

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**VARIOUS m -REPRESENTATIVE DOMAINS IN SEVERAL
COMPLEX VARIABLES**

KEIZŌ KIKUCHI

VARIOUS m -REPRESENTATIVE DOMAINS IN SEVERAL COMPLEX VARIABLES

KEIZO KIKUCHI

Our main purpose is to introduce several functions which map a bounded domain D onto m -representative domain in several complex variables without the help of the minimum problems or the use of determinantal expressions. We use constructive methods to obtain m -representative functions.

S. Bergman introduced two kinds of canonical domains, *minimal domains* and *representative domains*, by using the mapping functions which were expressed in terms of the Bergman kernel function and its derivatives (see [1], [2]). Further, M. Maschler introduced two types of canonical domains named *m -minimal* and *m -representative* domains in one variable by using minimum problems. Now, we consider a bounded univalent domain D in C^n , and a vector function $w(z) = (w_1(z), w_2(z), \dots, w_n(z))'$ in D . If each component $w_i(z)$ is holomorphic, then the function $w(z)$ defines a *holomorphic mapping* of the domain $D \subset C^n$ onto the domain $\Delta \subset C^n$, and if the mapping $w(z)$ is both holomorphic and locally one-to-one, i.e., $\det dw/dz \neq 0$ (see § 1 and [4], [6]), it is *pseudo-conformal*.

By means of some matrix derivative formulas, the author obtains pseudo-conformal relative invariant matrix systems¹, $T_D(\bar{t}, z)$ and matrix system $\overset{(v)}{T}_D(t_0; z), \overset{(v)}{S}_D(t_0; z)$. Thus we shall arrive at several types of m -representative functions of D which are constructed by the operators σ_D^v and δ_D^v (see § 3, § 4). In general, it is not known if the m -representative functions of a bounded domain are holomorphic or even exist, but we have a holomorphic m -representative function under the condition $K_D(\bar{t}_0, z) \neq 0$ in D (see Theorem 3.2).

1. Preliminaries. Let $\mathcal{L}^2(D)$ be a class of holomorphic functions $f(z)$ integrable square in the sense of Lebesgue in D , namely

$$\int_D |f(z)|^2 dv_z < \infty$$

where dv_z is the volume element in D , and let $\varphi(z) = (\varphi_1(z), \varphi_2(z), \dots)'$ be a closed system of orthonormal functions in D . The Bergman kernel function of the system $\varphi(z)$ is given by $K_D(\bar{t}, z) = \varphi * (\bar{t})\varphi(z)$, $z, t \in D$ where the marks $'$ and $*$ denote the transposed and transposed conjugate

¹ Utilizing this matrix, Riemann curvatures were formed in our Seminar, (see Sci. Rep. Tōkyō Kyōiku D. Sec. A, No. 182, 188).

derivatives which will be of use in calculation for demonstration hereafter:

$$(1.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \partial F^{-1}/\partial z &= -F^{-1}\partial F/\partial z(E_n \times F^{-1}), F^{-1}\partial F/\partial z \\ &= -\partial F^{-1}/\partial z(E_n \times F), \end{aligned}$$

(F is a regular $k \times k$ matrix function, $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n)'$, and E_n is an $n \times n$ unit matrix)

$$(1.8) \quad \partial(FG)/\partial z = \partial F/\partial z(E_n \times G) + F\partial G/\partial z,$$

(F, G are $k \times l, l \times m$ matrices respectively)

$$(1.9) \quad \partial F/\partial z = \partial F/\partial \zeta(d\zeta/dz \times E_l) + (d\zeta^*/dz \times E_k)(E_n \times \partial F/\partial \zeta^*)$$

(F is a $k \times l$ matrix)

$$(1.10) \quad \partial(F \times G)/\partial z = (\partial F/\partial z \times G) + (F \times \partial G/\partial z)(\tilde{E}_{l_n} \times E_\nu),$$

(F, G are $k \times l, \mu \times \nu$ matrices respectively, and

$$\tilde{E}_{l_n} = \begin{pmatrix} e_{11}, & \dots, & e_{l1} \\ e_{12}, & \dots, & e_{l2} \\ & \dots & \\ e_{1n}, & \dots, & e_{ln} \end{pmatrix},$$

where e_{ij} are $l \times n$ matrices in which there is only (i, j) element equal 1, and others 0.)

2. Relative invariant matrix system. The Riemann mapping theorem does not hold for more than one complex variable, instead various canonical domains have been introduced. In this section, we shall introduce a relative invariant matrix system which is connected with the construction of m -representative functions.

We can easily calculate by virtue of the formulas (1.7), (1.8), and $(A \times B)^* = A^* \times B^*, (A \times B)(C \times D) = AC \times BD$, as follows:

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} (E_n \times T_D(\bar{t}, z))\partial/\partial t^*(T_D^{-1}(\bar{t}, z)\partial T_D(\bar{t}, z)/\partial z) \\ = \partial^2 T_D(\bar{t}, z)/\partial t^*\partial z - \partial T_D(\bar{t}, z)/\partial t^* T_D^{-1}(\bar{t}, z)\partial T_D(\bar{t}, z)/\partial z. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we introduce

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} {}_m T_D(\bar{t}, z) &= \partial_{m-1}^2 T_D(\bar{t}, z)/\partial t^*\partial z \\ &- \partial_{m-1} T_D(\bar{t}, z)/\partial t^* {}_{m-1} T_D^{-1}(\bar{t}, z)\partial_{m-1} T_D(\bar{t}, z)/\partial z, \quad (m \geq 2), \end{aligned}$$

where E_n denotes an $n \times n$ unit matrix, and ${}_1 T_D(\bar{t}, z) = T_D(\bar{t}, z) = \partial^2 \log K_D(\bar{t}, z)/\partial t^*\partial z$.

THEOREM 2.1. *The square matrix system ${}_mT_D(\bar{t}, z)$ is a relative invariant with respect to any pseudo-conformal mapping $\zeta = \zeta(z)$, that is,*

$$(2.3) \quad {}_mT_D(\bar{t}, z) = (d\tau(t)/dt)^{*m} {}_mT_\Delta(\bar{\tau}, \zeta)(d\zeta(z)/dz)^m,$$

where $\tau = \zeta(t)$, $\Delta = \zeta(D)$, and the m th power $(d\zeta/dz)^m$ of $d\zeta/dz$ denotes a suitably contracted matrix of n times Kronecker product.

Proof. If we suppose that the relations (2.3) is established, we may calculate as follows by formulas (1.7) ~ (1.9) and Cauchy-Riemann differential equation $\partial w/\partial z^* = 0$ for the holomorphic mapping,

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \partial_m T_D/\partial z &= (d\tau/dt)^{*m} \{ \partial_m T_\Delta/\partial \zeta (E_n \times (d\zeta/dz)^m) \\ &\quad + {}_mT_\Delta d(d\zeta/dz)^m/dz (dz/d\zeta \times E_{nm}) \} (d\zeta/dz \times E_{nm}), \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \partial_m T_D/\partial t^* \partial_m T_D^{-1} \partial_m T_D/\partial z &= (d\tau/dt)^{*m+1} \partial_m T_\Delta/\partial \tau^* T_\Delta^{-1} \partial_m T_\Delta/\partial \zeta (d\zeta/dz)^{m+1} \\ &\quad + d(d\tau/dt)^{*m}/dt^* \partial_m T_\Delta/\partial \zeta (d\zeta/dz)^{m+1} \\ &\quad + (d\tau/dt)^{*m+1} \partial_m T_\Delta/\partial \tau^* d(d\zeta/dz)^m/dz \\ &\quad + d(d\tau/dt)^{*m}/dt^* {}_mT_\Delta d(d\zeta/dz)^m/dz, \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \partial_m^2 T_D/\partial t^* \partial z &= (d\tau/dt)^{*m+1} \partial_m^2 T_\Delta/\partial \tau^* \partial \zeta (d\zeta/dz)^{m+1} \\ &\quad + d(d\tau/dt)^{*m}/dt^* \partial_m T_\Delta/\partial \zeta (d\zeta/dz)^{m+1} \\ &\quad + (d\tau/dt)^{*m+1} \partial_m T_\Delta/\partial \tau^* d(d\zeta/dz)^m/dz \\ &\quad + d(d\tau/dt)^{*m}/dt^* {}_mT_\Delta d(d\zeta/dz)^m/dz, \end{aligned}$$

whence we have (2.3) with m replaced by $m + 1$.

Now, we may derive some positive definite Hermitian form utilized this result.

LEMMA 2.1.² *For the kernel function $K_D(\bar{t}, z)$ and $T_D(\bar{t}, z)$ of any domain D , we have*

$$(2.7) \quad T_{2D}(\bar{t}, z) \equiv K_D^2(\bar{t}, z) T_D(\bar{t}, z) = \chi^*(\bar{t}) \chi(z),$$

where $\chi(z) = 1/\sqrt{2} (\varphi(z) \times \partial \varphi(z)/\partial z - \partial \varphi(z)/\partial z \times \varphi(z))$.

Here, we shall obtain the relation between $T_{2D}(\bar{t}, z)$ and the author's matrix ${}_2T_D(\bar{t}, z)$ proceeding with our calculations of the matrix derivatives

² This lemma is due to S. Katō [7].

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \partial^2 T_{2D}(\bar{t}, z)/\partial t^* \partial z - \partial T_{2D}(\bar{t}, z)/\partial t^* T_{2D}^{-1}(\bar{t}, z) \partial T_{2D}(\bar{t}, z)/\partial z \\ = K_D^2(\bar{t}, z)({}_2T_D(\bar{t}, z) + 2T_D(\bar{t}, z) \times T_D(\bar{t}, z)) . \end{aligned}$$

In fact, we can derive the following relation by the formula (1.8) and the rule $(A \times B)(C \times D) = AC \times BD$,

$$(2.9) \quad \partial T_{2D}/\partial t^* = K_D^2 \partial T_D/\partial t^* + \partial K_D^2/\partial t^* \times T_D ,$$

similarly for $\partial T_{2D}/\partial z$,

$$(2.10) \quad \begin{aligned} \partial^2 T_{2D}/\partial t^* \partial z = K_D^2 \partial^2 T_D/\partial t^* \partial z + \partial K_D^2/\partial t^* \times \partial T_D/\partial z \\ + \partial^2 K_D^2/\partial t^* \partial z \times T_D + \partial K_D^2/\partial z \times \partial T_D/\partial t^* . \end{aligned}$$

Then (2.8) follows. If we call the matrix expression (2.8) ${}_2T_{2D}(\bar{t}, z)$, we can verify that ${}_2T_{2D}(\bar{z}, z)$ is positive definite.

THEOREM 2.1. *The matrix function*

$${}_2T_D(\bar{t}, z) + mT_D(\bar{t}, z) \times T_D(\bar{t}, z), \quad (m > 2)$$

is relative invariant under any pseudo-conformal mapping $\zeta = \zeta(z)$, and positive definite for $t = z$.

Proof. By using $\chi(z)$ in Lemma 2.1, we have

$${}_2T_{2D}(\bar{z}, z) = \chi_{z^*}^*(\bar{z})\chi_z(z) - \chi_{z^*}^*(\bar{z})\chi(z)T_{2D}^{-1}(\bar{z}, z)\chi^*(\bar{z})\chi_z(z) ,$$

therefore we obtain for any n^2 -dimensional column vector u ,

$$(2.11) \quad \begin{aligned} \left(E_n, T_{2D}^{-1/2} \partial T_{2D}/\partial zu \right) \\ \left(u^* \partial T_{2D}/\partial z^* T_{2D}^{-1/2}, u^* \partial^2 T_{2D}/\partial z^* \partial zu \right) \\ = (\chi(z)T_{2D}^{-1/2}, \partial\chi(z)/\partial zu)^* (\chi(z)T_{2D}^{-1/2}, \partial\chi(z)/\partial zu) . \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \det(\chi T_{2D}^{-1/2}, \partial\chi/\partial zu)^* (\chi T_{2D}^{-1/2}, \partial\chi/\partial zu) \\ = u^* \partial^2 T_{2D}/\partial z^* \partial zu - u^* \partial T_{2D}/\partial z^* T_{2D}^{-1} \partial T_{2D}/\partial zu = u^* {}_2T_{2D} u \geq 0 . \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, ${}_2T_D + 2 \cdot T_D \times T_D$ is nonnegative definite, then ${}_2T_D + m \cdot T_D \times T_D$ ($m > 2$) is positive definite.

Next, we state the following symbol,

$$(2.12) \quad \tau_D F_D(\bar{t}, z) = \partial^2 F_D/\partial t^* \partial z - \partial F_D/\partial t^* F_D^{-1} \partial F_D/\partial z ,$$

then we have ${}_m T_D(\bar{t}, z) = (\tau_D)^{m-1} T_D(\bar{t}, z)$.

THEOREM 2.2. *For any matrix function $F_D(\bar{t}, z)$ which transforms by relation $F_D(\bar{t}, z) = (d\tau(t)/dt)^* F_D(\bar{\tau}, \zeta)(d\zeta(z)/dz)$ under pseudo-conformal mapping $\zeta = \zeta(z)$, we have*

$$(2.13) \quad (\tau_D)^m F_D(\bar{t}, z) = (d\tau(t)/dt)^{*m+1} (\tau_A)^m F_A(\bar{t}, \zeta) (d\zeta(z)/dz)^{m+1}.$$

COROLLARY 2.1. *If we construct the matrix functions*

$$(2.14) \quad F_D^\mu(\bar{t}, z) \equiv \partial^2 \log \det (K_D^\mu(\bar{t}, z) T_D(\bar{t}, z)) / \partial t^* \partial z,$$

we obtain the following transformation expression

$$(2.15) \quad \begin{aligned} {}^\mu G_D(\bar{t}, z) &\equiv (\tau_D)^{m-1} F_D^\mu(\bar{t}, z) \\ &= (d\tau(t)/dt)^{*m} (\tau_A)^{m-1} F_A^\mu(\bar{t}, \zeta) (d\zeta(z)/dz)^m, \end{aligned}$$

where μ is an arbitrary real number.

3. m -representative domains derived by operators ${}^i\sigma_D^\nu$. First, we define matrix functions ${}_{(\nu)}T_D(\bar{t}, z)$ (not ${}_\nu T_D(\bar{t}, z)$) with respect to both z and $t^*(z, t \in D)$ with a fixed point t_0 of D as follows.

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} {}_{(\nu)}T_D(\bar{t}, z) &= \partial^2 {}_{(\nu-1)}T_D(\bar{t}, z) / \partial t^* \partial z \\ &\quad - \partial {}_{(\nu-1)}T_D(\bar{t}, t_0) / \partial t^* ({}_{(\nu-1)}T_D)^{-1} \partial {}_{(\nu-1)}T_D(\bar{t}_0, z) / \partial z, \quad (\nu \geq 2), \end{aligned}$$

where ${}_{(1)}T_D(\bar{t}, z) = T_D(\bar{t}, z)$, ${}_{(\nu-1)}T_D = {}_{(\nu-1)}T_D(\bar{t}_0, t_0)$, and by putting $t = t_0$, we have

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} {}_{(\nu)}T_D(\bar{t}_0, z) &= \partial^2 {}_{(\nu-1)}T_D(\bar{t}_0, z) / \partial t^* \partial z \\ &\quad - \partial {}_{(\nu-1)}T_D / \partial t^* ({}_{(\nu-1)}T_D)^{-1} \partial {}_{(\nu-1)}T_D(\bar{t}_0, z) / \partial z. \end{aligned}$$

where $\partial {}_{(\nu-1)}T_D / \partial t^* \equiv [\partial {}_{(\nu-1)}T_D(\bar{t}, z) / \partial t^*]_{z=t_0, t=t_0}$. The definite integral of a matrix $A(z)$ is

$$(3.3) \quad \int_{t_0}^z A(z) dz = B(z) - B(t_0),$$

where $dB(z)/dz = A(z)$, then we have

$$(3.4) \quad \int_{t_0}^z {}_{(2)}T_D(\bar{t}_0, z) dz = \partial T_D(\bar{t}_0, z) / \partial t^* - \partial T_D / \partial t^* (T_D)^{-1} T_D(\bar{t}_0, z),$$

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} &\int_{t_0}^z \int_{t_0}^z {}_{(3)}T_D(\bar{t}_0, z) dz^2 \\ &= \int_{t_0}^z (\partial {}_{(2)}T_D(\bar{t}_0, z) / \partial t^* - \partial {}_{(2)}T_D / \partial t^* ({}_{(2)}T_D)^{-1} {}_{(2)}T_D(\bar{t}_0, z)) dz \\ &= \partial^2 T_D(\bar{t}_0, z) / \partial t^{*2} - \partial^2 T_D / \partial t^{*2} (T_D)^{-1} T_D(\bar{t}_0, z) \\ &\quad - \partial {}_{(2)}T_D / \partial t^* ({}_{(2)}T_D)^{-1} (\partial T_D(\bar{t}_0, z) / \partial t^* - \partial T_D / \partial t^* (T_D)^{-1} T_D(\bar{t}_0, z)). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, if we introduce a matrix function as follows

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{aligned} M_D^{(2)}(t_0; z) &\equiv {}^1\sigma_D^1 T_D(\bar{t}_0, z) \\ &\equiv T_D(\bar{t}_0, z) - \partial T_D / \partial z {}_{(2)}T_D^{-1} \int_{t_0}^z {}_{(2)}T_D(\bar{t}_0, z) dz, \end{aligned}$$

we have an invariant holomorphic function $\zeta_D^{(2)}(z; t_0)$ under any pseudo-conformal mapping $\zeta = \zeta(z)$ which satisfies the conditions

$$(3.7) \quad \zeta(t_0) = 0, d\zeta(t_0)/dz = E, d^2\zeta(t_0)/dz^2 = 0,$$

and the invariant function also satisfies (3.7):

$$(3.8) \quad \zeta_D^{(2)}(z; t_0) \equiv T_D^{-1} \int_{t_0}^z \bar{M}_D^1(t_0; z) dz.$$

Because, in general, for any pseudo-conformal mapping $\zeta = \zeta(z)$ satisfying (1.3) we have $\partial^{p+q} T_D(\bar{t}_0, t_0)/\partial t^{*p} \partial z^q = \partial^{p+q} T_D(\bar{0}, 0)/\partial \tau^{*p} \partial \zeta^q$, ($0 \leq p, q \leq m-1$), and we have $\partial^p T_D(\bar{t}_0, z)/\partial t^{*p} = \partial^p T_D(0, \zeta)/\partial \tau^{*p} d\zeta(\bar{z})/dz$ only if $q = 0$. (See (2.4), (2.6) and [7]).

By this function $\zeta_D^{(2)}$, D and $\Delta(= \zeta(D))$ generate the some domain R . We call this unique domain R *2-representative domain of the pseudo-conformal equivalence class of D with center at the origin*, and the function $\zeta_D^{(2)}(z; t_0)$ will be called *2-representative function*. Moreover if we define a matrix

$$(3.9) \quad \begin{aligned} \bar{M}_D^{(3)}(t_0; z) &= {}^1\sigma_D^{21} \sigma_D^1 T_D(\bar{t}_0, z) = {}^1\sigma_D^2 ({}^1\sigma_D^1 T_D(\bar{t}_0, z)) = \bar{M}_D^1(t_0; z) \\ &\quad - \partial^2 \bar{M}_D^1 / \partial z^2 {}^{(3)}T_D^{-1} \int_{t_0}^z \int_{t_0}^z {}^{(3)}T_D(\bar{t}_0, z) (dz)^2, \end{aligned}$$

we obtain a *3-representative function* $\zeta_D^{(3)}(z; t_0)$ of the pseudo-conformal equivalence class of D which satisfies the conditions $\zeta(t_0) = 0$, $d\zeta(t_0)/dz = E$, $d^2\zeta(t_0)/dz^2 = d^3\zeta(t_0)/dz^3 = 0$:

$$(3.10) \quad \zeta_D^{(3)}(z; t_0) \equiv T_D^{-1} \int_{t_0}^z \bar{M}_D^1(t_0; z) dz.$$

Now, we have the following relation:

$$(3.11) \quad \begin{aligned} \bar{N}(t_0, z) &\equiv (E, 0, 0) \begin{pmatrix} T_D & T_z & T_{z^2} \\ T_{t^*} & T_{t^*z} & T_{t^*z^2} \\ T_{t^{*2}} & T_{t^{*2}z} & T_{t^{*2}z^2} \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} T_D(\bar{t}_0, z) \\ \partial T_D(\bar{t}_0, z)/\partial t^* \\ \partial^2 T_D(\bar{t}_0, z)/\partial t^{*2} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= T_D^{-1} \bar{M}_D^{(3)}(\bar{t}_0; z), \end{aligned}$$

where $T_{t^{*p}z^q} = \partial^{p+q} T_D(\bar{t}_0, t_0)/\partial t^{*p} \partial z^q$. It is proved by means of the well-known formula

$$(3.12) \quad \begin{aligned} &\begin{pmatrix} K & L \\ M & N \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} K^{-1} + K^{-1}L(N - MK^{-1}L)^{-1}MK^{-1}, & -K^{-1}L(N - MK^{-1}L)^{-1} \\ -(N - MK^{-1}L)^{-1}MK^{-1}, & (N - MK^{-1}L)^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

(see [5]).

In general, if we introduce the matrix functions as follows

$$(3.13) \quad M_D^{(m)}(t_0; z) = {}^1\sigma_D^{m-1} \sigma_D^{m-2} \cdots {}^1\sigma_D^1 T_D(\bar{t}_0, z), (m \geq 2),$$

where

$$(3.14) \quad \begin{aligned} {}^1\sigma_D^{\nu-1} F(t_0; z) &= F(t_0; z) \\ &- (\partial^{\nu-1} F(t_0; z) / \partial z^{\nu-1})_{z=t_0(\nu)} T_D^{-1} \int_{t_0}^z \cdots \int_{t_0}^z T_D(\bar{t}_0, z) \\ &\quad (dz)^{\nu-1}, \end{aligned}$$

for any matrix function $F(t_0; z)$, then we have an m -representative function of the pseudo-conformal equivalence class of D with respect to a fixed point t_0 :

$$(3.15) \quad \zeta_D^{(m)}(z; t_0) \equiv T_D^{-1} \int_{t_0}^z M_D^{(m)}(t_0; z) dz.$$

Similarly, if we construct the matrix functions

$$(3.16) \quad M_D^{1'}(t_0; z) = {}^{1'}\sigma_D^{m-1} {}^{1'}\sigma_D^{m-2} \cdots {}^{1'}\sigma_D^1 T_D(\bar{t}_0, z), (m \geq 2),$$

by ${}^{1'}\sigma_D^\nu$ replaced ${}_{(\nu)}T_D(\bar{t}_0, z)$ with ${}_{(\nu)'}T_D(\bar{t}_0, z)$, i.e.,

$$(3.17) \quad \begin{aligned} {}_{(\nu)'}T_D(\bar{t}_0, z) &= \partial^{2(\nu-1)} T_D(\bar{t}_0, z) / \partial t^{*\nu-1} \partial z^{\nu-1} \\ &- (T_{t^{*\nu-1}}, T_{t^{*\nu-1}z}, \dots, T_{t^{*\nu-1}z^{\nu-2}}) \\ &\quad \begin{pmatrix} T_D & T_z & \cdots & T_{z^{\nu-2}} \\ T_{t^*} & T_{t^*z} & \cdots & T_{t^*z^{\nu-2}} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ T_{t^{*\nu-2}} & T_{t^{*\nu-2}z} & \cdots & T_{t^{*\nu-2}z^{\nu-2}} \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} T_{z^{\nu-1}}(\bar{t}_0, z) \\ T_{t^{*\nu-1}}(\bar{t}_0, z) \\ \vdots \\ T_{t^{*\nu-2}z^{\nu-1}}(\bar{t}_0, z) \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

then we have another m -representative function

$$(3.18) \quad \zeta_D^{1'}(z; t_0) \equiv T_D^{-1} \int_{t_0}^z M_D^{1'}(t_0; z) dz = \int_{t_0}^z N_D^{E, n, 0, \dots, 0}(z, t_0) dz,$$

where

$$N_D^{E, n, 0, \dots, 0}(z, t_0) = (E, 0, \dots, 0) \begin{pmatrix} T_D & \cdots & T_{z^{m-1}} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots \\ T_{t^{*m-1}} & \cdots & T_{t^{*m-1}z^{m-1}} \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} T_D(\bar{t}_0, z) \\ \vdots \\ T_{t^{*m-1}}(\bar{t}_0, z) \end{pmatrix},$$

because we can compute

$$(3.19) \quad \begin{aligned} {}^{(m)}N(z) &\equiv (E, 0, \dots, 0) \begin{pmatrix} T_D & \cdots & T_{z^{m-2}} & T_{z^{m-1}} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ T_{t^{*m-2}} & T_{t^{*m-2}z^{m-2}} & T_{t^{*m-2}z^{m-1}} \\ T_{t^{*m-1}} & \cdots & T_{t^{*m-1}z^{m-2}} & T_{t^{*m-1}z^{m-1}} \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} T_D(z) \\ \vdots \\ T_{t^{*m-1}}(z) \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= {}^{(m-1)}N(z) - \partial^{m-1} {}^{(m-1)}N / \partial z^{m-1} {}_{(m)}, T_D^{-1} \int_{t_0}^z \cdots \int_{t_0}^z {}_{(m)}, T_D(\bar{t}_0, z)(dz)^{m-1} \\
&= {}^1\sigma_D^{m-1} {}^{(m-1)}N(z). \quad (\text{See [7]}) .
\end{aligned}$$

THEOREM 3.1. *If $\det {}_{(\nu)}T_D(\bar{t}_0, t_0) \neq 0$, and $\det {}_{(\nu)}, T_D(\bar{t}_0, t_0) \neq 0$, ($2 \leq \nu \leq m$) at a fixed point t_0 of D , then we have m -representative domains of the pseudo-conformal equivalence class of D mapped by the m -representative (holomorphic) functions (3.15) and (3.18) respectively.*

Next, by the property of Kronecker product we can calculate formally

$$(T(\bar{t}_0, z))^\nu (dz)^\nu = (T_D(\bar{t}_0, z) dz)^\nu ,$$

therefore we define

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.20) \quad &\int_{t_0}^z \cdots \int_{t_0}^z (T_D(\bar{t}_0, z))^\nu (dz)^\nu \\
&= \left(\int_{t_0}^z T_D(\bar{t}_0, z) dz \right)^\nu .
\end{aligned}$$

Then we have the following m -representative function

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.21) \quad &{}^{(m)}\zeta_D^2(z; t_0) = T_D^{-1} \int_{t_0}^z {}^{(m)}M_D^2(t_0; z) dz \\
&= {}^{(m-1)}\zeta_D^2(z; t_0) - 1/m! d^m {}^{(m-1)}\zeta_D^2 / dz^m (T_D^{-1})^m \\
&\quad \left(\int_{t_0}^z T_D(\bar{t}_0, z) dz \right)^m, \quad (m \geq 2) ,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$${}^{(1)}\zeta_D^2(z; t_0) \equiv T_D^{-1} \int_{t_0}^z T_D(\bar{t}_0, z) dz ,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
{}^{(m)}M_D^2(t_0; z) &\equiv {}^2\sigma_D^{m-1} \cdots {}^2\sigma_D^1 T_D(\bar{t}_0, z) = {}^2\sigma_D^{m-1} {}^{(m-1)}M_D^2(t_0; z) \\
&= {}^{(m-1)}M_D^2(t_0; z) - 1/m! \partial^{m-1} {}^{(m-1)}M_D^2 / \partial z^{m-1} (T_D^{-1})^m \\
&\quad \int_{t_0}^z \cdots \int_{t_0}^z (T_D(\bar{t}_0, z))^m (dz)^{m-1} .
\end{aligned}$$

Firstly, we introduce a 2-representative domain of the pseudo-conformal equivalence class of a domain D in this case. We can compute as follows by the above-mentioned formulas (1.7) ~ (1.10):

$$\begin{aligned}
d/dz \left(\int_{t_0}^z T_D(\bar{t}_0, z) dz \right)^2 &= T \times (\quad) + ((\quad) \times T) (\tilde{E}_{1n} \times 1) \\
&= T \times (\quad) + (\quad) \times T ,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
d^2/dz^2 \left(\int_{t_0}^z T_D(\bar{t}_0, z) dz \right)^2 &= T_z \times () + (T \times T)(\tilde{E}_{nn} \times 1) + T \times T \\
&\quad + (() \times T_z)(\tilde{E}_{1n} \times E) \\
&= T_z \times () + T^2 \tilde{E}_{nn} + T^2 + () \times T_z,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$() \equiv \int_{t_0}^z T_D(\bar{t}_0, z) dz, \quad T \equiv T_D(\bar{t}_0, z), \quad T_z \equiv \partial T_D(\bar{t}_0, z) / \partial z.$$

Then we have

$$(3.22) \quad (d^2()^2/dz^2)_{z=t_0} = T_D^2(\tilde{E}_{nn} + E^2).$$

Further, we have following results.

LEMMA 3.1. *For any n row vector $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$, we have*

$$(3.23) \quad x^2 \tilde{E}_{nn} = x^2,$$

and, in general, for arbitrary positive integers p, q

$$(3.24) \quad x^{2+p+q}(E^p \times \tilde{E}_{nn} + E^q) = x^{2+p+q}.$$

Thus we have

$$d^2 \zeta_D^{(1)} / dz^2 (\tilde{E}_{nn} + E^2) = 2d^2 \zeta_D^{(1)} / dz^2,$$

for any n column vector $\zeta_D^{(1)}$.

Therefore we have a 2-representative function

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.25) \quad \zeta_D^{(2)}(z; t_0) &\equiv T_D^{-1} \int_{t_0}^z M_D^2(t_0; z) dz \\
&= \zeta_D^{(1)}(z; t_0) - 1/2! d^2 \zeta_D^{(1)} / dz^2 (T_D^{-1})^2 \left(\int_{t_0}^z T_D(\bar{t}_0, z) dz \right)^2.
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
M_D^2(t_0; z) &\equiv {}^2\sigma_D^1 T_D(\bar{t}_0, z) = T_D(\bar{t}_0, z) \\
&\quad - 1/2! \partial T_D / \partial z (T_D^{-1})^2 \int_{t_0}^z (T_D(\bar{t}_0, z))^2 dz.
\end{aligned}$$

In fact, $\zeta_D^{(2)}(t_0; t_0) = 0$, $d^2 \zeta_D^{(2)}(t_0; t_0) / dz = E$, $d^2 \zeta_D^{(2)}(t_0; t_0) / dz^2 = d^2 \zeta_D^{(1)} / dz^2 - 1/2!$
 $d^2 \zeta_D^{(2)} / dz^2 (\tilde{E}_{nn} + E^2) = 0$, and clearly $\zeta_D^{(2)}(z; t_0)$ is invariant under any pseudo-conformal mapping $\zeta = \zeta(z)$ which satisfies the normalization conditions (3.7).

Similarly, we have a 3-representative function

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.26) \quad \zeta_D^{(3)}(z; t_0) &\equiv T_D^{-1} \int_{t_0}^z M_D^2(t_0; z) dz \\
 &= \zeta_D^{(2)}(z; t_0) - 1/3! d^3 \zeta_D^{(2)} / dz^3 (T_D^{-1})^3 \left(\int_{t_0}^z T_D(\bar{t}_0, z) dz \right)^3,
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 M_D^2(t_0; z) &= {}^2\sigma_D^2 M_D^{(2)}(t_0; z) = M_D^{(2)}(t_0; z) \\
 &\quad - 1/3! \partial^2 M_D^{(2)} / \partial z^2 (T_D^{-1})^3 \int_{t_0}^z \int_{t_0}^z (T_D(\bar{t}_0, z))^3 (dz)^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Clearly it is invariant and

$$\begin{aligned}
 \zeta_D^{(3)}(t_0; t_0) &= 0, \quad d\zeta_D^{(3)}(t_0; t_0)/dz = E, \quad d^2\zeta_D^{(3)}(t_0; t_0)/dz^2 = 0, \\
 d^3\zeta_D^{(3)}(t_0; t_0)/dz^3 &= d^3\zeta_D^{(2)}/dz^3 - 1/3! d^3\zeta_D^{(2)}/dz^3 (T_D^{-1})^3 T_D^3(E \times (\tilde{E}_{nn} + E^2)) \\
 &\quad \cdot ((\tilde{E}_{nn} \times E)(E \times \tilde{E}_{nn}) + (\tilde{E}_{nn} \times E) + E^3) \\
 &= d^3\zeta_D^{(2)}/dz^3 - 1/3! (3! d^3\zeta_D^{(2)}/dz^3) = 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

This result from the following calculation:

$$\begin{aligned}
 d^3/dz^3(\quad)^3 &= T_{z^2} \times (\quad)^2 + (T_z \times d/dz(\quad)^2) \tilde{E}_{nn^2} \\
 &\quad + \{T_z \times d/dz(\quad)^2 + (T \times d^2/dz^2(\quad)^2)(\tilde{E}_{nn} \times E)\}(E \times \tilde{E}_{nn}) \\
 &\quad + T_z \times d/dz(\quad)^2 + (T \times d^2/dz^2(\quad)^2)(\tilde{E}_{nn} \times E) \\
 &\quad + T \times d^3/dz^3(\quad)^2 + (\quad) \times d^3/dz^3(\quad)^2.
 \end{aligned}$$

In general, we have

THEOREM 3.2. *If $K_D(\bar{t}_0, z) \neq 0$ in a bounded domain D , we have an m -representative (holomorphic) function $\zeta_D^{(m)}(z; t_0)$ (see (3.21)) of the pseudo-conformal equivalence class of D with respect to a point t_0 .*

REMARK 1. $\zeta_D^{(1)}(z; t_0) = T_D^{-1} \int_{t_0}^z T_D(\bar{t}_0, z) dz = M_D^{0E_n}(t_0, z)/m_D^1(t_0, z)$, ($i = 1, 1', 2$), because $d(M_D^{0E_n}(t_0, z)/m_D^1(t_0, z))/dz = T_D^{-1} T_D(\bar{t}_0, z)$, where

$$M_D^{0E_n}(t_0, z) = (0, E) \begin{pmatrix} K_D & K_z \\ K_{t^*} & K_{t^*z} \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} K_D(\bar{t}_0, z) \\ \partial K_D(\bar{t}_0, z)/\partial t^* \end{pmatrix},$$

$m_D^1(t_0, z) = K_D(\bar{t}_0, z)/K_D(\bar{t}_0, t_0)$. (This result was obtained by Tsuboi [5]).

REMARK 2. In the case of one variable, our 2-representative functions of a unit disk with respect to t_0 become $\zeta_D^{(2)}(z; t_0) = (1 - |t_0|^2)(1 - \bar{t}_0 u)u$, ($i = 1, 1', 2$), where $u = (z - t_0)/(1 - \bar{t}_0 z)$.

REMARK 3. The function $\zeta_D^{(m)}(z; t_0)$ is expressed as follows:

$$(3.27) \quad \zeta_D^{(m)}(z; t_0) = \zeta_D^{(1)}(z; t_0) - \sum_{\nu=2}^m 1/\nu! d^\nu \zeta_D^{(\nu-1)} / dz^\nu (\zeta_D^{(1)}(z; t_0))^\nu.$$

4. *m*-representative domain by the operator δ_D^ν . As § 3, we shall start with the case $m = 2$. We construct the matrix function $T_D^{(2)}(t_0; z) = \delta_D^1 T_D(\bar{t}_0, z)$, (see (4.6)) as follows:

$$(4.1) \quad T_D^{(2)}(t_0; z) = T_D(\bar{t}_0, z) - \partial T_D(\bar{t}_0, t_0) / \partial z (\partial^2 T_D(\bar{t}_0, t_0) / \partial t^* \partial z)^{-1} \partial T_D(\bar{t}_0, z) / \partial t^*.$$

Under any pseudo-conformal mapping which satisfies the normalization conditions (3.7) at a point t_0 of D , we have

$$(4.2) \quad T_D^{(2)}(t_0; z) = T_A^{(2)}(0; \zeta) d\zeta / dz.$$

Then we have an invariant function which satisfies (3.7):

$$(4.3) \quad \eta_D^{(2)}(z; t_0) = (T_D^{(2)}(t_0; t_0))^{-1} \int_{t_0}^z T_D^{(2)}(t_0; z) dz.$$

This function is a 2-representative function of the pseudo-conformal equivalence class of D .

In general, we define as follows:

$$(4.4) \quad T_D^{(m)}(t_0; z) = \delta_D^{m-1} \cdots \delta_D^1 T_D(\bar{t}_0, z), (m \geq 2),$$

$$(4.5) \quad S_D^{(\lambda)}(t_0; z) = \delta_D^{\lambda-1} \cdots \delta_D^1 \partial^\lambda T_D(\bar{t}_0, z) / \partial t^{*\lambda}, S_D^{(1)}(t_0; z) = \partial T_D(\bar{t}_0, z) / \partial t^*,$$

where

$$(4.6) \quad \delta_D^\nu F(t_0; z) = F(t_0; z) - (\partial^\nu F(t_0; z) / \partial z^\nu)_{z=t_0} (\partial^\nu S_D(t_0; t_0) / \partial z^\nu)^{-1} S_D(t_0; z),$$

$$(4.7) \quad \delta_D^\nu \cdots \delta_D^1 F(t_0; z) = \delta_D^\nu (\cdots (\delta_D^2 (\delta_D^1 F(t_0; z)) \cdots),$$

for any matrix function $F(t_0; z)$. Then we have

$$(4.8) \quad T_D^{(m)}(t_0; z) = T_A^{(m)}(0; \zeta) d\zeta(z) / dz,$$

$$(4.9) \quad S_D^{(\lambda)}(t_0; z) = S_A^{(\lambda)}(0; \zeta) d\zeta(z) / dz, (\lambda \leq m-1),$$

because

$$(4.10) \quad \delta_D^\nu \cdots \delta_D^1 \partial^\mu T_D(\bar{t}_0, z) / \partial t^{*\mu} = \delta_A^\nu \cdots \delta_A^1 \partial^\mu T_A(0, \zeta) / \partial \tau^{*\mu} (d\zeta(z) / dz),$$

under any pseudo-conformal mapping $\zeta = \zeta(z)$ which satisfies (1.3).

On the other hand, we can calculate instantly

$$(4.11) \quad \partial T_D^{(m)}(t_0; t_0)/\partial z = \dots = \partial^{m-1} T_D^{(m)}(t_0; t_0)/\partial z^{m-1} = 0 ,$$

$$(4.12) \quad \partial S_D^{(m-1)}(t_0; t_0)/\partial z = \dots = \partial^{m-2} S_D^{(m-1)}(t_0; t_0)/\partial z^{m-2} = 0 ,$$

because $(d^\nu(\delta_D^\nu F(t_0; z))/dz^\nu)_{z=t_0} = 0$.

THEOREM 4.1. *If $T_D^{(m)}(t_0; z)$ exists and $\det T_D^{(m)}(t_0; t_0) \neq 0$ at a fixed point t_0 of D , then we have an m -representative (holomorphic) function of the pseudo-conformal equivalence class of D :*

$$(4.13) \quad \eta_D^{(m)}(z; t_0) = (T_D(t_0; t_0))^{-1} \int_{t_0}^z T_D^{(m)}(t_0; z) dz .$$

Further, we have

THEOREM 4.2. *We obtain several m -representative functions of the pseudo-conformal equivalence class of D with respect to the fixed point t_0 of D :*

$$(4.14) \quad \rho_D^i(z; t_0) = (\delta_D^{m-1} M_D^i(t_0; t_0))^{-1} \int_{t_0}^z \delta_D^{m-1} M_D^i(t_0; z) dz, (i = 1, 1') ,$$

$$(4.15) \quad \chi_D^i(z; t_0) = (T_D(t_0; t_0))^{-1} \int_{t_0}^z {}^i \sigma_D^{m-1} T_D(t_0; z) dz, (i = 1, 1') ,$$

$$(4.16) \quad \mu_D^1(z; t_0) = T_D^{-1} \int_{t_0}^z {}^1 \sigma_D^{m-1} M_D^{1'}(t_0; z) dz ,$$

$$(4.17) \quad \mu_D^2(z; t_0) = T_D^{-1} \int_{t_0}^z {}^{1'} \sigma_D^{m-1} M_D(t_0; z) dz ,$$

$$(4.18) \quad \mu_D^3(z; t_0) = \varepsilon_D^{(m-1)}(z; t_0) - 1/m! \partial^m \varepsilon_D^{(m-1)} / \partial z^m (\zeta_D^{(1)}(z; t_0))^m ,$$

where $\varepsilon_D^{(m-1)}(z; t_0)$ is an arbitrary holomorphic $(m-1)$ -representative function.

REMARK 1. We can obtain other m -representative functions

$$(4.19) \quad \begin{aligned} \nu_D^1(z; t_0) &= (\delta_D^{m-1} N_{\mu_D}^{E_n \dots 0}(t_0, t_0))^{-1} \int_{t_0}^z \delta_D^{m-1} N_{\mu_D}^{E_n \dots 0}(z, t_0) dz , \\ \nu_D^2(z; t_0) &= \int_{t_0}^z {}^i \sigma_D^{m-1} N_{\mu_D}^{E_n \dots 0}(z, t_0) dz, (i = 1, 1') \end{aligned}$$

where

$$N_{\mu D}^{E_n \cdots 0}(z, t_0) = (E_n, 0, \dots, 0) \cdot \begin{pmatrix} T_{\mu D}, \dots, & \partial^{m-2} T_{\mu D} / \partial z^{m-2} \\ \dots & \dots \\ \partial^{m-2} T_{\mu D} / \partial t^{*m-2}, \dots, & \partial^{2(m-2)} T_{\mu D} / \partial t^{*m-2} \partial z^{m-2} \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} T_{\mu D}(z_0, \bar{t}) \\ \vdots \\ \partial^{m-2} T_{\mu D}(z, \bar{t}_0) / \partial t^{*m-2} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\text{see [7]}) .$$

REMARK 2. $\eta_D^{(m)}(z; t_0)$ was published temporarily in Mathematical Seminar of Tōkyō University of Education [8], and the author showed $\eta_D^{(2)}(z; t_0) = (1 - |t_0|^2)(1 - \bar{t}_0 u)u$ where $u = (z - t_0)/(1 - \bar{t}_0 z)$, and D is an unit disk in one variable.

We shall further proceed with our studies. First, we shall substitute the auxiliary conditions

$$(4.20) \quad \begin{aligned} \zeta(t_0) &= 0, d\zeta(t_0)/dzA = A, d^2\zeta(t_0)/dz^2A^2 \\ &= \dots = d^m\zeta(t_0)/dz^mA^m = 0, \end{aligned}$$

for the normalization conditions (1.3), where A is an $n \times \nu$ matrix ($\nu \leq n$). (The case of conditions $\zeta(t_0) = 0, d\zeta(t_0)/dzA = A$ was first studied by Y. Michiwaki, Nagaoka Technical College.)

In the case of $m = 2$, we construct the following matrix function

$$(4.21) \quad \begin{aligned} {}_A T_D^{(2)}(t_0; z) &= {}_A \delta_D^1 T_D(\bar{t}_0, z) = T_D(\bar{t}_0, z) \\ &\quad - \partial T_D(\bar{t}_0, t_0) / \partial z A^2 (A^{*2} \partial^2 T_D(\bar{t}_0, t_0) / \partial t^* \partial z A^2)^{-1} \\ &\quad A^{*2} \partial T_D(\bar{t}_0, z) / \partial t^*, \end{aligned}$$

then we can calculate easily

$$(4.22) \quad {}_A T_D^{(2)}(t_0; z) = (d\tau(t_0)/dt)^* {}_A T_A^{(2)}(0; \zeta) (d\zeta(z)/dz),$$

under any pseudo-conformal mapping $\zeta = \zeta(z)$ which satisfies the conditions

$$(4.23) \quad \zeta(t_0) = 0, d\zeta(t_0)/dzA = A, d^2\zeta(t_0)/dz^2A^2 = 0,$$

because, from (2.4) and (2.6) we have

$$(4.24) \quad \begin{aligned} \partial T_D(\bar{t}, z) / \partial z A^2 &= (d\tau(t)/dt)^* \partial T_A / \partial \zeta (d\zeta(z)/dzA)^2 \\ &\quad + (d\tau(t)/dt)^* T_A (d^2\zeta(z)/dz^2A^2), \end{aligned}$$

$$(4.25) \quad \begin{aligned} A^{*2} \partial^2 T_D(\bar{t}, z) / \partial t^* \partial z A^2 &= (d\tau(t)/dtA)^{*2} \partial^2 T_A / \partial \tau^* \partial \zeta (d\zeta(z)/dzA)^2 \\ &\quad + (d\tau(t)/dtA)^{*2} \partial T_A / \partial \tau^* d^2\zeta(z)/dz^2A^2 \\ &\quad + (d^2\tau(t)/dt^2A^2)^* \partial T_A / \partial \zeta (d\zeta(z)/dzA)^2 \\ &\quad + (d^2\tau(t)/dt^2A^2)^* T_A d^2\zeta(z)/dz^2A^2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have an invariant (holomorphic) function which satisfies the conditions (4.23):

$$(4.26) \quad {}_A\eta_D^{(2)}(z; t_0) = A(A^* {}_A T_D(t_0; t_0)A)^{-1} \int_{t_0}^z A^* {}_A T_D(t_0; z) dz .$$

We shall call this function an $A - 2$ -representative function of the pseudo-conformal equivalence class of D with respect to $t_0 \in D$.

Next, we shall define as follows:

$$(4.27) \quad {}_A T_D^{(m)}(t_0; z) = {}_A \delta_D^{m-1} \cdots {}_A \delta_D^1 T_D(\bar{t}_0, z) ,$$

$$(4.28) \quad {}_A S_D^{(\lambda)}(t_0; z) = {}_A \delta_D^{\lambda-1} \cdots {}_A \delta_D^1 \partial^\lambda T_D(\bar{t}, z) / \partial t^{*\lambda} ,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} {}_A \delta_D^\nu F(t_0; z) &= F(t_0; z) \\ &- (\partial^\nu F(t_0; z) / \partial z^\nu)_{z=t_0} A^{*\nu+1} (A^{*\nu+1} \partial^\nu {}_A S_D(t_0; t_0) / \partial z^\nu A^{\nu+1})^{-1} \\ &\cdot A^{*\nu+1} {}_A S_D(t_0; z) . \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$(4.29) \quad {}_A T_D^{(m)}(t_0; z) = (d\tau(t_0)/dt)^* {}_A T_A^{(m)}(0; \zeta) (d\zeta(z)/dz) ,$$

$$(4.30) \quad {}_A S_D^{(\lambda)}(t_0; z) = (d\tau(t_0)/dt)^{*\lambda+1} {}_A S_A^{(\lambda)}(0; \zeta) (d\zeta(z)/dz) , (\lambda \leq m-1) ,$$

because

$$A^{*\mu+1} \partial^{\mu+\nu} T_D(\bar{t}_0, t_0) / \partial t^{*\mu} \partial z^\nu A^{\nu+1} = A^{*\mu+1} \partial^{\mu+\nu} T_A(\bar{0}, 0) / \partial \tau^{*\mu} \partial \zeta^\nu A^{\nu+1} ,$$

under any pseudo-conformal mapping $\zeta = \zeta(z)$ which satisfies (4.20).

THEOREM 4.3. *We have an invariant function which satisfies (4.20):*

$$(4.31) \quad {}_A\eta_D^{(m)}(z; t_0) = A(A^* {}_A T_D(t_0; t_0)A)^{-1} \int_{t_0}^z A^* {}_A T_D^{(m)}(t_0; z) dz .$$

We call this function an $A - m$ -representative function of the pseudo-conformal equivalence class of D , and the image domain by it is called an $A - m$ -representative domain of the class with senter at the origin.

Next, we shall substitute the auxiliary conditions

$$(4.32) \quad \zeta(t_0) = 0, \det d\zeta(t_0)/dz \neq 0, d^2\zeta(t_0)/dz^2 = \cdots = d^m\zeta(t_0)/dz^m = 0 ,$$

for the normalization conditions (1.3).

Then, we can easily verify the following relation

$$\begin{aligned}
 (4.33) \quad & dz^* T_D^{*(m)}(t_0; z) T_D^{-1}(\bar{t}_0, t_0) T_D^{(m)}(t_0; z) dz \\
 & = d\zeta^* T_A^{*(m)}(0; \zeta) T_A^{-1}(\bar{0}, 0) T_A^{(m)}(0; \zeta) d\zeta,
 \end{aligned}$$

under any pseudo-conformal mapping $\zeta = \zeta(z)$ which satisfies (4.32). Therefore, we have

$$(4.34) \quad T_D^{-1/2}(\bar{t}_0, t_0) T_D^{(m)}(t_0; z) dz = U T_A^{-1/2}(\bar{0}, 0) T_A^{(m)}(0; \zeta) d\zeta.$$

THEOREM 4.4. *We have a following function which is invariant except only unitary transformation under any pseudo-conformal mapping $\zeta = \zeta(z)$ satisfying (4.32):*

$$(4.35) \quad {}_N\eta_D(z; t_0) = T_D^{-1/2}(\bar{t}_0, t_0) \int_{t_0}^z T_D^{(m)}(t_0; z) dz.$$

We call this function an m -normal function of the pseudo-conformal equivalence class with the conditions (4.32).

The author wishes to express here his hearty gratitude to Prof. S. Ozaki for his kind guidance during his research.

REFERENCES

1. S. Bergman, *Sur les fonctions orthogonales de plusieurs variables complexes avec les applications à la théorie des fonctions analytiques*, Mém. Sci. Math. **106** (1947), 40-57.
2. ———, *Sur la fonction-noyau d'un domaine et ses applications dans la théorie des transformations pseudo-conformes*, Mém. Sci. Math. **108** (1948), 27-42.
3. M. Maschler, *Classes of minimal and representative domains and their kernel functions*, Pacific J. Math. **9** (1959), 763-781.
4. S. Ozaki, I. Ono and T. Umezawa, *General minimum problems and representative domains*, Sci. Rep. Tōkyō Kyōiku Daigaku A. **5** (1955), 1-7.
5. T. Tsuboi, *Bergman representative domains and minimal domains*, Japan J. Math. **29** (1959), 141-148.
6. K. Hashimoto, S. Matsuura and S. Katō, *The extension of power-series for the functions of several complex variables*, Sci. Rep. Tōkyō Kyōiku D. A. **5** (1955), 118.
7. S. Katō, *Canonical domains in several complex variables*, Pacific J. Math. **21** (1967), 279.
8. K. Kikuchi, *On some representative domains* (I), (II), Math. Rep. Tōkyō Kyōiku D. **1** (1964), 1-6.

Received February 14, 1969.

KANAGAWA UNIVERSITY

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

H. SAMELSON
Stanford University
Stanford, California 94305

J. DUGUNDJI
Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, California 90007

RICHARD PIERCE
University of Washington
Seattle, Washington 98105

RICHARD ARENS
University of California
Los Angeles, California 90024

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLE

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
* * *
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
CHEVRON RESEARCH CORPORATION
TRW SYSTEMS
NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. The editorial "we" must not be used in the synopsis, and items of the bibliography should not be cited there unless absolutely necessary, in which case they must be identified by author and Journal, rather than by item number. Manuscripts, in duplicate if possible, may be sent to any one of the four editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Rev. **36**, 1539-1546. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, Richard Arens, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

50 reprints are provided free for each article; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is published monthly. Effective with Volume 16 the price per volume (3 numbers) is \$8.00; single issues, \$3.00. Special price for current issues to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: \$4.00 per volume; single issues \$1.50. Back numbers are available.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley, California, 94708.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), 7-17, Fujimi 2-chome, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan.

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 33, No. 3

May, 1970

Charles A. Akemann, <i>Approximate units and maximal abelian C^*-subalgebras</i>	543
Gail Atneosen, <i>Wild points of cellular arcs in 2-complexes in E^3 and cellular hulls</i>	551
John Logan Bryant and De Witt Sumners, <i>On embeddings of 1-dimensional compacta in a hyperplane in E^4</i>	555
H. P. Dikshit, <i>On a class of Nörlund means and Fourier series</i>	559
Nancy Dykes, <i>Generalizations of realcompact spaces</i>	571
Hector O. Fattorini, <i>Extension and behavior at infinity of solutions of certain linear operational differential equations</i>	583
Neal David Glassman, <i>Cohomology of nonassociative algebras</i>	617
Neal Hart, <i>Ulm's theorem for Abelian groups modulo bounded groups</i>	635
Don Barker Hinton, <i>Continuous spectra of second-order differential operators</i>	641
Donald Gordon James, <i>On Witt's theorem for unimodular quadratic forms. II</i>	645
Melvin F. Janowitz, <i>Principal multiplicative lattices</i>	653
James Edgar Keesling, <i>On the equivalence of normality and compactness in hyperspaces</i>	657
Adalbert Kerber, <i>Zu einer Arbeit von J. L. Berggren über ambivalente Gruppen</i>	669
Keizō Kikuchi, <i>Various m-representative domains in several complex variables</i>	677
Jack W. Macki and James Stephen Muldowney, <i>The asymptotic behaviour of solutions to linear systems of ordinary differential equations</i>	693
Andy R. Magid, <i>Locally Galois algebras</i>	707
T. S. Ravisankar, <i>On differentiably simple algebras</i>	725
Joseph Gail Stampfli, <i>The norm of a derivation</i>	737
Francis C.Y. Tang, <i>On uniqueness of central decompositions of groups</i>	749
Robert Charles Thompson, <i>Some matrix factorization theorems. I</i>	763
Robert Charles Thompson, <i>Some matrix factorization theorems. II</i>	811