

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

A NOTE ON HANF NUMBERS

SAHARON SHELAH

A NOTE ON HANF NUMBERS

SAHARON SHELAH

We show that for every $\xi < (2^\kappa)^+$, there is a theory T and set of types P in a language of power κ , such that there is a model of T which omits every $p \in P$ of power λ if and only if $\lambda \leq \beth_\xi$. We also disprove a conjecture of Morley on the existence of algebraic elements.

The results which are proved here appear in [5].

1. On η_κ .

DEFINITION 1.1. η_κ will be the first cardinal such that for every language L , $|L| \leq \kappa$, and set of types $\{p: p \in P\}$ (in L) if T has a model of power $\geq \eta_\kappa$ which omits all the types in P , then T has such models in every power $\geq |T|$. (A type is a set of formulas with the variables x_0, \dots, x_n only for some $n < \omega$. A model omits p if there does not exist a_0, \dots, a_n in the model such that $\varphi(x_0, \dots, x_n) \in p$ implies $M \models \varphi[a_0, \dots, a_n]$.)

Chang showed in [2], by methods of Morley from [4] that $\eta_\kappa \leq \beth[(2^{|T|})^+]$. He also in [1] asked what is η_κ . We shall show that $\eta_\kappa = \beth[(2^\kappa)^+]$. For this it is sufficient to prove that for every $\xi < (2^\kappa)^+$ there exists a theory T and a set of types P (in a language $L = L(T)$ of power $\leq \kappa$) such that T has a model of power λ which omits all the types in P if and only if $\lambda \leq \beth_\xi$.

The following theorem appears in many articles which deals with finding lower bounds for Hanf numbers.

THEOREM 1.1. *If there exists a theory T , $|L(T)| \leq \kappa$, and a set of types P in $L(T)$, such that every model of T which omits every $p \in P$ is well ordered in an order type $\leq \xi$, and it has such a model whose order type is ξ , then $\eta_\kappa > \beth_\xi$.*

Proof. We adjoin to L the predicates $Q_1(x), Q(x), x \in y$, the constants $c_n, n < \omega$ and the function $F(x)$, and we get a language $L_1, |L_1| \leq \kappa$. We define $T_1 = \{\psi^q: \psi \in T\}$ [ψ^q is ψ relativized to Q , that is instead of $(\exists x)\varphi$ we write $(\exists x)(Q(x) \wedge \varphi)$ and instead of $(\forall x)\varphi$ we write $(\forall x)[(Q(x) \rightarrow \varphi)]$]. We also define $P_1 = \{p^q: p \in P\} \cup \{q\}$, $p^q = \{\varphi^q: \varphi \in p\}$, $q = \{Q_1(x)\} \cup \{x \neq c_n: n < \omega\}$.

We add to T_1 an axiom of extensionality

$$\varphi_1 = (\forall xy)[(\forall z)[z \in x \leftrightarrow z \in y] \rightarrow x = y]$$

and an axiom saying that $F(x)$ is the rank of x

$$\varphi_2 = (\forall x)Q(f(x)), \varphi_3 = (\forall xy)[x \in y \rightarrow F(x) < F(y)]$$

and an axiom saying that $Q_1(x)$ if and only if the rank of x is minimal

$$\varphi_4 = (\forall x)[Q_1(x) \leftrightarrow \neg (\exists y)(F(y) < F(x))]$$

and $T_2 = T_1 \cup \{\varphi_i: i = 1, 4\}$.

Let M be a model of T_2 which omits every type in P_1 . It is clear that Q^M is well ordered by $<^M$ in an order type $\leq \xi$. Assume $Q^M = \{a_i: i < i_0 \leq \xi\}$, where $i < j$ implies $a_i <^M a_j$. Let us define $A_i = \{a: F^M[a] = a_i\}$, and a function $f, f(a) = \{b \in M: b \in^M a\}$. As M is a model of $\varphi_1; f(a) = f(b)$ if and only if $a = b$, and as M is a model of φ_2 and φ_3 , if $a \in A_i$ then $f(a) \subset \bigcup_{j < i} A_j$. From this it is clear that $|A_i| = |\{f(a): a \in A_i\}| \leq 2^{|\bigcup_{j < i} A_j|}$. It is also clear that $|A_0| = \aleph_0$. From this it is easy to prove by induction that $|\bigcup_{j < i} A_j| \leq \aleph_i$, and so $\|M\| \leq |\bigcup_{i < i_1} A_i| \leq \aleph_{i_1}$.

On the other hand it is not hard to see that T_2 has a model of power \aleph_i which omits every $p \in P_1$.

So it is clear that $\eta_\kappa > \aleph_i$.

THEOREM 1.2. *For every $\xi < (2^\kappa)^+$, there is a theory $T, |L(T)| \leq \kappa$, and a set of types P (in the language L) such that for every model M of T which omits every $p \in P$ its set of elements is well ordered by $<^M$, and its order type is $\leq \xi$. Also T has a model which omits every $p \in P$, and the order type of the set of its elements is ξ .*

Proof. For simplicity suppose $|\xi| = 2^\kappa$ (it is clear that this is sufficient for proving $\eta_\kappa = \aleph_{(2^\kappa)^+}$).

Let S be the set of subsets of $\kappa = \{i: i < \kappa\}$. As $|S| = 2^\kappa = |\xi|$ we can order S in an order of type ξ . $S = \{a_i: i < \xi\}$.

Let us define the language L . It will have κ one-place predicates $Q_i, i < \kappa$, and an order predicate $<$, and the equality sign. We define

$$p_0 = \{(Q_i(x_0) \leftrightarrow Q_i(x_1)): i < \kappa\} \cup \{x_0 \neq x_1\}.$$

For every $j, i < \xi$,

$$p^{i,j} = \{x_0 \leq x_1\} \cup \{Q_h(x_0): h \in s_j\} \cup \{\neg Q_h(x_0): h \notin s_j, h < \kappa\} \cup \\ \{Q_h(x_1): h \in s_j\} \cup \{\neg Q_h(x_1): h \notin s_j, h < \kappa\}.$$

We define $P = \{p_0\} \cup \{p^{i,j}: j < i < \xi\}$.

If M is a model, which omits every $p \in P$, we define a function f from the set of elements of M to S by $f(a) = \{h: h < \kappa, a \in Q_h^M\}$. As M omits $p_0, a \neq b \Rightarrow f(a) \neq f(b)$, and as M omits $p^{i,j}$ for every $j < i < \xi$, it is clear that $a <^M b$ if and only if $f(a) <^M f(b)$. So it is

clear that $T = \{ \}$ and P satisfies the conclusion of the theorem.

THEOREM 1.3. $\eta_\kappa = (2^\kappa)^+$.

Proof. Immediate.

2. On algebraic elements. Morley in [4] conjectured that if T is a complete denumerable theory in a language L , p a type in L , and T has a model omitting p of power κ if and only if $\kappa_0 > \kappa \geq \aleph_0$, and $\kappa_0 > \aleph_1$, then T has exactly \aleph_0 algebraic elements, where:

DEFINITION 2.1. (1) In a model M an element a is algebraic if there is a formula $\varphi(x)$ such that $M \models \varphi[a]$ and $|\{b \in M: M \models \varphi[b]\}| < \aleph_0$.

(2) A complete theory T has λ algebraic elements if every model of T has λ algebraic elements.

We shall disprove this conjecture.

DEFINITION 2.2. $K(T, p)$ is an infinite cardinal such that T has a model of power κ which omits p if $\kappa < K(T, p)$, $\kappa \geq |T|$, and has no such model of power $\geq K(T, p)$, $K(T, p) = \infty$ if there is no such cardinal.

Claim 2.1. Let T be a complete theory, p_i is a type in the variables x_0, \dots, x_{n_i-1} for $i = 0, \dots, m$, and T has a model of power κ omitting p_0, \dots, p_m if and only if $\kappa_0 > \kappa \geq |T|$.

Then there exists a complete theory T_1 , $|T_1| = |T| + \aleph_0$ and a type p in the variable x_0 , such that $K(T_1, p) = \kappa_0$ and T_1 has algebraic elements if and only if T has algebraic elements.

Proof. Suppose M is a model of T . We define a model M_1 whose elements will be the elements of M and sequences of length $n = \sum_{i < m} n_i < \aleph_0$ of elements of M . The relations will be the relations in M , and Q^{M_1} which will be the set of elements of M , the functions $F_i^{M_1}$ for $i < n$ such that $F_i^{M_1}(\langle a_0, \dots, a_{n-1} \rangle) = a_i$ (when $a_0, \dots, a_{n-1} \in M$) and $F_i^{M_1}(a) = a$ (when $a \in M$). The theory T_1 will be the set of sentences which hold for M_1 . It is easily seen that T_1 is a complete theory, $|T_1| = |T| + \aleph_0$, and that T_1 has algebraic elements if and only if T has algebraic elements.

We shall also define

$$p = \left\{ \bigvee_{h=0}^m \varphi_h(F_{l_h}(x), \dots, F_{l_h+n_{h-1}}(x)): \varphi_h(x_0, \dots, x_{n_i-1}) \in p_h, l_h = \sum_{j < h} n_j \right\}.$$

It is easily seen that T_1 and p satisfy our demands.

THEOREM 2.2. *If T is a complete theory, p a type, then there exists a complete theory T^1 and a type p^1 such that $|T_1| = |T| + \aleph_0$ and $K(T, p) = K(T^1, p^1)$, and T^1 has no algebraic elements.*

REMARK. Clearly this disproves Morley's conjecture.

Morley told me that between 1963 and 1966 he disproved his conjecture. Later some people wrote him that they disproved the conjecture, but he did not remember their names. Seemingly, the review [3] is the first place the disproof was mentioned, but the proof does not appear anywhere.

Proof. Let N be a model of T . We shall define M the elements of M will be pairs of the form $\langle a, i \rangle$ where $a \in N$, and i is an integer. If R^N is a relation in N , then

$$R^M = \{ \langle \langle a_i, l \rangle, \dots, \langle a_n, l \rangle \rangle : \langle a_i, \dots, a_n \rangle \in R^N, l \text{ is integer} \}.$$

We define $\leq^M : \langle a_1, i_1 \rangle \leq^M \langle a_2, i_2 \rangle$ if and only if $i_1 \leq i_2$ (as integers). We define $F^M, F^M(\langle a_1, i_1 \rangle, \langle a_2, i_2 \rangle) = \langle a_1, i_2 \rangle$.

T_1 will be the set of sentences that M satisfies.

Let us define

$$p_2 = \left\{ (\forall z_1, \dots, z_n)(\exists z_{n+1})(x_0 \leq z_{n+1} \wedge z_{n+1} \leq x_1 \wedge \bigwedge_{i=1}^n \neg(z_{n+1} \leq z_i \wedge z_i \leq z_{n+1})) : n < \omega \right\}$$

W. l.o.g. let y be the only unbound variable which appears in the formulas of p . We define ψ^* by induction for subformulas of formulas of p : if in φ no quantifiers appear, then $\varphi^* = \varphi$, and $((\exists x)\varphi)^* = (\exists x)[x \leq y \wedge y \leq x \wedge \varphi^*]$.

We define $p_1 = \{\psi^* : \psi \in p\}$.

It is clear that for every integer i_0 , the mapping $\langle a, i \rangle \rightarrow \langle a, i + i_0 \rangle$ is an automorphism of M . So for every element of M there exists an infinite number of elements which are its image by some automorphism of M_1 . So M has no algebraic elements. It is clear that if M_2 is a model of T_1 which omits p_1 , then for every $a \in M_2$, $\kappa_1 = |\{b \in M_2 : M_2 \models b \leq a \wedge a \leq b\}| < K(T, p)$. If M_2 also omits p_2 , then the power of M_2 is $\kappa_1 \aleph_0 = \kappa_1 < K(T, p)$. On the other hand, for every $\kappa < K(T, p)$, $\kappa \geq |T|$, it is easy to construct a model of T_1 omitting p_1 and p_2 . By Theorem 2.1 the conclusion of 2.2 follows immediately.

The referee has informed me that a little later than I, James

Schmerl (U.B.C.) independently discovered the same proof of Theorem 1.3. $-\gamma_\kappa = \beth[(2^\kappa)^+]$. After writing this paper, I find in a review on an article of Morley, that Morley has already disproved this conjecture (see [3]).

REFERENCES

1. C.C. Chang, "Some remarks on the models of infinitary languages," *The syntax and semantics of infinitary languages*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 74, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 36-64.
2. ———, *Two refinements of Morley's method on omitting types of elements*, Notices of Amer. Math. Soc. **11** (1964), 679.
3. E.G.K. Lopez-Escobar, *A Review*, J. of Symb. Logic **33** (1968), 286.
4. M. Morley, "Omitting classes of elements," in Adison, Hankin, Tarski, *The Theory of models*, Proc. of 1963 Int. Symp. Berkeley, North-Holland Publ. Co., 1965, 265.
5. S. Shelah, *On Hanf numbers of omitting types*, Notices of Amer. Math. Soc., January 1970.

Received July 28, 1969.

THE HEBREW UNIVERSITY OF JERUSALEM
JERUSALEM, ISRAEL

PRINCETON UNIVERSITY
PRINCETON, NEW JERSEY

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

H. SAMELSON
Stanford University
Stanford, California 94305

J. DUGUNDJI
Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, California 90007

RICHARD PIERCE
University of Washington
Seattle, Washington 98105

RICHARD ARENS
University of California
Los Angeles, California 90024

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLE

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
* * *
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
CHEVRON RESEARCH CORPORATION
TRW SYSTEMS
NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. The editorial "we" must not be used in the synopsis, and items of the bibliography should not be cited there unless absolutely necessary, in which case they must be identified by author and Journal, rather than by item number. Manuscripts, in duplicate if possible, may be sent to any one of the four editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Rev. Index to Vol. 39. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, Richard Arens, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

50 reprints are provided free for each article; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is published monthly. Effective with Volume 16 the price per volume (3 numbers) is \$8.00; single issues, \$3.00. Special price for current issues to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: \$4.00 per volume; single issues \$1.50. Back numbers are available.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley, California, 94708.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), 7-17, Fujimi 2-chome, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan.

Shair Ahmad, <i>On the oscillation of solutions of a class of linear fourth order differential equations</i>	289
Leonard Asimow and Alan John Ellis, <i>Facial decomposition of linearly compact simplexes and separation of functions on cones</i>	301
Kirby Alan Baker and Albert Robert Stralka, <i>Compact, distributive lattices of finite breadth</i>	311
James W. Cannon, <i>Sets which can be missed by side approximations to spheres</i>	321
Prem Chandra, <i>Absolute summability by Riesz means</i>	335
Francis T. Christoph, <i>Free topological semigroups and embedding topological semigroups in topological groups</i>	343
Henry Bruce Cohen and Francis E. Sullivan, <i>Projecting onto cycles in smooth, reflexive Banach spaces</i>	355
John Dauns, <i>Power series semigroup rings</i>	365
Robert E. Dressler, <i>A density which counts multiplicity</i>	371
Kent Ralph Fuller, <i>Primary rings and double centralizers</i>	379
Gary Allen Gislason, <i>On the existence question for a family of products</i>	385
Alan Stuart Gleit, <i>On the structure topology of simplex spaces</i>	389
William R. Gordon and Marvin David Marcus, <i>An analysis of equality in certain matrix inequalities. I</i>	407
Gerald William Johnson and David Lee Skoug, <i>Operator-valued Feynman integrals of finite-dimensional functionals</i>	415
(Harold) David Kahn, <i>Covering semigroups</i>	427
Keith Milo Kendig, <i>Fibrations of analytic varieties</i>	441
Norman Yeomans Luther, <i>Weak denseness of nonatomic measures on perfect, locally compact spaces</i>	453
Guillermo Owen, <i>The four-person constant-sum games; Discriminatory solutions on the main diagonal</i>	461
Stephen Parrott, <i>Unitary dilations for commuting contractions</i>	481
Roy Martin Rakestraw, <i>Extremal elements of the convex cone A_n of functions</i>	491
Peter Lewis Renz, <i>Intersection representations of graphs by arcs</i>	501
William Henry Ruckle, <i>Representation and series summability of complete biorthogonal sequences</i>	511
F. Dennis Sentiilles, <i>The strict topology on bounded sets</i>	529
Saharon Shelah, <i>A note on Hanf numbers</i>	541
Harold Simmons, <i>The solution of a decision problem for several classes of rings</i>	547
Kenneth S. Williams, <i>Finite transformation formulae involving the Legendre symbol</i>	559