

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**SUFFICIENT CONDITIONS FOR A RIEMANNIAN MANIFOLD
TO BE LOCALLY SYMMETRIC**

KOUEI SEKIGAWA AND SHÛKICHI TANNO

SUFFICIENT CONDITIONS FOR A RIEMANNIAN MANIFOLD TO BE LOCALLY SYMMETRIC

KOUEI SEKIGAWA AND SHÛKICHI TANNO

In a locally symmetric Riemannian manifold the scalar curvature is constant and each k -th covariant derivative of the Riemannian curvature tensor vanishes. In this note, we show that if the covariant derivatives of the Riemannian curvature tensor satisfy some algebraic conditions at each point, then the Riemannian manifold is locally symmetric.

Let R be the Riemannian curvature tensor of a Riemannian manifold M^m with a positive-definite metric tensor g . Manifolds and tensors are assumed to be of class C^∞ unless otherwise stated. We denote by ∇ the Riemannian connection defined by g . For tangent vectors X and Y , we consider $R(X, Y)$ as a derivation of the tensor algebra at each point. A conjecture by K. Nomizu [4] is that $R(X, Y) \cdot R = 0$ on a complete and irreducible manifold $M^m (m \geq 3)$ implies $\nabla R = 0$, that is, M^m is locally symmetric. Here we consider some additional conditions.

For an integer k and tangent vectors V_k, \dots, V_1 at a point p of M^m , we adopt a notation:

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_{\bar{V}}^k R) &= (V_k, V_{k-1}, \dots, V_1; \nabla^k R) \\ &= (V_k^t V_{k-1}^s \dots V_1^r \nabla_t \nabla_s \dots \nabla_r R_{bcd}^a), \end{aligned}$$

where V_k^t , etc., are components of V_k , etc., and $\nabla_t \nabla_s \dots \nabla_r R_{bcd}^a$ are components of the k -th covariant derivative $\nabla^k R$ of R in local coordinates.

PROPOSITION 1. *Let $M^m (m \geq 3)$ be a real analytic Riemannian manifold. Assume that*

(1.0) *the restricted holonomy group is irreducible,*

(1.1) $R(X, Y) \cdot R = 0$,

(1.2) $R(X, Y) \cdot (\nabla_{\bar{V}}^k R) = 0$ for $k = 1, 2, \dots$.

Then M^m is locally symmetric.

Here we note that condition (1.0) means that it holds at some, hence every, point and condition (1.1), and (1.2), mean that for any point p and for any tangent vectors X, Y, V_k, \dots, V_1 at p , they hold.

PROPOSITION 2. *Let $M^m (m \geq 3)$ be a Riemannian manifold. Assume (1.1) and (1.2) and that*

(1.0)' *the infinitesimal holonomy group is irreducible at every point. Then M^m is locally symmetric.*

Propositions 1 and 2 are essentially related to the following results.

PROPOSITION 3. *Let $M^m (m \geq 3)$ be a Riemannian manifold. Assume that the restricted holonomy group H^0 (the infinitesimal holonomy group H' , resp.) is irreducible, and R is invariant by H^0 (H' , resp.). Then M^m is locally symmetric.*

PROPOSITION 3'. (*J. Simons [5], p. 233*) *Let $M^m (m \geq 3)$ be an irreducible Riemannian manifold. Assume that R is invariant by the holonomy group H . Then M^m is locally symmetric.*

Proposition 3 is a generalization of a result by A. Lichnerowicz ([2], p. 11), which contains an assumption of compactness. We remark here that condition (1.2) is equivalent to

$$(1.2)' \quad R(X, Y) \cdot (\nabla_{V_k} \nabla_{V_{k-1}} \cdots \nabla_{V_1} R) = 0 \text{ for } k = 1, 2, \dots,$$

where X, Y, V_k, \dots, V_1 are vector fields on M^m .

With respect to Nomizu's conjecture and the above propositions we have

THEOREM 4. *Let $M^m (m \geq 3)$ be a Riemannian manifold. Assume that*

- (i) *the scalar curvature S is constant,*
- (ii) *$R(X, Y) \cdot R = 0$,*
- (iii) *$R(X, Y) \cdot \nabla_V R = 0$,*
- (iv) *$R(X, Y) \cdot (X, V; \nabla^2 R) = 0$,*
- (or (iv)' *$R(X, Y) \cdot \nabla_X \nabla_V R = 0$ for vector fields).*

Then M^m is locally symmetric.

THEOREM 5. *Let $M^m (m \geq 3)$ be a Riemannian manifold. Assume that*

- (i) *the Ricci curvature tensor R_i is parallel; $\nabla R_i = 0$,*
- (ii) *$R(X, Y) \cdot R = 0$,*
- (iii) *$R(X, Y) \cdot \nabla_V R = 0$.*

Then M^m is locally symmetric.

In Theorems 4 and 5, if $m = 2$, then $\nabla R_i = 0$ implies $\nabla R = 0$.

In Theorem 5, if M^m is compact, (iii) can be dropped (A. Lichnerowicz [2], or K. Yano [6], p. 222).

In §2 we reduce proofs of Propositions 1 and 2 to that of Proposi-

tion 3, and next we reduce proofs of Propositions 3 and 3' to that of Theorem 4. In §3 we prove Theorems 4 and 5.

2. Holonomy algebras. Conditions (1.1) and (1.2) imply that

$$(2.1) \quad [R(X, Y), (\nabla_{\bar{v}}^k R)(A, B)] = (\nabla_{\bar{v}}^k R)(R(X, Y)A, B) \\ + (\nabla_{\bar{v}}^k R)(A, R(X, Y)B)$$

for $k = 0, 1, \dots$, where $\nabla^0 R$ means R , and $[T, T']$ for linear transformations T, T' means $TT' - T'T$.

Now we show

LEMMA 2.1. *The condition (2.1) implies*

$$(2.2) \quad [(\nabla_{\bar{w}}^j R)(X, Y), (\nabla_{\bar{v}}^k R)(A, B)] = (\nabla_{\bar{v}}^k R)((\nabla_{\bar{w}}^j R)(X, Y)A, B) \\ + (\nabla_{\bar{v}}^k R)(A, (\nabla_{\bar{w}}^j R)(X, Y)B)$$

for $j, k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. And (2.1) is equivalent to

$$(2.3) \quad [(\nabla_{\bar{w}}^j R)(X, Y), R(A, B)] = R((\nabla_{\bar{w}}^j R)(X, Y)A, B) \\ + R(A, (\nabla_{\bar{w}}^j R)(X, Y)B)$$

for $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$.

Proof. We prove (2.2) by induction in j and by tensor calculus in local coordinates. By (2.1), (2.2) holds for $(j, k) = (0, k)$, $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Assume that (2.2) holds for $(j-1, k)$, $(j-2, k)$, \dots , $(0, k)$, $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Then, denoting by $\nabla_t \nabla_s \dots \nabla_r R_{u_{xy}}^p$ the j -th covariant derivative of R and by $\nabla_f \dots \nabla_e R_{qab}^u$ the k -th covariant derivative of R , we show

$$(2.4) \quad \nabla_t \nabla_s \dots \nabla_r R_{u_{xy}}^p \nabla_f \dots \nabla_e R_{qab}^u - \nabla_f \dots \nabla_e R_{uab}^p \nabla_t \nabla_s \dots \nabla_r R_{qxy}^u \\ = \nabla_f \dots \nabla_e R_{qvb}^p \nabla_t \nabla_s \dots \nabla_r R_{axy}^v + \nabla_f \dots \nabla_e R_{qav}^p \nabla_t \nabla_s \dots \nabla_r R_{bxy}^v.$$

In fact, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \nabla_t \nabla_s \dots \nabla_r R_{u_{xy}}^p \nabla_f \dots \nabla_e R_{qab}^u - \nabla_f \dots \nabla_e R_{uab}^p \nabla_t \nabla_s \dots \nabla_r R_{qxy}^u \\ &= \nabla_t (\nabla_s \dots \nabla_r R_{u_{xy}}^p \nabla_f \dots \nabla_e R_{qab}^u) \\ & \quad - \nabla_s \dots \nabla_r R_{u_{xy}}^p \nabla_t \nabla_f \dots \nabla_e R_{qab}^u \\ & \quad - \nabla_t (\nabla_f \dots \nabla_e R_{uab}^p \nabla_s \dots \nabla_r R_{qxy}^u) \\ & \quad + \nabla_t \nabla_f \dots \nabla_e R_{uab}^p \nabla_s \dots \nabla_r R_{qxy}^u \\ &= \nabla_t (\nabla_f \dots \nabla_e R_{qvb}^p \nabla_s \dots \nabla_r R_{axy}^v + \nabla_f \dots \nabla_e R_{qav}^p \nabla_s \dots \nabla_r R_{bxy}^v) \\ & \quad - \nabla_s \dots \nabla_r R_{u_{xy}}^p \nabla_t \nabla_f \dots \nabla_e R_{qab}^u \quad (\text{by (2.2) for } (j-1, k)) \\ & \quad + \nabla_t \nabla_f \dots \nabla_e R_{uab}^p \nabla_s \dots \nabla_r R_{qxy}^u \\ &= \nabla_f \dots \nabla_e R_{qvb}^p \nabla_t \nabla_s \dots \nabla_r R_{axy}^v + \nabla_f \dots \nabla_e R_{qav}^p \nabla_t \nabla_s \dots \nabla_r R_{bxy}^v \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + (\nabla_i \nabla_f \cdots \nabla_e R_{uab}^p \nabla_s \cdots \nabla_r R_{qxy}^u - \nabla_s \cdots \nabla_r R_{uzy}^p \nabla_i \nabla_f \cdots \nabla_e R_{qab}^u) \\
& + (\nabla_i \nabla_f \cdots \nabla_e R_{qub}^p \nabla_s \cdots \nabla_r R_{axy}^v + \nabla_i \nabla_f \cdots \nabla_e R_{qav}^p \nabla_s \cdots \nabla_r R_{bxy}^v) .
\end{aligned}$$

The second and third terms vanish by (2.2) for $(j-1, k+1)$. Therefore we have (2.4).

Similarly we can show that (2.3) implies (2.2), including (2.1).

By the theory of holonomy groups (cf. A. Nijenhuis [3]), the set of linear transformations

$$(2.5) \quad R(X, Y), (\nabla_w R)(X, Y), (\nabla_w^2 R)(X, Y), \dots$$

for $X, Y, W_1, \dots \in M_p$, the tangent space to M at p of M , spans a Lie algebra h'_p called the infinitesimal holonomy algebra at p . h'_p generates the infinitesimal holonomy group H'_p which is a subgroup of the local holonomy group $H_p^* = H_p^0(U)$. Clearly H_p^* is a subgroup of the restricted holonomy group H_p^0 . If a Riemannian manifold is real analytic we have $H' = H^* = H^0$.

The condition (2.3) implies that

$$(2.6) \quad [T, R(A, B)] = R(TA, B) + R(A, TB)$$

for any $T \in h'_p$. This says that R is invariant by T . Therefore, for any element $\alpha \in H'_p$ we have

$$(2.7) \quad \alpha R(A, B)C = R(\alpha A, \alpha B)\alpha C \quad \text{for } A, B, C \in M_p .$$

Thus, we have reduced proofs of Propositions 1 and 2 to proof of Proposition 3.

Since (2.7) or (2.6) is equivalent to (2.1), condition (2.7) implies conditions (ii), (iii) and (iv) of Theorem 4. Consequently, if we show that, under the conditions in Proposition 3 (3', resp.), the scalar curvature S is constant, then Proposition 3 (3', resp.) will follow from Theorem 4.

Let $E_i, 1 \leq i \leq m$, be an orthonormal basis at p . Then the Ricci curvature tensor R_1 is given by

$$R_1(X, Y) = \sum_i g(R(X, E_i)Y, E_i) .$$

Since R is invariant by H' or H^0 or H , we have $R_1(X, Y) = R_1(\alpha X, \alpha Y)$ for any $\alpha \in H'$, or H^0 or H . Since H' or H^0 or H is irreducible, we have some real number λ so that $R_1 = \lambda g$ at p . Because p is an arbitrary point of M and $m \geq 3$, λ is constant on M , and hence $S = m\lambda$ is constant.

3. Proofs of Theorems 4 and 5. To prove theorems it suffices to show two propositions below.

PROPOSITION 3.1. On M^m ($m \geq 3$) assume that

- (i) the scalar curvature S is constant,
- (ii) $(R(X, Y) \cdot R)(X, V) = 0$,
- (iii) $(R(X, Y) \cdot \nabla_V R)(X, Y)V = 0$,
- (iv) $(R(X, Y) \cdot \nabla_V R_1)(V, X) = 0$,
- (v) $(R(X, Y) \cdot (X, V; \nabla^2 R_1)(V, Y) = 0$,
- (or (v)' $(R(X, Y) \cdot \nabla_X \nabla_V R_1)(V, Y) = 0$ for vector fields).

Then we have $\nabla R = 0$.

Proof. Let $\{E_i\}$ be an orthonormal basis at p of M . Put $X = E_x$, $Y = E_y$, $V = E_v$ in (iii) and take a sum on x, y, v . Then we have

$$R^{irxy} \nabla_v R_r^v{}_{xy} - R^{rvxy} \nabla_v R^i{}_{rxy} - R^{rx}{}_{xy} \nabla_v R^{iv}{}_{ry} - R^{ry}{}_{xy} \nabla_v R^{iv}{}_{rx} = 0.$$

The third and fourth terms vanish. We apply the second Bianchi identity to the first two terms;

$$\begin{aligned} R^{irzy}(-\nabla_x R_r^v{}_{yv} - \nabla_y R_r^v{}_{vx}) &= -2R^{irzy} \nabla_y R_{rx}, \\ -R^{rvxy}(-\nabla_i R_{rvxy} - \nabla_r R_{vixy}) &= R^{rvxy} \nabla_i R_{rvxy} + R^{rvxy} \nabla_r R_{vixy} \\ &= R^{rvxy} \nabla_i R_{rvxy} + R^{rvxy} \nabla_v R_{irxy}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$(3.1) \quad -4R^{irzy} \nabla_y R_{rx} + R^{rvxy} \nabla^i R_{rvxy} = 0.$$

Likewise, (iv) implies that

$$(3.2) \quad R^{rvxy} \nabla_v R_{rx} + R^{rx}{}_{xy} \nabla_v R_r^v = 0.$$

And (v) implies that

$$(3.3) \quad R^{rvxy} \nabla_x \nabla_v R_{ry} + R^{ry}{}_{xy} \nabla_x \nabla_v R_r^v = 0.$$

For (v)' we assume that E_i are local vector fields such that $(\nabla E_i)_p = 0$ and $\{E_i\}$ forms an orthonormal basis at p . Then we have the same (3.3).

Since $\nabla_v R_r^v = (1/2)\nabla_v S = 0$, by (3.1), (3.2) and (3.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} R^{rvxy} \nabla_x \nabla_v R_{ry} &= 0, \\ R^{rvxy} \nabla_i R_{rvxy} &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, in a Riemannian manifold generally we have

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \nabla^h \nabla_h (R_{ijkl} R^{ijkl}) &= 2(\nabla_h R_{ijkl} \nabla^h R^{ijkl}) \\ &\quad + 8R^{ijkl} \nabla_i \nabla_k R_{jl} + 4R^{ijkl} B_{jkl,hi}^h, \end{aligned}$$

where $B_{jkl,ab}^i X^a Y^b$ are components of $R(X, Y) \cdot R$ (A. Lichnerowicz [2], p. 10). Since (ii) is equivalent to $B_{jkl,hi}^h = 0$, we have $\nabla_h R_{ijkl} = 0$.

PROPOSITION 3.2. On M^m ($m \geq 3$) assume that

- (i) $\nabla R_1 = 0$,
- (ii) $(R(X, Y) \cdot R)(X, V) = 0$,
- (iii) $(R(X, Y) \cdot \nabla_V R)(X, Y) = 0$.

Then we have $\nabla R = 0$.

Proof. We have (3.1) by (iii). Then we have $\nabla_h(R_{ijkl}R^{ijkl}) = 0$. Therefore, (ii) and (3.4) show $\nabla R = 0$.

REFERENCES

1. S. Kobayashi and K. Nomizu, *Foundations of differential geometry*, Vol. I, Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1963.
2. A. Lichnerowicz, *Géométrie des groupes de transformations*, Dunod, Paris, 1958.
3. A. Nijenhuis, *On the holonomy groups of linear connections IA, IB*, Proc. Kon. Ned. Akad. Amsterdam **15** (1953), 233-249.
4. K. Nomizu, *On hypersurfaces satisfying a certain condition on the curvature tensor*, Tôhoku Math. J. **20** (1968), 46-59.
5. J. Simons, *On the transitivity of holonomy systems*, Ann. of Math. **76** (1962), 213-234.
6. K. Yano, *The theory of Lie derivatives and its applications*, Amsterdam, North Holland Pub. Co., 1957.

Received October 6, 1969.

NIIGATA UNIVERSITY
NIIGATA, JAPAN

TÔHOKU UNIVERSITY
SENDAI, JAPAN

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

H. SAMELSON
Stanford University
Stanford, California 94305

J. DUGUNDJI
Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, California 90007

RICHARD PIERCE
University of Washington
Seattle, Washington 98105

RICHARD ARENS
University of California
Los Angeles, California 90024

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
* * *
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
CHEVRON RESEARCH CORPORATION
TRW SYSTEMS
NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 34, No. 1

May, 1970

Johan Aarnes, Edward George Effros and Ole A. Nielsen, <i>Locally compact spaces and two classes of C^*-algebras</i>	1
Allan C. Cochran, R. Keown and C. R. Williams, <i>On a class of topological algebras</i>	17
John Dauns, <i>Integral domains that are not embeddable in division rings</i>	27
Robert Jay Daverman, <i>On the number of nonpiercing points in certain crumpled cubes</i>	33
Bryce L. Elkins, <i>Characterization of separable ideals</i>	45
Zbigniew Fiedorowicz, <i>A comparison of two naturally arising uniformities on a class of pseudo-PM spaces</i>	51
Henry Charles Finlayson, <i>Approximation of Wiener integrals of functionals continuous in the uniform topology</i>	61
Theodore William Gamelin, <i>Localization of the corona problem</i>	73
Alfred Gray and Paul Stephen Green, <i>Sphere transitive structures and the triality automorphism</i>	83
Charles Lemuel Hagopian, <i>On generalized forms of aposyndesis</i>	97
J. Jakubík, <i>On subgroups of a pseudo lattice ordered group</i>	109
Cornelius W. Onneweer, <i>On uniform convergence for Walsh-Fourier series</i>	117
Stanley Joel Osher, <i>On certain Toeplitz operators in two variables</i>	123
Washek (Vaclav) Frantisek Pfeffer and John Benson Wilbur, <i>On the measurability of Perron integrable functions</i>	131
Frank J. Polansky, <i>On the conformal mapping of variable regions</i>	145
Kouei Sekigawa and Shûkichi Tanno, <i>Sufficient conditions for a Riemannian manifold to be locally symmetric</i>	157
James Wilson Stepp, <i>Locally compact Clifford semigroups</i>	163
Ernest Lester Stitzinger, <i>Frattini subalgebras of a class of solvable Lie algebras</i>	177
George Szeto, <i>The group character and split group algebras</i>	183
Mark Lawrence Teply, <i>Homological dimension and splitting torsion theories</i>	193
David Bertram Wales, <i>Finite linear groups of degree seven. II</i>	207
Robert Breckenridge Warfield, Jr., <i>An isomorphic refinement theorem for Abelian groups</i>	237
James Edward West, <i>The ambient homeomorphy of an incomplete subspace of infinite-dimensional Hilbert spaces</i>	257
Peter Wilker, <i>Adjoint product and hom functors in general topology</i>	269
Daniel Eliot Wulbert, <i>A note on the characterization of conditional expectation operators</i>	285