Pacific Journal of Mathematics

ON THE OSCILLATION OF SOLUTIONS OF A CLASS OF LINEAR FOURTH ORDER DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

SHAIR AHMAD

Vol. 34, No. 2

June 1970

ON THE OSCILLATION OF SOLUTIONS OF A CLASS OF LINEAR FOURTH ORDER DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

SHAIR AHMAD

The purpose of this paper is to study the oscillation properties of the solutions of the differential equation

$$(1) y^{(4)} = p(t)y$$

on $(-\infty, \infty)$, where p(t) is positive and continuous for all t. Three different types of oscillation are considered, and necessary and sufficient conditions are given for the existence of solutions of each type.

Oscillatory behavior of solutions of (1) has been studied by a number of people (see [5]). Leighton and Nehari [3] have studied a slightly more general class of self-adjoint linear differential equations of fourth order and have given a number of results concerning oscillation of solutions of (1). Hastings and Lazer [2] have shown that under the additional assumptions $P \in C'$ $[a, \infty)$, p(t) > 0 and $p'(t) \ge 0$, (1) has two linearly independent oscillatory solutions which are bounded on $[a, \infty)$. If further, $\lim_{t\to\infty} p(t) = \infty$, then all oscillatory solutions of (1) tend to zero.

We shall distinguish between oscillation in the positive sense and that in the negative sense. A nontrivial solution of (1) is called *positively* (negatively) oscillatory if its set of zeros is not bounded above (below). It is called *fully oscillatory* if its set of zeros is neither bounded above nor below. It is called *positively* (negatively) (fully) nonoscillatory if it is not positively (negatively) (fully) oscillatory.

In order to gain some motivation, we consider the simple example

(2)
$$y^{(4)} = y$$
,

whose solutions are of the form $y = c_1e^x + c_2e^{-x} + c_3 \sin x + c_4 \cos x$. We note that $y = e^{-x} + \sin x$ is a positively oscillatory solution of (2) which is not negatively oscillatory. Similarly, $y = e^x + \sin x$ is a negatively oscillatory solution which is not positively oscillatory. Further, $y = \sin x$ and $y = \cos x$ are fully oscillatory.

We shall show that corresponding to the solutions $y = e^x$ and $y = e^{-x}$ of (2) there are solutions w and z of (1), respectively, such that w(t), w'(t), w''(t), w''(t) > 0 for all $t \in (-\infty, \infty)$ and z(t) > 0, z'(t) < 0, z''(t) > 0, and z'''(t) < 0 for $t \in (-\infty, \infty)$. Under an additional assumption it is shown that any other solutions w_1 and z_1

with these properties must be constant multiples of w and z, respectively. The main theorem concerning positive oscillation states that (1) has a positively oscillatory solution if and only if every positively nonoscillatory solution y of (1) has the property that either there exists a number t_0 such that sgn $y = {
m sgn} y^{(j)}$, j = 1, 2, 3, for all $t \ge t_o$, or sgn $y^{(j)} \neq$ sgn $y^{(j+1)}$, j = 0, 1, 2, for all $t \in (-\infty, \infty)$. It then follows that if (1) has one positively oscillatory solution, it has three linearly independent positively oscillatory solutions. Two of these have the property that every solution which is a nontrivial linear combination of these two is also positively oscillatory. However, as suggested by the solutions of (2), given any three linearly independent solutions of (1), some linear combination of them is positively nonoscillatory. It is also shown that duals of the theorems concerning positively oscillatory solutions of (1) hold for the negatively oscillatory solutions. Finally, a necessary and sufficient condition is given for the existence of a fully oscillatory solution of (1) and it is shown that if (1) has one fully oscillatory solution, then it has two linearly independent fully oscillatory solutions such that the zeros of any two independent linear combinations of these solutions separate on $(-\infty, \infty)$. Further, any nontrivial linear combination of these two solutions is also fully oscillatory. As suggested by the solutions of (2), if y_1 and y_2 are any two independent linear combinations of these two solutions, then $y_1^{(i)}$ and $y_2^{(i)}$ cannot have common zeros, i = 0, 1, 2, 3.

2. Positive oscillation. First we state two lemmas. See [2] and [3] for proofs.

LEMMA 1. If y(t) is a nontrivial solution of (1) and a is a number such that y(a), y'(a), y''(a), $y'''(a) \ge 0$, then y(t), y'(t), y''(t), y'''(t) > 0 for all t > a.

LEMMA 2. If y(t) is a nontrival solution of (1) and a is number such that $y(a) \ge 0$, $y'(a) \le 0$, $y''(a) \ge 0$ and $y'''(a) \le 0$, then y(t) > 0, y'(t) < 0, y''(t) > 0 and y'''(t) < 0 for all t < a.

We note if y is a solution of (1), then so is -y. Hence it follows from Lemma 1 that y(a), y'(a), y''(a), $y'''(a) \leq 0$ (but not all zero) implies y(t), y'(t), y''(t), y'''(t) < 0 for all t > a. Similarly, it follows from Lemma 2 that if y is a nontrivial solution such that $y(a) \leq 0$, $y'(a) \geq 0$, $y''(a) \leq 0$ and $y'''(a) \geq 0$, then y(t) < 0, y'(t) > 0, y''(t) < 0 and y'''(t) > 0 for all t < a.

Throughout the remainder of this paper we let z_0, z_1, z_2 , and z_3 denote solutions of (1) defined on $(-\infty, \infty)$ by the initial conditions

$$z_{i^{(j)}}(0)=\delta_{ij}=egin{cases} 0,\ i
eq j\ 1,\ i=j \end{cases}$$

for i, j = 0, 1, 2, 3.

THEOREM 1. There exists a solution w of (1) such that w(t), w'(t), w''(t), w''(t) > 0 for all t.

Proof. For each natural number n, let c_{on} , c_{1n} , c_{2n} and c_{3n} be numbers satisfying

Let $y_n = c_{0n}z_0 + c_{1n}z_1 + c_{2n}z_2 + c_{3n}z_3$. The existence of numbers c_{0n}, c_{1n}, c_{2n} , and c_{3n} , satisfying the above conditions, is easy to verify. For one can choose such numbers satisfying the first three equations and the last equation. Since z_0, z_1, z_2 and z_3 are linearly independent, y_n is a nontrivial solution of (1). It follows from the Uniqueness Theorem that $y_n''(-n) \neq 0$. If $y_n'''(-n) < 0$, then $-c_{0n}, -c_{1n}, -c_{2n}$, and $-c_{3n}$ satisfy the required conditions.

Since for each natural number n, $c_{0n}^2 + c_{1n}^2 + c_{2n}^2 + c_{3n}^2 = 1$, there exists a sequence n_k of natural numbers such that the sequences $\{c_{on_k}\}, \{c_{1n_k}\}, \{c_{2n_k}\}$ and $\{c_{3n_k}\}$ converge to numbers c_0, c_1, c_2 and c_3 , respectively, satisfying

$$c_0^2 + c_1^2 + c_2^2 + c_3^2 = 1$$
 .

Let $w = c_0 z_0 + c_1 z_1 + c_2 z_2 + c_3 z_3$. Now, suppose that $w^{(j)}(t_0) < 0$ for some j = 0, 1, 2, 3, and for some number t_0 . Then, since $\{y_{n_k}^{(j)}(t_0)\}$ converges to $w^j(t_0)$, there exists a natural number N such that $y_{n_k}^{(j)}(t) < 0$ for all $n_k > N$. But this leads to a contradiction since for $-n_k < t_0$, $y_{n_k}^{(j)}(t_0) > 0$ by Lemma 1, as $y_{n_k}^{(j)}(-n_k) \ge 0$ for all j = 0, 1, 2, 3. This shows that $w^{(j)}(t) \ge 0$ for all j = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, and all t. Further, since w is a nontrivial solution, there is no number τ such that $w^{(j)}(\tau) = 0$ for all j = 0, 1, 2, 3. Therefore, it follows that w satisfies the requirements of the theorem.

THEOREM 2. There exists a solution z(t) of (1) such that z(t) > 0, z'(t) < 0, z''(t) > 0 and z'''(t) < 0 for all t.

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Theorem 1. We modify

each y_n to satisfy the conditions $y_n(n) = y'_n(n) = y''_n(n) = 0$ and $y'''_n(n) < 0$, and use Lemma 2.

Throughout this paper, w and z represent the solutions of (1) given in Theorems (1) and (2), respectively.

LEMMA 3. If y is a positively nonoscillatory solution of (1) which has a zero in common with some positively oscillatory solution of (1), then there exists a number t_0 such that y, y', y'' and y''' have the same constant sign on $[t_0, \infty)$.

Proof. Since y is a positively nonoscillatory solution of (1), there exists a number t_0 such that y, y', y'' and y''' are each of constant sign on $[t_0, \infty)$. Let u be a positively oscillatory solution and τ a number such that $u(\tau) = y(\tau) = 0$. An application of Rolle's Theorem to the function u/y shows that there exists a number $s > t_0$ such that

$$u'(s)y(s) - u(s)y'(s) = 0$$
.

Hence there exist nonzero constants c_1 and c_2 satisfying

Let Y(t) be the solution of (1) given by

$$Y(t) = c_1 u(t) + c_2 y(t)$$
.

Since u is positively oscillatory and y is not, $Y \equiv 0$. Thus we can nothave Y''(s) = Y'''(s) = 0. Further, sgn Y''(s) = sgn Y'''(s). For, otherwise, Y would be of constant sign to the left of s, by Lemma 2, contradicting $Y(\tau) = 0$. Hence, sgn $Y(t) = \text{sgn } Y^{(j)}(t)$, j = 1, 2, 3, for all t > s, by Lemma 1. In order to complete the proof of this lemma, it is sufficient to show that sgn y''(t) = sgn y'''(t) on $[t_0, \infty)$. Suppose sgn $y''(t) \neq \text{sgn } y'''(t)$ on $[t_0, \infty)$. Then y'' is bounded on $[t_0, \infty)$. But this is impossible since u is positively oscillatory and sgn $Y''(t) = \text{sgn } Y^{(4)}(t)$ for t > s implies that $\lim_{t\to\infty} |Y''(t)| = \infty$. This completes the proof.

THEOREM 3. The following two conditions are equivalent:

(A) There exists a positively oscillatory solution of (1).

(B) If y is any positively nonoscillatory solution of (1), then either there exists a number t_0 such that

$$\operatorname{sgn} y(t) = \operatorname{sgn} y^{(j)}(t), \ \ j = 1, 2, 3,$$

for all $t \geq t_0$, or

 ${
m sgn} \; y^{_{(j)}}(t)
eq {
m sgn} \; y^{_{(j+1)}}(t), \;\; j = 0, 1, 2$,

for all $t \in (-\infty, \infty)$.

Proof. Assume (A) holds, and let y be a positively nonoscillatory solution. Then there exists a number t_0 such that y, y', y'' and y''' are each of constant sign on $[t_0, \infty)$. We note that if $\operatorname{sgn} y^{(j)}(t) \neq \operatorname{sgn} y^{(j+1)}(t), j = 0, 1, 2$, for all $t \in (t_0, \infty]$, then $\operatorname{sgn} y^{(j)}(t) \neq \operatorname{sgn} y^{(j+1)}(t), j = 0, 1, 2$, for all $t \in (-\infty, \infty)$, by Lemma 2. Let u be a positively oscillatory solution and $s > t_0$ with u(s) = 0. Let c be a nonzero constant such that

$$y(s) + cz(s) = 0$$

Let Y = y + cz. If $Y \equiv 0$, then y satisfies condition (B). Suppose $Y \equiv 0$. Assume, without loss of generality that y > 0 on $[t_0, \infty)$. It is easy to verify that if y does not satisfy condition (B), then we must have y, y', y'' > 0 and y''' < 0 on $[t_0, \infty)$. Thus, $\lim_{t\to\infty} y(t) = \infty$, and consequently, Y is positively nonoscillatory since z is bounded on $[t_0, \infty)$. Hence by Lemma 3, there exists a number t_1 such that sgn $Y = \text{sgn } Y^{(j)}$, j = 1, 2, 3, for $t > t_1$. It follows that $\lim_{t\to\infty} |Y''(t)| = \infty$ since sgn Y''' =sgn $Y^{(4)}$ for $t > t_1$. But this is a contradiction since y'' and z'' are both bounded on $[t_0, \infty)$, as y'' y''' < 0 and z'' z''' < 0. This completes the proof of the first half of Theorem 3.

In order to prove that (B) implies (A), we construct two linearly independent positively oscillatory solutions u^+ and v^+ similar to what was done in [2]. Some of the details have to be modified since we do not require p(t) to satisfy the same hypothesis as in [2]. For the sake of completeness we go through the construction of u^+ and v^+ .

For each natural number n, let b_{0n} , b_{3n} , c_{2n} and c_{3n} be numbers satisfying

(3)
$$b_{0n}^2 + b_{3n}^2 = c_{2n}^2 + c_{3n}^2 = 1$$
,

(4)
$$b_{0n}z_0(n) + b_{3n}z_3(n) = 0$$
,

(5)
$$c_{2n}z_2(n) + c_{3n}z_3(n) = 0$$
.

Define $u_n(t)$ and $v_n(t)$ to be the solutions of (1) given by

$$u_n(t) = b_{0n}z_0(t) + b_{3n}z_3(t)$$
 ,
 $v_n(t) = c_{2n}z_2(t) + c_{3n}z_3(t)$.

By (3) there exists a sequence $\{n_k\}$ of natural numbers and numbers b_0 , b_3 , c_2 , c_3 such that the sequences $\{b_{0n_k}\}$, $\{b_{3n_k}\}$, $\{c_{2n_k}\}$, and $\{c_{3n_k}\}$ converge to b_0 , b_3 , c_2 and c_3 , respectively, where $b_0^2 + b_3^2 = c_2^2 + c_3^2 = 1$. Let u^+ and v^+ be the solutions of (1) given by

$$u^+(t) = b_0 z_0(t) + b_3 z_3(t) \; , \ v^+(t) = c_2 z_2(t) + c_3 z_3(t) \; .$$

Suppose u^+ is positively nonoscillatory. Since (B) holds and $(u^+)'(0) = 0$, there exists a number t_0 such that for all $t > t_0$,

$$\operatorname{sgn} u^+(t) = \operatorname{sgn} (u^+)^{(j)}(t), \ j = 1, 2, 3$$
.

Let τ be any number greater than t_0 . Since $\{u_{n_k}(\tau)\}, \{u'_{n_k}(\tau)\}, u''_{n_k}(\tau)$, and $u'''_{n_k}(\tau)$ converge to $u^+(\tau), u^{(+)}(\tau), (u^+)''(\tau)$, and $(u^+)'''(\tau)$, respectively, there exists a natural number N such that

$$\operatorname{sgn} u_{n_k}(\tau) = \operatorname{sgn} u_{n_k}^{(j)}(\tau), \ j = 1, 2, 3$$
,

for all $n_k > N$. Hence, by Lemma 1,

$$\operatorname{sgn} u_{n_k}(t) = \operatorname{sgn} u_{n_k}{}^{(j)}(t), \ j = 1, 2, 3,$$

for all $t > \tau$ and $n_k > N$. But this is a contradiction since $u_{n_k}(n_k) = 0^{\circ}$ for all natural numbers n_k . Therefore, u^+ is positively oscillatory. Simillarly, v^+ is also positively oscillatory (note: $v^+(0) = 0$). This completes the proof of Theorem 3.

COROLLARY. If (1) has a positively oscillatory solution and y is any positively nonoscillatory solution, then either $\lim_{t\to\infty} |y(t)| = \infty$ or $\lim_{t\to\infty} |y(t)| = \infty$.

REMARK. An argument, similar to the one given to show that u^+ is positively oscillatory, can be given to show that any nontrivial linear combination of u^+ and v^+ is positively oscillatory.

We note that u^+ and v^+ are linearly independent since, otherwise, we would have $u^+ = kz_s$, $k \neq 0$; contradicting the fact that u^+ is positively oscillatory.

THEOREM 4. If (1) has one positively oscillatory solution, then it has three linearly independent positively oscillatory solutions.

Proof. The existence of one positively oscillatory solution implies condition (B) of Theorem 3 and hence the existence of two linearly independent positively oscillatory solutions u^+ and v^+ , as constructed in the proof of Theorem 3. In order to find a third positively oscillatory solution, let c be a nonzero constant satisfying

$$u^{+}(0) + cz(0) = 0$$
.

Let $y^+(t) = u^+(t) + cz(t)$. Suppose y^+ is positively nonoscillatory. Since

condition (B) holds and $y^+(0) = 0$, there exists a number t_0 such that for all $t > t_0$,

$$\operatorname{sgn} y^+(t) = \operatorname{sgn} (y^+)^{(j)}(t), \ j = 1, 2, 3.$$

Therefore, $\lim_{t\to\infty} |y^+(t)| = \infty$, which is a contradiction since u^+ is positively oscillatory and z is bounded on $[t_0, \infty)$. That y^+, u^+ , and v^+ are linearly independent follows. For, otherwise, z would be a linear combination of u^+ and v^+ and hence positively oscillatory.

REMARK. We note that in view of Theorems (3) and (4), condition (B) is equivalent to the existence of three linearly independent positively oscillatory solutions.

The following theorem gives a necessary condition for the essential uniqueness of the solution z(t).

THEOREM 5. Suppose that (1) has no positively oscillatory solution. If y is any solution satisfying

$$\operatorname{sgn} y^{(j)}(t) \neq \operatorname{sgn} y^{(j+1)}(t), \ j = 0, 1, 2$$
.

for all t, then y = c z for some constant c.

Proof. Suppose that y and z are linearly independent. Let c be a constant such that z(0) + cy(0) = 0. Let Y = z + cy. Since Y is positively nonoscillatory, there exists a number t_0 such that none of Y, Y', Y'' and Y''' change sign on $[t_0, \infty)$. Assume, without loss of generality that Y and, hence, $Y^{(4)}$ are positive on $[t_0, \infty)$. We note that Y is bounded on this interval since y and z are both bounded. Therefore, we must have Y''' < 0, Y'' > 0 and Y' < 0 for all $t \ge t_0$. But this contradicts the fact that Y(0) = 0, thus proving the theorem.

THEOREM 6. Let y_1 , y_2 and y_3 be any three linearly independent solutions of (1). If (1) has a positively oscillatory solution, then some linear combination of y_1 , y_2 and y_3 is positively nonoscillatory.

Proof. It is easy to verify that w, z, u^+ and v^+ form a basis for the solutions of (1), where u^+ and v^+ are the positively oscillatory solutions of Theorem 3. For, z is bounded on $[0, \infty)$ and $\lim_{t\to\infty} w(t) = \infty$; while, w is bounded on $(-\infty, 0]$ and $\lim_{t\to-\infty} z(t) = \infty$. Hence y_1, y_2 and y_3 can be written as

$$egin{array}{lll} y_1 &= a_1 u^+ + a_2 v^+ + a_3 w + a_4 z \;, \ y_2 &= b_1 u^+ + b_2 v^+ + b_3 w + b_4 z \;, \end{array}$$

$$y_3 = c_1 u^+ + c_2 v^+ + c_3 w + c_4 z$$
.

Let d_1 , d_2 and d_3 be numbers (not all zero) satisfying

Then $y = d_1y_1 + d_2y_2 + d_3y_3$ is a linear combination of w and z and hence positively nonoscillatory.

3. Negative oscillation. We note that y(t) is a negatively oscillatory solution of (1) if and only if Y(t) is a positively oscillatory solution of

(1')
$$Y^{(4)} = PY$$
,

where Y(t) = y(-t) and P(t) = p(-t). Further,

$$\operatorname{sgn} y(t) = \operatorname{sgn} y^{(j)}(t), \ j = 1, 2, 3$$

for all $t \in (-\infty, t_0]$ (and hence for t, by Lemma 1) if and only if

$${
m Sgn} \,\, Y^{(j)}(t)
eq {
m sgn} \,\, Y^{(j+1)}(t), \,\,\, j=0,\,1,\,2$$

for all $t \in [-t_0, \infty)$ (and hence for all t, by Lemma 2). Similarly,

$${
m sgn} \; y^{(j)}(t)
eq {
m sgn} \; y^{(j+1)}(t), \; j=0,\,1,\,2$$
 ,

for all $t \in (-\infty, t_0]$ if and only if

sgn
$$Y(t) = \text{sgn } Y^{(j)}(t), \ j = 1, 2, 3$$
,

for all $t \in [-t_0, \infty)$.

Thus, one can reduce the study of negatively oscillatory solutions of (1) to that of positively oscillatory solutions. We now state the duals of the preceding theorems concerning positively oscillatory solutions for negatively oscillatory solutions. The proofs follow from the above observations and the results established in the previous section.

LEMMA 3'. If y is a negatively nonoscillatory solution of (1) which has a zero in common with some negatively oscillatory solution of (1), then there exists a number t_0 such that

$$\operatorname{sgn} y^{(j)}(t) \neq \operatorname{sgn} y^{(j+1)}(t), \ j = 0, 1, 2$$
,

for all $t \in (-\infty, t_0]$.

THEOREM 3'. The following two conditions are equivalent:

(A') There exists a negatively oscillatory solution of (1).

(B') If y is a negatively nonoscillatory solution of (1), then either there exists a number t_0 such that

$$\operatorname{sgn} y^{(j)}(t) \neq \operatorname{sgn} y^{(j+1)}(t), \ j = 0, 1, 2,$$

for all $t \in (-\infty, t_0]$, or

$$\operatorname{sgn} y = \operatorname{sgn} y^{(j)}, \ j = 1, 2, 3$$

for all $t \in (-\infty, \infty)$.

THEOREM 4'. If (1) has one negatively oscillatory solution, then it has three linearly independent negatively oscillatory solutions.

THEOREM 5'. Suppose that (1) has no negatively oscillatory solution. If y is any solution such that

$$\operatorname{sgn} y(t) = \operatorname{sgn} y^{(j)}(t), \ j = 0, 1, 2,$$

for all t, then y = cw for some constant c.

THEOREM 6'. Let y_1 , y_2 and y_3 be any three linearly independent solutions of (1). If (1) has a negatively oscillatory solution, then some linear combination of y_1 , y_2 and y_3 is negatively nonoscillatory.

4. Full oscillation.

THEOREM 7. The following two conditions are equivalent: (A") There exists a fully oscillatory solution of (1). (B") Conditions (B) and (B') both hold.

Prooof. The fact that (A'') implies (B'') follows from Theorems (3) and (3'). Suppose that (B'') holds. For each natural number n, let c_{0n} , c_{1n} and c_{2n} be numbers satisfying

$$egin{aligned} &c_{0n}z_0(n)+c_{1n}z_1(n)+c_{2n}z_2(n)=0\ ,\ &c_{0n}z_0(-n)+c_{1n}z_1(-n)+c_{2n}z_2(-n)=0\ ,\ &c_{0n}^2+c_{1n}^2+c_{2n}^2=1\ . \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, let b_{1n} , b_{2n} and b_{3n} be numbers satisfying

$$egin{array}{lll} b_{1n}z_1(n) + b_{2n}z_2(n) + b_{3n}z_3(n) &= 0 \;, \ b_{1n}z_1(-n) + b_{2n}z_2(-n) + b_{3n}z_3(-n) &= 0 \;, \ b_{1n}^2 + b_{2n}^2 + b_{3n}^2 &= 1 \;. \end{array}$$

Define $U_n(t)$ and $V_n(t)$ to be the solutions of (1) given by

SHAIR AHMAD

$$egin{aligned} U_n(t) &= c_{0n} z_0(t) + c_{1n} z_1(t) + c_{2n} z_2(t) \;, \ V_n(t) &= b_{1n} z_1(t) + b_{2n} z_2(t) + b_{3n} z_3(t) \;. \end{aligned}$$

There exist subsequences $\{c_{on_k}\}$, $\{c_{1n_k}\}$ and $\{c_{2n_k}\}$ of $\{c_{0n}\}$, $\{c_{1n}\}$ and $\{c_{2n}\}$, respectively, and numbers c_0 , c_1 and c_2 such that

$$egin{array}{lll} \lim_{n_k o\infty}\ \{c_{0n_k}\} &= c_0,\ \lim_{n_k o\infty}\ \{c_{1n_k}\} &= c_1\ ,\ &\lim_{n_k o\infty}\ \{c_{2n_k}\} &= c_2\ ,\ &c_0^2 + c_1^2 + c_2^2 &= 1\ . \end{array}$$

Let $U(t) = c_0 z_0(t) + c_1 z_1(t) + c_2 z_2(t)$. One can verify, using an argument similar to the ones used in proofs of Theorems (3) and (3'), that U is fully oscillatory.

The solutions $V_n(t)$ give rise to a solution V(t) of the form

$$V(t) = b_1 z_1(t) + b_2 z_2(t) + b_3 z_3(t)$$
,

which is fully oscillatory. Similarly, any linear combination of U and V is also fully oscillatory.

REMARK. The solutions U and V of Theorem 7 are linearly independent. To see this it is sufficient to show that $c_0 \neq 0$. Suppose $c_0 = 0$, so that $U = c_1 z_1 + c_2 z_2$. Since U(0) = U'''(0) = 0, either sgn $U^{(j)} = \text{sgn } U^{(j+1)}$, j = 1, 2, 3, for all t > 0, or sgn $U^{(j)} \neq \text{sgn } U^{(j+1)}$, j = 0, 1, 2, for all t < 0. Hence U is not fully oscillatory, a contradiction.

THEOREM 8. Let U and V be the solutions of Theorem 7. If Y_1 and Y_2 are two independent linear combinations of U and V, then $Y_1^{(j)}$ and $Y_2^{(j)}$ cannot have any common zeros, j = 0, 1, 2, 3.

Proof. Suppose that $Y_1^{(j)}(s) = Y_2^{(j)}(s) = 0$ for some j = 0, 1, 2, and some number s. Then there exist nonzero constants c_1 and c_2 satisfying

(6)
$$c_1 Y_1^{(j)}(s) + c_2 Y_2^{(j)}(s) = 0$$
,

(7)
$$c_1 Y_1^{(j+1)}(s) + c_2 Y_2^{(j+1)}(s) = 0$$
.

Let $Y = c_1 Y_1 + c_2 Y_2$. Then by Lemmas (1) and (2), Y is either positively or negatively nonoscillatory; contradicting the fact that every linear combination of U and V is fully oscillatory. If j = 3, the proof is similar; we replace (7) by

(7')
$$c_1 Y_1^{(j-1)}(s) + c_2 Y_2^{(j-1)}(s) = 0$$
.

THEOREM 9. If (1) has one fully oscillatory solution, then it has two independent fully oscillatory solutions U and V such that every nontrivial linear combination of U and V is fully oscillatory, and the zeros of any two independent linear combinations of U and V separate on $(-\infty, \infty)$. Further, U, V, z and w form a basis for the solutions of (1).

Proof. We simply prove that the zeros of any two independent linear combinations Y_1 and Y_2 of U and V separate on $(-\infty, \infty)$, since the remaining assertions are now obvious. Assuming that Uand V are the solutions of Theorem 7, let t_1 and $t_2(t_1 < t_2)$ be any two consecutive zeros of Y_1 . Suppose that Y_2 has no zero between t_1 and t_2 . Then by Theorem 8, Y_2 does not vanish in the interval $[t_1, t_2]$. Thus, $(Y_1/Y_2)'$, and hence $Y_2Y_1' - Y_1Y_2'$, vanishes at some point s between t_1 and t_2 . Therefore, there exist nonzero constants c_1 and c_2 satisfying

$$c_{1}Y_{1}(s)+c_{2}Y_{2}(s)=0\;,\ c_{1}Y_{1}'(s)+c_{2}Y_{2}'(s)=0\;.$$

But this leads to a contradiction, since the solution $y = c_1Y_1 + c_2Y_2$ cannot be fully oscillatory by Lemmas (1) and (2).

REMARK. Since U, V, w and z form a basis for the solutions of (1), it follows that any fully oscillatory solution of (1) which is bounded on $(-\infty, \infty)$ must be a linear combination of U and V. One might conjecture that every fully oscillatory solution is a linear combination of U and V. We note that this is not true for positively or negatively oscillatory solutions. In fact, $y = \sin x + e^{-x}$ is a positively oscillatory solution of (2) which is not a linear combination of $\sin x$ and $\cos x$.

References

1. W. B. Fite, Concerning the zeros of the solutions of certain differential equations, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 19 (1917), 341-352.

2. S. P. Hastings and A. C. Lazer, On the asymptotic behavior of solutions of the differential equation $y^{(4)} = p(t) y$, Czech. Math. J. **93** (1968), 224-229.

3. Walter Leighton and Zeev Nehari, On the oscillation of solutions of self-adjoint linear differential equations of the fourth order, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **89** (1958), 325-377.

4. M. Svec, Sur les dispersions de l'equation $y^{iv} + Q(x)y = 0$, Czech. Math. J. 5 (1955), 29-60.

5. C. A. Swanson, Comparison and oscillation theory of linear differential equations, Academic Press, New York, 1968.

Receiveed January 16, 1970.

OKLAHOMA STATE UNIVERSITY

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

H. SAMELSON Stanford University Stanford, California 94305

J. DUGUNDJI Department of Mathematics University of Southern California Los Angeles, California 90007

RICHARD ARENS

University of California Los Angeles, California 90024

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH	B. H. NEUMANN	F. WOLE	K. YOSHIDA
	SUPPORTING	INSTITUTIONS	5
UNIVERSITY OF BRI	TISH COLUMBIA	STANFORD UN	IVERSITY
CALIFORNIA INSTIT	UTE OF TECHNOLOGY	UNIVERSITY C	OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA		UNIVERSITY O)F UTAH
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY		WASHINGTON	STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEW	ADA	UNIVERSITY C	F WASHINGTON
NEW MEXICO STATE	UNIVERSITY	* *	*
OREGON STATE UNI	VERSITY	AMERICAN MA	ATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
UNIVERSITY OF ORE	GON	CHEVRON RES	EARCH CORPORATION
OSAKA UNIVERSITY		TRW SYSTEMS	
UNIVERSITY OF SOU	THERN CALIFORNIA	NAVAL WEAP	ONS CENTER

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal. but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. The editorial "we" must not be used in the synopsis, and items of the bibliography should not be cited there unless absolutely necessary, in which case they must be identified by author and Journal, rather than by item number. Manuscripts, in duplicate if possible, may be sent to any one of the four editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Rev. Index to Vol. **39**. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, Richard Arens, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

50 reprints are provided free for each article; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is published monthly. Effective with Volume 16 the price per volume (3 numbers) is \$8.00; single issues, \$3.00. Special price for current issues to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: \$4.00 per volume; single issues \$1.50. Back numbers are available.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley, California, 94708.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), 7-17, Fujimi 2-chome, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan.

RICHARD PIERCE University of Washington Seattle, Washington 98105

Pacific Journal of Mathematics Vol. 34, No. 2 June, 1970

Shair Ahmad, On the oscillation of solutions of a class of linear fourth order differential equations	289
Leonard Asimow and Alan John Ellis, <i>Facial decomposition of linearly</i>	
compact simplexes and separation of functions on cones	301
Kirby Alan Baker and Albert Robert Stralka, <i>Compact, distributive lattices of</i>	211
	311
James W. Cannon, Sets which can be missed by side approximations to	221
spheres	321
Prem Chandra, Absolute summability by Riesz means	335
Francis T. Christoph, Free topological semigroups and embedding topological	2.42
semigroups in topological groups	343
Henry Bruce Cohen and Francis E. Sullivan, <i>Projecting onto cycles in smooth</i> ,	255
reflexive Banach spaces	300
John Dauns, Power series semigroup rings	365
Robert E. Dressler, A density which counts multiplicity	371
Kent Ralph Fuller, <i>Primary rings and double centralizers</i>	379
Gary Allen Gislason, On the existence question for a family of products	385
Alan Stuart Gleit, On the structure topology of simplex spaces	389
William R. Gordon and Marvin David Marcus, An analysis of equality in	
certain matrix inequalities. I	407
Gerald William Johnson and David Lee Skoug, Operator-valued Feynman	
integrals of finite-dimensional functionals	415
(Harold) David Kahn, <i>Covering semigroups</i>	427
Keith Milo Kendig, Fibrations of analytic varieties	441
Norman Yeomans Luther, Weak denseness of nonatomic measures on perfect, locally compact spaces	453
Guillermo Owen. The four-person constant-sum games: Discriminatory	155
solutions on the main diagonal	461
Stephen Parrott Unitary dilations for commuting contractions	481
Roy Martin Pakestraw Extranal alements of the convex cone A of	401
functions $A_n = A_n = A_n = A_n$	491
Peter Lewis Repz Intersection representations of graphs by arcs	501
William Henry Puckle, Representation and series summability of complete	501
biorthogonal sequences	511
E Dennis Sentilles The strict topology on hounded sets	520
Scheron Sheleh A note on Hanf numbers	541
Sanaron Shehan, A note on Hunj numbers	541
rings	547
Imgs	547
Kenneur S. williams, Finite transformation formulae involving the Legendre	550
<i>symuot</i>	559