

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**WILD POINTS OF CELLULAR ARCS IN 2-COMPLEXES IN E^3
AND CELLULAR HULLS**

GAIL ATNEOSEN

WILD POINTS OF CELLULAR ARCS IN 2-COMPLEXES IN E^3 AND CELLULAR HULLS

GAIL H. ATNEOSEN

Loveland has established that if W is the set of wild points of a cellular arc that lies on a 2-sphere in E^3 , then either W is empty, W is degenerate, or W contains an arc. This note considers 2-complexes rather than 2-spheres. Making strong use of Loveland's results and others, it is proved that a cellular arc in a 2-complex in E^3 either contains an arc of wild points or has at most one wild point that has a neighborhood in the 2-complex homeomorphic to an open 2-cell. In the case of noncellular arcs in E^3 , one can investigate "minimal cellular sets" containing the arc. A *cellular hull* of a subset A of E^3 is a cellular set containing A such that no proper cellular set also contains A . A characterization is given of those arcs in E^3 that have cellular hulls that lie in tame 2-complexes in E^3 .

A 2-complex in E^3 is the homeomorphic image of a 2-dimensional finite Euclidean polyhedron. A subset X of E^3 is said to be *locally tame* at a point p of X if there is a neighborhood N of p in E^3 and a homeomorphism h of $\text{Cl}(N)$ (Cl = closure) onto a polyhedron in E^3 such that $h(\text{Cl}(N \cap X))$ is a finite Euclidean polyhedron. A point p of a subset X of E^3 is said to be a *wild point* of X if X is not locally tame at p . A subset G of E^3 is said to be *cellular* (in E^3) if there exists a sequence Q_1, Q_2, \dots of 3-cells in E^3 such that for each positive integer i , $Q_{i+1} \subset \text{Interior } Q_i$ and $G = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} Q_i$. If A and B are two arcs in E^3 , then A is said to be *equivalent* to B if there is a homeomorphism h mapping E^3 onto E^3 such that $h(A) = B$.

THEOREM 1. *Let A be a cellular arc in a 2-complex in E^3 . If the set of wild points of A does not contain an arc, then A has at most one wild point that has a neighborhood in the 2-complex homeomorphic to an open 2-cell.*

Proof. Assume that A has two wild points p and q that have neighborhoods in the 2-complex homeomorphic to an open 2-cell and contradict the hypothesis that A is cellular. Then p lies on a subarc of A that is contained in the interior of a closed 2-cell. The argument of Theorem 5 of [3] then establishes that p lies on a subarc C of A that is contained in a 2-sphere in E^3 . Since C is a cellular arc by [6], it follows from [5] that p is the only wild point of C . Thus p and q are isolated wild points of A .

If p and q are the endpoints of A , it follows from Theorem 10 of [8] that A is not cellular, so this case cannot occur.

Next consider the case when p is an interior point of A and q is an endpoint of A . As above, we obtain that p lies interior to a subarc C of A whose only wild point is p and that C is contained in a 2-sphere S . By [4] and [2] we may assume that S is locally polyhedral except at p . If C_1 and C_2 are subarcs of C such that $C_1 \cup C_2 = C$ and $C_1 \cap C_2 = p$, then Theorem 5 of [4] implies that C_1 and C_2 are equivalent. An application of Theorem 1 of [4] yields that if C_1 and C_2 are both locally tame at p then C is locally tame at p . Hence p is a wild point of both C_1 and C_2 . Let B be a subarc of A with endpoints p and q . Then B is a cellular arc whose endpoints are isolated wild points, by [8] this case cannot occur.

By arguments as in the above two cases, it follows that the last case, in which both p and q are interior points of A , can also not occur.

For the following theorem we need to define a particular 2-complex called a 3-book. A 3-book is defined to be a subset of E^3 which is the union of three closed 2-cells which meet precisely on a single arc on the boundary of each.

THEOREM 2. *An arc A in E^3 has a cellular hull that lies in a tame 2-complex in E^3 if and only if A is equivalent to an arc in a tame 3-book.*

Proof. If A has a cellular hull that lies in a tame 2-complex, then the set of wild points of A is a closed totally disconnected set. It follows easily from [7] that such an arc is equivalent to an arc in a tame 3-book.

Conversely, suppose that A lies in a tame 3-book B . Consider a maximal chain (ordered by inclusion) that has B as a member and also has the property that each member of the chain is a cellular set that contains A . The intersection of the members of this maximal chain then yields a cellular hull of A that lies in the tame 2-complex B .

The arc in [1] is an example of an arc that does not have a cellular hull that lies in any tame 2-complex.

REFERENCES

1. W. R. Alford, *Some "nice" wild 2-spheres in E^3* , Topology of 3-manifolds and related topics, (Proc. The Univ. of Georgia Institute, 1961), 29-33. Prentice-Hall,

Englewood Cliffs, N. J., 1962.

2. R. H. Bing, *Locally tame sets are tame*, Ann. of Math. **59** (1954), 145-158.
3. ———, *A surface is tame if its complement is 1-ULC*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **101** (1961), 294-305.
4. P. H. Doyle and J. G. Hocking, *Some results on tame disks and spheres in E^3* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **11** (1960), 832-836.
5. L. D. Loveland, *Wild points of cellular subsets of 2-spheres in S^3* , Michigan Math. J. **14** (1967), 427-431.
6. D. R. McMillan, *A criterion for cellularity in a manifold*, Ann. of Math. **79** (1964), 327-337.
7. E. E. Posey, *Proteus forms of wild and tame arcs*, Duke Math. J. **31** (1964), 63-72.
8. C. D. Sikkema, *A duality between certain spheres and arcs in S^3* , Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **22** (1966), 339-415.

Received October 22, 1969.

WESTERN WASHINGTON STATE COLLEGE

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

H. SAMELSON
Stanford University
Stanford, California 94305

J. DUGUNDJI
Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, California 90007

RICHARD PIERCE
University of Washington
Seattle, Washington 98105

RICHARD ARENS
University of California
Los Angeles, California 90024

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLE

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

* * *

AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
CHEVRON RESEARCH CORPORATION
TRW SYSTEMS
NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. The editorial "we" must not be used in the synopsis, and items of the bibliography should not be cited there unless absolutely necessary, in which case they must be identified by author and Journal, rather than by item number. Manuscripts, in duplicate if possible, may be sent to any one of the four editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Rev. **36**, 1539-1546. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, Richard Arens, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

50 reprints are provided free for each article; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is published monthly. Effective with Volume 16 the price per volume (3 numbers) is \$8.00; single issues, \$3.00. Special price for current issues to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: \$4.00 per volume; single issues \$1.50. Back numbers are available.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley, California, 94708.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), 7-17, Fujimi 2-chome, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan.

Charles A. Akemann, <i>Approximate units and maximal abelian C^*-subalgebras</i>	543
Gail Atneosen, <i>Wild points of cellular arcs in 2-complexes in E^3 and cellular hulls</i>	551
John Logan Bryant and De Witt Summers, <i>On embeddings of 1-dimensional compacta in a hyperplane in E^4</i>	555
H. P. Dikshit, <i>On a class of Nörlund means and Fourier series</i>	559
Nancy Dykes, <i>Generalizations of realcompact spaces</i>	571
Hector O. Fattorini, <i>Extension and behavior at infinity of solutions of certain linear operational differential equations</i>	583
Neal David Glassman, <i>Cohomology of nonassociative algebras</i>	617
Neal Hart, <i>Ulm's theorem for Abelian groups modulo bounded groups</i>	635
Don Barker Hinton, <i>Continuous spectra of second-order differential operators</i>	641
Donald Gordon James, <i>On Witt's theorem for unimodular quadratic forms. II</i>	645
Melvin F. Janowitz, <i>Principal multiplicative lattices</i>	653
James Edgar Keesling, <i>On the equivalence of normality and compactness in hyperspaces</i>	657
Adalbert Kerber, <i>Zu einer Arbeit von J. L. Berggren über ambivalente Gruppen</i>	669
Keizō Kikuchi, <i>Various m-representative domains in several complex variables</i>	677
Jack W. Macki and James Stephen Muldowney, <i>The asymptotic behaviour of solutions to linear systems of ordinary differential equations</i>	693
Andy R. Magid, <i>Locally Galois algebras</i>	707
T. S. Ravisankar, <i>On differentially simple algebras</i>	725
Joseph Gail Stampfli, <i>The norm of a derivation</i>	737
Francis C.Y. Tang, <i>On uniqueness of central decompositions of groups</i>	749
Robert Charles Thompson, <i>Some matrix factorization theorems. I</i>	763
Robert Charles Thompson, <i>Some matrix factorization theorems. II</i>	811