

# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**THE GROUP CHARACTER AND SPLIT GROUP ALGEBRAS**

GEORGE SZETO

## THE GROUP CHARACTER AND SPLIT GROUP ALGEBRAS

GEORGE SZETO

G. J. Janusz defined a splitting ring  $R$  for a group  $G$  of order  $n$  invertible in  $R$ . Then, the Brauer splitting theorem was given by G. Szeto which proves the existence of a finitely generated projective and separable splitting ring for  $G$ . Let  $M$  be a  $RG$ -module and  $R_0$  be a subring of  $R$ . Then we say that  $M$  is realizable in  $R_0$  if and only if there exists a  $R_0G$ -module  $N$  such that  $M \cong R \otimes_{R_0} N$  as left  $RG$ -modules. This paper gives a characterization of splitting rings in terms of the concept of realizability as in the field case. The other main results in this paper are the structure theorem for split group algebras and some properties of group characters.

Throughout this paper we assume that the ring  $R$  is a commutative ring with no idempotents except 0 and 1, that the group  $G$  has order  $n$  invertible in  $R$ , and that all  $RG$ -modules are unitary left  $RG$ -modules. We know that the order of  $G$ ,  $n$ , is invertible in  $R$  if and only if  $RG$  is separable.

1. In this section we study splitting rings in two ways. That is, splitting rings can be characterized in terms of the concept of realizability and structure theorem for split group algebras will be given.

**PROPOSITION 1.** *Assume the ring  $R$  has no idempotents except 0 and 1, and  $P$  is a finitely generated and projective  $R$ -module. Then  $P$  is a faithful  $R$ -module.*

*Proof.* Because  $P$  is a finitely generated and projective  $R$ -module,  $R = \alpha(P) + \text{Tr}(P)$  where  $\alpha(P)$  is the kernel of the operation of  $R$  on  $P$  and  $\text{Tr}(P)$  is the trace ideal of  $P$  in  $R$  ([3], Proposition A.3). Thus  $\alpha(P)$  is a left direct summand of  $R$  ([3], Th. A.2(d)). But  $R$  has no idempotents except 0 and 1 so that  $\alpha(P) = 0$ . Therefore  $P$  is a faithful  $R$ -module.

Using the above proposition we can have the following definition given by G. J. Janusz.

**DEFINITION 1.** A ring  $R$  is a splitting ring for  $G$  if the group algebra  $RG$  is the direct sum of central separable  $R$ -algebras, each equivalent to  $R$  in the Brauer group of  $R$ ; that is,

$$RG \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^s \text{Hom}_R(P_i, P_i),$$

where  $\{P_i\}$  are finitely generated and projective  $R$ -modules. The number of different conjugate classes in  $G$  is equal to  $s$  ([5], Definition 6).

**DEFINITION 2.** Let  $M$  be a  $RG$ -module and  $R_0$  be a subring of  $R$ . Then we say that  $M$  is realizable in  $R_0$  if and only if there exists a  $R_0G$ -module  $N$  such that  $M \cong R \otimes_{R_0} N$  as left  $RG$ -modules.

**THEOREM 2.** If  $R$  is strongly separable over  $R_0$  and  $R$  is a splitting ring for  $G$ ,  $RG \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^s \text{Hom}_R(P_i, P_i)$ ; then  $P_i$  is realizable in  $R_0$  for all  $i$  if and only if  $R_0$  is a splitting ring for  $G$ .

*Proof.* If  $R_0$  is a splitting ring for  $G$ , that is, if

$$R_0G \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^s \text{Hom}_{R_0}(P_i, P_i),$$

then  $P_i \cong R_0 \otimes_{R_0} P_i$ . This means that  $P_i$  is realizable in  $R_0$  for all  $i$ .

Conversely, if  $P_i$  is realizable in  $R_0$  for all  $i$ , then there is  $R_0G$ -module  $M_i$  such that  $P_i \cong R \otimes_{R_0} M_i$  for all  $i$ . Since  $R$  is a strongly separable  $R_0$ -algebra,  $R_0 \cdot 1$  is a  $R_0$ -direct summand of  $R$ . By the definition of a split group algebra,  $P_i$  is a finitely generated and projective  $R$ -module for each  $i$ ; so  $M_i$  is a finitely generated and projective  $R_0$ -module for each  $i$ . In fact, because  $R \cong (R_0 \cdot 1 \oplus R'_0)$  for some  $R_0$ -module  $R'_0$ ,

$$P_i \cong (R_0 \cdot 1 \oplus R'_0) \otimes_{R_0} M_i \cong (R_0 \cdot 1 \otimes_{R_0} M_i) \oplus (R'_0 \otimes_{R_0} M_i).$$

Thus  $M_i \cong R_0 \cdot 1 \otimes_{R_0} M_i$  is a  $R_0$ -direct summand of  $P_i$ . On the other hand,  $P_i$  is finitely generated and projective over  $R$  and  $R$  is finitely generated and projective over  $R_0$ ; so  $P_i$  is finitely generated and projective over  $R_0$ . Therefore  $M_i$  is a finitely generated and projective  $R_0$ -module. We then have

$$\begin{aligned} RG &\cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^s \text{Hom}_R(P_i, P_i) \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^s \text{Hom}_R(R \otimes_{R_0} M_i, R \otimes_{R_0} M_i) \\ &\cong R \otimes_{R_0} \left( \bigoplus_{i=1}^s \text{Hom}_{R_0}(M_i, M_i) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Noting that  $M_i$  is a finitely generated projective and faithful  $R_0$ -module for each  $i$  by Proposition 1, we have that  $\text{Hom}_{R_0}(M_i, M_i)$  is a central separable  $R_0$ -algebra with a unique central idempotent in  $R_0G$  for each  $i$  ([2], Proposition 5.1). Therefore  $R_0G \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^s \text{Hom}_{R_0}(M_i, M_i)$ . This proves that  $R_0$  is a splitting ring for  $G$ .

We are going to discuss the structure of a split group algebra over some kinds of rings, in particular, over a Dedekind ring.

**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $P$  denote a finitely generated and projective  $R$ -module. (a) If  $R$  is a Dedekind domain, then  $\text{Hom}_R(P, P)$  is free as a  $R$ -module. Consequently, a split group algebra is a free  $R$ -module. (b) If  $R$  is a local ring or a semi-local ring or a principal ideal Dedekind domain, then  $\text{Hom}_R(P, P)$  is a matrix ring over  $R$ .*

*Proof.* Because  $P$  is a finitely generated and projective  $R$ -module,  $\text{Hom}_R(P, P) \cong P \otimes_R \text{Hom}_R(P, R)$ . Let the rank of  $P$  be  $k$ . Then  $P \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^{k-1} R \oplus I$ ,  $\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} R$  are  $k - 1$  copies of  $R$  and  $I$  is in the class group of  $R$ . By substitution,

$$\begin{aligned} P \otimes_R \text{Hom}_R(P, R) &\cong \left( \bigoplus_{i=1}^{k-1} R \oplus I \right) \otimes_R \text{Hom}_R \left( \bigoplus_{i=1}^{k-1} R \oplus I, R \right) \\ &\cong \left( \bigoplus_{i=1}^{k-1} R \oplus I \right) \otimes_R \left( \bigoplus_{i=1}^{k-1} \text{Hom}_R(R, R) \oplus \text{Hom}_R(I, R) \right) \\ &\cong \left( \bigoplus_{i=1}^{k-1} R \oplus I \right) \otimes_R \left( \bigoplus_{i=1}^{k-1} R \oplus I^{-1} \right) \\ &\cong \left( \bigoplus_{i=1}^{(k-1)^2} R \right) \oplus \left( \bigoplus_{i=1}^{k-1} R \otimes_R I^{-1} \right) \oplus \left( \bigoplus_{i=1}^{k-1} R \otimes_R I \right) \oplus (I \otimes_R I^{-1}) \\ &\cong \left( \bigoplus_{i=1}^{(k-1)^2} R \right) \oplus \left( \bigoplus_{i=1}^{k-1} I^{-1} \right) \oplus \left( \bigoplus_{i=1}^{k-1} I \right) \oplus R \\ &\cong \left( \bigoplus_{i=1}^{(k-1)^2+1} R \right) \oplus \left( \bigoplus_{i=1}^{2k-2} R \right) \\ &\cong \left( \bigoplus_{i=1}^{k^2} R \right). \text{ This proves part (a).} \end{aligned}$$

For part (b), because  $P$  is a free module of finite rank over each of these rings,  $\text{Hom}_R(P, P)$  is a matrix ring over  $R$ . For a local ring  $R$ , see Theorem 12 in Chapter 9 in [6]. For a semi-local ring  $R$ , see the remark on Theorem 3.6 in [2]. For a principal ideal Dedekind domain, see Exercises 22.5 and 56.6 in [4].

**REMARK.** There exist split group algebras over those rings in the above theorem from the proof of the Brauer splitting theorem ([8], Th. 2).

**THEOREM 4.** *Let  $R$  denote a Dedekind domain,  $P$  a finitely generated and projective  $R$ -module and  $P(R)$  the class group of  $R$ . Then, for  $P \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^{k-1} R \oplus J$ , there is  $I$  in  $P(R)$  such that  $I^k = J^{-1}$  where  $k = \text{rank}(P)$  and  $J$  is in  $P(R)$  if and only if  $\text{Hom}_R(P, P)$  is a matrix ring over  $R$  of order  $k$  by  $k$ .*

*Proof.* Because  $\text{Hom}_R(P, P)$  is a matrix ring over  $R$  if and only if there exists  $I$  in  $P(R)$  such that  $P \otimes_R I \cong \bigoplus \sum_{i=1}^k R$ , a direct sum of  $k$ -copies of  $R$  (Lemma 9, [7]). But  $P \cong \bigoplus \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} R \oplus J$  for some

$$\begin{aligned} J \text{ in } P(R); \text{ so } & \left( \left( \bigoplus \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} R \right) \oplus J \right) \otimes_R I \cong \bigoplus \sum_{i=1}^k R, \\ & \left( \bigoplus \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} I \right) \oplus (J \otimes_R I) \cong \bigoplus \sum_{i=1}^k R, \\ & \left( \bigoplus \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} I \right) \oplus (J \cdot I) \cong \bigoplus \sum_{i=1}^k R \end{aligned}$$

where we use the fact that  $J \otimes_R I \cong J \cdot I$ . But

$$\left( \bigoplus \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} I \right) \oplus (J \cdot I) \cong \bigoplus \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} R \oplus I^k \cdot J;$$

then  $I^k \cdot J = R$ . So, if we can prove the fact that  $J \otimes_R I \cong J \cdot I$ , the theorem is proved. In fact, because  $J \cdot I$  is in  $P(R)$  and  $J \cdot I$  is projective and finitely generated, the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Ker}(\pi) \longrightarrow J \otimes_R I \xrightarrow{\pi} J \cdot I \longrightarrow 0$$

splits. Thus  $J \otimes_R I \cong \text{Ker}(\pi) \oplus J \cdot I$ . Let  $R_M$  denote the quotient ring with respect to a prime ideal  $M$ .

$$R_M \otimes_R (J \otimes_R I) \cong R_M \otimes_R \text{Ker}(\pi) \oplus R_M \otimes_R (J \cdot I),$$

that is,  $R_M \cong R_M \otimes_R \text{Ker}(\pi) \oplus R_M$ . Hence  $R_M \otimes_R \text{Ker}(\pi) = 0$  for all prime ideals  $M$ . On the other hand, because  $\text{Ker}(\pi)$  is finitely generated,  $\text{Ker}(\pi) = 0$  by Nakayama's lemma. This proves that  $J \otimes_R I \cong J \cdot I$ . Therefore the theorem is completed.

**COROLLARY 5.** *Keep the same notations as Theorem 4. If the rank of  $P$  and the order of  $J$  are relative prime, then  $\text{Hom}_R(P, P)$  is a matrix ring over  $R$ .*

*Proof.* It suffices to prove that there exists  $I$  in  $P(R)$  such that  $J^{-1} = I^k$  by Theorem 4. Consider the subgroup generated by  $J^k$ . Because  $k$ , the rank of  $P$  and the order of  $J$  are relative prime, this subgroup is the same as the subgroup generated by  $J$ . Hence  $J = J^{ik}$  for some  $i$  from 1 to the order of  $J$  minus 1. Thus  $I = (J^{-1})^i$  is what we want. In fact,  $I^k = (J^{-1})^{ik} = (J^{ik})^{-1} = J^{-1}$ .

**DEFINITION 3.** The subgroup of  $P(R)$ ,  $U$ , is called the  $R - Z$  group for a finitely generated and projective  $R$ -module  $P$  if  $U = \{I \text{ such that } I \text{ is in } P(R) \text{ and } I \cdot P = P\}$ . (For this group see Theorem 14 and Theorem 15 in [7]).

**THEOREM 6.** (a) Let  $R$  be a Dedekind domain and  $H = \{J \text{ such that } P \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^{k-1} R \oplus J \text{ and } \text{Hom}_R(P, P) \text{ is a matrix ring over } R \text{ where } J \text{ is in } P(R)\}$ . Then  $H$  is a subgroup of  $P(R)$ . (b) Assume the  $R - Z$  group is equal to  $P(R)$ . Then,  $P$  is a free  $R$ -module if and only if  $\text{Hom}_R(P, P)$  is a matrix ring over  $R$ .

*Proof.* For any  $J'$  and  $J''$  in  $H$ , there are  $I'$  and  $I''$  in  $P(R)$  such that  $J' \cdot (I')^k = R$  and  $J'' \cdot (I'')^k = R$  by Theorem 4. We then have  $J' \cdot J'' \cdot (I' \cdot I'')^k = (J' \cdot I'^k)(J'' \cdot I''^k) = R$ . Thus  $J' \cdot J''$  is in  $H$ . Also, for any  $J$  in  $H$ , there is  $I$  in  $P(R)$  such that  $J \cdot I^k = R$ . We then have  $J^{-1} \cdot (I^k)^{-1} = R$ , that is,  $J^{-1} \cdot (I^{-1})^k = R$ . Thus  $J^{-1}$  is in  $H$ . Therefore  $H$  is a subgroup of  $P(R)$ . This proves part (a).

For part (b), one way is clear. If  $P$  is free, then  $\text{Hom}_R(P, P)$  is a matrix ring over  $R$ . Conversely, if  $\text{Hom}_R(P, P)$  is a matrix ring over  $R$ ,  $P \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^{k-1} R \oplus J$  with  $J$  in  $H$  by Theorem 4. But the  $R - Z$  group is equal to  $P(R)$ ; then  $I^k = R$  for all  $I$  in  $P(R)$ . Thus  $H = 0$ . Therefore  $P$  is a free  $R$ -module.

**REMARK.** (a) Corollary 5 can be expressed in terms of the  $R - Z$  group as following. If the exponent of the  $R - Z$  group and the order of  $J$  is relative prime, then  $\text{Hom}_R(P, P)$  is a matrix ring over  $R$ .

(b) Theorem 4, Corollary 5, and Theorem 6 tell us the structure of  $\text{Hom}_R(P, P)$ , any component of a split group algebra. We thus have the similar structure theorems for group algebras by considering  $P_1, P_2, \dots, P_s$  and  $J_1, J_2, \dots, J_s$  in the same time where  $P_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, s$  are in the definition of a split group algebra  $RG$  with

$$P_i \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^{k_i-1} R \oplus J_i \text{ as in Theorem 4.}$$

2. Let us recall the group character of a finitely generated and projective  $RG$ -module.

**DEFINITION 4.** Let  $M$  be a finitely generated and projective  $RG$ -module with dual basis  $\{F_1, F_2, \dots, F_u; X_1, X_2, \dots, X_u\}$ . Then the group character  $T_M: G \rightarrow R$  is defined by  $T_M(g) = \sum_{i=1}^u F_i(gX_i)$  for any  $g$  in  $G$  ([8], § 2).

In this section some properties of group characters will be given. Let  $K$  be a field and  $K(\varphi)$  be  $K(\varphi(g_1), \varphi(g_2), \dots, \varphi(g_n))$  where  $\varphi$  is a group character for  $G = \{g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n\}$ . We know that  $K(\varphi)$  is a separable extension over  $K$ . In the ring case,  $R[T^i]$  can be proved as a strongly separable  $R$ -algebra where  $T^i$  is a group character for  $G$ . Finally, we point out the usual orthogonality relations on group

characters in the ring case.

**THEOREM 1.** (a) Let  $T^i$  be  $T_{P_i}$  where  $P_i$  is in the definition of a split group algebra  $RG$  (see Definition 1). Then  $T^i(g)$  is a constant for all splitting rings  $R$  with the same prime ring  $R_0$  for a given group  $G$ , where  $g$  is in  $G$ . (b)  $T^i(g)$  is a sum of  $n_i^{\text{th}}$ -roots of 1 where  $g$  is in  $G$  and  $g^{n_i} = 1_G$ , the identity of  $G$ .

*Proof.* Since  $R$  is a splitting ring for  $G$ ,  $RG \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^s \text{Hom}_R(P_i, P_i)$ . Setting  $R' = R[\sqrt[m]{1}]$  where  $\sqrt[m]{1}$  is a primitive  $m^{\text{th}}$ -root of 1 and  $m$  is the exponent of  $G$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} R'G &\cong R' \otimes_R RG \cong R' \otimes_R \left( \bigoplus_{i=1}^s \text{Hom}_R(P_i, P_i) \right) \\ &\cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^s \text{Hom}_{R'}(R' \otimes_R P_i, R' \otimes_R P_i) . \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 1 in [8],  $R'$  is also a splitting ring for  $G$ . Clearly,

$$T_{R' \otimes_R P_i} = T^i \dots (1) .$$

Next, consider  $R'' = R_0[\sqrt[m]{1}]$ . It is a splitting ring for  $G$  ([8], Th. 2); that is,  $R''G \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^s \text{Hom}_{R''}(P''_i, P''_i)$ . We then have

$$R'G \cong R' \otimes_{R''} R''G \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^s \text{Hom}_{R'}(R' \otimes_{R''} P''_i, R' \otimes_{R''} P''_i) .$$

Thus  $T_{P''_i} = T_{R' \otimes_{R''} P''_i} \dots (2)$ , and for each  $i$

$$\text{Hom}_{R'}(R' \otimes_{R''} P''_i, R' \otimes_{R''} P''_i) = \text{Hom}_{R'}(R' \otimes_R P_i, R' \otimes_R P_i) .$$

The later implies that  $R' \otimes_{R''} P''_i \cong (R' \otimes_R P_i) \otimes_{R'} J$ , where  $J$  is in the class group of  $R'$  ([7], Lemma 9). Consequently,

$$T_{R' \otimes_{R''} P''_i} = T_{R' \otimes_R P_i} \dots (3) .$$

From (1), (2) and (3),  $T^i = T_{P''_i}$ . But  $R''$  depends on  $R_0$  and  $G$  only so that  $T^i$  is a constant for all splitting rings  $R$  with the same prime ring  $R_0$  for a given group  $G$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, s$ . This proves part (a).

The proof for part (b) divides into two cases. Case 1.  $\text{Char}(R)$  is equal to  $p^r$  where  $p$  is a prime integer and  $r$  is a positive integer. Then the prime ring of  $R$  is  $Z/(p^r)$  where  $Z$  is the set of integers. Let  $\sqrt[m]{1}$  be a primitive  $m^{\text{th}}$ -root of 1 where  $m$  is the exponent of  $G$ . Then  $R' = Z/(p^r)[\sqrt[m]{1}]$  is a splitting ring for  $G$  ([8], Th. 2); that is,  $R'G \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^s \text{Hom}_{R'}(P_i, P_i)$ . Since  $R'$  is a local ring (see the proof of Theorem 2 in [8]) and  $P_i$  is a finitely generated and projective  $R'$ -module for each  $i$ ,  $P_i$  is a free  $R'$ -module for each  $i$  ([6], Th. 12 in Chapter 9). Therefore  $T^i(g)$  is a sum of  $n_i^{\text{th}}$ -roots of 1 where  $g$  is in

$G$  and  $g^{n_i} = 1_G$ , the identity of  $G$ .

$\text{Char}(R)$  is equal to 0. Then the prime ring of  $R$  is  $Z(n)$ , the quotient ring of  $Z$  with respect to the multiplicative closed set  $\{n, n^2, \dots\}$ . By the Brauer splitting theorem again,  $R' = Z(n)[\sqrt[n]{1}]$  is a splitting ring for  $G$ ; that is  $R'G \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \text{Hom}_{R'}(P_i, P_i)$ . Since  $R'$  is a principal ideal Dedekind domain,  $P_i$  is a free  $R'$ -module for each  $i$  ([4], Exercises 22.5 and 56.6). Therefore  $T^i(g)$  is a sum of  $n_i^{\text{th}}$ -roots of 1 as in Case 1.

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $R[T^i]$  denote  $R[T^i(g_1), T^i(g_2), \dots]$  where  $G$  is equal to  $\{g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n\}$ . Then  $R[T^i]$  is a strongly separable  $R$ -algebra for each  $i$ .*

*Proof.* As in the above theorem,  $R$  divides into two cases. Case 1.  $\text{Char}(R) = 0$ . Then the prime ring of  $R$  is  $Z(n)$ , the quotient ring of integers with respect to the multiplicative closed set  $\{n, n^2, \dots\}$ . We know that the quotient field of  $Z(n)[T^i(g)]$  is  $Q(T^i(g))$  for each  $g$  in  $G$  and the quotient field of  $Z(n)[\sqrt[n]{1}]$  is  $Q(\sqrt[n]{1})$ , where  $Q$  is the set of rationals. Because  $Z(n)[\sqrt[n]{1}]$  is separable over  $Z(n)$  by the Brauer splitting theorem,  $Q(\sqrt[n]{1})$  is unramified over  $Q$  ([1], Th. 2.5). But  $Q(T^i(g))$  is a subset of  $Q(\sqrt[n]{1})$  and contains  $Q$ ; so  $Q(T^i(g))$  is unramified over  $Q$  ([9], Proposition 3.2.4). Thus  $Z(n)[T^i(g)]$  is separable over  $Z(n)$  by Theorem 2.5 in [1] again. This implies that  $R \otimes_{Z(n)} Z(n)[T^i(g)]$  is a separable  $R$ -algebra ([2], Corollary 1.6); so  $R[T^i(g)]$ , the homomorphic image of  $R \otimes_{Z(n)} Z(n)[T^i(g)]$ , is also a separable  $R$ -algebra. On the other hand, because  $T^i(g)$  is integral over  $R$ ,  $R[T^i(g)]$  is a strongly separable  $R$ -algebra. Therefore  $R[T^i]$  is a strongly separable  $R$ -algebra.

Case 2.  $\text{Char}(R)$  is  $p^r$  for some prime integer  $p$  and a positive integer  $r$ . Then the prime ring of  $R$  is  $Z/(p^r)$ . We know that  $Z/(p^r)[T^i(g)]$  is a local ring with the nilpotent maximal ideal  $(p)/(p^r)[T^i(g)]$ . Also,  $Z/(p^r)[T^i(g)]$  is a Noetherian ring such that

$$(p)/(p^r)[T^i(g)] \cap Z/(p^r) = (p)/(p^r).$$

Let  $M$  denote  $(p)/(p^r)[T^i(g)]$ . Then  $(p)/(p^r) \cdot (Z/(p^r)[T^i(g)])_M$  is equal to  $M \cdot (Z/(p^r)[T^i(g)])_M$  for  $T^i(g)$  is in  $M$ ,  $(\ )_M$  is a local ring at  $M$ .

$$Z/(p^r)[T^i(g)]/(p)/(p^r)[T^i(g)] \cong Z/(p)(T^i(g))$$

is a separable  $Z/(p)$  extension. Therefore  $Z/(p^r)[T^i(g)]$  is a separable  $Z/(p^r)$ -algebra ([1], § 1). Then as in Case 1,  $R[T^i]$  is a strongly separable  $R$ -algebra by the same arguments. This proves the theorem.



REMARK. We know that an element  $\alpha$  in the separable closure of  $R$  is separable means that it satisfies a separable polynomial over  $R$ . This is also equivalent to that  $R[\alpha]$  is a separable  $R$ -algebra ([5], Lemma 2.7). Then  $T^i(g)$  is a separable element such that  $T^i(g)$  is a sum of  $n_i^{\text{th}}$ -roots of 1. Because these roots satisfy the separable polynomial,  $X^{n_i} - 1 = 0$ , all roots are also separable elements. But it is not true that a sum of separable elements is separable. The following example is due to G. J. Janusz. Let  $R$  be  $Z(2)$ , the quotient ring of  $Z$  with respect to the multiplicative closed set  $\{2, 2^2, \dots\}$ ,  $S$  be  $R[i]$  where  $i^2 = -1$ . Then  $S$  is strongly separable over  $R$ . An element  $a + ib$  is a separable element if and only if  $(a + ib) - (a - ib) = 2ib$  is invertible in  $S$  ([5], Lemma 2.1). Hence the separable elements are of the form  $a + i2^j$  where  $a$  is in  $Z(2)$  and  $j = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . Clearly,  $1 + i$  and  $1 + i2$  are separable elements but  $(1 + i) + (1 + i2) = (2 + i3)$  is not.

We conclude this section by pointing out the usual orthogonality relations on group characters as in the field case.

THEOREM 3. *If  $T^i = T_{P_i}$ , for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, s$ , then*

$$\sum_g T^i(g)T^j(g^{-1}) = n\delta_{ij},$$

where  $n$  is the order of  $G$  and  $\delta_{ij}$  is the Kronecker delta.

*Proof.* Let  $E_i$  be the  $i^{\text{th}}$ -central primitive idempotent of  $RG$ ,

$$E_i = \sum_g \frac{k_i T^i(g^{-1})}{n} g,$$

where  $k_i = \text{rank}(P_i)$  ([8], Lemma 5). Taking the characters in both sides, we have the answer.

REMARK. By using the above theorem and standard methods, the other usual orthogonality relations on group characters can be proved (see § 31 in [4]).

The author wishes to thank Professors F. R. DeMeyer and G. J. Janusz for their many valuable suggestions and discussions.

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. M. Auslander and D. Buchsbaum, *On ramification theory in Noetherian rings*, Amer. J. Math. **81** (1959), 749-765.
2. M. Auslander and O. Goldman, *The Brauer group of a commutative ring*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **97** (1960), 367-409.
3. ———, *Maximal orders*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **97** (1960), 1-24.

4. C. W. Curtis and I. Reiner, *Representation theory of finite groups and associated Algebras*, New York, Interscience, 1962.
5. G. J. Janusz, *Separable algebra over commutative rings*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **122** (1966), 461-479.
6. D. G. Northcott, *An introduction to homological algebra*, Cambridge University Press, London, 1962.
7. A. Rosenberg and D. Zelinsky, *Automorphisms of separable algebras*, Pacific J. Math. **2** (1961), 1109-1118.
8. G. Szeto, *On the Brauer splitting theorem*, Pacific J. Math. **31** (1969), 505-512.
9. E. Weiss, *Algebraic number theory*, McGraw Hill Book Co., 1963.

Received August 18, 1969.

BRADLEY UNIVERSITY  
PEORIA, ILLINOIS



# PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

## EDITORS

H. SAMELSON  
Stanford University  
Stanford, California 94305

J. DUGUNDJI  
Department of Mathematics  
University of Southern California  
Los Angeles, California 90007

RICHARD PIERCE  
University of Washington  
Seattle, Washington 98105

RICHARD ARENS  
University of California  
Los Angeles, California 90024

## ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

## SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA  
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY  
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA  
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA  
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY  
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON  
OSAKA UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO  
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH  
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON  
\* \* \*  
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY  
CHEVRON RESEARCH CORPORATION  
TRW SYSTEMS  
NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 34, No. 1

May, 1970

Johan Aarnes, Edward George Effros and Ole A. Nielsen, <i>Locally compact spaces and two classes of <math>C^*</math>-algebras</i> . . . . .	1
Allan C. Cochran, R. Keown and C. R. Williams, <i>On a class of topological algebras</i> . . . . .	17
John Dauns, <i>Integral domains that are not embeddable in division rings</i> . . . .	27
Robert Jay Daverman, <i>On the number of nonpiercing points in certain crumpled cubes</i> . . . . .	33
Bryce L. Elkins, <i>Characterization of separable ideals</i> . . . . .	45
Zbigniew Fiedorowicz, <i>A comparison of two naturally arising uniformities on a class of pseudo-PM spaces</i> . . . . .	51
Henry Charles Finlayson, <i>Approximation of Wiener integrals of functionals continuous in the uniform topology</i> . . . . .	61
Theodore William Gamelin, <i>Localization of the corona problem</i> . . . . .	73
Alfred Gray and Paul Stephen Green, <i>Sphere transitive structures and the triality automorphism</i> . . . . .	83
Charles Lemuel Hagopian, <i>On generalized forms of aposyndesis</i> . . . . .	97
J. Jakubík, <i>On subgroups of a pseudo lattice ordered group</i> . . . . .	109
Cornelius W. Onneweer, <i>On uniform convergence for Walsh-Fourier series</i> . . . . .	117
Stanley Joel Osher, <i>On certain Toeplitz operators in two variables</i> . . . . .	123
Washek (Vaclav) Frantisek Pfeffer and John Benson Wilbur, <i>On the measurability of Perron integrable functions</i> . . . . .	131
Frank J. Polansky, <i>On the conformal mapping of variable regions</i> . . . . .	145
Kouei Sekigawa and Shûkichi Tanno, <i>Sufficient conditions for a Riemannian manifold to be locally symmetric</i> . . . . .	157
James Wilson Stepp, <i>Locally compact Clifford semigroups</i> . . . . .	163
Ernest Lester Stitzinger, <i>Frattini subalgebras of a class of solvable Lie algebras</i> . . . . .	177
George Szeto, <i>The group character and split group algebras</i> . . . . .	183
Mark Lawrence Teply, <i>Homological dimension and splitting torsion theories</i> . . . . .	193
David Bertram Wales, <i>Finite linear groups of degree seven. II</i> . . . . .	207
Robert Breckenridge Warfield, Jr., <i>An isomorphic refinement theorem for Abelian groups</i> . . . . .	237
James Edward West, <i>The ambient homeomorphy of an incomplete subspace of infinite-dimensional Hilbert spaces</i> . . . . .	257
Peter Wilker, <i>Adjoint product and hom functors in general topology</i> . . . . .	269
Daniel Eliot Wulbert, <i>A note on the characterization of conditional expectation operators</i> . . . . .	285