

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

POWER SERIES SEMIGROUP RINGS

JOHN DAUNS

POWER SERIES SEMIGROUP RINGS

JOHN DAUNS

A general method is given for constructing power series rings with exponents in a semigroup that need not be cancellative. Supports of power series need not be finite unions of inversely well ordered sets.

1. Semigroups. The objective is to introduce the usual power series multiplication in subsets bigger than the semigroup ring.

NOTATION 1.1. For a semigroup Γ and any ring R consider functions $\alpha: \Gamma \rightarrow R$, or alternatively, $\alpha = \sum \alpha(s)s$ with $\alpha(s) \in R$. Define the *support* of α —written as $\text{supp } \alpha$ —to be the set

$$\text{supp } \alpha = \{s \in \Gamma \mid \alpha(s) \neq 0\}.$$

Let $P = P(\Gamma, R) = P(\Gamma)$ denote all α such that $\text{supp } \alpha$ is finite, i.e., the semigroup ring.

1.2. If in addition Γ is a partially ordered set (notation: *po-set*), let $W = W(\Gamma, R) = W(\Gamma)$ be the abelian group of all those α whose support $\text{supp } \alpha$ is the join of a finite number of inversely well ordered sets.

DEFINITION 1.3. A semigroup Γ is a *block semigroup* if there exists a set $\{\Gamma(k) \mid k \in I\}$ of subsets of Γ , called *blocks*, such that $\Gamma = \bigcup \{\Gamma(k) \mid k \in I\}$, where each block is a *po-set*, and the following four conditions are satisfied.

(1) For any index n , there are only a finite number of ordered pairs (i, j) satisfying $\Gamma(i)\Gamma(j) \cap \Gamma(n) \neq \emptyset$. Furthermore, there exists some $\Gamma(k) \supseteq \Gamma(n)$ such that the order on $\Gamma(n)$ is that induced from $\Gamma(k)$ and

$$\Gamma(i)\Gamma(j) \cap \Gamma(n) \neq \emptyset \implies \Gamma(i)\Gamma(j) \subseteq \Gamma(k).$$

(2) For any $s, t \in \Gamma(i)$ and $\bar{s}, \bar{t} \in \Gamma(j)$, then

$$s \leq t, \bar{s} \leq \bar{t} \implies s\bar{s} \leq t\bar{t}$$

holds in the order on $\Gamma(k)$ for any k such that $\Gamma(i)\Gamma(j) \subseteq \Gamma(k)$.

(3) If $s, t, u, \bar{s} \in \Gamma(i)$ for some i , then

$$s \leq t \leq \bar{s}, s \leq u \leq \bar{s} \implies t < u \text{ or } u \leq t.$$

(4) Suppose $\{s(1) \geq s(2) \geq \dots \geq s(n) \geq \dots\} \subset \Gamma(i)$,

$$\{t(1) \geq t(2) \geq \dots \geq t(n) \geq \dots\} \subset \Gamma(j)$$

are nonincreasing sequences for some i and j with

$$s(1)t(1) = s(2)t(2) = \dots = s(n)t(n) = \dots .$$

Then both sequences are eventually constant.

A block semigroup will be said to be *coherent* if in addition to (1)–(4), also

(5) the union of the orders in the blocks is a partial order on Γ .

Frequently, Γ is a *po*-semigroup to begin with (i.e., $s < t$, $\bar{s} \leq \bar{t} \Rightarrow s\bar{s} \leq t\bar{t}$ and $\bar{s}s \leq \bar{t}t$) and then the blocks are defined as certain subsets, where the order on the blocks is that inherited from Γ . Then the blocks induce a partial semigroup order on Γ that is smaller than the original one.

DEFINITION 1.4. A subset of a *po*-set is a *W-set* if it is a union of a finite number of inversely well ordered sets. If Γ is a block semigroup, then $W \subset \Gamma$ will be called a *partial W-set* if for any k the set $W \cap \Gamma(k)$ is a *W-set* in the *po*-set $\Gamma(k)$. Let $L = L(\Gamma, R) = L(\Gamma)$ be the group of all α such that $\text{supp } \alpha$ is a partial *W-set*.

The proof of the next lemma is motivated by [1; p. 76]. Note that condition (iii) below implies that $A \cup B$ and AB are contained inside a finite number of the $\Gamma(k)$.

LEMMA 1.5. *If Γ is a block semigroup and if A and B are any partial W -sets, then:*

(i) $\{(a, b) \in A \times B \mid ab = s\}$ is finite for each $s \in \Gamma$;

(ii) AB is a partial *W-set*;

(iii) *If Γ is also coherent and A and B are W -sets, then so is AB .*

Proof. If $(i(p), j(p))$ with $p = 1, \dots, m$ are the finite number of ordered pairs of indices for which $\Gamma(i(p))\Gamma(j(p)) \cap \Gamma(n) \neq \emptyset$ for some index n , and if $\Gamma(k) \supseteq \Gamma(n)$ is as in 1.3 (1), then

$$AB \cap \Gamma(n) \subseteq \bigcup \{[A \cap \Gamma(i(p))][B \cap \Gamma(j(p))] \mid p = 1, \dots, m\} \subseteq \Gamma(k) .$$

Thus if one of (i)–(iii) fails for A, B , then it already fails for some sets of the form $A \cap \Gamma(i(p)), B \cap \Gamma(j(p))$ contained in single blocks, i.e., we can assume $A \subseteq \Gamma(i(p))$ and $B \subseteq \Gamma(j(p))$ for some p . Furthermore, $A = A \cap \Gamma(i(p)) = A(1) \cup \dots \cup A(r)$ and $B = B \cap \Gamma(j(p)) = B(1) \cup \dots \cup B(s)$ where each $A(i)$ and $B(j)$ is a chain. Thus $AB =$

$\bigcup \{A(i)B(j) \mid i = 1, \dots, r; j = 1, \dots, s\}$, and by 1.3 (2) each $A(i)B(j)$ is a totally ordered subset of $\Gamma(k)$. Hence (iii) follows from (ii). Without any loss of generality, it suffices to prove (i) and (ii) for totally ordered sets of the form $A = A(i) \subseteq \Gamma(i(p))$, $B = B(j) \subseteq \Gamma(j(p))$. Hence by 1.3 (2) and 1.3 (3) also $AB = (AB) \cap \Gamma(k)$ is totally ordered. Conclusion (i) follows immediately from 1.3 (4); and it is interesting to observe that the latter cancellativity condition is not needed for the remainder of the lemma.

In order to prove (ii), the above shows that $(AB) \cap \Gamma(k)$ is a finite union of chains. Consequently, it suffices to verify that any arbitrary subset $t \subseteq (AB) \cap \Gamma(k)$ contains a maximal element. For any subset $t \subseteq AB$ whatever, define $b(t) \in B$ and $a(t) \in A$ by

$$\begin{aligned} b(t) &= \max \{b \in B \mid \exists a \in A, ab \in t\}, \\ a(t) &= \max \{a \in A \mid ab(t) \in t\}. \end{aligned}$$

Set $t(1) = \{ab \in t \mid ab > a(t)b(t)\}$. If $t(1) = \emptyset$, then $t \leq a(t)b(t) = \max t$. Otherwise $ab \in t(1) \neq \emptyset$ implies that (1) $b < b(t)$, (2) $a > a(t)$, and (3) $a(t(1))b(t(1)) > a(t)b(t)$.

(1) First, $ab \in t$ implies $b \leq b(t)$ by the definition of $b(t)$. If $b = b(t)$, then the definition of $a(t)$ and $ab(t) \in t$ imply $a \leq a(t)$, which gives the contradiction that $ab \leq a(t)b(t)$. Thus $b < b(t)$.

(2) If $a \leq a(t)$, then again $b < b(t)$ implies $ab \leq a(t)b(t)$. Thus $a > a(t)$. Repeat this process, i.e., set $t(0) = t$, define $t(i+1) = \{ab \in t(i) \mid ab > a(t(i))b(t(i))\}$ provided $t(i) \neq \emptyset$, and get

$$\begin{aligned} t(0) \supset t(1) \supset \dots & \qquad \qquad \supset t(n); \\ a(t(0))b(t(0)) < a(t(1))b(t(1)) < \dots < a(t(n))b(t(n)); \\ a(t(0)) < a(t(1)) < \dots & \qquad \qquad < a(t(n)). \end{aligned}$$

By the a.c.c. in A , for some n ,

$$\emptyset = t(n+1) \equiv \{ab \in t \mid ab > a(t(n))b(t(n))\},$$

and hence $t \leq a(t(n))b(t(n)) = \max t$.

The next theorem and corollary are consequences of the previous lemma.

THEOREM 1.6. *Suppose that Γ is a block semigroup and R is a ring. Then $L(\Gamma, R)$ is a ring.*

The group $W(\Gamma)$ can only be defined if Γ is a po-set.

1.7. COROLLARY 1 TO THE THEOREM. *If Γ is coherent then $W(\Gamma, R)$ is also a ring.*

1.8. COROLLARY 2 TO THE THEOREM. *Suppose Γ is a block semi-group satisfying the following.*

(a) *For $s, t \in \Gamma(i); \bar{s}, \bar{t} \in \Gamma(j)$*

$$s < t, \bar{s} \leq \bar{t} \implies s\bar{s} < t\bar{t} \text{ and } \bar{s}s < \bar{t}t$$

holds in any block $\Gamma(k)$ whenever $s\bar{s}, t\bar{t} \in \Gamma(k)$ or $\bar{s}s, \bar{t}t \in \Gamma(k)$.

(b) *Each block is totally ordered.*

(c) *For any n , if $i(p), j(p)$ with $p = 1, \dots, m$ are the finite number of ordered pairs of indices for which*

$$\Gamma(i(p))\Gamma(j(p)) \cap \Gamma(n) \neq \emptyset, \text{ then the } m\text{-subsets} \\ \Gamma(i(p))\Gamma(j(p)) \text{ are pairwise disjoint.}$$

Then $L(\Gamma, R)$ is an integral domain.

2. Applications and examples.

NOTATION 2.1. From now on R will be a fixed totally ordered ring and Γ a coherent block semigroup. For any subset $S \subseteq \Gamma(k)$ of a block $\Gamma(k)$, $\max S$ denotes the set of maximal elements of S . If $\alpha \in L(\Gamma, R) = L(\Gamma) = L$, an element $s \in \max [\text{supp } \alpha \cap \Gamma(k)]$ will be called a *maximal component* of α . Note that if each $\Gamma(k)$ is totally ordered, that then there is only one such s for each block.

DEFINITION 2.2. For $\alpha \in L$, define $0 \leq \alpha$ provided $0 \leq \alpha(s) \in R$ for each maximal component s of α .

PROPOSITION 2.3. *In the above order, $L(\Gamma, R)$ and $W(\Gamma, R)$ are partially ordered rings.*

In order to obtain examples of partially ordered rings, some coherent block semigroups are described.

EXAMPLE 2.4. Consider the noncancellative semigroup

$$\Gamma = \{2^{-k} \mid k = 0, 1, 2, \dots\} \subset [0, 1]$$

with $st = s \wedge t = \min(s, t)$ for $s, t \in \Gamma$. Take Γ in the natural order as a single block.

2.5. Let $Z = \{0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots\}$ and $N = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ with the usual order while \bar{N} denotes the discrete order. Let $\Gamma = \bar{N} \times \bar{N} \times Z$ under componentwise addition where $(i, j, k) > (p, q, r)$ if and only if $i = p, j = q$, but $k > r$. Let the blocks be $\Gamma(i, j) = \{i\} \times \{j\} \times Z$. Then $W(\Gamma) \subset L(\Gamma)$ properly.

2.6. Take $G = \bar{N} \times (N \times Z)$ with $(i, j, k) > (p, q, r)$ if either $i = p, j > q$; or if $i = p, j = q$, but $k > r$. The blocks are

$$G(i) = \{(i, j, k) \mid j, k \in Z\},$$

and again $W(G) \neq L(G)$.

2.7. As a set, take $H = N \times N \times Z$ but where $(i, j, k) > (p, q, r)$ provided one of the following four cases holds:

- (i) $i > p$;
- (ii) $i = p \geq 1, j > q$;
- (iii) $i = p \geq 1, j = q, k > r$;
- (iv) $i = p = 0, j = q, k > r$.

Note that $(N \setminus \{0\}) \times N \times Z$ is totally ordered and dominates $\{0\} \times \bar{N} \times Z$. The blocks are $H(k) = \{(p, q, r) \mid p, q \in N, r \in Z; p \leq k\}$. Here $L(H) \neq W(H)$, and $W(H)$ is a lattice ordered ring.

REFERENCES

1. P. Conrad, *Generalized semigroup rings*, J. Indian Math. Soc. **21** (1957), 73-95.
2. ———, *Generalized semigroup rings II*, Portugaliae Mathematica **18** (1959), 33-52.
3. P. Conrad and J. Dauns, *An embedding theorem for lattice ordered fields*, Pacific J. Math. **30** (1969), 385-398.
4. J. Dauns, *Representation of f-rings*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **74** (1968), 249-252.
5. ———, *Representation of l-groups and f-rings*, Pacific J. Math. **31** (1969), 629-654.
6. ———, *Embeddings in division rings* (to appear)
7. L. Fuchs, *Partially Ordered Algebraic Systems*, Pergamon Press, 1963.
8. A. Gleyzal, *Transfinite numbers*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **23** (1937), 581-587.
9. E. J. Tully, *A class of naturally partly ordered commutative archimedean semigroups with maximal condition*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **17** (1966), 1133-1139.

Received April 3, 1969, and in revised form December 13, 1969.

TULANE UNIVERSITY
NEW ORLEANS, LOUISIANA

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

H. SAMELSON
Stanford University
Stanford, California 94305

J. DUGUNDJI
Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, California 90007

RICHARD PIERCE
University of Washington
Seattle, Washington 98105

RICHARD ARENS
University of California
Los Angeles, California 90024

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLE

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
* * *
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
CHEVRON RESEARCH CORPORATION
TRW SYSTEMS
NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. The editorial "we" must not be used in the synopsis, and items of the bibliography should not be cited there unless absolutely necessary, in which case they must be identified by author and Journal, rather than by item number. Manuscripts, in duplicate if possible, may be sent to any one of the four editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Rev. Index to Vol. 39. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, Richard Arens, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

50 reprints are provided free for each article; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is published monthly. Effective with Volume 16 the price per volume (3 numbers) is \$8.00; single issues, \$3.00. Special price for current issues to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: \$4.00 per volume; single issues \$1.50. Back numbers are available.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley, California, 94708.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), 7-17, Fujimi 2-chome, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan.

| | |
|--|-----|
| Shair Ahmad, <i>On the oscillation of solutions of a class of linear fourth order differential equations</i> | 289 |
| Leonard Asimow and Alan John Ellis, <i>Facial decomposition of linearly compact simplexes and separation of functions on cones</i> | 301 |
| Kirby Alan Baker and Albert Robert Stralka, <i>Compact, distributive lattices of finite breadth</i> | 311 |
| James W. Cannon, <i>Sets which can be missed by side approximations to spheres</i> | 321 |
| Prem Chandra, <i>Absolute summability by Riesz means</i> | 335 |
| Francis T. Christoph, <i>Free topological semigroups and embedding topological semigroups in topological groups</i> | 343 |
| Henry Bruce Cohen and Francis E. Sullivan, <i>Projecting onto cycles in smooth, reflexive Banach spaces</i> | 355 |
| John Dauns, <i>Power series semigroup rings</i> | 365 |
| Robert E. Dressler, <i>A density which counts multiplicity</i> | 371 |
| Kent Ralph Fuller, <i>Primary rings and double centralizers</i> | 379 |
| Gary Allen Gislason, <i>On the existence question for a family of products</i> | 385 |
| Alan Stuart Gleit, <i>On the structure topology of simplex spaces</i> | 389 |
| William R. Gordon and Marvin David Marcus, <i>An analysis of equality in certain matrix inequalities. I</i> | 407 |
| Gerald William Johnson and David Lee Skoug, <i>Operator-valued Feynman integrals of finite-dimensional functionals</i> | 415 |
| (Harold) David Kahn, <i>Covering semigroups</i> | 427 |
| Keith Milo Kendig, <i>Fibrations of analytic varieties</i> | 441 |
| Norman Yeomans Luther, <i>Weak denseness of nonatomic measures on perfect, locally compact spaces</i> | 453 |
| Guillermo Owen, <i>The four-person constant-sum games; Discriminatory solutions on the main diagonal</i> | 461 |
| Stephen Parrott, <i>Unitary dilations for commuting contractions</i> | 481 |
| Roy Martin Rakestraw, <i>Extremal elements of the convex cone A_n of functions</i> | 491 |
| Peter Lewis Renz, <i>Intersection representations of graphs by arcs</i> | 501 |
| William Henry Ruckle, <i>Representation and series summability of complete biorthogonal sequences</i> | 511 |
| F. Dennis Sentiilles, <i>The strict topology on bounded sets</i> | 529 |
| Saharon Shelah, <i>A note on Hanf numbers</i> | 541 |
| Harold Simmons, <i>The solution of a decision problem for several classes of rings</i> | 547 |
| Kenneth S. Williams, <i>Finite transformation formulae involving the Legendre symbol</i> | 559 |