# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

## SOME MEASURE ALGEBRAS ON THE INTEGERS

RICHARD A. SCOVILLE

Vol. 34, No. 3 July 1970

# SOME MEASURE ALGEBRAS ON THE INTEGERS

## RICHARD SCOVILLE

The author constructs some abstract algebras whose elements are subsets of the positive integers, and such that the measure of a set is its density. These algebras  $\mathscr A$  are "abstract" in the sense that the countable join in the underlying lattice is not ordinary set union. However they are "concrete" in the sense that the elements of the algebra are sets, the notion of an integrable function is available and the normed vector space of integrable functions can be shown to be isometrically isomorphic to an ordinary  $L^1$  space. If a function f is integrable, it is shown that its integral is given by

$$\lim_{N} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} f^*(j)$$

where  $f^*$  is a suitably chosen function differing from f only on a set of density 0.

This construction differs from others (several are described by Kubilius in his book on probabilistic methods in number theory), because usually countable additivity is sacrificed, whereas here the meaning of countable join has been altered.

The work was motivated by a desire to prove Theorems 3 and 4 which concern an application to sequences (mod 1). We also include some remarks concerning the possibility of constructing probabilistically independent measure algebras. Furthermore by means of the Cantor expansion of a number we construct an algebra which contains all periodic sequences.

2. Construction of  $\mathscr{A}$ . Let  $(X, \mathscr{A}, \mu)$  be a probability space. Let  $\mathscr{F} \subseteq \mathscr{A}$  be an algebra (not necessarily a  $\sigma$ -algebra) which generates  $\mathscr{A}$ , i.e.,  $\mathscr{A}$  is the smallest  $\sigma$ -algebra containing  $\mathscr{F}$ . We refer to the members of  $\mathscr{A}$  as Borel sets. Suppose also that a sequence  $\{z_n\}$  of elements from X is given satisfying

$$\mu(I) = \lim \frac{1}{N} \operatorname{card} \{j | z_j \in I; j = 1, 2, \dots, N\}, \text{ for all } I \in \mathscr{F}.$$

This sequence will remain fixed throughout the discussion. A set  $B \in \mathcal{B}$  is called *admissible* if there is a set of integers A such that

$$\mu(B\cap I)=\lim_Nrac{1}{N}\operatorname{card}\ \{j\,|\,z_j\,{\in}\,I;\,j\,{\in}\,A;\,j=1,\,2,\,\cdots,\,N\},\,I\,{\in}\,\mathscr{F}.$$

A will be said to go with B. A set of integers A is said to have density if

$$\lim_{N} \frac{1}{N} \operatorname{card} \{j | j \in A; j = 1, 2, \dots, N\}$$

exists. The value of the limit will be written dens (A). Moreover, if dens  $(A_1 - A_2) = 0$  we will write  $A_1 \subseteq A_2$  (dens). We will write  $A_1 = A_2$  (dens) if  $A_1 \subseteq A_2$  (dens) and  $A_2 \subseteq A_1$  (dens). We state now a series of lemmas.

LEMMA 1. If A goes with B, then  $\mu(B) = \text{dens}(A)$ .

*Proof.* 
$$\mu(B) = \mu(B \cap X) = \text{dens}(A)$$
.

LEMMA 2. If A goes with B and if  $A = A_1$  (dens), then  $A_1$  goes with B.

Proof. Obvious.

LEMMA 3. If  $I \in \mathscr{F}$ , then I is admissible and the set  $\{j \mid z_j \in I\}$  goes with I.

Proof. Obvious.

LEMMA 4. If B is admissible, then  $B^c$  is admissible.

*Proof.* If A goes with B,  $A^c$  goes with  $B^c$ .

LEMMA 5. If  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  are admissible with  $B_1 \subseteq B_2$  and if  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  go with  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  respectively, then  $A_1 \subseteq A_2$  (dens).

Proof.

$$egin{aligned} rac{1}{N} \operatorname{card} \left\{ j \, | \, j \in A_{\scriptscriptstyle 1} - A_{\scriptscriptstyle 2}, \, j = 1, \, \cdots, \, N 
ight\} \ &= rac{1}{N} \operatorname{card} \left\{ j \, | \, j \in A_{\scriptscriptstyle 1} - A_{\scriptscriptstyle 2}; \, z_{\scriptscriptstyle j} \in I; \, j = 1, \, \cdots, \, N 
ight\} \ &+ rac{1}{N} \operatorname{card} \left\{ j \, | \, j \in A_{\scriptscriptstyle 1} - A_{\scriptscriptstyle 2}; \, z_{\scriptscriptstyle j} \notin I; \, j = 1, \, \cdots, \, N 
ight\} \ &\leq rac{1}{N} \operatorname{card} \left\{ j \, | \, j \in A_{\scriptscriptstyle 2}^{\scriptscriptstyle c}; \, z_{\scriptscriptstyle j} \in I; \, j = 1, \, \cdots, \, N 
ight\} \ &+ rac{1}{N} \operatorname{card} \left\{ j \, | \, j \in A_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}; \, z_{\scriptscriptstyle j} \notin I; \, j = 1, \, 2, \, \cdots, \, N 
ight\} \,. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$egin{aligned} \lim_N rac{1}{N} \operatorname{card} \left\{ j \left| j \in A_1 - A_2; j = 1, \, \cdots, \, N 
ight\} \ & \leq \mu(B_2^\circ \cap I) + \mu(B_1 \cap I^\circ), \, I \in \mathscr{F}. \end{aligned}$$

Now since  $\mathscr{F}$  generates  $\mathscr{B}$ ,  $\mu(I \triangle (B_2 - B_1))$  can be made as small as we wish so we see that

LEMMA 6. If  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  go with B, then  $A_1 = A_2$  (dens).

*Proof.* This follows from Lemma 5.

LEMMA 7. If  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  are admissible with  $B_1 \cap B_2 = \emptyset$  then we can find sets  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  going with  $B_1$  and  $B_2$ , respectively, such that  $A_1 \cap A_2 = \emptyset$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $A_1$  and  $A_3$  go with  $B_1$  and  $B_2$ . Then  $A_3^c$  goes with  $B_2^c$ , and since  $B_1 \subseteq B_2^c$ ,  $A_1 \subseteq A_3^c$  (dens). Hence  $A_3 - A_1 = A_3$  (dens), so by Lemma 2 we may set  $A_2 = A_3 - A_1$ .

LEMMA 8. If  $B_1 \subseteq B_2$  are both admissible then so is  $B_2 - B_1$ .

*Proof.* Let  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  go with  $B_1$  and  $B_2$ , respectively. By the preceding lemmas we can assume that  $A_1 \subseteq A_2$ . Then for any  $I \in \mathscr{F}$ ,

$$egin{aligned} \mu((B_2-B_1)\cap I) &= \mu(B_2\cap I) - \mu(B_1\cap I) \ &= \lim_N rac{1}{N} \operatorname{card} \left\{ j \, | \, j \in A_2; \, z_j \in I; \, j = 1, \, \cdots, \, N 
ight\} \ &- \lim_N rac{1}{N} \operatorname{card} \left\{ j \, | \, j \in A_1; \, z_j \in I; \, j = 1, \, \cdots, \, N 
ight\} \ &= \lim_N rac{1}{N} \operatorname{card} \left\{ j \, | \, j \in A_2 - A_1; \, z_j \in I; \, j = 1, \, \cdots, \, N 
ight\} \,. \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 9. If  $B_1, B_2, \dots$ , is a sequence of mutually disjoint admissible sets, then  $\bigcup B_i$  is admissible.

*Proof.* Let  $A_1, A_2, \dots$ , be a sequence of mutually disjoint sets going with  $B_1, B_2, \dots$ , respectively. Since

$$\lim_{\substack{N \ N}} rac{1}{N} \operatorname{card} \left\{ j \left| j \in A_i; j = 1, \; \cdots, \; N 
ight\} = \mu(B_i) \; ,$$

we may assume, by removing a finite number of elements from  $A_i$  if necessary, that for all i

$$rac{1}{N}\operatorname{card}\left\{ j\left|j\in A_{i};j=1,\,\cdots,\,N
ight\} <\mu(B_{i})\,+\,2^{-i}\, ext{ for all }\,N$$
 .

Let  $A = \bigcup A_i$  and let  $I \in \mathscr{F}$ . Then

$$egin{aligned} rac{1}{N}\operatorname{card}\left\{j\,|\,z_{j}\in A;\,j=1,\,\cdots,\,N
ight\}\ &=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}rac{1}{N}\operatorname{card}\left\{j\,|\,z_{j}\in I;\,j\in A_{i};\,j=1,\,\cdots,\,N
ight\}. \end{aligned}$$

Now passage to the limit within the summation is justified since, by (1), the series is dominated by the series  $\sum (\mu(B_i) + 2^{-i})$ . Hence we get

$$egin{aligned} \lim_N rac{1}{N} \operatorname{card} \left\{ j \, | \, z_j \in I; j \in A; j = 1, \, \cdots, \, N 
ight\} \ &= \sum \mu(B_i \cap I) = \mu(B \cap I) \; . \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 10. The admissible sets form a  $\sigma$ -algebra.

*Proof.* This is a simple consequence of Lemmas 8 and 9.

THEOREM 1. Every Borel set is admissible.

Proof. This is obvious from Lemma 10 since the sets of  ${\mathscr F}$  are admissible.

We denote by  $\mathscr{A}$  the collection of all sets of integers which go with some  $B \in \mathscr{B}$ . Then it is clear from the preceding discussion that  $\mathscr{A}$ , modulo sets of density 0, is isomorphic as a lattice to  $\mathscr{B}$ , modulo sets of measure 0. We omit the details since the situation will be clearer after the definition of  $L^1(\mathscr{A})$ . However we will make one comment on the lattice operation in  $\mathscr{A}$ . For any sets  $\{A_i\}$  from  $\mathscr{A}$  going with sets  $\{B_i\}$  from  $\mathscr{B}$ , let  $\vee A_i$  be a set going with  $\cup B_i$ . Of course  $\vee A_i$  is unique in  $\mathscr{A}$  modulo sets of density 0, but in addition the following is true.

THEOREM 2. Let  $A_1, A_2, \dots$ , be sets from  $\mathcal{A}$ . Let D be any set having density equal to dens  $(\vee A_i)$  and suppose  $D \supseteq A_i$  (dens),  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ . Then  $D = \vee A_i$  (dens).

*Proof.* Since 
$$D - \bigvee A_i \subseteq D - \bigcup_{i=1}^N A_i$$
 (dens), and since

$$\operatorname{dens}\left(D-igcup_{i=1}^{N}A_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}
ight)=\operatorname{dens}\left(D
ight)-\operatorname{dens}\left(igcup_{i=1}^{N}A_{i}
ight)$$
 ,

we see that dens  $(D - \bigvee A_i) = 0$ , i.e.,  $D \subseteq \bigvee A_i$  (dens). But since dens  $(D) = \text{dens } (\bigvee A_i)$  we have also  $\bigvee A_i \subseteq D$  (dens). Hence  $D = \bigvee A_i$  (dens).

This characterizes  $\vee A_i$ , modulo sets of density 0, as the smallest set having a density and containing all the sets  $A_1, A_2, \cdots$ .

3. Applications to sequences (mod 1). Let T be the unit circle in the complex plane, and let  $\{z_n\}$  be a sequence of points in T. We will say that  $\{z_n\}$  has the distribution  $\mu$  if  $\mu$  is a probability measure on T such that for any "interval," I, of T (i.e., any connected subset of T) satisfying  $\mu(\partial I) = 0$ , we have

$$\mu(I) = \lim_{\substack{N \ }} rac{1}{N} \operatorname{card} \left\{ j \left| z_j \in I; j = 1, \, \cdots, \, N 
ight\}$$
 .

If we let  $\mathscr{F}$  be the algebra of sets generated by those I for which  $\mu(\partial I)=0$ , the preceding work is applicable and we get as special cases the following two theorems.

THEOREM 3. If  $\{z_n\}$  has the distribution  $\mu$ , and if  $\mu = \mu_{at} + \mu_c$  where  $\mu_{at}$  is the atomic part of  $\mu$ , then there exists a set A of integers, unique to within a set of density 0 such that, for any interval I satisfying  $\mu(\partial I) = 0$ ,

$$\mu_{at}(I) = \lim_N rac{1}{N} \operatorname{card} \left\{ j \left| j \in A; z_j \in I; j = 1, \, \cdots, \, N 
ight\}$$
 .

THEOREM 4. If  $\{z_n\}$  has the distribution  $\mu$ , and if  $\mu = \mu_1 + \mu_2$  where  $\mu_1$  is absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure and  $\mu_2$  is singular with respect to Lebesgue measure, there exists a set A of integers, unique to within a set of density 0 such that

$$\mu_{\scriptscriptstyle 
m I}(I) = \lim_N rac{1}{N} \ {
m card} \ \{j \, | \, j \in A; \, z_j \in I; \, j = 1, \, \cdots, \, N \}$$

and

$$\mu_{\scriptscriptstyle 2}(I) = \lim_{\scriptscriptstyle N} rac{1}{N} \operatorname{card} \left\{ j \left| j \in A^{\scriptscriptstyle o}; oldsymbol{z_j} \in I, \, j = 1, \, \cdots, \, N 
ight\}$$

for any interval I for which  $\partial I$  is  $\mu$ -null.

*Proof.* The proof uses only the fact that  $\mu_1 \perp \mu_2$ : there are disjoint Borel sets  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  such that  $\mu_1(B) = \mu(B_1 \cap B)$ , and  $\mu_2(B) = \mu(B_2 \cap B)$ . Since the intervals having  $\mu$ -null boundaries generate  $\mathscr{B}$ ,  $B_1$  and  $B_2$  are admissible. The conclusion of the theorem follows.

4. Representation of  $L^{1}(X)$  as a space of sequences. In this section we define a space  $L^{1}(\mathcal{N})$  of sequences (actually equivalence classes of sequences) which is isometrically isomorphic to  $L^{1}(X)$ .

If f is a sequence of real numbers, f will be called *measurable* if for all real numbers x in a dense set, we have

$$D_x = \{j \mid f(j) < x\} \in \mathscr{M}.$$

The function  $\alpha(x) = \text{dens}(D_x)$  is nondecreasing and of course can be extended to a nondecreasing function defined for all x. We will loosely refer to any such extension as the *distribution* of f. A measurable sequence f will be called *integrable* if its distribution  $\alpha$  satisfies

(i) 
$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\!dlpha(x)=1$$
 and (ii)  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\!|x|dlpha(x)<\infty$  .

If f is integrable, let  $M(f) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x d\alpha(x)$ . Let L be the set of all integrable sequences.

LEMMA 11. If  $f \in L$  has the distribution  $\alpha$ , then  $D_z \in \mathscr{A}$  for every x for which  $\alpha$  is continuous.

*Proof.* We give only a sketch. Suppose  $\alpha$  is continuous at  $x_0$ . Then for all x, y with  $x < x_0 < y$  and  $D_x$ ,  $D_y \in \mathscr{A}$ , we have  $D_x \subseteq D_{x_0} \subseteq D_y$ , so it is clear that dens  $(D_{x_0})$  exists. We must show that  $D_{x_0}$  goes with a Borel set  $B_{x_0}$ . Since  $D_x$  and  $D_y$  are in  $\mathscr{A}$ , they go with Borel sets  $B_x$  and  $B_y$  with  $B_x \subseteq B_y(\mu)$ . Set  $B_{x_0} = \cap B_{y_i}$ , the intersection being taken over a decreasing sequence  $\{y_i\}$  converging to  $x_0$ . Then it is easily verified that  $D_{x_0}$  goes with  $B_{x_0}$ , proving the lemma.

We define a map  $\phi$  from L to  $L^1(X)$  as follows: let  $f \in L$  and construct a sequence of partitions  $P_n = (\cdots, \alpha_{-1,n}, \alpha_{0,n}, \alpha_{1,n}, \cdots)$  of the real line having the following properties:

- (i)  $\operatorname{mesh}(P_n) < 2^{-n} (n = 1, 2, \cdots)$
- (ii)  $a_{2i,n+1} = a_{i,n}(n = 1, 2, \dots; i = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots)$
- (iii)  $a_{i,n}$  is a point of continuity of  $\alpha$ . Define

$$D_{i,n} = \{ j \mid a_{i,n} \le f(j) < a_{i+1,n} \}$$

and let  $B_{i,n}$  be a Borel set such that  $D_{i,n}$  goes with  $B_{i,n}$ . Define, in  $L^1(X)$ ,

$$g_n = \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} a_{i,n} \chi B_{i,n}$$
 .

 $\{g_n\}$  is clearly a Cauchy sequence in  $L^1(X)$ . Let  $\phi(f) = \lim g_n$ . The limit may be taken either a.e. or in  $L^1(X)$ .

LEMMA 12.  $\phi(f)$  does not depend on the choice of the partitions  $P_n$ .

The proof is omitted. Suffice it to say that  $\phi(f)$  could have been defined by a "spectral" integral of the form

$$\phi(f)(\cdot) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x d(\chi_{B_x}(\cdot))$$
,

where  $D_x = \{j | f(j) < x\}$  goes with  $B_x$ .

THEOREM 5. If f and g are in L and if f has the distribution  $\alpha$ , then  $\phi(f) = \phi(g)$  if and only if

$${j | f(j) < x} = {j | g(j) < x}$$
 (dens)

for every x for which  $\alpha$  is continuous.

*Proof.* Assume the condition is satisfied. If we choose partition points at which both  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  (the distribution of g) are continuous, we get immediately  $\phi(f) = \phi(g)$ .

Conversely, suppose that  $\phi(f)=\phi(g)$  and that x is a point of continuity of both  $\alpha$  and of  $\beta$ . Then as in the proof of Lemma 11 we see that both the sets  $\{j\mid f(j)< x\}$  and  $\{j\mid g(j)< x\}$  go with  $\{z\mid \phi(f)(z)< x\}$  and hence are equal (dens). It then follows that  $\alpha=\beta$  on a dense set so the points of continuity of  $\alpha$  are precisely the points of continuity of  $\beta$ . This proves the theorem.

We now set  $f \equiv g$  if  $\phi(f) = \phi(g)$ , and let [f] be the equivalence class containing f. The collection of all equivalence classes [f]  $(f \in L)$  will be denoted by  $L^1(\mathscr{A})$ .

LEMMA 13. If  $f \in L$  then there is a sequence  $f^* \in L$  with  $f = f^*$  (dens) such that

$$M(f) = \lim_{N} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} f^{*}(j)$$
.

Here we have used the notation f=g (dens) to mean that the set  $\{j \mid f(j) \neq g(j)\}$  has density 0. If f=g (dens) then surely  $f\equiv g$ , but the converse need not be true as the example f(j)=1/j and g(j)=0 shows.

*Proof.* First assume that  $\alpha$  is continuous at 0. We can clearly treat the positive and negative parts of f separately so we assume also that  $f \geq 0$ . Let  $0 = \delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3, \cdots$  be an increasing sequence of numbers at which  $\alpha$  is continuous and such that  $\lim \delta_n = \infty$ . Let

$$f_{n}(j) = egin{cases} f(j), & & \delta_{n} \leqq f(j) < \delta_{n+1} \ 0 & & ext{otherwise} \ . \end{cases}$$

Since

$$\lim_N rac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N f_n(j) = \int_{\delta_n}^{\delta_{n+1}} x dlpha(x)$$
 ,

we can modify  $f_n$  on a set of density 0 (actually a finite set) so that for all N the modified function  $f_n^*$  satisfies

$$\frac{1}{N}\sum_{j=1}^{N}f_{n}^{*}(j)<\int_{\delta_{n}}^{\delta_{n+1}}xd\alpha(x)\,+\,2^{-n}$$
 .

Let  $f^* = \sum f_n^*$ . Then

$$\{j | f(j) \neq f^*(j)\} \subseteq \{j | f(j) > N\}$$
 (dens)

for every N so that  $f = f^*$  (dens). Moreover

$$\frac{1}{N}\sum_{j=1}^{N}f^{*}(j)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{N}\sum_{j=1}^{N}f_{n}^{*}(j)\right)$$
 .

Again the interchange of limits is justified by the dominated convergence, and we have

$$\lim_{N} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} f^*(j) = \int_{0}^{\infty} x d\alpha(x)$$

proving the lemma for those f for which  $\alpha$  is continuous at 0. For any f choose  $x_0$  at which  $\alpha$  is continuous. Consider  $g = f - x_0$ , apply the preceding to get  $g^*$  and set  $f^* = g^* + x_0$ . Clearly  $f^*$  has all the desired properties.

Theorem 6. The space  $L^1(\mathscr{A})$  is a vector space.

Proof. We must show that L is closed with respect to addition and to multiplication by real numbers and that furthermore  $f+g\equiv f'+g'$  and  $cf\equiv cf'$  whenever  $f\equiv f'$  and  $g\equiv g'$ . Suppose f and g are in L. We must show that for all numbers x in a dense set,  $A_x=\{j|f(j)+g(j)< x\}\in \mathscr{A}$ . For each rational number  $r_i$ , let  $A_i=\{j|f(j)< x-r_i;g(j)< r_i\}$ . For any M>0 and  $\varepsilon>0$ , choose N so large that a subcollection of the numbers  $r_1, r_2, \cdots, r_N$  partitions the interval [-M, M] with a mesh less than  $\varepsilon$ . Then for any  $j\in A_{x-\varepsilon}-\bigcup_{i=1}^N A_i$  we have  $f(j)+g(j)< x-\varepsilon$  and either  $f(j)< x-r_i$  for all  $r_i\in [-M,M]$ ,  $f(j)\geq x-r_i$  for all  $r_i\in [-M,M]$ ,  $f(j)< x-r_i$ ,  $f(j)\geq x-r_j$ . In the first case  $f(j)< x-M+\varepsilon$ , in the second  $f(j)> x+M-\varepsilon$ , and in the third, since  $j\notin A_i, g(j)\geq r_i$ . Since we

may suppose  $|r_i - r_j| < \varepsilon$  we get in the third case  $f(j) + g(j) \ge x - \varepsilon$ . Hence the third case is impossible and we have

$$A_{x-arepsilon} - igcup_{i=1}^N A_i \subseteqq \{j \, | \, f(j) > x \, + \, M - \, arepsilon\} \cup \{j \, | \, f(j) < x \, - \, M \, + \, arepsilon\}$$
 .

Now since  $\bigcup_{i=1}^N A_i \subseteq \bigvee A_i$  (dens), we see that dens  $(A_{x-\varepsilon} - \bigvee A_i) = 0$ , i.e.,  $A_{x-\varepsilon} \subseteq \bigvee A_i$  (dens). Let  $T(x) = \text{dens}(\bigvee A_i)$ . Clearly  $A_x \supseteq A_i$  for all i, so we get for every x and every  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$dens(A_x) \ge dens(\vee A_i) = T(x)$$

and

$$\overline{\operatorname{dens}} (A_{x-\varepsilon}) \leq \operatorname{dens} (\vee A_i) = T(x)$$
.

Here we have used the notation dens and dens for lower and upper density respectively. Replacing  $\overline{x}$  by  $x + \varepsilon$  in the second inequality we get

$$T(x) \leq \operatorname{dens}(A_x) \leq \overline{\operatorname{dens}}(A_x) \leq T(x + \varepsilon)$$
.

This shows that  $A_x$  has a density if x is a point of continuity of T and Theorem 2 then gives  $A_x \in \mathcal{A}$ , since  $A_x = \bigvee A_i$  (dens).

Note that for such x, the set  $A_x$  goes with the Borel set  $\{z \mid \phi(f) + \phi(g) < x\} (= \{z \mid \phi(f) + \phi(g) \leq x\} (\mu))$ . Hence  $\phi(f+g) = \phi(f) + \phi(g)$ . The only nontrivial point left is to prove that  $-f \in L$  whenever  $f \in L$ . But  $\{j \mid -f(j) < x\} = \{j \mid f(j) < -x\} = \{j \mid f(j) \leq -x\}^c = \{j \mid f(j) < -x\}^c \in \mathscr{A}$  whenever -x is a point of continuity of  $\alpha$ . This finishes the proof.

At the outset one is tempted to call a sequence f, "measurable," if for all x,  $\{j \mid f(j) < x\} \in \mathcal{M}$ . However, consider the following: let D be a set not in  $\mathcal{M}$  and define f(j) = -1/j and

$$g(j) = egin{cases} rac{1}{j}, & j 
otin D \ rac{1}{j} - rac{1}{j^2}, & j 
otin D \end{cases}.$$

Then  $\{j | f(j) + g(j) < 0\} = D \notin \mathcal{M}$ , so that f + g is not "measurable" even though f and g are.

Theorem 7. If  $L^{1}(\mathscr{A})$  is given the norm |[f]| = M(|f|) then  $\phi$  is an isometric isomorphism of  $L^{1}(\mathscr{A})$  and  $L^{1}(X)$ .

*Proof.* It is clear from what has already been done that  $\phi$  is an isometric isomorphism of  $L^1(\mathcal{N})$  into  $L^1(X)$ . We must show that  $\phi$  is onto, so let  $\psi \in L^1(X)$  and suppose  $\psi$  has distribution  $\alpha$ ,  $\alpha(x) = \mu\{z \mid \psi(z) < x\}$ . Choose partitions  $P_n$  as before in the definition of  $\phi$ .

For each n let  $A'_{i,n}$  go with the Borel set  $\{z \mid \psi(z) < a_{i,n}\}$ . We can suppose that for all i,  $A'_{i,n} \subseteq A'_{i+1,n}$  and that  $A'_{i,n+1} \subseteq A'_{j,n}$  whenever  $a_{i,n+1} \leq a_{j,n}$ . Set  $A_{i,n} = A'_{i+1,n}$  and define

$$f_n(j) = \sum_i a_{i,n} \chi_{A_{i,n}}(j)$$

and let  $f(j) = \lim_n f_n(j)$ . Now if  $a_{k,n} < x < a_{k+1,n}$ ,

$$A'_{k,n} = igvee_{i=1}^{k-1} A_{i,n} \subseteqq \{q \, | f(q) < x\} \subseteqq igvee_{i=1}^{k+1} A_{i,n} = A'_{i+2,n} ext{ (dens)}$$
 .

This shows that if x is a point of continuity of  $\alpha$ , the set  $\{j \mid f(j) < x\}$  is in  $\mathcal{A}$ . This is what we wanted to prove.

5. Examples. In the first example we exhibit two algebras  $\mathcal{A}_1$ and  $\mathcal{N}_2$  that are independent; i.e., for any  $A_1 \in \mathcal{N}_1$ ,  $A_2 \in \mathcal{N}_2$ , the set  $A_1\cap A_2$  has density and dens  $(A_1\cap A_2)=\mathrm{dens}\,(A_1)\,\mathrm{dens}\,(A_2)$ . Let T be the unit circle, let X=T imes T, let  $\mu imes\mu$  be normalized Lebesgue (i.e., Haar) measure on X, let  $\mathscr{B}$  be the Borel sets of X, and let  $\mathscr{F}$  be the algebra generated by the rectangles of X. Let  $\{z, w\} \in X$  be such that the sequence  $\{(z, w)^n\} = \{(z^n, w^n)\}\$  is uniformly distributed in X. Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be the algebra determined by this sequence and let  $\mathcal{M}_1$  and  $\mathcal{L}_2$  be the algebras determined by  $\{z^n\}$  and  $\{w^n\}$ , respectively. Let  $A_1 \in \mathcal{M}_1$  and  $A_2 \in \mathcal{M}_2$  go with Borel sets  $B_1$  and  $B_2 \in \mathcal{M}$ . We will show that, in  $\mathcal{A}$ ,  $A_1$  goes with  $B_1 \times T$  and  $A_2$  goes with  $T \times B_2$ . Then it will follow that  $A_1 \cap A_2$  goes with  $(B_1 \times T) \cap (T \times B_2) = B_1$  so that dens  $(A_1 \cap A_2) = (\mu \times \mu)(B_1 \times B_2) = \text{dens } (A_1) \text{ dens } (A_2)$ . But the fact that  $A_1$  goes with  $B_1 \times T$  is clear: let  $A'_1$  go with  $B_1 \times T$  and consider  $I imes T\in \mathscr{F}$ . Then

$$egin{aligned} \mu(B_{_1} \cap I) &= (\mu imes \mu)((B_{_1} imes T) \cap (I imes T)) \ &= \lim_N rac{1}{N} \ \mathrm{card} \ \{j \, | \, (z, \, w)^j \in I imes T; \, j \in A_i'; \, j = 1, \, \cdots, \, N \} \ &= \lim_N rac{1}{N} \ \mathrm{card} \ \{j \, | \, z^j \in I; \, j \in A_i'; \, j = 1, \, \cdots, \, N \} \; . \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $A'_1 = A_1$  (dens).

In this second example, we let X = [0, 1] and let  $\mathscr{B}$  be the Borel sets of X. We are concerned with the sequence  $\{z_n\}$  defined by

$$z_n = \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \frac{n_j}{j!}$$

where  $n_j$  is the remainder obtained by dividing n by j,  $0 \le n_j < j$ .

Let r be a rational number in [0,1). We show that  $\{z_n\}$  is uniformly distributed in X by showing that

$$\lim_{N} \frac{1}{N} \operatorname{card} \left\{ n | z_n \leq r; n = 1, \dots, N \right\} = r$$
.

Let k and K be such that r=k/K! Note that  $z_n \leq k/K!$  if and only if  $n^2/2! + \cdots + n_K/K! < k/K!$ , for suppose the latter. Then  $n_2/2! + \cdots + n_K/K! \leq k-1/K!$ , so that  $z_n \leq k-1/K! + \sum_{j=K+1}^{\infty} j-1/j! = k/K!$ . The converse follows from the fact that for any  $n, n_j = n$  for j > n. It follows that the set  $\{n \mid z_n \leq k/K!\}$  is periodic with period K! so its density is

$$rac{1}{K!} \operatorname{card} \left\{ n \left| rac{n_2}{2!} + \cdots + rac{n_K}{K!} < k/K!; n = 0, \cdots, K! - 1 \right\} = k/K! = r \right.$$

THEOREM 8. The algebra  $\mathcal{L}$ , constructed by means of the sequence  $\{z_n\}$ , contains all periodic sequences.

*Proof.* Let  $K \geq 2$  and let p be between 0 and K-1. Let

$$I = igcup_{i=0}^{^{(K-1)!-1}} \Big(rac{i}{(K-1)!} + rac{p}{K!}, rac{i}{(K-1)!} + rac{p+1}{K!}\Big)$$
 .

It is not difficult to see that  $n_K \equiv [K! \ z_n] \pmod K$  so if  $z_n \in I$  then  $n_K = p$  and conversely. Hence  $\{n \mid z_n \in I\} = \{n \mid n \equiv p \pmod K\}$ . This proves the theorem.

Thanks are due to Professors L. Carlitz and O. Stackelberg with whom the author had many discussions during the preparation of this paper.

#### REFERENCES

- N. Dunford and J. T. Schwartz, Linear operators, Part I, Interscience, New York, 1958.
- 2. J. Kubilius, *Probabilistic methods in the theory of numbers*, Translations of Mathematical Monographs, vol. 11, American Mathematical Society, Providence, R. I., 1964.

Received October 2, 1969. DUKE UNIVERSITY DURHAM, NORTH CAROLINA

# PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

#### **EDITORS**

H. SAMELSON Stanford University Stanford, California 94305

RICHARD PIERCE University of Washington Seattle, Washington 98105 J. DUGUNDJI
Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, California 90007

RICHARD ARENS
University of California
Los Angeles, California 90024

#### ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLE

K. YOSHIDA

#### SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF UTAH WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY CHEVRON RESEARCH CORPORATION TRW SYSTEMS NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the Pacific Journal of Mathematics should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. The editorial "we" must not be used in the synopsis, and items of the bibliography should not be cited there unless absolutely necessary, in which case they must be identified by author and Journal, rather than by item number. Manuscripts, in duplicate if possible, may be sent to any one of the four editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Rev. Index to Vol. 39. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, Richard Arens, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

50 reprints are provided free for each article; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics is published monthly. Effective with Volume 16 the price per volume (3 numbers) is \$8.00; single issues, \$3.00. Special price for current issues to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: \$4.00 per volume; single issues \$1.50. Back numbers are available.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley, California, 94708.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), 7-17, Fujimi 2-chome, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan.

# **Pacific Journal of Mathematics**

Vol. 34, No. 3

July, 1970

Richard Hindman Bouldin, The peturbation of the singular spectrum	569
Hugh D. Brunk and Søren Glud Johansen, A generalized Radon-Nikodym	
derivative	585
Henry Werner Davis, F. J. Murray and J. K. Weber, Families of $L_p$ -spaces	
with inductive and projective topologies	619
Esmond Ernest Devun, Special semigroups on the two-cell	639
Murray Eisenberg and James Howard Hedlund, Expansive automorphisms	
of Banach spaces	647
Frances F. Gulick, Actions of functions in Banach algebras	657
Douglas Harris, Regular-closed spaces and proximities	675
Norman Lloyd Johnson, Derivable semi-translation planes	687
Donald E. Knuth, Permutations, matrices, and generalized Young	
tableaux	709
Herbert Frederick Kreimer, Jr., On the Galois theory of separable	
algebras	729
You-Feng Lin and David Alon Rose, Ascoli's theorem for spaces of	
multifunctions	741
David London, Rearrangement inequalities involving convex functions	749
Louis Pigno, A multiplier theorem	755
Helga Schirmer, Coincidences and fixed points of multifunctions into	
trees	759
Richard A. Scoville, <i>Some measure algebras on the integers</i>	769
Ralph Edwin Showalter, Local regularity of solutions of Sobolev-Galpern	
partial differential equations	781
Allan John Sieradski, Twisted self-homotopy equivalences	789
John H. Smith, On S-units almost generated by S-units of subfields	803
Masamichi Takesaki, Algebraic equivalence of locally nor <mark>mal</mark>	
representations	807
Joseph Earl Valentine, An analogue of Ptolemy's theorem and its converse in	
hyperbolic geometry	817
David Lawrence Winter, Solvability of certain p-solvable linear groups of	0.0
finite order	827