

# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**A NOTE ON THE CHARACTERIZATION OF CONDITIONAL  
EXPECTATION OPERATORS**

DANIEL ELIOT WULBERT

## A NOTE ON THE CHARACTERIZATION OF CONDITIONAL EXPECTATION OPERATORS

DANIEL E. WULBERT

**Let  $(X, \Sigma, \mu)$  be an arbitrary measure space. A complete characterization is presented for the norm one positive projections  $P$  of  $L^1(X, \Sigma, \mu)$  into itself such that  $\|f\|_\infty \geq \|Pf\|_\infty$  for each essentially bounded, summable function  $f$ .**

If  $(X, \Sigma, \mu)$  is a probability measure space it is known [1], [2], and [4] that such operators coincide precisely with the conditional expectation operators defined on  $L^1$  (see definitions below). In this note we show that this characterization extends to an arbitrary measure space. The proof presented here is a direct, constructive proof requiring only basic measure theory. Although this extension is not unexpected, it does not seem to be a consequence of the methods used in the case for the finite measure spaces. An independent proof for this result, using the ergodic theory of Markov processes, was found by S. Foguel. Also extensions to arbitrary measure spaces of related theorems in [1], have recently been done by L. Tzafriri [5].

**DEFINITION.** Let  $(X, \Sigma, \mu)$  be a measure space. Let  $\Sigma_0$  be a  $\sigma$ -subring of  $\Sigma$ . We call a projection  $P$  on  $L^1(X, \Sigma, \mu)$  a *conditional expectation operator* with respect to  $\Sigma_0$  if  $Pf$  is  $\Sigma_0$  measurable for all  $f$ , and if for each  $U$  in  $\Sigma_0$  we have

$$\int_U Pf d\mu = \int_U f d\mu.$$

Clearly a conditional expectation operator is positive projection of norm one.

*Notation.* Let  $P$  be a norm one positive projection from  $L^1(X, \Sigma, \mu)$  onto  $E$ . Let

$$\Sigma_0 = \{K \subseteq X : K = \text{supp } f, f \geq 0, f \text{ in } E\}.$$

We use  $\text{supp } f$  to denote the support of a function  $f$ . The characteristic function of a set  $A$  is written  $1_A$ .

**LEMMA 1.**  *$E$  is a lattice.*

*Proof.* Let  $f$  and  $g$  be in  $E$ . Since  $f \vee g$  dominates  $f$  and  $g$ ,  $P(f \vee g)$  dominates  $f, g$  and hence  $f \vee g$ . Since  $\|f \vee g\| \geq \|P(f \vee g)\|$ ,

we have that  $f \vee g = P(f \vee g)$ .

LEMMA 2.  $\sum_0$  is a  $\sigma$ -ring.

*Proof.* We need to show that countable unions of members of  $\sum_0$  are in  $\sum_0$ , and differences of members in  $\sum_0$  are in  $\sum_0$ . Suppose  $U_i$  is in  $\sum_0$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ . Let  $f_i$  be norm one positive functions in  $E$  whose support is in  $U_i$ . Then  $f = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (1/2)^i f_i$  is a positive function in  $E$  whose support is  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} U_i$ .

Suppose  $U$  and  $V$  are in  $\sum_0$ , and are the supports of positive function  $f$  and  $g$  in  $E$ .

Let

$$f_n = (f - ng) \vee 0.$$

Let

$$f'(x) = \begin{cases} f(x) & \text{for } x \text{ in } U - V \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

From the dominated convergence theorem  $f_n$  converges to  $f'$ . Thus  $U - V$  is in  $\sum_0$ .

LEMMA 3. Suppose  $f$  vanishes off some member of  $\sum_0$ . The following is true.

- (i)  $P(|f|) = 0$  implies  $f = 0$ .
- (ii) if  $K$  is in  $\sum_0$  then  $P(1_K f) = 1_K P(f)$ .
- (iii)  $\int_K P f d\mu = \int_K f d\mu$  for all  $K$  in  $\sum_0$ .

*Proof.* We will assume that  $f$  is nonnegative. Suppose  $g$  is a nonnegative member of  $E$  such that  $f$  vanishes off  $\text{supp}(g)$ . Since  $f \wedge ng$  increases monotonically to  $f$ , it suffices to assume that  $f$  is bounded by a member of  $E$ . We will assume therefore that  $0 \leq f \leq g$ .

(i)  $P(g - f) = g$  so  $\|g\| \leq \|g - f\|$ , but  $0 \leq g - f \leq g$ . Therefore  $f = 0$ .

(ii) Suppose that  $h$  is a nonnegative member of  $E$  such that  $\text{supp } h = K$ . Since  $g \wedge nh$  converges monotonically to  $1_K g$ , it follows that  $1_K g$  is in  $K$ .

Now  $0 \leq P(1_K f) \leq P(1_K g) = 1_K g$ . Hence  $P(1_K f)$  vanishes off  $K$ , and  $P(1_K f) \leq 1_K P(f)$ . We also have  $1_K g - P(1_K f) = P(1_K(g - f)) \leq 1_K P(g - f) = 1_K g - 1_K P(f)$ . Thus  $1_K P f = P(1_K f)$ .

(iii)  $\int_K f d\mu = \|1_K f\| \geq \|P(1_K f)\| = \int_K P f d\mu$ . Similarly

$$\begin{aligned} \int g d\mu - \int_K f d\mu &= \int_K (g - f) d\mu = \| \mathbf{1}_K (g - f) \| \\ &\geq \| P(\mathbf{1}_K (g - f)) \| = \int_K (Pg - Pf) d\mu. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\int_K Pf d\mu = \int_K f d\mu$ .

For the remainder of the paper we will also assume that for each essentially bounded  $f$  in  $L^1$ ,  $\| f \|_\infty \geq \| Pf \|_\infty$ .

LEMMA 4. *Each member of  $E$  is  $\Sigma_0$ -measurable.*

*Proof.* We first show that  $g \wedge c$  is in  $E$  for each constant function  $c$  and each  $g$  in  $E$ . It suffices to prove this assertion for positive functions  $g$  and for  $c > 0$ . However this is almost obvious for since  $P$  is positive  $g \geq P(g \wedge c) \geq 0$ , and from the hypothesis  $c \geq P(g \wedge c)$ . Thus  $g \wedge c \geq P(g \wedge c)$ . Now with  $K = \text{supp } g$  Lemma 3 (iii) implies that  $g \wedge c = P(g \wedge c)$ .

It follows that for any  $c$ ,  $g - g \wedge c$  is in  $E$ . Hence if  $g$  is a positive function in  $E$ , the set  $\{x \text{ in } X : g(x) > c\}$  is also the support of  $g - g \wedge c$ , and thus is in  $\Sigma_0$ . Hence  $Pf$  is  $\Sigma_0$  measurable for each  $f$  in  $L^1$ .

PROPOSITION.  *$P$  is the conditional expectation operator with respect to  $\Sigma_0$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $f$  be in  $L^1$ . Let  $r = \sup \left\{ \int_K |f| d\mu : K \text{ in } \Sigma_0 \right\}$ . Let  $K$  be a member of  $\Sigma_0$  such that  $\int_K |f| d\mu = r$ . Writing  $f = \mathbf{1}_K f + (f - \mathbf{1}_K f)$  we see that  $f$  is the sum of a function which vanishes off a member of  $\Sigma_0$  and a function which vanishes on each member of  $\Sigma_0$ . Thus in view of all the previous lemmas it remains only to show that  $Pf = 0$  if  $f$  vanishes on each member of  $\Sigma_0$ . We may assume that  $f$  is bounded and nonnegative. Since the support of  $nPf$  is in  $\Sigma_0$ , and since  $f$  vanishes on all members of  $\Sigma_0$ , we have

$$\| f + nPf \|_\infty = \max (\| f \|_\infty, n \| Pf \|_\infty),$$

but

$$\| f + nPf \|_\infty \geq \| P(f + nPf) \|_\infty = (n + 1) \| Pf \|_\infty.$$

This implies that  $\| Pf \|_\infty = 0$ .

REMARKS. The hypothesis that  $\| f \|_\infty \geq \| Pf \|_\infty$  is equivalent to the assumption that  $P(\mathbf{1}_A) \leq 1$  for all sets  $A$  of finite measure.

The referee has pointed out that the main result in this note is valid for norm one projections defined on  $L_p$  spaces. Besides the proofs presented here, one would also use the fact that there do not exist two distinct norm one projections of a smooth space onto a subspace. (For  $L_p$  spaces this result is in [1]. For smooth spaces a proof is in [6, Lemma 1]). The organization of this note was also suggested by the referee, and adapts to  $L_p$  operators more readily than the original.

#### REFERENCES

1. T. Ando, *Contractive projections in  $L_p$  spaces*, Pacific J. Math. **17** (1966), 391-405.
2. R.G. Douglas, *Contractive projections on an  $L_1$  space*, Pacific J. Math. **15** (1965), 443-462.
3. Shu-Teh Chen. Moy, *Characterizations of conditional expectation as a transformation of function spaces*, Pacific J. Math. **4** (1954), 47-64.
4. G.L. Seever, *Nonnegative projections on  $C_0(X)$* , Pacific J. Math. **17** (1966), 159-166.
5. L. Tzafriri, *Remarks on contractive projections in  $L_p$ -spaces*, Israel J. Math. (to appear)
6. D.E. Wulbert, *Convergence of operators and Korovkin's theorem*, J. Approximation theory, **1** (1968), 381-390.

Received February 18, 1969. This research was sponsored by National Science Foundation Grant No. GP-8175.

UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON  
SEATTLE, WASHINGTON

# PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

## EDITORS

H. SAMELSON  
Stanford University  
Stanford, California 94305

J. DUGUNDJI  
Department of Mathematics  
University of Southern California  
Los Angeles, California 90007

RICHARD PIERCE  
University of Washington  
Seattle, Washington 98105

RICHARD ARENS  
University of California  
Los Angeles, California 90024

## ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

## SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA  
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY  
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA  
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA  
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY  
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON  
OSAKA UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO  
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH  
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON  
\* \* \*  
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY  
CHEVRON RESEARCH CORPORATION  
TRW SYSTEMS  
NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 34, No. 1

May, 1970

Johan Aarnes, Edward George Effros and Ole A. Nielsen, <i>Locally compact spaces and two classes of <math>C^*</math>-algebras</i> . . . . .	1
Allan C. Cochran, R. Keown and C. R. Williams, <i>On a class of topological algebras</i> . . . . .	17
John Dauns, <i>Integral domains that are not embeddable in division rings</i> . . . .	27
Robert Jay Daverman, <i>On the number of nonpiercing points in certain crumpled cubes</i> . . . . .	33
Bryce L. Elkins, <i>Characterization of separable ideals</i> . . . . .	45
Zbigniew Fiedorowicz, <i>A comparison of two naturally arising uniformities on a class of pseudo-PM spaces</i> . . . . .	51
Henry Charles Finlayson, <i>Approximation of Wiener integrals of functionals continuous in the uniform topology</i> . . . . .	61
Theodore William Gamelin, <i>Localization of the corona problem</i> . . . . .	73
Alfred Gray and Paul Stephen Green, <i>Sphere transitive structures and the triality automorphism</i> . . . . .	83
Charles Lemuel Hagopian, <i>On generalized forms of aposyndesis</i> . . . . .	97
J. Jakubík, <i>On subgroups of a pseudo lattice ordered group</i> . . . . .	109
Cornelius W. Onneweer, <i>On uniform convergence for Walsh-Fourier series</i> . . . . .	117
Stanley Joel Osher, <i>On certain Toeplitz operators in two variables</i> . . . . .	123
Washek (Vaclav) Frantisek Pfeffer and John Benson Wilbur, <i>On the measurability of Perron integrable functions</i> . . . . .	131
Frank J. Polansky, <i>On the conformal mapping of variable regions</i> . . . . .	145
Kouei Sekigawa and Shûkichi Tanno, <i>Sufficient conditions for a Riemannian manifold to be locally symmetric</i> . . . . .	157
James Wilson Stepp, <i>Locally compact Clifford semigroups</i> . . . . .	163
Ernest Lester Stitzinger, <i>Frattini subalgebras of a class of solvable Lie algebras</i> . . . . .	177
George Szeto, <i>The group character and split group algebras</i> . . . . .	183
Mark Lawrence Teply, <i>Homological dimension and splitting torsion theories</i> . . . . .	193
David Bertram Wales, <i>Finite linear groups of degree seven. II</i> . . . . .	207
Robert Breckenridge Warfield, Jr., <i>An isomorphic refinement theorem for Abelian groups</i> . . . . .	237
James Edward West, <i>The ambient homeomorphy of an incomplete subspace of infinite-dimensional Hilbert spaces</i> . . . . .	257
Peter Wilker, <i>Adjoint product and hom functors in general topology</i> . . . . .	269
Daniel Eliot Wulbert, <i>A note on the characterization of conditional expectation operators</i> . . . . .	285