma(K) = 2$.

REMARK. $K' = (HP^4 \vee SHP^3) \times I$ has the same properties as K.

PROPOSITION 2.6. The suspension SK and the join $K \circ K$ fail to have f.p.p.

Proof. Since $\overline{\chi}(SK) = -\overline{\chi}(K)$ and $\overline{\chi}(K \circ K) = -\overline{\chi}(K)\overline{\chi}(K)$, both SK and $K \circ K$ have Euler characteristic 0. Since SK and $K \circ K$ satisfy the Shi condition, both admit maps homotopic to the identity map which are fixed point free [5].

THEOREM 2.7. The f.p.p. is not invariant under suspension and join in the category \mathcal{S}_0 .

Our next example will verify the above theorem in the category \mathcal{M}_0 .

Let $q: S^7 \to S^4$ denote the standard Hopf fibering and let $A = M_1(q)$, $B = M_2(q)$ denote two copies of the mapping cylinder of q. Then if $h: S^7 \to S^7$ is a reflection (degree -1), where S^7 is identified with one end of the mapping cylinder of q, we may represent the connected sum

$$(7) M = HP^2 \sharp HP^2$$

by

$$M = A \cup_{h} B.$$

There is a natural "flip" map $f: M \to M$ which takes A to B and B to A and which is the reflection on $S^{\tau} = A \cap B$, where A and B are identified with the appropriate subsets of M. It is easy to see that f is a homeomorphism which preserves orientation. Furthermore, by identifying $S^{\tau} = A \cap B$ we obtain an identification map

$$g: M \longrightarrow HP^2 \vee HP^2$$

which allows us to compute the cohomology ring structure (Z-coefficients) as follows:

LEMMA 2.8. The cohomology of $M = HP^2 \# HP^2$ is given by

$$H^{\circ}(M)=Z,\; generator\; 1 \ H^{\scriptscriptstyle 2}(M)=Z\oplus Z,\; generators\; x,\, y \ H^{\scriptscriptstyle 4}(M)=Z,\; generator\; x^{\scriptscriptstyle 2}=y^{\scriptscriptstyle 2}$$

with $H^q(M) = 0$ in the remaining dimensions and xy = 0.

THEOREM 2.9. $M = HP^2 \sharp HP^2$ is a simply connected manifold with f.p.p. which admits a map f of Lefschetz number L(f) = 2.

Proof. The natural "flip" map $f: M \rightarrow M$ defined above has L(f) = 2 so that the last part of the theorem is easy. Now, let

$$\varphi \colon M \longrightarrow M$$

denote an arbitrary map and suppose, using (10), that

(12)
$$\varphi^*(x) = ax + by$$
$$\varphi^*(y) = cx + dy.$$

Then,

(13)
$$\varphi^*(x^2) = \varphi^*(y^2) = (a^2 + b^2)x^2 = (c^2 + d^2)y^2$$

and

$$\varphi(xy) = 0 = (ac + bd)x^2$$

which yields the conditions

(15)
$$a^2 + b^2 = c^2 + d^2$$
, $ac + bd = 0$.

Furthermore,

(16)
$$L(\varphi) = 1 + a + d + a^2 + b^2.$$

We now consider individual cases.

Case 1. a = 0, b = 0. Here $L(\varphi) = 1$.

Case 2. $a^2 + b^2 \neq 0$, $(a, b) \neq (-1, 0)$. Using (15), we have

(17)
$$a^2(a^2+b^2)=a^2(c^2+d^2)=d^2(a^2+b^2)$$

so that $a = \pm d$. If a = -d, $L(\varphi) = 1 + a^2 + b^2 > 0$. On the other hand if a = d, $L(\varphi) = (1 + a)^2 + b^2 > 0$.

Case 3. a=-1, b=0. This case does not occur. To see this, choose $v \in H^4(HP^2; \mathbf{Z}_3)$ such that $P^1v=v^2$. Then we may assume $g^*(v)=x$ (over \mathbf{Z}_3) and $P^1x=x^2$ in $H^4(M;\mathbf{Z}_3)$. If $\varphi^*(x)=ax$ (over \mathbf{Z}), we must have

(18)
$$\varphi^*(P^1x) = \varphi^*(x^2) = a^2x^2 = a^2P^1x = aP^1x = ax^2$$

so that $a^2 \equiv a \pmod{3}$. This precludes a = -1.

Thus, we see that for any map $\varphi: M \to M$, $L(\varphi) \neq 0$ and hence M has f.p.p.

Theorem 2.10. The f.p.p. is not invariant under suspension and join in the category \mathcal{M}_0 .

Proof. Let M denote the manifold in the previous theorem and $f: M \to M$ the map with L(f) = 2. Then,

(19)
$$Sf: SM \longrightarrow SM \text{ and } f \circ f: M \circ M \longrightarrow M \circ M$$

yield

(20)
$$\bar{L}(Sf) = -\bar{L}(f) = -1 = -\bar{L}(f)\bar{L}(f) = \bar{L}(f \circ g)$$

so that

$$(21) L(Sf) = 0 = L(f \circ f).$$

Since we are in the simply connected case, the Nielson number of Sf (and $f \circ f$) is zero. Therefore again using [5], Sf and $f \circ f$ can be deformed to fixed point free maps so that SM and $M \circ M$ fail to have f.p.p.

3. The f.p.p. and smash product. Our objective in this section is to show that there is a simply connected polyhedron X with f.p.p. such that the smash product $X \wedge X = X \times X/X \vee X$ has f.p.p. with one choice of base point $x_0 \in X$ while it may fail to have f.p.p. if one employs another base point $x_1 \in X$.

We will make use of the polyhedron

$$(1) K = HP^4 \cup_I SHP^3$$

discussed in the previous section. If $N = SHP^2$ and

$$(2) X = K \vee N = (HP^4 \cup_I SHP^3) \vee SHP^2$$

we will show that $X \wedge X$ fails to have f.p.p. if the base point $x_0 \in X$ is chosen distinct from the *wedge point* $v \in X$. On the other hand, if the wedge point v is employed to form $X \wedge X$, then $X \wedge X$ retains f.p.p.

THEOREM 3.1. If $x_0 \neq v$, then

$$X \wedge X = X \times X/x_0 \times X \cup X \times x_0$$

fails to have f.p.p.

Proof. First we observe that since $\chi(X)=0$, $\bar{L}(id)=-1$, where \bar{L} is the reduced Lefschetz number. Since $\bar{\chi}(K)=1$ (reduced Euler characteristic) we see that X admits a map g such that $\bar{L}(g)=1$. Thus, $\bar{L}(id \wedge g)=\bar{L}(id)\bar{L}(g)=-1$, and we see that $f=id \wedge g$ is a self-map of $X \wedge X$ with L(f)=0. $X \wedge X$ is simply connected and can be shown to satisfy the Shi condition (using the fact that $x_0 \times X \cup X \times x_0$ fails to separate $X \times X$). It follows that there is a map $g \sim f$ such that g has no fixed points. Thus, $X \wedge X$ fails to have f.p.p.

We now show that using the wedge point v

$$(3) X \wedge X = X \times X/v \times X \cup X \times v$$

has f.p.p. Although the details are lengthy, the idea is quite simple. $X = K \cup N$ with $K \cap N = v$, the wedge point. Using v as base point in the formation of $X \wedge X$ yields

$$(4) X \wedge X = (K \wedge K) \vee (K \wedge N) \vee (N \wedge K) \vee (N \wedge N)$$

where the four-fold wedge on the right is understood to have a single wedge point v' corresponding to $v \times X \cup X \times v$. Now, since f.p.p. is invariant under the wedge operation, it suffices to show that the four individual wedge factors $K \wedge K$, $K \wedge N$, $N \wedge K$, $N \wedge N$ have f.p.p.

LEMMA 3.2. $HP^4 \wedge HP^4$ has f.p.p. Specifically, for any self map φ , $\bar{L}(\varphi, Z_3) = 0$ or 1.

Proof. We will identify $H^*(A \wedge B)$ with $H^*(A \times B, A \vee B) \simeq H^*(A, a_0) \otimes H^*(B, b_0)$ using always field coefficients. Then, working over Z_3 , $H^*(HP^4)$ has a basis of the form

$$(5) 1, \alpha, P^{1}\alpha, P^{2}\alpha, \alpha^{4}$$

where P^i is the Steenrod reduced power operator. Then, we may arrange a basis for $H^*(HP^4 \wedge HP^4)$ in positive dimensions as follows:

$$egin{array}{lll} lpha imes lpha & lpha imes P^{\scriptscriptstyle 1}lpha + P^{\scriptscriptstyle 1}lpha imes lpha & lpha imes P^{\scriptscriptstyle 2}lpha + P^{\scriptscriptstyle 1}lpha imes P^{\scriptscriptstyle 1}lpha + P^{\scriptscriptstyle 2}lpha imes lpha \ P^{\scriptscriptstyle 1}lpha imes P^{\scriptscriptstyle 2}lpha & P^{\scriptscriptstyle 2}lpha imes P^{\scriptscriptstyle 2}lpha & -P^{\scriptscriptstyle 2}lpha imes P^{\scriptscriptstyle 2}lpha & P^{\scriptscriptstyle 2}lpha imes P^{\scriptscriptstyle 2}lpha \ lpha imes lpha imes P^{\scriptscriptstyle 2}lpha & lpha imes P^{\scriptscriptstyle 2}lpha \ lpha imes lpha imes P^{\scriptscriptstyle 2}lpha & P^{\scriptscriptstyle 2}lpha imes lpha \ lpha imes A^{\scriptscriptstyle 4} & P^{\scriptscriptstyle 2}lpha & P^{\scriptscriptstyle 2}lpha imes lpha \ lpha imes A^{\scriptscriptstyle 4} & P^{\scriptscriptstyle 2}lpha & P^{\scriptscriptstyle 2}lpha imes A^{\scriptscriptstyle 4} & P^{\scriptscriptstyle 2}lpha & P^{\scriptscriptstyle 2$$

Notice that (for the first five rows) applying P^1 and P^2 to the first column yields the second and third columns. This means that for a self-map φ : of $HP^4 \wedge HP^4$, $\bar{L}(\varphi, Z_3) = \lambda^4$, where $\varphi^*(\alpha \times \alpha) = \lambda(\alpha \times \alpha)$. This concludes the proof.

Lemma 3.3. $HP^4 \wedge SHP^3$ has f.p.p. Specifically, for any selfmap φ , $\bar{L}(\varphi,Z_3)=0$.

Lemma 3.4. $SHP^3 \wedge SHP^3$ has f.p.p. Specifically, for any selfmap φ , $\bar{L}(\varphi, Z_3) = 0$.

The proofs of these lemmas are modelled after the proof of Lemma 3.2 and consequently are left as exercises.

Proposition 3.5. $K \wedge K$ has f.p.p.

Proof. Let $K' = HP^4 \vee SHP^3$, then using the above lemmas every self-map φ' of

$$(6) \quad \begin{matrix} K' \wedge K' \\ = (HP^4 \wedge HP^4) \vee (HP^4 \wedge SHP^3) \vee (SHP^3 \wedge HP^4) \vee (SHP^3 \wedge SHP^3) \end{matrix}$$

has the property that $\bar{L}(\varphi, Z_3) = 0$ or 1(using the technique in the proof of Lemma 2.1). Since this property is a homotopy type invariant, every self-map φ of $K \wedge K$ has $L(\varphi, Z_3) \neq 0$. Thus, $K \wedge K$ has f.p.p.

LEMMA 3.6. $HP^4\wedge SHP^2$ has f.p.p. Specifically, for every selfmap $arphi,\,ar{L}(arphi,\,ar{Z}_2)=0.$

Proof. We may choose basis for the \mathbb{Z}_2 -cohomology of HP^4 and SHP^2 , respectively, as follows

(7)
$$HP^4: 1, \alpha, Sq^4\alpha, \beta, Sq^4\beta$$

(8)
$$SHP^2: 1, u, Sq^4u$$
.

Then, we may arrange a basis (in positive dimensions) for the Z_2 -cohomology of $HP^4 \wedge SHP^2$ as follows

where S_q^4 applied to the first column yields the second column. This is enough to show that for every self-map φ , $\bar{L}(\varphi, \mathbb{Z}_2) = 0$.

LEMMA 3.7. $SHP^3 \wedge SHP^2$ has f.p.p. Specifically, for every selfmap φ , $\bar{L}(\varphi, Z_2) = 0$.

The proof of this lemma is similar to the proof of Lemma 3.6.

Proposition 3.8. $K \wedge N$ has f.p.p.

Proof. $K \wedge N$ has the same homotopy type as

(9)
$$W=(HP^4\vee SHP^3)\wedge SHP^2=(HP^4\wedge SHP^2)\vee (SHP^3\wedge SHP^2)$$
.

But by the previous lemmas, every self-map φ' of W has the property that $\bar{L}(\varphi', Z_2) = 0$ and hence every self-map of $K \wedge N$ has Lefschetz number 1 (over Z_2). Thus, $K \wedge N$ has f.p.p.

Proposition 3.9. $N \wedge N$ has f.p.p.

Proof. Working with Z_2 coefficients, a basis for the cohomology of $N = SHP^2$ has the form 1, u, Sq^4u . A basis for the cohomology (in positive dimensions) of $N \wedge N$ can be written

$$egin{array}{lll} u imes u & Sq^4u imes u + u imes Sq^4u \ Sq^4u imes Sq^4u & Sq^4u \ \end{array}$$

where Sq^4 applied to column one yields column two. This, given any self-map φ of M, $\bar{L}(\varphi; \mathbb{Z}_2) = 0$.

THEOREM 3.10. Using the wedge point v of X

$$X \wedge X = X \times X/v \times X \cup X \times v$$

has f.p.p.

4. Very special cases of the product theorem. Consider the following property:

Property F: X is said to have property F if, and only if, $L(f) \neq 0$ for every self-map $f: X \rightarrow X$.

In terms of this property we recall the following theorem [1]:

THEOREM 4.1. If X belongs to \mathcal{S}_0 or \mathcal{M}_0 , then X has f.p.p. if, and only if, X has property F.

Thus for spaces in \mathcal{S}_0 (or \mathcal{M}_0), the question of the invariance of f.p.p. under Cartesian products (see (1) of § 1) is equivalent to the question

(1)
$$X$$
 and Y have property $F \Rightarrow X \times Y$ has property F ?

Our next theorem answers (1) in the affirmative under quite special hypothesis. In the following we use rational singular cohomology.

THEOREM 4.2. Suppose X and Y are spaces having property F. Suppose further that X has trivial cup products and X and Y have disjoint cohomology, i.e., $H^p(X) \neq 0$, $H^q(Y) \neq 0$, $p, q \geq 1$, implies $p \neq q$. Then $X \times Y$ has property F.

We will make use of the following lemma whose proof is left to the reader.

LEMMA 4.3. Suppose $\psi: X \to Y$ is a map and $\psi_0: Y \to Y$ is defined by the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X \times Y \xrightarrow{\phi} X \times Y \\ \downarrow^{\sigma} & \downarrow^{\pi} \\ Y \xrightarrow{\phi_0} & Y \end{array}$$

where σ is a section given by $\sigma(y) = (x_0, y), x_0 \in X$ and π is a projection on the second factor. Then, for $v \in H^n(Y)$

$$\psi^*(1 \times v) = 1 \times \psi_0^*(v) + E(v)$$

where E(v) is a linear combination of terms of the form $a \times b$ where $\dim a \ge 1$.

Proof of 4.2. Let $\varphi: X \times Y \to X \times Y$ denote an arbitrary map and let f and g be defined by the diagrams

$$egin{aligned} X imes Y & \stackrel{\varphi}{\longrightarrow} X imes Y \\ & \stackrel{\sigma_1 \uparrow}{X} & \stackrel{f}{\longrightarrow} & X \\ X & \stackrel{\varphi}{\longrightarrow} & X \\ X imes Y & \stackrel{\varphi}{\longrightarrow} & X imes Y \\ & \stackrel{\sigma_2 \uparrow}{Y} & \stackrel{g}{\longrightarrow} & Y \end{aligned}$$

where σ_1 and σ_2 are sections and π_1 and π_2 are projections (see Lemma 4.3).

We choose bases $1=u_1, \dots, u_k$ and $1=v_1, \dots, v_l$ for the rational cohomology of X and Y, respectively. Then, elements of the form $u_i \times v_j$ form a basis for the cohomology of $X \times Y$. If u and v are typical basis elements, then using Lemma 4.3

$$\varphi^*(u \times 1) = f^*(u) \times 1 + E(u)$$

$$\varphi^*(1 \times v) = 1 \times q^*(v) + E(v)$$

where E(u) is a linear combination of terms of the form $a \times b$ with $\dim b \ge 1$ and E(v) is a linear combination of terms of the form $a' \times b'$, $\dim a' \ge 1$. Suppose $\dim u = m$ and $\dim v = n$. Then

(3)
$$\varphi^*(u \times v)$$

= $f^*(u) \times g^*(v) + E(u)(1 \times g^*(v)) + (f^*(u) \times 1)E(v) + E(u)E(v)$.

Now E(u) is a linear combination of terms of the form $a \times b$ where $\dim a \leq m-1$ so that $u \times v$ cannot appear in the term $E(u)(1 \times g^*(v))$. Similarly, $u \times v$ cannot appear in the term $(f^*(u) \times 1)E(v)$. In E(u)E(v) a typical term has the form

$$(4) (a \times b)(a' \times b') = \pm aa' \times bb'$$

where dim $a \le m-1$, dim $b \ge 1$, dim $a' \ge 1$, dim $b' \le n-1$. If dim $a \ge 1$, aa' = 0 so that (4) is 0. On the other hand if dim a = 0 then dim b = m. Since dim u = m we see that b = 0 and hence (4) is 0 in this case. Thus E(u)E(v) = 0. Thus, we see that $\varphi^*(u \times v)$ and $(f \times g)^*(u \times v)$ have the same coefficient of $u \times v$. Thus,

(5)
$$L(f \times g) = L(f)L(g) = L(\varphi) \neq 0$$
.

THEOREM 4.4. Suppose X and Y belong to \mathcal{S}_0 (or \mathcal{M}_0) and have f.p.p. Then $X \times Y$ has f.p.p. if X or Y has trivial rational cup products and X and Y have disjoint rational cohomology.

EXAMPLE. Using Theorem 4.4, we see that $CP^i \times SCP^j$ has f.p.p. for i and j even, $i, j \geq 2$. To prove that CP^i has f.p.p., arrange a basis for the \mathbb{Z}_2 -cohomology of $\mathbb{C}P^i$ in the form (i even)

$$(6) 1, x_1, Sq^2x_1, x_2, Sq^2x_2, \cdots$$

so that for any self-map φ of CP^i we have $L(\varphi, Z_2) = 1$. Since S_q commutes with suspension the same argument works for SCP^i .

Theorem 4.4 raises the following question:

QUESTION 4.5. If $SX \times Y$ has f.p.p., does this imply that $X \times Y$ has f.p.p.?

An affirmative answer to this question would settle the following conjecture.

Conjecture 4.6. Suppose X and Y belong to \mathcal{S}_0 and X and all its suspension have f.p.p. Then if Y has f.p.p., so does $X \times Y$.

The technique used to prove Theorem 4.2 can also be used to prove the following.

THEOREM 4.7. Suppose X and Y belong to \mathscr{S}_0 (or \mathscr{M}_0) and have f.p.p. Suppose further that $H^*(X)$ is a truncated polynomial ring on a single generator $u \in H^k(X)$. Then, if $H^k(Y) = 0$, $X \times Y$ has f.p.p.

EXAMPLE. $CP^i \times HP^j$, where i is even $(i, j \ge 2)$ has f.p.p. The argument that HP^j has f.p.p. goes as follows. First of all, if φ is a self-map of HP^j , then working over the rational field

(7)
$$L(\varphi) = 1 + a + a^2 + \cdots + a^j$$

where $\varphi^*(u) = au$, u a generator in $H^4(HP^j)$. Of course, if j is even we're done, since $L(\varphi) \neq 0$ in this case. If j is odd, $j \geq 3$ we need only preclude the case a = -1. Working over Z_3 , we may assume that $P^1u = u^2$ in $H^8(HP^j; Z_3)$. This forces

$$a^2 \equiv a \pmod{3}$$

which precludes a=-1.

REMARK. G. Bredon was the first to observe that HP^3 has f.p.p. using the above argument.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- 1. E. Fadell, Recent results in the fixed point theory of continuous maps, Invited Address, Cincinatti, April, 1969.
- 2. Jiang Bo-Ju, Estimation of Nielsen numbers, Chinese Math. 5 (1964), 330-339.
- 3. W. Lopez, An example in the fixed point theory of polyhedra, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 73 (1967), 922-924.
- 4. J. P. Serre, $Homologic\ singuliere\ des\ espaces\ fibers,\ Ann.\ of\ Math.\ (2)$ 54 (1951), 425-505.
- 5. Shi Gen Hua, On the least number of fixed points and Nielsen numbers, Chinese Math. 8 (1966), 234-243.

Received August 18, 1969. This paper was supported in part by the National Science Foundation under Grant NSF GR-8427 and the Wisconsin Alumni Research Foundation.

THE UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

H. SAMELSON Stanford University Stanford, California 94305

RICHARD PIERCE University of Washington Seattle, Washington 98105

J. DUGUNDJI Department of Mathematics University of Southern California Los Angeles, California 90007

RICHARD ARENS University of California Los Angeles, California 90024

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. Wolf

K. Yoshida

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF OREGON OSAKA UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF UTAH WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY CHEVRON RESEARCH CORPORATION TRW SYSTEMS

NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

Printed in Japan by International Academic Printing Co., Ltd., Tokyo, Japan

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 33, No. 1

March, 1970

Mir Maswood Ali, On some extremal simplexes	1
Silvio Aurora, On normed rings with monotone multiplication	15
Silvio Aurora, Normed fields which extend normed rings of integers	21
John Kelly Beem, Indefinite Minkowski spaces	29
T. F. Bridgland, Trajectory integrals of set valued functions	43
Robert Jay Buck, A generalized Hausdorff dimension for functions and	
sets	69
Vlastimil B. Dlab, A characterization of perfect rings	79
Edward Richard Fadell, Some examples in fixed point theory	89
Michael Benton Freeman, Tangential Cauchy-Riemann equations and uniform approximation	101
Barry J. Gardner, Torsion classes and pure subgroups	109
Vinod B. Goyal, Bounds for the solution of a certain class of nonlinear	
partial differential equations	117
Fu Cheng Hsiang, On C, 1 summability factors of Fourier series at a given	
point	139
Lawrence Stanislaus Husch, Jr., Homotopy groups of PL-embedding	
spaces	149
Daniel Ralph Lewis, Integration with respect to vector measures	157
Marion-Josephine Lim, $\mathcal{L}-2$ subspaces of Grassmann product spaces	167
Stephen J. Pierce, Orthogonal groups of positive definite multilinear	
functionals	183
W. J. Pugh and S. M. Shah, On the growth of entire functions of bounded	
index	191
Siddani Bhaskara Rao and Ayyagari Ramachandra Rao, Existence of	
triconnected graphs with prescribed degrees	203
Ralph Tyrrell Rockafellar, On the maximal monotonicity of subdifferential	
mappings	209
R. Shantaram, Convergence of a sequence of transformations of distribution	
functions. II	217
Julianne Souchek, Rings of analytic functions	233
Ted Joe Suffridge, The principle of subordination applied to functions of	
several variables	241
Wei-lung Ting, On secondary characteristic classes in cobordism	
theory	249
Pak-Ken Wong, Continuous complementors on B*-algebras	255
Miyuki Yamada, On a regular semigroup in which the idempotents form a	
band	261