

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

PRIMARY RINGS AND DOUBLE CENTRALIZERS

KENT RALPH FULLER

PRIMARY RINGS AND DOUBLE CENTRALIZERS

KENT R. FULLER

This note is devoted to proving the theorem that every right quasi-projective module over a semi-primary ring R has the double centralizer property if and only if R is a direct sum of primary rings, and to discussing some of its consequences. In particular, this theorem places a strong necessary condition on a large class of the balanced rings of Camillo which are both a specialization of Thrall's $QF-1$ rings and a generalization of the uniserial rings of Köthe.

Let R be an associative ring with identity. An R -module M is *quasi-projective* [19] (*quasi-injective* [10]) in case $\text{Hom}_R(M, _)$ ($\text{Hom}_R(_, M)$) preserves the exactness of all short exact sequences with middle term M . If the natural ring homomorphism of R into the double centralizer, $\text{Hom}_C(M, M)$, where $C = \text{Hom}_R(M, M)$, is onto, the R -module M is said to have the *double centralizer property*.

Let N denote the Jacobson radical of R . Then R is semi-primary in case N is nilpotent and R/N is semi-simple. If, in addition, R/N is a simple ring, R is said to be *primary*. A semi-primary ring R is a direct sum of primary rings if and only if for each pair of primitive idempotents e and f in R $fNe \neq 0$ implies $fR \cong eR$. In other words, direct sums of primary rings are just those semi-primary rings in which the composition factors in each primitive one-sided ideal are pairwise isomorphic. It follows that if N is nilpotent and R/N^2 is a direct sum of primary rings, then so is R .

We shall need the following notation. The *socle*, $S(M)$, of an R -module M is its largest semi-simple submodule. The *top*, $T(M)$, of M is the largest semi-simple factor module of M . The former is equal to the annihilator in M of N , the latter is M/NM (or M/MN). In particular, if e is a primitive idempotent in R then $T(Re)$ is the unique simple factor module of Re .

With the above, we are ready to prove the main result.

THEOREM. *Every right (equivalently, left) quasi-projective module over a semi-primary ring R has the double centralizer property if and only if R is a direct sum of primary rings.*

Proof. (\Rightarrow) Let R be semi-primary. In view of the above comments and the fact that quasi-projective modules over factor rings of R are quasi-projective as R -modules, we may assume that $N^2 = 0$. Suppose that, for some primitive idempotents e and f in R ,

$fNe \neq 0$ and $fR \not\cong eR$. We prove this implication by constructing a factor ring \hat{R} of R over which some faithful projective right module does not have the double centralizer property. To this end, let $A = \{r \in R \mid fRr = 0\}$, the right annihilator in R of fR . Write $\bar{R} = R/A$, $\bar{N} = (N + A)/A$, $\bar{e} = e + A$ and $\bar{f} = f + A$. Here \bar{N} is the radical of \bar{R} and, because $fR \cap A = 0$, \bar{e} and \bar{f} are primitive idempotents in \bar{R} with $\bar{f}\bar{N}\bar{e} \neq 0$. Now repeat the process on the left to construct from \bar{R} and $\bar{B} = \{\bar{r} \in \bar{R} \mid \bar{r}\bar{R}\bar{e} = 0\}$ a factor ring \hat{R} possessing radical \hat{N} and primitive idempotents \hat{f} and \hat{e} with $\hat{f}\hat{N}\hat{e} \neq 0$. Since the modules $\bar{f}\bar{R}\bar{e}$ and ${}_{\hat{R}}\hat{R}\hat{e}$ are faithful it follows, as in the discussion preceding [8, Th. 3], that every minimal left ideal in \bar{R} is isomorphic to $T(\bar{R}\bar{f})$ and every minimal right ideal in \hat{R} is isomorphic to $T(\hat{e}\hat{R})$. Thus, noting that $\bar{N} \subseteq S(\bar{R})$ and $\hat{N} \subseteq S(\hat{R})$, we glean the additional information that $\hat{e}\hat{N} = 0$ and $\hat{N}\hat{f} = 0$. According to the implication (b) \Rightarrow (d) of [8, Th. 4] (whose proof is valid for semiprimary rings), the present proof will be complete once we show that $T(\hat{R}\hat{e})$ is not isomorphic to a minimal left ideal in \hat{R} and that $\text{Ext}_{\hat{R}}^1(T(\hat{R}\hat{e}), \hat{R}) \neq 0$. Because $\hat{N}^2 = 0$ we have $S(\hat{R}) = \hat{N} + \hat{T}$ where \hat{T} is the sum of the simple primitive left ideals in \hat{R} . But $\hat{e}\hat{N} = 0$ and $\hat{N}\hat{e} \neq 0$ so $T(\hat{R}\hat{e})$ cannot be embedded in either summand. On the other hand, $\hat{N}\hat{f} = 0$ implies that $T(\hat{R}\hat{f})$ is a direct summand of ${}_{\hat{R}}\hat{R}$, so an essential extension ${}_{\hat{R}}M$ of $T(\hat{R}\hat{f})$ with $M/T(\hat{R}\hat{f}) \cong T(\hat{R}\hat{e})$ will show that $\text{Ext}_{\hat{R}}^1(T(\hat{R}\hat{e}), R) \neq 0$. To obtain such an extension, let $E = E(T(\hat{R}\hat{f}))$, the injective hull [4] of $T(\hat{R}\hat{f})$ over \hat{R} . Then since $\hat{f}\hat{R}\hat{e} \neq 0$ and $\hat{e}\hat{R}\hat{f} = 0$ we have, by [7, Lemma (1.1), (c)], that $\hat{e}E/T(\hat{R}\hat{f}) \neq 0$. This and the fact that $E/T(\hat{R}\hat{f})$ is semi-simple yield an \hat{R} -module M with $T(\hat{R}\hat{f}) \subseteq M \subseteq E$ and $M/T(\hat{R}\hat{f}) \cong T(\hat{R}\hat{e})$.

(\Leftarrow) If R is a direct sum of primary rings then every faithful projective R -module must be a generator and every factor ring of R has the same property. According to [9, Th. 3.3] or [11, Th. 1.10] a quasi-projective R -module M is faithful and projective over a factor ring of R . Thus M is a generator over that factor ring and has the double centralizer property by [5, Th. 1]. This completes the proof.

Camillo [2] calls a ring R *balanced* in case each of its right modules has the double centralizer property (equivalently, each factor ring of R is *QF-1* in the sense of Thrall [18]). He proved that every balanced ring is semi-perfect (for properties of semi-perfect and perfect rings, see [1]) with nil radical N and observed that a direct sum of rings is balanced if and only if so is each of the direct summands. In the case where N is nilpotent, our theorem together with a recent theorem of Morita and Tachikawa reduces the study of balanced rings to that of local rings. (R is *local* if R/N is a division ring.)

COROLLARY 1. *Every indecomposable semi-primary balanced ring is Morita equivalent to a local balanced ring.*

Proof. According to Theorem 2 of the appendix of [14], if R and S are Morita equivalent rings (i. e., if their categories of modules are isomorphic in the sense of Morita [13]) then R is QF -1 when S is. Moreover, from the results of [13] it follows that if R and S are Morita equivalent then each factor ring of R bears the same relationship to a factor ring of S . Thus QF -1 and balanced are both categorical concepts. But every primary ring R is Morita equivalent to a local ring (in fact, isomorphic to a full matrix ring over a local ring eRe , e a primitive idempotent in R); and according to our theorem indecomposable balanced semi-primary rings are primary.

A ring R has *dominant dimension*, $\text{dom. dim.}(R)$, at least n in case there is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow R \rightarrow E_1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow E_n$$

with E_i an injective projective left R -module, $i = 1, \dots, n$ (see, for example, [16]). A left artinian ring is QF -3 in case it has dominant dimension at least 1. Thus, according to [7, Th. 3.6], a left artinian ring is (right artinian and) generalized uniserial if and only if each of its factor rings has dominant dimension at least 1. Every QF ring has infinite dominant dimension (Nakayama conjectured the converse, at least for finite dimensional algebras (see [15])) and, according to the proof of Lemma 2 in Nakayama's [17], a ring is *uniserial* [12] (= a direct sum of primary generalized uniserial rings) if and only if each of its factor rings is QF . Now, because every faithful projective (equivalently, every faithful injective) module over a QF -3 ring R has the double centralizer property precisely when $\text{dom. dim.}(R) \geq 2$ (see [8] and [16]), we see that this condition on the factor rings is much stronger than necessary.

COROLLARY 2. *A left artinian ring R is uniserial if (and only if) each factor ring of R has dominant dimension at least 2.*

REMARKS. (a) The sufficiency part of the theorem is valid for right perfect rings. The necessity part holds for any semi-perfect ring in which central idempotents can be lifted modulo N^2 , as they can in a semi-primary ring.

(b) It is not difficult to prove that a left module over a right perfect ring is rationally complete (i. e., has no proper rational extension (see [6, p. 58])) if and only if its only essential extensions by simple modules are by simple submodules of itself. Thus the argu-

ment of the theorem shows that a semi-primary ring R is a direct sum of primary rings if and only if the left regular representation of each factor ring of R is rationally complete (cf., [3]).

(c) A left artinian ring is a direct sum of primary rings if and only if each of its left quasi-injective modules has the double centralizer property. This follows from [8, Th. 5], [9, Corollary 1.3], and our present theorem.

(d) Since every factor ring of a uniserial ring is QF , uniserial rings are balanced. In [2] Camillo proved that balanced commutative rings are uniserial. By Corollary 2, balanced generalized uniserial rings are also uniserial. In fact, all the balanced rings that we know of are uniserial rings.¹

I wish to thank Anne Koehler for communicating to me her observation that quasi-projective modules over a perfect commutative ring have the double centralizer property. This led me to the corresponding implication of the present theorem.

REFERENCES

1. H. Bass, *Finitistic dimension and a homological generalization of semi-primary rings*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **95** (1960), 466-488.
2. V. P. Camillo, *Balanced rings and a problem of Thrall*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. (to appear)
3. R. Courter, *Finite direct sums of complete matrix rings over perfect completely primary rings*, Canad. J. Math. **21** (1969), 430-446.
4. B. Eckmann and A. Schopf, *Über injektive Modulen*, Arch. Math. **4** (1953), 75-78.
5. C. Faith, *A general Wedderburn theorem*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **73** (1967), 65-67.
6. ———, *Lectures on injective modules and quotient rings*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1967.
7. K. R. Fuller, *On indecomposable injectives over artinian rings*, Pacific J. Math. **29** (1969), 115-135.
8. ———, *Double centralizers of injectives and projectives over artinian rings* Illinois J. Math. (to appear).
9. ———, *On direct representations of quasi-injectives and quasi-projectives*, Arch. Math. **20** (1969), 495-502.
10. R. E. Johnson and E. T. Wong, *Quasi-injective modules and irreducible rings*, J. London Math. Soc. **36** (1961), 260-268.
11. A. Koehler, *Quasi-projective and quasi-injective modules*, Pacific J. Math. (to appear)
12. G. Köthe, *Verallgemeinerte Abelsche Gruppe mit hyperkomplexem Operatorring*, Math. Zeit. **39** (1934), 31-44.
13. K. Morita, *Duality of modules and its applications to the theory of rings with*

¹ As this goes to press we note that J. P. Jans has formally conjectured that balanced artinian rings are indeed uniserial. Moreover, he has proved that this is the case for algebras over algebraically closed fields.

- minimum condition*, Sci. Rep. Tokyo Kyoiku Daigaku **6** (1958), 85-142.
14. K. Morita and H. Tachikawa, *QF-3 rings* unpublished
 15. B. J. Mueller, *The classification of algebras by dominant dimension*, *Canad. J. Math.*, **20** (1968), 398-409.
 16. ———, *Dominant dimension of semi-primary rings*, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **232** (1968), 173-179.
 17. T. Nakayama, *Note on uniserial and generalized uniserial rings*, *Proc. Imp. Acad. Japan* **16** (1940), 285-289.
 18. R. M. Thrall, *Some generalizations of quasi-Frobenius algebras*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **64** (1948), 173-183.
 19. L. E. T. Wu and J. P. Jans, *On quasi-projectives*, *Illinois J. Math.* **11** (1967), 439-448.

Received November 7, 1969.

THE UNIVERSITY OF IOWA

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

H. SAMELSON
Stanford University
Stanford, California 94305

J. DUGUNDJI
Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, California 90007

RICHARD PIERCE
University of Washington
Seattle, Washington 98105

RICHARD ARENS
University of California
Los Angeles, California 90024

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLE

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
* * *
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
CHEVRON RESEARCH CORPORATION
TRW SYSTEMS
NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. The editorial "we" must not be used in the synopsis, and items of the bibliography should not be cited there unless absolutely necessary, in which case they must be identified by author and Journal, rather than by item number. Manuscripts, in duplicate if possible, may be sent to any one of the four editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Rev. Index to Vol. 39. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, Richard Arens, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

50 reprints are provided free for each article; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is published monthly. Effective with Volume 16 the price per volume (3 numbers) is \$8.00; single issues, \$3.00. Special price for current issues to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: \$4.00 per volume; single issues \$1.50. Back numbers are available.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley, California, 94708.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), 7-17, Fujimi 2-chome, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan.

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 34, No. 2

June, 1970

Shair Ahmad, <i>On the oscillation of solutions of a class of linear fourth order differential equations</i>	289
Leonard Asimow and Alan John Ellis, <i>Facial decomposition of linearly compact simplexes and separation of functions on cones</i>	301
Kirby Alan Baker and Albert Robert Stralka, <i>Compact, distributive lattices of finite breadth</i>	311
James W. Cannon, <i>Sets which can be missed by side approximations to spheres</i>	321
Prem Chandra, <i>Absolute summability by Riesz means</i>	335
Francis T. Christoph, <i>Free topological semigroups and embedding topological semigroups in topological groups</i>	343
Henry Bruce Cohen and Francis E. Sullivan, <i>Projecting onto cycles in smooth, reflexive Banach spaces</i>	355
John Dauns, <i>Power series semigroup rings</i>	365
Robert E. Dressler, <i>A density which counts multiplicity</i>	371
Kent Ralph Fuller, <i>Primary rings and double centralizers</i>	379
Gary Allen Gislason, <i>On the existence question for a family of products</i>	385
Alan Stuart Gleit, <i>On the structure topology of simplex spaces</i>	389
William R. Gordon and Marvin David Marcus, <i>An analysis of equality in certain matrix inequalities. I</i>	407
Gerald William Johnson and David Lee Skoug, <i>Operator-valued Feynman integrals of finite-dimensional functionals</i>	415
(Harold) David Kahn, <i>Covering semigroups</i>	427
Keith Milo Kendig, <i>Fibrations of analytic varieties</i>	441
Norman Yeomans Luther, <i>Weak denseness of nonatomic measures on perfect, locally compact spaces</i>	453
Guillermo Owen, <i>The four-person constant-sum games; Discriminatory solutions on the main diagonal</i>	461
Stephen Parrott, <i>Unitary dilations for commuting contractions</i>	481
Roy Martin Rakestraw, <i>Extremal elements of the convex cone A_n of functions</i>	491
Peter Lewis Renz, <i>Intersection representations of graphs by arcs</i>	501
William Henry Ruckle, <i>Representation and series summability of complete biorthogonal sequences</i>	511
F. Dennis Sentilles, <i>The strict topology on bounded sets</i>	529
Saharon Shelah, <i>A note on Hanf numbers</i>	541
Harold Simmons, <i>The solution of a decision problem for several classes of rings</i>	547
Kenneth S. Williams, <i>Finite transformation formulae involving the Legendre symbol</i>	559