

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

ON CERTAIN TOEPLITZ OPERATORS IN TWO VARIABLES

STANLEY JOEL OSHER

ON CERTAIN TOEPLITZ OPERATORS IN TWO VARIABLES

STANLEY J. OSHER

The problem of inverting and/or factoring Weiner-Hopf operators in two variables is one of the basic unsolved problems in classical analysis. In this paper we shall consider operators which are a perturbation of a product of operators in one variable, the perturbation differing from such simple operators by an operator in one variable. The principal tools used are the spectral mapping theorem combined with the known results on operators in one variable.

2. Preliminaries. Consider the space l_2 of sequences of complex numbers

$$\xi = \{\xi_{ij}\}_{i,j}^\infty c_{ij} = 0$$

with

$$(2.1) \quad \|\xi\| = \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |\xi_{kj}|^2 \right)^{1/2} < \infty .$$

Let

$$(2.2) \quad a = \{a_j\}_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \quad b = \{b_j\}_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \quad c = \{c_j\}_{j=-\infty}^{\infty}$$

be absolutely convergent sequences of complex numbers. Define

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} g &= \{g_{ij}\}_{i,j=-\infty}^{\infty} \\ g_{ij} &= a_i b_j + c_j \delta(j) \end{aligned}$$

for

$$\delta(j) = 0 \text{ if } j \neq 0, \quad \delta(0) = 1 .$$

It is clear that

$$\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} |g_{ij}| < \infty$$

We are concerned with the operation

$$T_g: l_2 \rightarrow l_2 ,$$

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} (T_g \xi)_{ij} &= \sum_{L=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} g_{j-k, j-L} \xi_{kL} \\ [g_{ij} &= g_{i,j}] . \end{aligned}$$

Our techniques and results are exactly the same in the two variable Weiner-Hopf integral analogue.

Define

$$\begin{aligned}
 G(e^{i\theta}, e^{i\varphi}) &= \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} g_{kj} e^{-ij\varphi - ik\theta} \\
 A(e^{i\theta}) &= \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} a_k e^{-ik\theta} \\
 B(e^{i\varphi}) &= \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} b_k e^{-ik\varphi} \\
 C(e^{i\varphi}) &= \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} c_j e^{-ij\varphi} .
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{2.5}$$

So

$$G(e^{i\theta}, e^{i\varphi}) = A(e^{i\theta})B(e^{i\varphi}) + C(e^{i\varphi}) .
 \tag{2.6}$$

Let \hat{l}_2 denote the space of doubly infinite sequences in the second subscript, singly infinite in the first.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \hat{\xi} \in \hat{l}_2, \quad \hat{\xi} &= \{\hat{\xi}_{ij}\}_{j=-\infty, i=0}^{\infty} \\
 \|\hat{\xi}\|_{\sim} &= \left(\sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} |\hat{\xi}_{ij}|^2 \right)^{1/2} .
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{2.7}$$

Let us Fourier transform l_2 and \tilde{l}_2 with respect to the second subscript, i.e.,

$$\hat{\xi} = \{\hat{\xi}_k(e^{i\varphi})\}_{k=0}^{\infty} \in E_2
 \tag{2.8}$$

where

$$\hat{\xi}_k(e^{i\varphi}) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \hat{\xi}_{kj} e^{ij\varphi} .$$

We shall obtain on E_2 a transformed Toeplitz operator whose elements are themselves Toeplitz operators

Define

$$P_+^{(\varphi)} \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{\xi}_{kj} e^{ij\varphi} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \hat{\xi}_{kj} e^{ij\varphi} ,
 \tag{2.9}$$

and on the space of singly semi-infinite sequences, define the Toeplitz map

$$(A\eta)_i = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_{i-j} \eta_j .
 \tag{2.10}$$

Thus, the total operator transforms to the compound operator

$$P_+^{(\varphi)} B(e^{i\varphi}) A \hat{\xi} + P_+^{(\varphi)} C(e^{i\varphi}) \hat{\xi} = P_+^{(\varphi)} L(e^{i\varphi}) \hat{\xi} .
 \tag{2.11}$$

3. Main results.

MAIN THEOREM. (A) \Leftrightarrow (B) \Leftrightarrow (C)

(A) (1) $G(e^{i\theta}, e^{i\varphi}) \neq 0$ real θ, φ .

(2) The change in argument of $G(e^{i\theta}, e^{i\varphi})$ as θ goes from 0 to 2π is 0 for any real φ .

(3) The change in argument of $G(e^{i\theta}, e^{i\varphi})$ as φ goes from 0 to 2π is 0 for any real θ .

(B) $L(e^{i\varphi})$ can be factored

$L(e^{i\varphi}) = L_-(e^{i\varphi})L_+(e^{i\varphi})$ for $0 \leq \varphi \leq 2\pi$ where

$L_-(e^{i\varphi}), L_+(e^{i\varphi})$ commute and are continuous in φ and bounded for each φ . Moreover $L_-(e^{i\varphi})$ has an analytic operator valued extension to $|z| > 1$ which is invertible for these z , $L_+(e^{i\varphi})$ has an analytic operator valued extension to $|z| < 1$ invertible for these z . This factorization is unique if $L_-(\infty) = I$.

(C) T_g is invertible.

Proof. Assume (A). Consider

$$(3.1) \quad \mu B(e^{i\varphi}) + C(e^{i\varphi})$$

where first $\mu = A(e^{i\theta_0})$ for some real θ_0 . Conditions (1) and (3) and the results of [1] guarantee that a factorization

$$(3.2) \quad \mu B(e^{i\varphi}) + C(e^{i\varphi}) = D_-(\mu, e^{i\varphi})D_+(\mu, e^{i\varphi})$$

exists for each such μ where the factors D_- and D_+ have the same properties as functions as $L_-(e^{i\varphi})$ and $L_+(e^{i\varphi})$ have as operators. Since property 3 is a homotopic invariant, such a factorization fails to exist for some μ in the spectrum of A if and only if $\exists \mu_0$ in the spectrum of A and some real φ_0 with

$$(3.3) \quad \mu_0 B(e^{i\varphi_0}) + C(e^{i\varphi_0}) = 0.$$

If $B(e^{i\varphi_0}) \neq 0$, then $C(e^{i\varphi_0}) \neq 0$ by condition (1). Thus,

$$(3.4) \quad \mu_0 = -\frac{C(e^{i\varphi_0})}{B(e^{i\varphi_0})}.$$

But by condition (2) the change in argument as θ goes from 0 to 2π of $[a(e^{i\theta}) - \mu_0] = 0$, thus μ_0 does not belong to the spectrum of A .

Thus the factorization (3.4) exists for all μ in the spectrum of A . It is clear from the construction involved in [1] that the factors are locally analytic in μ for μ in the spectrum of A .

We normalize so that $D_-(\mu, \infty) = 1$. Then the equation (for any μ in the spectrum of A)

$$(3.5) \quad P_+^{(\varphi)}[\mu B(e^{i\varphi}) + C(e^{i\varphi})]h_\mu(e^{i\varphi}) = 1,$$

on the space of Fourier transforms of semi-infinite sequences with one subscript, has the unique solution

$$h_\mu(e^{i\varphi}) = D_+^{-1}(\mu, e^{i\varphi}).$$

Single-valuedness of the factors is now immediate. Thus each factor is analytic separately in μ on the spectrum of A . Moreover, for such μ , $D_-(\mu, e^{i\varphi})$ has an analytic extension to $|z| > 1$, invertible for $|z| \geq 1$. Thus, the operator $D_-(A, e^{i\varphi})$ has the same properties, by the spectral mapping theorem. We may make the analogous statements about $D_+(\mu, e^{i\varphi})$.

Thus, by the spectral mapping theorem we may replace μ by A in $D_-(\mu, e^{i\varphi})$, $D_+(\mu, e^{i\varphi})$ and obtain $L_-(e^{i\varphi})$, $L_+(e^{i\varphi})$ with all the appropriate properties of analyticity in z and invertibility.

Next, suppose

$$(3.6) \quad M_-(e^{i\varphi})M_+(e^{i\varphi}) = L_-(e^{i\varphi})L_+(e^{i\varphi}),$$

M_- , M_+ having the same properties, then

$$(3.7) \quad L_-^{-1}(e^{i\varphi})M_-(e^{i\varphi}) = L_+(e^{i\varphi})M_+^{-1}(e^{i\varphi})$$

and hence they are both analytic in the whole plane and equal to the identity at ∞ , or

$$(3.8) \quad L_-(e^{i\varphi}) = M_-(e^{i\varphi}), \quad L_+(e^{i\varphi}) = M_+(e^{i\varphi})$$

or the factorization is unique.

Thus $A = B$.

Now, let us assume B . We wish to solve:

$$(3.9) \quad P_+^{(\varphi)}L(e^{i\varphi})\hat{\xi} = \hat{\eta}.$$

Consider the operator $P_+^{(\varphi)}L_-^{-1}(e^{i\varphi})$.

By the isometry of the Fourier transform

$$(3.10) \quad \|P_+^{(\varphi)}L_-^{-1}(e^{i\varphi})\| \leq \sup_{0 \leq \varphi \leq 2\pi} \|L_-^{-1}(e^{i\varphi})\|$$

where $\| \cdot \|$ denotes operator norm on the space of semi infinite sequences with one subscript. Similarly

$$(3.11) \quad \|L_+^{-1}(e^{i\varphi})\| \leq \sup_{0 \leq \varphi \leq 2\pi} \|L_+^{-1}(e^{i\varphi})\|.$$

Let

$$\hat{\xi} = L_+^{-1}(e^{i\varphi})P_+^{(\varphi)}L_-^{-1}(e^{i\varphi})\hat{\eta}.$$

Then

$$P_+L(e^{i\varphi})\hat{\xi} = \hat{\eta} + P_+^{(\varphi)}L_-(e^{i\varphi})(P_+^{(\varphi)} - I)L_-^{-1}(e^{i\varphi})\hat{\eta},$$

but by the anti-analyticity of $L_-(e^{i\varphi})$ and the definition of $P_+^{(\varphi)}$ we have

$$P_+^{(\varphi)}L(e^{i\varphi})\hat{\xi} = \hat{\eta}.$$

Thus T_g has a right inverse. This right inverse is easily shown to be a left inverse using the anti-analyticity of $L_-^{-1}(e^{i\varphi})$.

Next we assume C . Suppose $G(e^{i\theta_0}, e^{i\varphi_0}) = 0$.

Then, if T_g is invertible, so is $T_{g_M} + \delta I$, for all M large enough and all $|\delta|$ small enough and:

$$(3.12) \quad G_M(e^{i\theta}, e^{i\varphi}) = \sum_{j=-M}^M a_j e^{-ij\theta} \sum_{k=-M}^M b_k e^{-ik\varphi} + \sum_{k=-M}^M b_k e^{-ik\varphi}$$

Moreover, we may choose $M_0, \varphi_1, \theta_1, \delta_0$ such that $|\delta_0| < \delta$ and $M_0 \geq M$ and

$$(3.13) \quad G_{M_0}(e^{i\theta_1}, e^{i\varphi_1}) + \delta_0 = 0.$$

Next consider the sequence of vectors ξ^N , where

$$(3.14) \quad \begin{aligned} \xi_{jk}^N &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{N+1}} e^{i(j\theta_1+k\varphi_1)} \text{ if } 0 \leq j, k \leq N \\ \xi_{jk}^N &= 0 \text{ otherwise.} \end{aligned}$$

Clearly

$$\|\xi^N\| = 1 \text{ while } \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} (T_{g_{M_0}} + \delta_0 I)\xi^N = 0.$$

Contradiction.

Now suppose the change in argument in condition 2 is $2\pi\eta_\theta \neq 0$. (This number is obviously independent of φ). Thus, for M large, $G_M(e^{i\theta}, e^{i\varphi})$ has the same η_θ for each φ_0 . If $\eta_\theta < 0$, then $L_M(e^{i\varphi_0})$ annihilates some vector

$$K = \{k_0, k_1, \dots\}, \quad \|K\| = 1.$$

But then the sequence

$$(3.15) \quad \begin{aligned} \xi_{kj}^N &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{N+1}} k_i e^{ij\varphi_0} \text{ if } 0 \leq j \leq N, \\ \xi_{ij}^N &= 0 \text{ if } N < j, \end{aligned} \text{ has the property}$$

$$(3.16) \quad \|\xi^N\| = 1, \text{ but } \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} T_{g_M} \xi^N = 0.$$

Thus T_{g_M} is not invertible, hence neither is T_g . If $\eta_\theta > 0$, we merely consider T_g^* . Finally, we assume that the change in argument is $2\pi \eta_\varphi \neq 0$ in condition (3). Then consider

$$e^{-i\eta_\varphi \varphi} G(e^{i\theta}, e^{i\varphi}) = H(e^{i\theta}, e^{i\varphi}).$$

This function obeys the conditions of (A), hence it is factorable and T_h is invertible. However, if $\eta_\varphi > 0$, then

$$(3.17) \quad S^{*\eta_\varphi} = S_\varphi^{*\eta_\varphi} T_g (T_g)^{-1} = T_h (T_g)^{-1}$$

where S_φ is the right shift operator on the j subscript. This is impossible since the two operators on the right are invertible. If $\eta_\varphi < 0$, we merely consider the adjoint.

Thus (C) \Rightarrow (A), and we are finished.

4. Example. Let $B(e^{i\varphi})$ and $C(e^{i\varphi})$ have finite expansions

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{aligned} B &= \sum_{j=-N}^M b_j e^{-ij\varphi} \\ C &= \sum_{j=-N}^M c_j e^{-ij\varphi} \end{aligned}$$

and suppose

$$(4.2) \quad \mu b_{-N} + c_{-N} \neq 0 \quad \text{for } \mu \text{ in the spectrum of } A.$$

Assume conditions 1, 2, 3. Then we may factor

$$(4.3) \quad \mu B(z) + C(z) = (\mu b_{-N} + c_{-N}) \prod_{i=1}^N (z - x_i(\mu)) \prod_{j=1}^M \left(1 - \frac{y_j^{(\mu)}}{z}\right)$$

for all μ in the spectrum of A and each $|x_i(\mu)| > 1$, $|y_j(\mu)| < 1$. See [3]. Then it follows that

$$(4.4) \quad L(z) = L_-(z)L_+(z)$$

with

$$(4.5) \quad L_-(z) = \prod_{j=1}^M \left(1 - \frac{y_j^M(A)}{z}\right)$$

$$(4.6) \quad L_+(z) = (Ab_{-N} + c_{-N}) \prod_{i=1}^N (z - x_i(A)).$$

We expect this factorization to play an important role in the study of difference equations arising from hyperbolic systems in regions in space having corners.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. A. Calderon, F. Spitzer, and H. Widom, *Inversion of Toeplitz matrices*, Illinois J. Math. **3** (1959), 490-498.
2. M. G. Krein, *Integral equations on the half-line with a difference kernel*, Uspehi Mat. Nauk **13** (1958), 3-120.
3. S. J. Osher, *Systems of difference equations with general homogeneous boundary conditions*, Amer. Math. Soc. Trans. **137** (1969), 177-201.

Received June 9, 1969.

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

H. SAMELSON
Stanford University
Stanford, California 94305

J. DUGUNDI
Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, California 90007

RICHARD PIERCE
University of Washington
Seattle, Washington 98105

RICHARD ARENS
University of California
Los Angeles, California 90024

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
* * *
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
CHEVRON RESEARCH CORPORATION
TRW SYSTEMS
NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

Johan Aarnes, Edward George Effros and Ole A. Nielsen, <i>Locally compact spaces and two classes of C^*-algebras</i>	1
Allan C. Cochran, R. Keown and C. R. Williams, <i>On a class of topological algebras</i>	17
John Dauns, <i>Integral domains that are not embeddable in division rings</i>	27
Robert Jay Daverman, <i>On the number of nonpiercing points in certain crumpled cubes</i>	33
Bryce L. Elkins, <i>Characterization of separable ideals</i>	45
Zbigniew Fiedorowicz, <i>A comparison of two naturally arising uniformities on a class of pseudo-PM spaces</i>	51
Henry Charles Finlayson, <i>Approximation of Wiener integrals of functionals continuous in the uniform topology</i>	61
Theodore William Gamelin, <i>Localization of the corona problem</i>	73
Alfred Gray and Paul Stephen Green, <i>Sphere transitive structures and the triality automorphism</i>	83
Charles Lemuel Hagopian, <i>On generalized forms of aposynthesis</i>	97
J. Jakubík, <i>On subgroups of a pseudo lattice ordered group</i>	109
Cornelius W. Onneweer, <i>On uniform convergence for Walsh-Fourier series</i>	117
Stanley Joel Osher, <i>On certain Toeplitz operators in two variables</i>	123
Washek (Vaclav) Frantisek Pfeffer and John Benson Wilbur, <i>On the measurability of Perron integrable functions</i>	131
Frank J. Polansky, <i>On the conformal mapping of variable regions</i>	145
Kouei Sekigawa and Shûkichi Tanno, <i>Sufficient conditions for a Riemannian manifold to be locally symmetric</i>	157
James Wilson Stepp, <i>Locally compact Clifford semigroups</i>	163
Ernest Lester Stitzinger, <i>Frattini subalgebras of a class of solvable Lie algebras</i>	177
George Szeto, <i>The group character and split group algebras</i>	183
Mark Lawrence Teply, <i>Homological dimension and splitting torsion theories</i>	193
David Bertram Wales, <i>Finite linear groups of degree seven. II</i>	207
Robert Breckenridge Warfield, Jr., <i>An isomorphic refinement theorem for Abelian groups</i>	237
James Edward West, <i>The ambient homeomorphy of an incomplete subspace of infinite-dimensional Hilbert spaces</i>	257
Peter Wilker, <i>Adjoint product and hom functors in general topology</i>	269
Daniel Eliot Wulbert, <i>A note on the characterization of conditional expectation operators</i>	285