

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

ABSOLUTE SUMMABILITY BY RIESZ MEANS

PREM CHANDRA

ABSOLUTE SUMMABILITY BY RIESZ MEANS

PREM CHANDRA

In this paper we ensure the absolute Riesz summability of Lebesgue-Fourier series under more liberal conditions imposed upon the generating function of Lebesgue-Fourier series and by taking more general type of Riesz means than whatever the present author has previously taken in proving the corresponding result. Also we give a refinement over the criterion previously proved by author himself.

1. **Definitions and notations.** Let $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n$ be a given infinite series with the sequence of partial sums $\{s_n\}$. Throughout the paper we suppose that

$$(1.1) \quad \lambda_n = \mu_0 + \mu_1 + \mu_2 + \cdots + \mu_n \longrightarrow \infty, \text{ as } n \longrightarrow \infty.$$

The sequence-to-sequence transformation

$$(1.2) \quad t_n = \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{\nu=0}^n \mu_{\nu} s_{\nu},$$

defines the Riesz means of sequence $\{s_n\}$ (or the series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n$) of the type $\{\lambda_{n-1}\}$ and order unity.¹ If $t_n \rightarrow s$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, the sequence $\{s_n\}$ is said to be summable $(R, \lambda_{n-1}, 1)$ to the sum s and if, in addition, $\{t_n\} \in BV$,² then it is said to be absolutely summable $(R, \lambda_{n-1}, 1)$, or summable $|R, \lambda_{n-1}, 1|$ and symbolically we write $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \in |R, \lambda_{n-1}, 1|$.

The series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \in |R, \lambda_{n-1}, 1|$, if

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left| \frac{\Delta \lambda_n}{\lambda_n \lambda_{n+1}} \sum_{\nu=0}^n \lambda_{\nu} a_{\nu+1} \right| < \infty.$$

Let $f(t)$ be a periodic function with period 2π and integrable in the sense of Lebesgue over $(-\pi, \pi)$. Without any loss of generality the constant term of the Lebesgue-Fourier series of $f(t)$ can be taken to be zero, so that

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(t) dt = 0,$$

and

$$f(t) \sim \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a_n \cos nt + b_n \sin nt) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n(t).$$

¹ It is some-times called (\bar{N}, μ_n) mean, or (R, μ_n) mean, or Riesz's discrete mean of 'type' λ_{n-1} and 'order' unity and is, in fact, equivalent to the usually known $(R, \lambda_{n-1}, 1)$ mean. An explicit proof of it is contained in Iyer [6]. Also see Dikshit [3].

² ' $\{t_n\} \in BV$ ' means $\sum_n |\Delta t_n| < \infty$, when $\Delta t_n = t_n - t_{n+1}$.

We use the following notations:

$$(1.3) \quad \phi(t) = \frac{1}{2}\{f(x+t) + f(x-t)\}.$$

$$(1.4) \quad A(t) = \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t u d\phi(u).$$

$$(1.5) \quad K(n, t) = \sum_{\nu=0}^n \frac{\lambda_\nu}{(\nu+1)} \sin(\nu+1)t.$$

2. Introduction. Recently the present author [2] has established the following theorem concerning the absolute Riesz summability of Lebesgue-Fourier series of the type $\exp(n^\alpha)$ ($0 < \alpha < 1$) and order unity.

THEOREM A. *If (i) $\phi(t) \in BV(0, \pi)$ and (ii) $A(t)(\log k/t)^{1+\varepsilon} \in BV(0, \pi)$, where $\varepsilon > 0$ and $k \geq \pi e^2$, then $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n(x) \in |R, \exp(n^\alpha), 1|$ ($0 < \alpha < 1$).*

By using the technique, which Mohanty [7] used in establishing the criterion for the absolute convergence of a Lebesgue-Fourier series at a point, which is the analogue for absolute convergence of the classical Hardy-Littlewood convergence criterion [4, 5], we have recently established the following:

THEOREM B. *If (i) $\phi(t) \in BV(0, \pi)$, (ii) $A(t)(\log k/t)^{1+\varepsilon} \in BV(0, \pi)$, where $\varepsilon > 0$, $k \geq \pi e^2$ and (iii) $\{n^\alpha A_n(x)\} \in BV$, for $0 < \alpha < 1$, then $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |A_n(x)| < \infty$.*

The purpose of this paper is to ensure the absolute Riesz summability of Lebesgue-Fourier series under more liberal condition imposed upon the generating function of Lebesgue-Fourier series and taking more general type of Riesz means.

We first prove the following general theorem.

THEOREM 1. *Let, for $0 < \alpha < 1$, the strictly increasing sequences $\{\lambda_n\}$ and $\{g(n)\}$, of nonnegative terms, tending to infinity with n , satisfy the following conditions:*

$$(2.1) \quad \log \frac{\pi}{t} = O\{g(k/t)\}; \text{ as } t \rightarrow 0,$$

$$(2.2) \quad \{\lambda_n/(n+1)\} \nearrow \text{ with } n \geq n_0,$$

$$(2.3) \quad n^{1-\alpha} \Delta \lambda_n = O\{\lambda_{n+1}\}; \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

$$(2.4) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{(i)} \quad \{x/g(x)\} \nearrow \text{ with } x, \\ \text{(ii)} \quad x \frac{d}{dx} \left(\frac{1}{g(k/x)} \right) \nearrow \text{ with } x, \\ \text{(iii)} \quad \frac{d}{dx} \left(\frac{1}{g(k/x)} \right) \searrow \text{ with } x. \end{array} \right.$$

$$(2.5) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{(i)} \quad \left[\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{1}{g(k/t)} \right) \right]_{t=1/n} = O\{n/g(n)\}, \\ \text{(ii)} \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (ng(n))^{-1} < \infty. \end{array} \right.$$

Then, if $\phi(t) \in BV(0, \pi)$ and $\Lambda(t)g(k/t) \in BV(0, \pi)$, the series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n(x) \in |R, \lambda_{n-1}, 1|,$$

where k is a suitable positive constant such that $g(k/t) > 0$ for $t > 0$.

3. We shall use the following order-estimates, uniformly in $0 < t \leq \pi$.

$$(3.1) \quad K(n, t) = O\{t^{-1}\lambda_n/(n + 1)\}.$$

$$(3.2) \quad \int_0^t \frac{\sin(n + 1)u}{ug(k/u)} du = O\{1/g(n + 1)\}.$$

$$(3.3) \quad \int_0^t \sin(n + 1)u \frac{d}{du} \left(\frac{1}{g(k/u)} \right) du = O\{1/g(n + 1)\}.$$

Proof of 3.1. By using Abel's Lemma and (2.2), the proof follows.

Proof of 3.2. Case (I). When $(n + 1)^{-1} \leq t$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t \frac{\sin(n + 1)u}{ug(k/u)} du &= \left(\int_0^{(n+1)^{-1}} + \int_{(n+1)^{-1}}^t \right) \frac{\sin(n + 1)u}{ug(k/u)} du \\ &= I_1 + I_2, \text{ say.} \end{aligned}$$

Now, since $|\sin(n + 1)u| \leq (n + 1)u$, we have

$$I_1 = O\left\{ (n + 1) \int_0^{(n+1)^{-1}} \frac{1}{g(k/u)} du \right\} = O\{1/g(n + 1)\}.$$

And, by the second mean value theorem and (2.4)(i) we have

$$I_2 = O\{1/g(n + 1)\}.$$

Case (II). When $(n + 1)^{-1} > t$, we have

$$\int_0^t \frac{\sin(n+1)u}{ug(k/u)} du = \left(\int_0^{(n+1)^{-1}} - \int_t^{(n+1)^{-1}} \right) \frac{\sin(n+1)u}{ug(k/u)} du = I_1 - I'_2, \text{ say .}$$

Proceeding as in I_1 , for I'_2 , we obtain

$$I'_2 = O\{1/g(n+1)\} .$$

This completes the proof.

Proof of (3.3). In view of (2.4)(ii), (2.4)(iii) and (2.5)(i), the proof runs parallel to that of (3.2).

4. We require the following lemmas, for the proof of the theorems.

LEMMA 1. *If $F(x) \in BV(a, b)$, then it can be expressed as $(F_1(x) - F_2(x))$ where $F_1(x)$ and $F_2(x)$ are positive, bounded and monotonic increasing functions in (a, b) (see Carslaw [1], p. 83).*

LEMMA 2 (Pati [8]). *If (i) $\sum_{n=1}^\infty a_n \in |R, \lambda_n, k|(k > 0)$, (ii) $\{\lambda_n/\lambda_{n+1}\} \in BV$ and (iii) $\{a_n \lambda_n / (\lambda_n - \lambda_{n-1})\} \in BV$, then $\sum_{n=1}^\infty |a_n| < \infty$.*

5. **Proof of Theorem 1.** We have

$$\begin{aligned} A_n(x) &= \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \phi(t) \cos ntdt \\ &= \frac{2}{\pi} \left[\frac{\sin nt}{n} \phi(t) \right]_0^\pi - \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \frac{\sin nt}{n} d\phi(t) \\ &= -\frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \frac{\sin nt}{n} d\phi(t) \\ &= -\frac{2}{\pi} \left[\frac{\sin nt}{n} \Lambda(t) \right]_0^\pi + \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \Lambda(t) t \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{\sin nt}{nt} \right) dt \\ &= \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \Lambda(t) g(k/t) \frac{t}{g(k/t)} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\frac{\sin nt}{nt} \right) dt , \end{aligned}$$

integrating by parts.

In view of Lemma 1 and second mean value theorem, the series $\sum_{n=1}^\infty A_n(x) \in |R, \lambda_{n-1}, 1|$, if

$$\Sigma = \sum_{n=0}^\infty \left| \frac{\Delta \lambda_n}{\lambda_n \lambda_{n+1}} \sum_{\nu=0}^n \frac{\lambda_\nu}{(\nu+1)} \int_0^t \frac{u}{g(k/u)} \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \left(\frac{\sin(\nu+1)u}{u} \right) du \right| = O(1) ,$$

uniformly in $0 < t \leq \pi$. And, now

$$\int_0^t \frac{u}{g(k/u)} \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \left(\frac{\sin(\nu + 1)u}{u} \right) du = \frac{\sin(\nu + 1)t}{g(k/t)} - \int_0^t \frac{\sin(\nu + 1)u}{ug(k/u)} du - \int_0^t \sin(\nu + 1)u \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \left(\frac{1}{g(k/u)} \right) du .$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma &\leq \frac{1}{g(k/t)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left| \frac{\Delta\lambda_n}{\lambda_n \lambda_{n+1}} k(n, t) \right| \\ &+ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left| \frac{\Delta\lambda_n}{\lambda_n \lambda_{n+1}} \sum_{\nu=0}^n \frac{\lambda_\nu}{(\nu + 1)} \int_0^t \frac{\sin(\nu + 1)u}{ug(k/u)} du \right| \\ &+ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left| \frac{\Delta\lambda_n}{\lambda_n \lambda_{n+1}} \sum_{\nu=0}^n \frac{\lambda_\nu}{(\nu + 1)} \int_0^t \sin(\nu + 1)u \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \left(\frac{1}{g(k/u)} \right) du \right| \\ &= \Sigma_1 + \Sigma_2 + \Sigma_3, \text{ say .} \end{aligned}$$

Now, we write, for $T = [t^{-1/(1-\alpha)}]$

$$\Sigma_1 = \sum_{n=0}^{T-1} + \sum_{n=T}^{\infty} = \Sigma_{1,1} + \Sigma_{1,2}, \text{ say .}$$

Since $\sin(\nu + 1)t = O(1)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_{1,1} &= O \left\{ \frac{1}{g(k/t)} \sum_{n=0}^{T-1} \left| \frac{\Delta\lambda_n}{\lambda_n \lambda_{n+1}} \sum_{\nu=0}^n \frac{\lambda_\nu}{(\nu + 1)} \right| \right\} \\ &= O \left\{ \frac{1}{g(k/t)} \sum_{\nu=0}^{T-1} \frac{\lambda_\nu}{(\nu + 1)} \sum_{n=\nu}^{T-1} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_n} - \frac{1}{\lambda_{n+1}} \right) \right\} \\ &= O \left\{ \frac{1}{g(k/t)} \sum_{\nu=0}^{T-1} \frac{1}{\nu + 1} \right\} \\ &= O(1) , \end{aligned}$$

by (2.1), uniformly in $0 < t \leq \pi$. And, by (3.1),

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_{1,2} &= O \left\{ \frac{t^{-1}}{g(k/t)} \sum_{n=T}^{\infty} \left| \frac{\Delta\lambda_n}{(n + 1)\lambda_{n+1}} \right| \right\} \\ &= O \left\{ \frac{t^{-1}}{g(k/t)} \sum_{n=T}^{\infty} (n + 1)^{\alpha-2} \right\} \\ &\quad \text{(by (2.3))} \\ &= O \left\{ \frac{t^{-1}}{g(k/t)} T^{\alpha-1} \right\} \\ &= O(1) , \end{aligned}$$

uniformly in $0 < t \leq \pi$. And, by (3.2), we have

$$\Sigma_2 = O \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left| \frac{\Delta\lambda_n}{\lambda_n \lambda_{n+1}} \sum_{\nu=0}^n \frac{\lambda_\nu}{(\nu + 1)g(\nu + 1)} \right| \right\}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= O\left\{\sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \frac{\lambda_{\nu}}{(\nu+1)g(\nu+1)} \sum_{n=\nu}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_n} - \frac{1}{\lambda_{n+1}}\right)\right\} \\
&= O\left\{\sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(\nu+1)g(\nu+1)}\right\} \\
&= O(1),
\end{aligned}$$

by (2.5)(ii), uniformly in $0 < t \leq \pi$. Also, by using (3.3), we get

$$\sum_3 = O(1),$$

uniformly in $0 < t \leq \pi$.

This terminates the proof of Theorem 1.

6. In this section we give a criterion for the absolute convergence of Lebesgue-Fourier series at a point. First we consider the following corollary of Theorem 1.

COROLLARY. *If (i) $\phi(t) \in BV(0, \pi)$ and (ii) $A(t)g(k/t) \in BV(0, \pi)$, then $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n(x) \in |R, \exp(n^\alpha), 1|(0 < \alpha < 1)$, whenever $g(k/t)$ stands for any one of the following functions:*

$$\left(\log \frac{k}{t}\right)^{1+c}, \log \frac{k}{t} \left(\log_2 \frac{k}{t}\right)^{1+c}, \dots, \log \frac{k}{t} \log_2 \frac{k}{t} \dots \left(\log_p \frac{k}{t}\right)^{1+c}$$

where $\log_p k/t = \log \log_{p-1} k/t$, $\log_1 k/t = \log k/t$, $c > 0$, and k is any suitable positive constant such that $g(k/\pi) > 0$.

THEOREM 2. *If (i) $\phi(t) \in BV(0, \pi)$, (ii) $A(t)g(k/t) \in BV(0, \pi)$ and (iii) $\{n^{1-\alpha}A_n(x)\} \in BV$ for $0 < \alpha < 1$, then $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |A_n(x)| < \infty$, where $g(k/t)$ is as defined as in the above corollary.*

Proof of Theorem 2. Mohanty (7) observed that for $\lambda_n = e^{n^\alpha}$ sequences (i) $\{\lambda_n/\lambda_{n+1}\} \in BV$ and (ii) $\{n^{\alpha-1}\lambda_n \setminus (\lambda_n - \lambda_{n-1})\} \in BV$ and hence the conditions (ii) and (iii) of Lemma 2 are satisfied. Thus, in view of the above corollary, the proof follows by Lemma 2.

I feel greatly indebted to the referee for his valuable comments and suggestions.

REFERENCES

1. H. S. Carslaw, *Introduction to the theory of Fourier's series and integrals*, New York, 1930.
2. P. Chandra, *Absolute Riesz summability and a new criterion for the absolute convergence of a Fourier series*, *Rivista Matematica di Parma* (forth-coming).
3. G. D. Dikshit, *On inclusion relation between Riesz and Nörlund means*, *Indian J.*

Math. **7** (1965), 73-82.

4. G. H. Hardy and J. E. Littlewood, *Notes on the theory of series* (XVII): *Some new convergence criteria for Fourier series*, J. London Math. Soc. **7** (1932), 252-256.

5. ———, *Some new convergence criteria for Fourier series*, Annals Scuola Norm Sup. di Pisa (2) **3** (1934), 43-62.

6. A. V. V. Iyer, *An inclusion theorem for two methods of absolute summability*, J. of Math. **1** (1965), 61-67.

7. R. Mohanty, *A criterion for the absolute convergence of a Fourier series*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (2) **51** (1950), 186-196.

8. T. Pati, *A Tauberian theorem for absolute summability*, Math. Zeit. **61** (1954), 74-78.

Received November 21, 1969, and in revised form January 27, 1970.

GOVT. SCIENCE COLLEGE
JABALPUR, M.P., INDIA

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

H. SAMELSON
Stanford University
Stanford, California 94305

J. DUGUNDJI
Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, California 90007

RICHARD PIERCE
University of Washington
Seattle, Washington 98105

RICHARD ARENS
University of California
Los Angeles, California 90024

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLE

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
* * *
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
CHEVRON RESEARCH CORPORATION
TRW SYSTEMS
NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. The editorial "we" must not be used in the synopsis, and items of the bibliography should not be cited there unless absolutely necessary, in which case they must be identified by author and Journal, rather than by item number. Manuscripts, in duplicate if possible, may be sent to any one of the four editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Rev. Index to Vol. 39. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, Richard Arens, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

50 reprints are provided free for each article; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is published monthly. Effective with Volume 16 the price per volume (3 numbers) is \$8.00; single issues, \$3.00. Special price for current issues to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: \$4.00 per volume; single issues \$1.50. Back numbers are available.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley, California, 94708.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), 7-17, Fujimi 2-chome, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan.

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 34, No. 2

June, 1970

Shair Ahmad, <i>On the oscillation of solutions of a class of linear fourth order differential equations</i>	289
Leonard Asimow and Alan John Ellis, <i>Facial decomposition of linearly compact simplexes and separation of functions on cones</i>	301
Kirby Alan Baker and Albert Robert Stralka, <i>Compact, distributive lattices of finite breadth</i>	311
James W. Cannon, <i>Sets which can be missed by side approximations to spheres</i>	321
Prem Chandra, <i>Absolute summability by Riesz means</i>	335
Francis T. Christoph, <i>Free topological semigroups and embedding topological semigroups in topological groups</i>	343
Henry Bruce Cohen and Francis E. Sullivan, <i>Projecting onto cycles in smooth, reflexive Banach spaces</i>	355
John Dauns, <i>Power series semigroup rings</i>	365
Robert E. Dressler, <i>A density which counts multiplicity</i>	371
Kent Ralph Fuller, <i>Primary rings and double centralizers</i>	379
Gary Allen Gislason, <i>On the existence question for a family of products</i>	385
Alan Stuart Gleit, <i>On the structure topology of simplex spaces</i>	389
William R. Gordon and Marvin David Marcus, <i>An analysis of equality in certain matrix inequalities. I</i>	407
Gerald William Johnson and David Lee Skoug, <i>Operator-valued Feynman integrals of finite-dimensional functionals</i>	415
(Harold) David Kahn, <i>Covering semigroups</i>	427
Keith Milo Kendig, <i>Fibrations of analytic varieties</i>	441
Norman Yeomans Luther, <i>Weak denseness of nonatomic measures on perfect, locally compact spaces</i>	453
Guillermo Owen, <i>The four-person constant-sum games; Discriminatory solutions on the main diagonal</i>	461
Stephen Parrott, <i>Unitary dilations for commuting contractions</i>	481
Roy Martin Rakestraw, <i>Extremal elements of the convex cone A_n of functions</i>	491
Peter Lewis Renz, <i>Intersection representations of graphs by arcs</i>	501
William Henry Ruckle, <i>Representation and series summability of complete biorthogonal sequences</i>	511
F. Dennis Sentilles, <i>The strict topology on bounded sets</i>	529
Saharon Shelah, <i>A note on Hanf numbers</i>	541
Harold Simmons, <i>The solution of a decision problem for several classes of rings</i>	547
Kenneth S. Williams, <i>Finite transformation formulae involving the Legendre symbol</i>	559