

# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

## **ON THE MEASURABILITY OF PERRON INTEGRABLE FUNCTIONS**

WASHEK (VACLAV) FRANTISEK PFEFFER AND JOHN BENSON WILBUR

## ON THE MEASURABILITY OF PERRON INTEGRABLE FUNCTIONS

W. F. PFEFFER AND W. J. WILBUR

By means of majorants and minorants a Perron-like integral can be defined in an arbitrary topological space. Although for its definition only a finitely additive set function is used, it turns out that if the underlying topological space is Hausdorff and locally compact, then the integral itself gives rise to a regular measure. The natural question, whether every integrable function is measurable with respect to this measure, is the subject of our paper.

In § 2 some sufficient conditions for measurability of integrable functions are given and the connection of our measure with the original set function is described. The results of this section are then applied to integration with respect to the natural and monotone convergences. The natural convergence, which can be used in any topological space is discussed in § 3. In § 4 some elementary properties of the monotone convergence are derived. This convergence can be used in any locally pseudo-metrizable space and it seems to be the most important convergence for the definition of an integral over a differentiable manifold. A proof that for the monotone convergence every integrable function is measurable is given in § 5. Finally, § 6 contains a few illustrative examples.

Throughout,  $P$  is a topological space which is always assumed to be Hausdorff and locally compact. The reader can, however, easily detect those parts of the paper which remain correct in an arbitrary topological space  $P$ . By  $P^\sim = P \cup (\infty)$  we denote a one point compactification of  $P$ . If  $A \subset P$ ,  $A^-$  and  $A^\sim$  stand for closure of  $A$  in  $P$  and  $P^\sim$ , respectively. The interior of a set  $A \subset P$  is denoted by  $A^\circ$ . For  $x \in P^\sim$ ,  $\Gamma_x$  is a local base at  $x$  in  $P^\sim$  (see [3], p. 50). We shall always assume that  $U \subset P$  and  $U^-$  is compact for all  $U \in \Gamma_x$  with  $x \in P$ . If  $\sigma$  is a pre-algebra of subsets of  $P$  (see [5], 1.1) such that  $\{U \cap P : U \in \Gamma_x\} \subset \sigma$  for every  $x \in P^\sim$ , we call the pair  $\mathfrak{N} = \langle \sigma, \Gamma_x \rangle$  a *net structure* in  $P$ .

If  $\delta \subset \sigma$  and  $A \subset P^\sim$  we let  $\delta_A = \{B \in \delta : B \subset A\}$ . A system  $\delta \subset \sigma$  is said to be *semihereditary* if and only if  $\sigma_0 \cap \delta \neq \emptyset$  for every finite disjoint collection  $\sigma_0 \subset \sigma$  whose union belongs to  $\delta$ . A system  $\delta \subset \sigma$  is said to be *stable* if and only if  $\emptyset \notin \delta$  and for every  $A \in \delta$  and every  $x \in P^\sim$  there is a  $U \in \Gamma_x$  such that  $\delta_{A-U} \neq \emptyset$ .

A convergence<sup>1)</sup> in a net structure  $\langle \sigma, \Gamma_x \rangle$  is a function  $\kappa$  which

<sup>1)</sup> What we call a convergence is sometimes called a *derivation basis* (see [1], 1.1).

to every  $x \in P^\sim$  associates a family  $\kappa_x$  of nets  $\{B_U, U \in \Gamma, \subset\} \subset \sigma$  where  $\Gamma$  is a cofinal subset of  $\Gamma_x$ . For  $\delta \subset \sigma$  and  $x \in P^\sim$ ,  $\kappa_x(\delta) = \{\{B_U\} \in \kappa_x : \{B_U\} \subset \delta\}$  and  $\delta^* = \{x \in P^\sim : \kappa_x(\delta) \neq \emptyset\}$ .

A convergence  $\kappa$  is called *admissible* if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:

- $\mathcal{N}_1$ . For every  $x \in P^\sim$ ,  $\{U \cap P, U \in \Gamma_x, \subset\} \in \kappa_x$ .
- $\mathcal{N}_2$ . If  $x \in P^\sim$  and  $\{B_U, U \in \Gamma, \subset\} \in \kappa_x$  then for every  $V \in \Gamma_x$  there is a  $U_V \in \Gamma$  such that  $B_U \subset V$  for all  $U \in \Gamma$  for which  $U \subset U_V$ .
- $\mathcal{N}_3$ . If  $x \in P^\sim$ ,  $\{B_U\}_{U \in \Gamma} \in \kappa_x$ , and  $\Gamma'$  is a cofinal subset of  $\Gamma$ , then also  $\{B_U\}_{U \in \Gamma'} \in \kappa_x$ .
- $\mathcal{N}_4$ . If  $x \in P^\sim$ ,  $\{B_U\} \in \kappa_x$ , and  $A \in \sigma$ , then also  $\{B_U \cap A\} \in \kappa_x$ .
- $\mathcal{N}_5$ . If  $\delta \subset \sigma$  is a nonempty semihereditary system, then  $\delta^*$  is nonempty.
- $\mathcal{N}_6$ . If  $\delta \subset \sigma$  is a nonempty semihereditary stable system, then  $\delta^*$  is uncountable.

A triple  $\mathfrak{S} = \langle \mathfrak{N}, \kappa, G \rangle$  is called an *integration base* in  $P$  if and only if  $\mathfrak{N} = \langle \sigma, \Gamma_x \rangle$  is a net structure in  $P$ ,  $\kappa$  is an admissible convergence in  $\mathfrak{N}$ , and  $G$  is a nonnegative finitely additive function<sup>2)</sup> on  $\sigma$  such that  $G(A) < +\infty$  for every  $A \in \sigma$  with  $A^-$  compact.

It was shown in [6] that integration bases exist in  $P$  and that for each of them we can define a nonabsolutely convergent integral  $I$  which is closely related to the Lebesgue integral. For the reader's convenience we shall summarize the basic definitions.

Let  $x \in P^\sim$ ,  $A \subset P$ , and let  $F$  be a function on  $\sigma_A$ . We call the number  ${}_x F(x, A) = \inf \{\liminf F(B_\alpha) : \{B_\alpha\} \in \kappa_x(\sigma_A)\}$  the *lower limit* of  $F$  at  $x$  relative to  $A$  and the number  ${}_x F(x, A) = {}_x(F/G)(x, A)$ <sup>3)</sup> the *lower derivate* of  $F$  at  $x$  relative to  $A$  and it is denoted by  $I(f, A)$ .

Let  $A \in \sigma$  and let  $f$  be a function on  $A^-$ . A superadditive function  $M$  on  $\sigma_A$  is said to be a *majorant* of  $f$  on  $A$  if and only if there is a countable set  $Z_M \subset A^-$  such that  ${}_x(-G)(x, A) \geq 0$  for all  $x \in Z_M$ ,  ${}_x M(x, A) \geq 0$  for all  $x \in Z_M \cup (\infty)$ , and  $-\infty \neq {}_x M(x, A) \geq f(x)$  for all  $x \in A^- - Z_M$ . The number  $I_u(f, A) = \inf M(A)$  where the infimum is taken over all majorants of  $f$  on  $A$  is called the *upper integral* of  $f$  over  $A$ . If  $I_u(f, A) = -I_u(-f, A) \neq \pm\infty$  this common value is called the *integral* of  $f$  over  $A$ .

If  $A \in \sigma$  and  $f$  is a function on  $A^-$ , we denote by  $\mathfrak{M}(f, A)$  the family of all majorants of  $f$  on  $A$ . The family of all functions integrable over  $A \in \sigma$  is denoted by  $\mathfrak{P}(A)$ .

For  $A \subset P$ ,  $\chi_A$  denotes the characteristic function of  $A$  in  $P$ . By  $\mathfrak{C}$  and  $\mathfrak{U}$  we denote the families of all compact and open subsets of  $P$ , respectively. Using the integral  $I$ , we shall define measure spaces

<sup>2)</sup> Unless specified otherwise, by a function we always mean an extended real-valued function.

<sup>3)</sup> We let  $a/0 = +\infty$  for  $a \geq 0$ ,  $a/0 = -\infty$  for  $a < 0$ , and  $a/(\pm\infty) = 0$ .

$(P, \mathfrak{I}, \tau)$  and  $(P, \mathfrak{I}_0, \tau_0)$  as follows :

(i)  $\mathfrak{I}$  is the family of all sets  $A \subset P$  such that  $\chi_{A \cap C} \in \mathfrak{P}(P)$  for every  $C \in \mathfrak{C}$ ; and for  $A \in \mathfrak{I}$ ,  $\tau(A) = I_u(\chi_A, P)$ .

(ii) For  $A \subset P$ ,

$$\tau_0(A) = \inf \{ \tau(U) : U \in \mathfrak{U} \text{ and } A \subset U \}$$

and  $\mathfrak{I}_0$  is the family of all  $\tau_0$ -measurable subsets of  $P$ .

These measure spaces will play an essential part in our paper. Some of their important properties can be found in [7], §'s 3 and 4; e.g., there is a proof that they actually are measure spaces. We just recall here that  $\mathfrak{U} \subset \mathfrak{I}_0 \subset \mathfrak{I}$  and that the measure  $\tau_0$  is regular.

**2. Measurability in general.** In this section we shall prove a few general theorems concerning the measurability of integrable functions. Throughout we shall assume that there is given an integration base  $\mathfrak{S} = \langle \sigma, \Gamma_x, \kappa, G \rangle$  in  $P$ .

**PROPOSITION 2.1.** *If the lower derivate of every superadditive function on  $\sigma$  is  $\mathfrak{I}_0$ -measurable, then  $\mathfrak{I} = \mathfrak{I}_0$  and every function from  $\mathfrak{P}(P)$  is  $\mathfrak{I}_0$ -measurable.*

*Proof.* Let  $A \in \mathfrak{I}$  with  $A^- \in \mathfrak{C}$ . Then by [7], 2.7 there are narrow majorants  $M_n \in \mathfrak{M}^{\wedge}(\chi_A, P)$  (see [7], 2.5) for which  $M_n(P) - I(\chi_A, P) < 1/n$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . If  $B_n = \{x \in P : {}_s M_n(x, P) \geq 1\}$  then by our assumption  $B_n \in \mathfrak{I}_0$ . Letting  $B = A^- \cap (\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n)$ , we have  $B \in \mathfrak{I}_0$ ,  $B^- \in \mathfrak{C}$ , and  $A \subset B$ . Because

$$\tau(B_n) - \tau(A) \leq M_n(P) - I(\chi_A, P) < 1/n$$

for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , it follows that  $\tau(B - A) = 0$ . Now replacing  $A$  by  $B - A$  and repeating the previous construction, we obtain a set  $C \in \mathfrak{I}_0$  for which  $C^- \in \mathfrak{C}$ ,  $B - A \subset C$ , and

$$\tau(C) = \tau(B - A) + \tau(C - [B - A]) = 0.$$

By [7], 4.7 also  $\tau_0(C) = 0$  and since  $\tau_0$  is a complete measure,  $A = B - (B - A)$  belongs to  $\mathfrak{I}_0$ .

If  $A \in \mathfrak{I}$  is arbitrary, then  $(A \cap C)^- \in \mathfrak{C}$  for every  $C \in \mathfrak{C}$ . Thus  $A \cap C \in \mathfrak{I}_0$  for every  $C \in \mathfrak{C}$  and it follows from [7], 4.7 that  $A \in \mathfrak{I}_0$ .

The last part of the proposition is now a direct consequence of [7], 4.3.

The previous proposition and Proposition 4.3 in [7] indicate the importance of the following :

**PROPOSITION 2.2.** *Let  $M$  be a function on  $\sigma_A$  where  $A \in \sigma$ , and*

let  $c$  be a real number. If  $\sigma_A(M, c) = \{B \in \sigma_A : M(B)/G(B) < c\}$ , then  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_A^*(M, c + 1/n) = \{x \in A^- : {}_*M(x, A) \leq c\}$ .

*Proof.* If  ${}_*_M(x) \leq c$  and  $n$  is a positive integer, then there is a net  $\{B_U\}_{U \in \Gamma} \in \kappa_x(\sigma_A)$  such that

$$\liminf [M(B_U)/G(B_U)] < c + 1/n.$$

Hence there is a cofinal subset  $\Gamma'$  of  $\Gamma$  such that  $\{B_U\}_{U \in \Gamma'} \subset \sigma_A(M, c + 1/n)$ . It follows from  $\mathcal{K}_3$  that  $x \in \sigma_A^*(M, c + 1/n)$ . On the other hand if  $x \in \sigma_A^*(M, c + 1/n)$  then it follows that  ${}_*_M(x) \leq c + 1/n$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ .

**DEFINITION 2.3.** An integration base  $\mathfrak{J}$  in  $P$  is said to be *measurable* if and only if every function from  $\mathfrak{P}(P)$  is  $\mathfrak{J}_0$ -measurable. It is said to be *strongly measurable* if and only if  $\delta^* - (\infty)$  belongs to  $\mathfrak{J}_0$  for every  $\delta \subset \sigma$ .

It follows at once from 2.1 and 2.2 that every strongly measurable integration base is measurable. On the other hand, Example 6.1 shows that a measurable integration base need not be strongly measurable. From [7], 4.7 we see that if  $\mathfrak{J}$  is measurable, then  $\mathfrak{J} = \mathfrak{J}_0$ .

**REMARK 2.4.** Let  $c$  be a real number and let  $A \in \sigma$ . It is easy to see that  $\sigma_A(M, c)$  is semihereditary whenever  $M$  is a superadditive function on  $\sigma_A$ . Furthermore, it can be shown that if  ${}_*(-G)(x, A) \geq 0$  for every  $x \in A^-$ , then  $\sigma_A(M, c)$  is semihereditary and stable whenever  $M$  is a majorant for some function on  $A^-$  (see [6], (4.4)). However, Example 6.1 indicates that there is no link between the semihereditariness or stability of  $\delta \subset \sigma$  and the  $\mathfrak{J}_0$ -measurability of  $\delta^* - (\infty)$ .

**THEOREM 2.5.** If  $C \in \mathfrak{C}$ , then

$$\tau_0(C) = \inf \sum_{i=1}^n G(A_i)$$

where the infimum is taken over all finite families  $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^n \subset \sigma$  for which  $C \subset (\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i)^0$ .

*Proof.* Let  $C \in \mathfrak{C}$  and let  $A_1, \dots, A_n$  be sets from  $\sigma$  for which  $C \subset (\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i)^0$ . If we set  $M(B) = \sum_{i=1}^n G(B \cap A_i)$  for  $B \in \sigma$ , then  $M \in \mathfrak{M}(\chi_C, P)$  and so

$$\tau_0(C) = \tau(C) \leq M(P) = \sum_{i=1}^n G(A_i).$$

On the other hand, given  $C \in \mathfrak{C}$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is a  $U \in \mathfrak{U}$  such that

$C \subset U$ ,  $U \in \mathfrak{C}$ , and  $\tau_0(U) < \tau_0(C) + \varepsilon$ . Using [5], (1.1), we can find disjoint sets  $B_1, \dots, B_m$  from  $\sigma$  for which

$$C \subset \left( \bigcup_{i=1}^m B_i \right)^0 \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^m B_i^\sim \subset U.$$

If we set  $N(B) = -\sum_{i=1}^m G(B \cap B_i)$  for  $B \in \sigma$ , then  $N \in \mathfrak{M}(-\chi_U, P)$  and thus

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_0(C) + \varepsilon &> \tau_0(U) = \tau(U) = -I(-\chi_U, P) \\ &\geq -N(P) = \sum_{i=1}^m G(B_i). \end{aligned}$$

Using the regularity of  $\tau_0$  (see [7], 4.7), we obtain the following :

**COROLLARY 2.6.** *Let  $\langle \sigma, \Gamma_x, \kappa, G \rangle$  and  $\langle \sigma', \Gamma'_x, \kappa', G' \rangle$  be two integration bases in  $P$ . If  $\sigma \cap \sigma'$  is a pre-algebra which contains a topological base of  $P$  and if  $G = G'$  on  $\sigma \cap \sigma'$ , then  $(\mathfrak{X}_0, \tau_0) = (\mathfrak{X}'_0, \tau'_0)$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $\tau'_0(C) > \tau_0(C)$  for some  $C \in \mathfrak{C}$ . Then by [5], (1.1) there is a disjoint finite family  $\{A_i\} \subset \sigma$  such that  $C \subset (\bigcup A_i)^0$  and  $\sum G(A_i) < \tau'(C)$ . Since  $C$  is compact, using again [5], (1.1), we can find a disjoint finite family  $\{B_j\} \subset \sigma \cap \sigma'$  such that  $C \subset \bigcup B_j^0 \subset \bigcup B_j \subset (\bigcup A_i)^0$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \tau'_0(C) &\leq \sum_j G'(B_j) = \sum_j G(B_j) \\ &= \sum_{i,j} G(A_i \cap B_j) \leq \sum_i G(A_i) \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. By symmetry  $\tau_0(C) = \tau'_0(C)$  for every  $C \in \mathfrak{C}$ . Now the corollary follows from [7], 4.7.

The previous corollary is the main reason why we are discussing  $\mathfrak{X}_0$ -measurability rather than  $\mathfrak{X}$ -measurability.

Let  $\mathfrak{J} = \langle \sigma, \Gamma_x, \kappa, G \rangle$  and  $\mathfrak{J}' = \langle \sigma', \Gamma'_x, \kappa', G' \rangle$  be two integration bases in  $P$ . If  $\sigma' \subset \sigma$ ,  $G'$  is the restriction of  $G$  to  $\sigma'$ , and for every  $x \in P^\sim$ ,  $\Gamma'_x \subset \Gamma_x$  and  $\kappa'_x \subset \kappa_x$ , we say that  $\mathfrak{J}$  is *larger* than  $\mathfrak{J}'$  and write  $\mathfrak{J}' < \mathfrak{J}$ . Obviously, the relation  $<$  is a partial ordering in the family of all integration bases in  $P$ . Imitating the proof of Theorem 31 in [4], one can easily see that if  $\mathfrak{J}' < \mathfrak{J}$  then  $\mathfrak{P}(A) \subset \mathfrak{P}'(A)$  for every  $A \in \sigma'$ ; here  $\mathfrak{P}(A)$  and  $\mathfrak{P}'(A)$  are the families associated with  $\mathfrak{J}$  and  $\mathfrak{J}'$ , respectively. From this and Corollary 2.6 it follows that if  $\mathfrak{J}$  is a measurable base in  $P$  so is  $\mathfrak{J}'$  for every  $\mathfrak{J}' > \mathfrak{J}$ .

The next definition and proposition will be used in § 5.

**DEFINITION 2.7.** An integration base  $\mathfrak{J}$  in  $P$  is said to be *locally*

*strongly measurable* if and only if for every  $x \in P$  there is an integration base  $\mathfrak{F}'$  in  $P$  (generally depending on  $x$ ) which satisfies the following conditions:

- (i)  $(\mathfrak{X}_0, \tau_0) = (\mathfrak{X}'_0, \tau'_0)$ .
- (ii) There is a neighborhood  $U \in \sigma \cap \sigma'$  of  $x$  such that  $\mathfrak{P}(U) \subset \mathfrak{P}'(U)$  and  $\delta^{*'} - (\infty) \in \mathfrak{X}'_0$  whenever  $\delta \subset \sigma'_U$ .

PROPOSITION 2.8. *If  $\mathfrak{F}$  is locally strongly measurable then  $\mathfrak{F}$  is measurable.*

*Proof.* Let  $f \in \mathfrak{P}(P)$ . We shall show that every point  $x \in P$  has a neighborhood  $V$  such that  $f$  restricted to  $V^-$  is  $\mathfrak{X}_0$ -measurable. It will follow that  $f$  restricted to any compact subset of  $P$  is  $\mathfrak{X}_0$ -measurable and hence by [7], 4.7 also  $f$  itself is  $\mathfrak{X}_0$ -measurable.

Choose  $x \in P$  and let  $\mathfrak{F}'$  and  $U$  have the same meaning as in Definition 2.7. Then by [6], 6.8,  $f \in \mathfrak{P}'(U)$  and we can choose majorants  $M_n \in \mathfrak{M}'(f, U)$  such that  $M_n(U) - I'(f, U) < 1/n$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . By the definition of majorant (see [6], 3.2) with each  $M_n$  there is associated a certain countable set  $Z_{M_n} \subset U^-$ . For  $x \in P$  let  $h_n(x) = {}_*M_n(x, U)$  if  $x \in U^- - Z_{M_n}$  and  $h_n(x) = +\infty$  otherwise; here, of course,  ${}_{}M_n(x, U)$  denotes the lower derivate computed in  $\mathfrak{F}'$ . By 2.2, the  $h_n$  are  $\mathfrak{X}_0$ -measurable, and so is  $h = \inf h_n$ . Set  $r(x) = h(x) - f(x)$  if this difference has meaning and  $r(x) = 0$  otherwise. Since  $h \geq f$ ,  $r \geq 0$  and

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq I'_u(r, U) \leq \inf I'_u(h_n, U) - I'(f, U) \\ &\leq \inf [M_n(U) - I'(f, U)] = 0 \end{aligned}$$

(see [6], 6.4). Now choose  $V \in I'_x$  such that  $V^- \subset U^0$  and let  $r_1 = r\chi_{V^-}$  (we define  $(\pm\infty) \cdot 0 = 0$ ). Since  $0 \leq r_1 \leq r$ ,  $I'_u(r_1, U) = 0$  and  $r_1 \in \mathfrak{P}'(U)$ . Exactly as before we can define a  $\mathfrak{X}_0$ -measurable function  $g \geq r_1$  such that if we set  $s(x) = g(x) - r_1(x)$  whenever this difference has meaning and  $s(x) = 0$  otherwise, then  $I'(s, U) = 0$ . Letting  $g_1 = g\chi_{V^-}$  and  $s_1 = s\chi_{V^-}$ , we obtain  $I'(g_1, P) = I'(g_1, U) = I'(s_1, U) + I'(r_1, U) = 0$ ; for  $g_1 = 0$  on  $(P - U)^-$  and  $0 \leq s_1 \leq s$ . Since  $g_1$  is nonnegative,  $\mathfrak{X}_0$ -measurable, and has a compact support it follows from [7] 4.2 and 4.7 that  $\int_P g_1 d\tau_0 = 0$ . Because  $\tau_0$  is a complete measure and  $g_1 \geq r_1 \geq 0$ , also  $r_1$  is  $\mathfrak{X}_0$ -measurable. Therefore  $f$  restricted to  $V^-$ , which is equal to  $h + r_1$  restricted to  $V^-$ , is  $\mathfrak{X}_0$ -measurable too.

Let  $\mathfrak{F}$  be measurable or strongly measurable and let  $A \in \sigma$  be different from  $P$ . Then, in general, we do not know whether the functions from  $\mathfrak{P}(A)$  are  $\mathfrak{X}_0$ -measurable over  $A^-$ . This fact, e.g., caused the main difficulty in proving Proposition 2.8. The following

proposition is a contribution to this problem.

**PROPOSITION 2.9.** *Let  $\mathfrak{F}$  be measurable, let  $\sigma \subset \mathfrak{F}_0$ , and let  $G(A) = \tau_0(A)$  for every  $A \in \sigma$  for which  $A^-$  is compact. If  $A \in \sigma$  is such that  $A \cap (P - A)^-$  is  $\tau_0$ - $\sigma$ -finite, then every function from  $\mathfrak{P}(A)$  is  $\mathfrak{F}_0$ -measurable.*

*Proof.* If  $f \in \mathfrak{P}(A)$  let  $f^\wedge(x) = f(x)$  for  $x \in A^-$  and  $f^\wedge(x) = 0$  for  $x \in P - A^-$ . According to [7], 4.14,  $f^\wedge \in \mathfrak{P}(P)$  and the proposition follows.

**3. Some remarks on the natural convergence.** Let  $\mathfrak{N} = \langle \sigma, \Gamma_x \rangle$  be a net structure in  $P$  and let  $\kappa$  be a convergence in  $\mathfrak{N}$ . If for every  $x \in P^\sim$ ,  $\kappa_x$  consists of all nets  $\{B_v\}$  which satisfy the condition  $\mathcal{N}_2$ , then  $\kappa$  is called the *natural convergence* and it is denoted by  $\kappa^0$ . According to [6], 4.3 the natural convergence  $\kappa^0$  is admissible.

Hence assume that there is given an integration base  $\mathfrak{F} = \langle \sigma, \Gamma_x, \kappa^0, G \rangle$  where  $\kappa^0$  is the natural convergence. It is easy to see that for  $\delta \subset \sigma$ ,  $\delta^*$  is closed in  $P^\sim$  (see [5], 2.1) and so  $\mathfrak{F}$  is strongly measurable. In fact we have more precise information.

**LEMMA 3.1.** *Let  $A \in \sigma$  and let  $M$  be a function on  $\sigma_A$ . If  ${}_*\!M(x, A) > -\infty$  for all  $x \in P$ , then the function  ${}_*\!M(\cdot, A)$  is lower semicontinuous.<sup>4)</sup>*

*Proof.* If  $c$  is a real number, then

$$\begin{aligned} \{x \in P : {}_*\!M(x, A) > c\} &= (P - A^-) \cup \{x \in A^- : \\ {}_*\!M(x, A) > c\} &= P - \{x \in A^- : {}_*\!M(x, A) \leq c\}. \end{aligned}$$

By 2.2,  $\{x \in A^- : {}_*\!M(x, A) \leq c\}$  is closed in  $P^\sim$  and the lemma follows.

**THEOREM 3.2.** *The measure  $\tau$  is regular.*

*Proof.* Since we already know that  $\tau$  is inner regular on  $\mathfrak{U}$  and finite on  $\mathfrak{C}$  (see [7], 3.13), it remains to show that  $\tau$  is outer regular on  $\mathfrak{F}$ . Hence choose  $A \in \mathfrak{F}$  and  $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ . Since everything is trivial if  $\tau(A) = +\infty$ , we may assume that  $\tau(A) < +\infty$ . By [7], 3.10,  $\chi_A \in \mathfrak{P}_0(P)$  and so there is a narrow majorant  $M \in \mathfrak{M}(\chi_A, P)$  such that  $M(P) - \tau(A) < \varepsilon$  (see [7], 2.5, 2.7). By Lemma 3.1,  ${}_*\!M(\cdot, P)$  is lower semicontinuous and hence the set  $U = \{x \in P : {}_*\!M(x, P) > 1 - \varepsilon\}$  is open. Clearly  $A \subset U$  and  $M/(1 - \varepsilon)$  is a majorant of  $\chi_U$ . Therefore

<sup>4)</sup> See [3], Chapter 3, Problem F, p. 101.



$$\tau(A) \leq \tau(U) \leq M(P)/(1 - \varepsilon) < [\tau(A) + \varepsilon]/(1 - \varepsilon)$$

and the outer regularity of  $\tau$  at  $A$  follows from the arbitrariness of  $\varepsilon$ .

COROLLARY 3.3.  $(\mathfrak{X}, \tau) = (\mathfrak{X}_0, \tau_0)$ .

*Proof.* By a rather standard procedure it follows from [7], 4.7 that the measure  $\tau_0$  has no proper regular extension. Hence  $\mathfrak{X} = \mathfrak{X}_0$  and because both  $\tau$  and  $\tau_0$  are regular, also  $\tau = \tau_0$ .

4. The monotone convergence. Let  $\mathfrak{N} = \langle \sigma, \Gamma_x \rangle$  be a given net structure in  $P$  and let  $\kappa$  be a convergence in  $\mathfrak{N}$ . If for every  $x \in P^\sim$ ,  $\kappa_x$  consists of all nets  $\{B_U, U \in \Gamma, \subset\} \in \kappa_x^0$  such that  $B_U \subset B_V$  whenever  $U \subset V$ , then  $\kappa$  is called the *monotone convergence* and it is denoted by  $\kappa^1$ . The following proposition indicates the essential difference between  $\kappa^0$  and  $\kappa^1$ .

PROPOSITION 4.1. *If  $x \in P^\sim$  and  $\{B_U, U \in \Gamma, \subset\} \in \kappa_x^1$ , then either  $x \in \bigcap_{U \in \Gamma} B_U^\sim$  or there is a  $V \in \Gamma$  such that  $B_U = \emptyset$  for all  $U \in \Gamma$  for which  $U \subset V$ .*

*Proof.* If  $x \notin \bigcap_{U \in \Gamma} B_U^\sim$  then  $x \notin B_{U_0}^\sim$  for some  $U_0 \in \Gamma$  and hence there is a  $U_1 \in \Gamma_x$  such that  $U_1 \cap B_{U_0} = \emptyset$ . To  $U_1$  we can assign a  $U_2 \in \Gamma$  such that  $U \in \Gamma$  and  $U \subset U_2$  implies  $B_U \subset B_{U_1}$ . On the other hand,  $U \in \Gamma$  and  $U \subset U_0$  implies  $B_U \subset B_{U_0}$  and thus  $V$  can be any element of  $\Gamma$  for which  $V \subset U_0 \cap U_2$ .

REMARK 4.2. Let  $P$  be the set of all real numbers with the usual topology. Let  $\mathfrak{S} = \langle \sigma, \Gamma_x, \kappa, G \rangle$  be an integration base in  $P$  defined as follows:  $\sigma$  is the pre-algebra generated by all one-side-open intervals, for  $x \in P^\sim$ ,  $\Gamma_x \subset \sigma$  is an arbitrary local base at  $x$  in  $P^\sim$ , and  $G$  is the Lebesgue measure on  $\sigma$ . Using the previous proposition, we see rather easily that if  $\kappa = \kappa^1$  is the monotone convergence, then  $\mathfrak{S}$  gives precisely the classical *Perron integral* (see [10], Chapter VI, § 8).

We also note that a *singularization* of a monotone convergence is again a monotone convergence (see [8], § 2).

PROPOSITION 4.3. *Let  $\mathfrak{N} = \langle \sigma, \Gamma_x \rangle$  be a net structure in  $P$ . If the space  $P$  is locally metrizable, then the monotone convergence in  $\mathfrak{N}$  is admissible.*

*Proof.* Conditions  $\mathcal{K}_1 - \mathcal{K}_4$  are satisfied obviously. To show

that also  $\mathcal{K}_5$  and  $\mathcal{K}_6$  are satisfied we can repeat verbatim the proofs of Proposition 3.1 and Theorem 3.2 in [5], respectively.

We note that in an arbitrary topological space the monotone convergence still satisfies conditions  $\mathcal{K}_1 - \mathcal{K}_4$ ; however, we do not know whether it also satisfies conditions  $\mathcal{K}_5$  and  $\mathcal{K}_6$ . An example of a net structure in a nonlocally metrizable space in which the monotone convergence is still admissible will be given in 6.2.

We shall close this section with a proposition which will show how conditions  $\mathcal{K}_1 - \mathcal{K}_6$  are related to each other.

**PROPOSITION 4.4.** *Conditions  $\mathcal{K}_1 - \mathcal{K}_5$  are independent and they do not imply  $\mathcal{K}_6$ . Conditions  $\mathcal{K}_1 - \mathcal{K}_4$  and  $\mathcal{K}_6$  are independent and they imply  $\mathcal{K}_5$ .*

*Proof.* Examples 6.3 and 6.4 show that  $\mathcal{K}_1 - \mathcal{K}_4$  do not imply  $\mathcal{K}_5$  and that  $\mathcal{K}_1 - \mathcal{K}_5$  do not imply  $\mathcal{K}_6$ , respectively. The remaining examples which are needed to prove the independence are quite simple and their construction will be left to the reader. We shall complete the proof by showing that  $\mathcal{K}_1, \mathcal{K}_4$ , and  $\mathcal{K}_6$  imply  $\mathcal{K}_5$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{N} = \langle \sigma, \Gamma_x \rangle$  be a net structure in  $P$ , let  $\kappa$  be a convergence in  $\mathfrak{N}$  satisfying conditions  $\mathcal{K}_1, \mathcal{K}_4$ , and  $\mathcal{K}_6$ , and let  $\delta \subset \sigma$  be a nonempty semi-hereditary system. If  $\delta$  is stable, then by  $\mathcal{K}_6$ ,  $\delta^*$  is uncountable and so nonempty. Hence suppose that  $\delta$  is not stable. Then either  $\emptyset \in \delta^* = P^\sim$  [see [6], (4.1)] or there is an  $A \in \delta$  and an  $x \in P^\sim$  such that  $\delta_{A-U} = \emptyset$  for all  $U \in \Gamma_x$ . Choose  $U \in \Gamma_x$ . Since  $U \cap A \in \sigma$ ,  $A = (U \cap A) \cup (\bigcup_{i=1}^n B_i)$  where  $B_1, \dots, B_n$  are disjoint sets from  $\sigma_{A-U}$ . Therefore  $B_1, \dots, B_n$  do not belong to  $\delta$  and because  $\delta$  is semi-hereditary, we conclude that  $A \cap U \in \delta$ . Now it follows from  $\mathcal{K}_1$  and  $\mathcal{K}_4$  that  $x \in \delta^*$  and thus again  $\delta^*$  is nonempty.

**COROLLARY 4.5.** *Let  $\mathfrak{N} = \langle \sigma, \Gamma_x \rangle$  be a net structure in  $P$  and let  $\sigma$  contain no nonempty semihereditary stable system. Then every convergence in  $\mathfrak{N}$  which satisfies conditions  $\mathcal{K}_1 - \mathcal{K}_4$  is admissible.*

The assumption of this corollary is always satisfied if  $P$  is countable. It is also satisfied if  $P$  is the set of all ordinals less than a given ordinal  $\alpha$  topologized by the order topology (see [5], 1.4).

**COROLLARY 4.6.** *Let  $\mathfrak{N}$  be a net structure in  $P$  and let  $\kappa$  and  $\kappa'$  be two convergences in  $\mathfrak{N}$  satisfying conditions  $\mathcal{K}_1 - \mathcal{K}_4$ . If  $\kappa_x = \kappa'_x$  for all but countably many  $x \in P^\sim$ , then  $\kappa$  is admissible if and only if  $\kappa'$  is admissible.*

*Proof.* Let  $S$  be the countable set of those  $x \in P^\sim$  for which

$\kappa'_x \neq \kappa_x$ . Since  $\delta^* - S = \delta'^* - S$  for every  $\delta \subset \sigma$ , it follows that  $\kappa$  satisfies condition  $\mathcal{K}_6$  if and only if  $\kappa'$  does.

According to Proposition 4.4 condition  $\mathcal{K}_5$  is superfluous for the admissibility of a convergence. Nevertheless, for a given convergence, establishing  $\mathcal{K}_5$  is usually the first step in establishing  $\mathcal{K}_6$  (see [5] and [9]). It should be also noted that a convergence which satisfies only conditions  $\mathcal{K}_1 - \mathcal{K}_5$  is still adequate for the definition of the narrow integral (see [7], 2.5).

**5. Measurability with respect to the monotone convergence.** Throughout this section we shall assume that the space  $P$ , in addition to Hausdorff and locally compact, is also locally metrizable. We shall assume that there is given an integration base  $\mathfrak{F} = \langle \sigma, \Gamma_x, \kappa^1, G \rangle$  where  $\kappa^1$  is the monotone convergence and we shall prove that  $\mathfrak{F}$  is measurable. We begin with a simple but useful remark.

**REMARK 5.1.** Let  $x \in P$  and let  $\{B_U, U \in \Gamma, \subset\} \in \kappa_x^1$ . Since  $P$ , being locally metrizable, is first countable,  $\Gamma$  has a linearly ordered countable cofinal subset  $\Gamma' = \{U_n\}$ . Hence there is a sequence  $\{C_n\} \in \kappa_x^1(\{B_U\})$  such that  $C_{n+1} \subset C_n$  for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ; for it suffices to set  $C_n = B_{U_n}$ . The sequence  $\{C_n\}$  may consist only of a single element if  $x$  is an isolated point of  $P$ .

**LEMMA 5.2.** *Let  $A \in \sigma$  and let  $\delta \subset \sigma_A$ . If  $\sigma_A$  is countable then  $\delta^* - (\infty)$  is  $\mathfrak{F}_0$ -measurable.*

*Proof.* Since  $\Gamma_x \subset \sigma$  for all  $x \in P$ , it follows from the countability of  $\sigma_A$  that  $A$  is paracompact and hence metrizable by [2], Th. 2-28, p. 81. Choose a metric on  $A$  and if  $B \subset A$  denote by  $d(B)$  the diameter of  $B$  with respect to this metric. Because  $\emptyset \in \delta$  implies  $\delta^* - (\infty) = P$  which is  $\mathfrak{F}_0$ -measurable, we shall assume that  $\emptyset \notin \delta$ . Let  $\{B_k\}_k$  be an enumeration of the family  $\{B \in \delta : d(B) < 1\}$ . If  $B_{k_1 \dots k_n}$ , where  $n$  and  $k_1, \dots, k_n$  are positive integers, has been already defined we let  $\{B_{k_1 \dots k_n k}\}_k$  be an enumeration of the family  $\{B \in \delta_{B_{k_1 \dots k_n}} : d(B) < 1/(n+1)\}$ . Setting  $B_{k_1 \dots k_n} = \emptyset$  for those groups  $(k_1 \dots k_n)$  of positive integers for which  $B_{k_1 \dots k_n}$  was not previously defined, we obtain a determining system  $\{B_{k_1 \dots k_n}^-\}$  of  $\mathfrak{F}_0$ -measurable sets (see [10], Chapter II, § 5). By [10], Chapter II, Th. (5.5), p. 50, its nucleus

$$N = \bigcup_{k_1 k_2 \dots} \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} B_{k_1 \dots k_n}^-$$

is also  $\mathfrak{F}_0$ -measurable. On the other hand, using 5.1 it is easy to see that  $N = \delta^* - (\infty)$ .

COROLLARY 5.3. *If  $\sigma$  is countable then  $\mathfrak{S}$  is strongly measurable.*

LEMMA 5.4. *Let  $\sigma$  be a pre-algebra of subsets of  $P$ ,  $\delta \subset \sigma$ , and let  $A \in \delta$  be such that  $\{A \cap B : B \in \delta\}$  is countable. Then there is a pre-algebra  $\sigma' \subset \sigma$  containing  $\delta$  and for which  $\sigma'_A$  is countable.*

*Proof.* Let  $\delta^0$  consist of all finite intersections of elements from  $\delta$ . For  $B, B' \in \delta^0_A$  we let  $(B, B') = \{C_1, \dots, C_n\}$  where  $C_1, \dots, C_n$  are disjoint sets from  $\sigma$  for which  $B - B' = \bigcup_{i=1}^n C_i$ . For  $B, B' \in \delta^0$  we let  $[B, B'] = \{D_1, \dots, D_m\}$  where  $D_1, \dots, D_m$  are disjoint sets from  $\sigma$  for which  $(B - B') - A = \bigcup_{j=1}^m D_j$ . Set  $\alpha = \bigcup \{(B, B') : B, B' \in \delta^0_A\}$ ,  $\beta = \bigcup \{[B, B'] : B, B' \in \delta^0\}$ , and  $\delta^1 = \delta^0 \cup \alpha \cup \beta$ . Then  $\delta \subset \delta^1 \subset \sigma$ ,  $A \in \delta^1$ , and  $\{A \cap B : B \in \delta^1\} = \{A \cap B : B \in \delta^0 \cup \alpha\}$  is countable. If  $B, B' \in \delta$ , then  $B \cap B' \in \delta^1$  and

$$\begin{aligned} B - B' &= (B \cap A - B' \cap A) \cup [(B - B') - A] \\ &= \left( \bigcup_{i=1}^n C_i \right) \cup \left( \bigcup_{j=1}^m D_j \right) \end{aligned}$$

where the last term is a disjoint union of sets from  $\delta^1$ . Note also that  $\emptyset \in \delta^1$  and  $P \in \delta^1$ , for  $\emptyset = A - A$  and  $P$  is the empty intersection of sets from  $\delta$ . Let  $\sigma_1 = \delta$  and assuming that  $\sigma_n$  has been already defined let  $\sigma_{n+1} = \sigma_n^1$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . The system  $\sigma' = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_n$  has now all the desired properties.

THEOREM 5.5. *The integration base  $\mathfrak{S}$  is locally strongly measurable.*

*Proof.* Choose  $x_0 \in P$  and  $U \in \Gamma_{x_0}$  whose closure  $U^-$  is compact and contained in some open metrizable neighborhood of  $x_0$ . Then for each  $x \in P^-$  we can define a local base  $\Gamma'_x \subset \Gamma_x$  such that  $\bigcup_{x \in U^-} \Gamma'_x$  is countable and  $U \cap V = \emptyset$  for every  $V \in \Gamma'_x$  with  $x \in P^- - U^-$ . Setting  $\delta = \{U\} \cup (\bigcup_{x \in P^-} \{V \cap P : V \in \Gamma'_x\})$ , we have  $\delta \subset \sigma$ ,  $U \in \delta$ , and  $\{U \cap V : V \in \delta\}$  is countable. Let  $\sigma'$  be a prealgebra from Lemma 5.4 and let  $G'$  be the restriction of  $G$  to  $\sigma'$ . Then  $\mathfrak{S}' = \langle \sigma', \Gamma'_x, \kappa^1, G' \rangle$  is an integration base and by 2.6,  $(\mathfrak{X}_0, \tau_0) = (\mathfrak{X}'_0, \tau'_0)$ . Since  $\mathfrak{S}' < \mathfrak{S}$  (see § 2),  $\mathfrak{P}(U) \subset \mathfrak{P}'(U)$  and the theorem follows from 5.2.

COROLLARY 5.6. *If  $P$  is metrizable then  $(\mathfrak{X}, \tau) = (\mathfrak{X}_0, \tau_0)$ .*

This corollary follows from [3], Chapter V, Corollary 35, p. 160 and [7], 4.9.

6. Examples. Four examples illustrating the previous sections will be given here.

EXAMPLE<sup>5)</sup> 6.1. For  $i = 1, 2, \dots$  let  $P_i = \{0, 1\}$  be the two point set with the discrete topology and let  $\mu_i$  be the measure in  $P_i$  defined by  $\mu_i(\{0\}) = \mu_i(\{1\}) = 1/2$ . We set  $P = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} P_i$ ,  $\mu = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu_i$ , and define  $\sigma$  as the family of all  $\mu$ -measurable subsets of  $P$ . Then  $P$  is a compact metrizable space whose points are sequences  $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  of zeroes and ones,  $\mu$  is a regular measure in  $P$ , and  $\sigma$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra containing all rectangles. If  $x = \{x_i\} \in P$  we let  $\Gamma_x = \{U_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  where  $U_n = \{y \in P : y_i = x_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . It follows from 5.5 and 2.8 that  $\mathfrak{F} = \langle \sigma, \Gamma_x, \kappa^1, \mu \rangle$ , where  $\kappa^1$  is the monotone convergence in  $\langle \sigma, \Gamma_x \rangle$ , is a measurable integration base. We shall show, however, that there is a nonempty semihereditary stable system  $\delta \subset \sigma$  for which  $\delta^*$  is not  $\tau_0$ -measurable. Thus, in particular, the integration base  $\mathfrak{F}$  is not strongly measurable.

For  $x = \{x_i\}$  let  $f(x) = \{f_i(x)\}$  where  $f_{2i}(x) = x_{2i}$  and  $f_{2i-1}(x) = 0$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ . Then  $f: P \rightarrow P$  is a continuous map and we denote by  $Q$  its image. The sets  $Q_x = f^{-1}(x)$  with  $x \in Q$  are disjoint, nonempty and perfect, and their union is equal to  $P$ . If  $x = \{x_i\} \in Q$  and  $n \geq 1$  is an integer, let  $Q_x^n = \{y \in P : y_{2i} = x_{2i}, i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . Then  $\mu(Q_x^n) = 2^{-n}$  and  $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} Q_x^n = Q_x$ . Hence  $\mu(Q_x) = 0$  for all  $x \in Q$ . Let  $A \subset P$  be closed and let  $\mu(A) > 0$ . By the compactness of  $P$ ,  $f(A)$  is also closed and so it is either countable or its cardinality is the continuum. Since  $A \subset \bigcup_{x \in A} Q_{f(x)} = \bigcup_{y \in f(A)} Q_y$  and  $\mu(A) > 0$ , it follows that the cardinality of  $f(A)$  is the continuum. Plainly  $Q_y \cap A \neq \emptyset$  for all  $y \in f(A)$ .

Let  $\gamma$  be the least ordinal whose cardinality is the continuum and let  $\{A_\alpha : 0 \leq \alpha < \gamma\}$  be a well-ordering of all closed subsets of  $P$  with positive measure. By the previous paragraph there are  $x_0, x'_0 \in Q$ ,  $x_0 \neq x'_0$ , such that  $Q_{x_0} \cap A_0 \neq \emptyset$  and  $Q_{x'_0} \cap A_0 \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $\beta$  be an ordinal less than  $\gamma$  and assume that for all ordinals  $\alpha$  less than  $\beta$  we have already defined distinct elements  $x_\alpha, x'_\alpha \in Q$  such that  $Q_{x_\alpha} \cap A_\alpha \neq \emptyset$  and  $Q_{x'_\alpha} \cap A_\alpha \neq \emptyset$ . Since the cardinality of  $Q' = \{x_\alpha, x'_\alpha : 0 \leq \alpha < \beta\}$  is less than the continuum and the cardinality of  $\{x \in Q : Q_x \cap A_\beta \neq \emptyset\}$  is equal to the continuum, we can choose  $x_\beta, x'_\beta \in Q - Q'$ ,  $x_\beta \neq x'_\beta$ , such that  $Q_{x_\beta} \cap A_\beta \neq \emptyset$  and  $Q_{x'_\beta} \cap A_\beta \neq \emptyset$ . Letting  $B = \bigcup \{Q_{x_\alpha} : 0 \leq \alpha < \gamma\}$  and  $B' = \bigcup \{Q_{x'_\alpha} : 0 \leq \alpha < \gamma\}$ , we have  $B \cap B' = \emptyset$  and  $A \cap B \neq \emptyset$ ,  $A \cap B' \neq \emptyset$  for every closed set  $A \subset P$  for which  $\mu(A) > 0$ . Therefore every closed subset of  $B$  or  $P - B$  has measure equal to zero. If  $B \in \sigma$ , then by the regularity of  $\mu$ ,  $\mu(B) = \mu(P - B) = 0$  which is impossible for  $\mu(P) = 1$ . Hence  $B$  and similarly  $B'$  are not  $\mu$ -measurable.

Now let  $\delta$  consist of all uncountable subsets of  $Q_{x_\alpha}$ ,  $0 \leq \alpha < \gamma$ . Then  $\delta$  is a nonempty semihereditary stable subsystem of  $\sigma$  and  $\delta^*$

<sup>5)</sup> This example is due to K. Prikry.

computed by  $\kappa^1$  is equal to  $B$ . Since the measure  $\mu$  has no regular extension, it follows from [7], 4.12 that  $\mu = \tau_0$  and so  $\delta^*$  is not  $\mathfrak{T}_0$ -measurable.

Note that the hypothesis of the continuum was not used in this example.

EXAMPLE 6.2. Let  $P$  be a compact Boolean space (see [3], Chapter 5, Problem S, p. 168), let  $\sigma$  be the algebra of all compact-open subsets of  $P$ , and let  $\Gamma_x = \{U \in \sigma : x \in U\}$  for all  $x \in P$ . If  $\kappa^1$  is the monotone convergence in  $\langle \sigma, \Gamma_x \rangle$ , then by 4.1, every net from  $\kappa_x^1$  has the form  $\{U, U \in \Gamma, \subset\}$  where  $\Gamma$  is a cofinal subset of  $\Gamma_x$ . It follows from [9], 4.3 that  $\kappa^1$  is admissible. Since, e.g., the Tychonoff product of any family of finite discrete spaces is a compact Boolean space, we see that the space  $P$  need not be locally metrizable.

EXAMPLE 6.3. Let  $P = [0, 1)$  together with the usual topology and let  $\sigma$  be the pre-algebra consisting of all half-open intervals  $[a, b) \subset P$ . We shall identify  $P^\sim$  with  $[0, 1]$  and for every  $x \in P^\sim$  we shall let  $\Gamma_x = \{[x - 1/n, x + 1/n) \cap [P \cup (x)]\}_{n=1}^\infty$ . If  $x \in P^\sim$  then let  $\kappa_x$  consist of all sequences  $\{[x - 1/n_k, x + 1/n_k) \cap B\}_{k=1}^\infty$  where  $B \in \sigma$  and  $\{n_k\}$  is an increasing sequence of positive integers. Thus defined the convergence  $\kappa = \{\kappa_x : x \in P^\sim\}$  clearly satisfies conditions  $\mathcal{K}_1 - \mathcal{K}_4$  and if  $\{B_n\} \in \kappa_x$ , then for all sufficiently large  $n$ ,  $B_n$  is a half-open interval of rational length (which may be zero). Hence if  $\delta$  consists of all intervals of irrational length, then  $\delta^* = \emptyset$ . However, it is easy to see that  $\delta \subset \sigma$  is a nonempty semihereditary stable system (see [9], 4.2) and so  $\kappa$  does not satisfy conditions  $\mathcal{K}_5$  and  $\mathcal{K}_6$ .

EXAMPLE 6.4. Let  $P, P^\sim$ , and  $\sigma$  be the same as in Example 6.3. For  $x \in P^\sim$ ,  $\Gamma_x = \{[x - 1/n, x_n) \cap [P \cap (x)]\}_{n=1}^\infty$  where  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  is a decreasing sequence of irrational numbers converging to  $x$ . Denote by  $Q$  the set of all rational numbers in  $[0, 1]$ . If  $x \in P^\sim$  then let  $\kappa_x$  consist of all sequences  $\{[a_n, b_n)\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset \sigma$  such that  $\lim a_n = \lim b_n = x$  and for all sufficiently large  $n$ , either  $b_n \in P - Q$  or  $b_n = b_{n+1}$ . It is easy to see that thus defined the convergence  $\kappa = \{\kappa_x : x \in P^\sim\}$  satisfies conditions  $\mathcal{K}_1 - \mathcal{K}_4$ . Let  $\delta \subset \sigma$  be a nonempty semihereditary system and let  $[a, b) \in \delta$ . If  $a = b$  then  $\delta^* = P^\sim$ . Hence assume that  $a < b$  and choose an  $x_1 \in (a, b) - Q$  such that  $\max(x_1 - a, b - x_1) \leq \frac{2}{3}(b - a)$ . By the semihereditariness of  $\delta$ , e.g.,  $[a, x_1) \in \delta$ . Now choose  $x_2 \in (a, x_1) - Q$  such that  $\max(x_2 - a, x_1 - x_2) \leq \frac{2}{3}(x_1 - a)$  and select an interval from  $[a, x_2), [x_2, x_1)$  which belongs to  $\delta$ . Inductively, we obtain a decreasing sequence  $\{B_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset \delta$  for which  $\bigcap_{n=1}^\infty B_n = (x)$ . Obviously,  $x \in \delta^*$  and so  $\kappa$  satisfies also condition  $\mathcal{K}_5$ . However,  $\kappa$  does not satisfy condition  $\mathcal{K}_6$ . To see this, let  $\delta_0$  consist of all intervals  $[a, b) \in \sigma$  such

that  $b - a > 0$  and  $b \in Q$ . Then  $\delta_0 \subset \sigma$  is a nonempty semihereditary stable system and  $\delta_0^* = Q$  is countable.

#### REFERENCES

1. C. A. Hayes, and C. Y. Pauc, *Full individual and class differentiation theorems in their relations to halo and Vitali properties*, Canad. J. Math. **7** (1955) 221-274.
2. J. G. Hocking and G. S. Young, *Topology*, Addison-Wesley, London, 1961.
3. J. L. Kelley, *General topology*, Van Nostrand, New York, 1955.
4. W. F. Pfeffer, *The Perron integral in topological spaces*, Časopis pro pěst. mat. **88** (1963), 322-348.
5. ———, *On the lower derivate of a set function*, Canad. J. Math. **20** (1968), 1489-1498.
6. ———, *An integral in topological spaces I*, J. Math. Mech. **18** (1969), 953-972.
7. ———, *An integral in topological spaces II*, Mathematica Scandinavica (to appear)
8. ———, *Singular integrals are Perron integrals of a certain type*, Canad. J. Math. (to appear)
9. W. F. Pfeffer and W. J. Wilbur, *A note on cluster points of a semihereditary stable system of sets*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **21** (1969), 121-125.
10. S. Saks, *Theory of the integral*, Hafner, New York, 1937.

Received May 1, 1969.

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY AND DAVIS

PACIFIC UNION COLLEGE, ANGWIN, CALIFORNIA

# PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

## EDITORS

H. SAMELSON  
Stanford University  
Stanford, California 94305

J. DUGUNDJI  
Department of Mathematics  
University of Southern California  
Los Angeles, California 90007

RICHARD PIERCE  
University of Washington  
Seattle, Washington 98105

RICHARD ARENS  
University of California  
Los Angeles, California 90024

## ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

## SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA  
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY  
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA  
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA  
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY  
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON  
OSAKA UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO  
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH  
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON  
\* \* \*  
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY  
CHEVRON RESEARCH CORPORATION  
TRW SYSTEMS  
NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER



# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 34, No. 1

May, 1970

Johan Aarnes, Edward George Effros and Ole A. Nielsen, <i>Locally compact spaces and two classes of <math>C^*</math>-algebras</i> . . . . .	1
Allan C. Cochran, R. Keown and C. R. Williams, <i>On a class of topological algebras</i> . . . . .	17
John Dauns, <i>Integral domains that are not embeddable in division rings</i> . . .	27
Robert Jay Daverman, <i>On the number of nonpiercing points in certain crumpled cubes</i> . . . . .	33
Bryce L. Elkins, <i>Characterization of separable ideals</i> . . . . .	45
Zbigniew Fiedorowicz, <i>A comparison of two naturally arising uniformities on a class of pseudo-PM spaces</i> . . . . .	51
Henry Charles Finlayson, <i>Approximation of Wiener integrals of functionals continuous in the uniform topology</i> . . . . .	61
Theodore William Gamelin, <i>Localization of the corona problem</i> . . . . .	73
Alfred Gray and Paul Stephen Green, <i>Sphere transitive structures and the triality automorphism</i> . . . . .	83
Charles Lemuel Hagopian, <i>On generalized forms of aposyndesis</i> . . . . .	97
J. Jakubík, <i>On subgroups of a pseudo lattice ordered group</i> . . . . .	109
Cornelius W. Onneweer, <i>On uniform convergence for Walsh-Fourier series</i> . . . . .	117
Stanley Joel Osher, <i>On certain Toeplitz operators in two variables</i> . . . . .	123
Washek (Vaclav) Frantisek Pfeffer and John Benson Wilbur, <i>On the measurability of Perron integrable functions</i> . . . . .	131
Frank J. Polansky, <i>On the conformal mapping of variable regions</i> . . . . .	145
Kouei Sekigawa and Shûkichi Tanno, <i>Sufficient conditions for a Riemannian manifold to be locally symmetric</i> . . . . .	157
James Wilson Stepp, <i>Locally compact Clifford semigroups</i> . . . . .	163
Ernest Lester Stitzinger, <i>Frattini subalgebras of a class of solvable Lie algebras</i> . . . . .	177
George Szeto, <i>The group character and split group algebras</i> . . . . .	183
Mark Lawrence Teply, <i>Homological dimension and splitting torsion theories</i> . . . . .	193
David Bertram Wales, <i>Finite linear groups of degree seven. II</i> . . . . .	207
Robert Breckenridge Warfield, Jr., <i>An isomorphic refinement theorem for Abelian groups</i> . . . . .	237
James Edward West, <i>The ambient homeomorphy of an incomplete subspace of infinite-dimensional Hilbert spaces</i> . . . . .	257
Peter Wilker, <i>Adjoint product and hom functors in general topology</i> . . . . .	269
Daniel Eliot Wulbert, <i>A note on the characterization of conditional expectation operators</i> . . . . .	285