

# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**BAD BEHAVIOR AND INCLUSION RESULTS FOR  
MULTIPLIERS OF TYPE  $(p, q)$**

GARTH IAN GAUDRY

## BAD BEHAVIOR AND INCLUSION RESULTS FOR MULTIPLIERS OF TYPE $(p, q)$

GARTH I. GAUDRY

*To my teachers, Bert Bundesen and Peter Lettice*

**This paper is concerned with the space of multipliers from  $L^p(G)$  to  $L^q(G)$  for various pairs of indices  $p$  and  $q$ , where  $G$  is an LCA group. We show that if  $1 \leq p < 2 < q \leq \infty$ , and  $G$  is noncompact, then there are multipliers of type  $(p, q)$  whose 'Fourier transforms' are not measures. This is an extension of a result of Hörmander, and completes work begun in two earlier papers (this journal, 1966). In the second part, we show that if  $G$  is infinite, many of the natural inclusion relations between spaces of multipliers are proper.**

In his paper [10], Hörmander established a large number of important results for multipliers from  $L^p(R^n)$  to  $L^q(R^n)$ . Subsequently, many of the results of the early parts of Hörmander's paper have been extended, by using quite different techniques, to the case where  $R^n$  is replaced by a general (usually noncompact) LCA group. See Figà-Talamanca [2], Gaudry [5], [6], [7] and Figà-Talamanca and Gaudry [3]. However, some of Hörmander's results (notably the general form of his Theorem 1.9) have remained hitherto inaccessible with only the techniques of the cited papers available.

The main purpose of this paper is to give a simple, all-embracing approach which allows us to complete the process of generalization and, moreover, provides a much simpler approach to many of the results of [2], [3], [5], [6] and [7]. As an extra bonus, we are able to show that the natural inclusion relations between spaces of multipliers are proper whenever the underlying group is infinite. One such result (Theorem 4.1) yields a qualitative extension of Theorem 2.4 of Hörmander's paper.

To set the notation and terminology,  $G$  and  $X$  will denote LCA groups in duality. For  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ , write  $L^p(G)$  for the usual Lebesgue space constructed relative to Haar measure on  $G$ . The spaces  $C_c(G)$ ,  $M(G)$  and  $M_{bd}(G)$  will be the spaces of continuous functions with compact supports, of Radon measures, and of bounded Radon measures on  $G$  respectively.  $\hat{S}$  will denote the Fourier transform of the object  $S$  whenever it is defined.

For  $1 \leq p \leq q \leq \infty$ , the space  $L_q^p$  of multipliers of type  $(p, q)$  is defined as follows. When  $p < \infty$ , it is the space of continuous linear operators  $T$  from  $L^p$  to  $L^q$  which commute with translations:  $T\tau_a = \tau_a T$  for all  $a \in G$ , where  $\tau_a f(x) = f(x - a)$ . In case  $p = \infty$ , it is further

required that each  $T$  be continuous for the weak\* topologies on domain and range spaces. The norm on  $L_p^q$  will be denoted  $\|T\|_{p,q}$ . It can be shown [6] that the space  $L_p^q$  is identifiable with a certain subspace of the space of 'quasimeasures' on  $G$  (for the definition of the space of quasimeasures, see [5]), each  $T \in L_p^q$  being defined by convolution with a unique quasimeasure. Further, the space  $L_p^q$  can be identified in a natural way with the space  $M_p^q(X)$  of Fourier transforms of representing quasimeasures. The elements of  $M_p^q(X)$  are quasimeasures on  $X$ . We shall write  $\hat{T}$  for the Fourier transform of the quasimeasure which represents  $T$ . The results we present in § 3 can be thought of as showing that the elements of  $M_p^q(X)$  are in general very far from being 'smooth'.

**2. The basic construction.** The methods used below center around a construction, for general LCA groups, of analogues of the Rudin-Shapiro polynomials. The latter objects are usually defined on the circle group: see [11, Exercise 6, p. 33]. A construction similar to that given below has been used by Hewitt and Ross [9] in a different but related context.

**LEMMA 2.1.** *Let  $G$  and  $X$  be LCA groups in duality, with  $G$  noncompact. Suppose that  $\Omega$  is a fixed open relatively compact subset of  $X$  and that  $\varphi \equiv \varphi_0$  is a nonzero function in  $C_c(X)$  with support in  $\Omega$  and  $\hat{\varphi} \in L^1(G)$ . Then if  $\delta > 0$  is small and arbitrary, and  $n$  is an arbitrary positive integer, there is a function  $\varphi_n \in C_c(X)$  supported by  $\Omega$  with the properties:*

- (i)  $\hat{\varphi}_n \in L^1(G)$ ;
- (ii)  $\|\varphi_n\|_\infty \leq 2^{(n+1)/2} \|\varphi\|_\infty$ ;
- (iii)  $\|\hat{\varphi}_n\|_\infty \leq C(1 + \delta)^n$ ;
- (iv)  $\|\hat{\varphi}_n\|_2 \geq D(2 - \delta)^{n/2}$ ,

where  $C$  and  $D$  are positive constants independent of  $n$  and  $\delta$ . When  $G$  is discrete,  $\delta$  may be taken to be zero.

*Proof.* Define the sequences  $(\varphi_k)_0^n, (\psi_k)_0^n$  inductively as follows. Choose  $\varphi_0 = \psi_0 = \varphi \in C_c(X)$ . For  $k > 0$  define

$$(1) \quad \begin{cases} \varphi_k = \varphi_{k-1} + \chi_{k-1} \psi_{k-1} \\ \psi_k = \varphi_{k-1} - \chi_{k-1} \psi_{k-1} \end{cases}$$

where the  $\chi_{k-1}$  are characters chosen (by using the noncompactness of  $G$ ) so that

$$(2) \quad \begin{cases} \|\hat{\varphi}_k\|_\infty \leq (1 + \delta) \max(\|\hat{\varphi}_{k-1}\|_\infty, \|\hat{\psi}_{k-1}\|_\infty) \\ \|\hat{\psi}_k\|_\infty \leq (1 + \delta) \max(\|\hat{\varphi}_{k-1}\|_\infty, \|\hat{\psi}_{k-1}\|_\infty) \end{cases}$$

and

$$(3) \quad \begin{cases} \|\hat{\varphi}_k\|_2^2 \geq (2 - \delta) \min(\|\hat{\varphi}_{k-1}\|_2^2, \|\hat{\psi}_{k-1}\|_2^2) \\ \|\hat{\psi}_k\|_2^2 \geq (2 - \delta) \min(\|\hat{\varphi}_{k-1}\|_2^2, \|\hat{\psi}_{k-1}\|_2^2) . \end{cases}$$

It is not difficult to check that  $\varphi_n$  so defined does indeed satisfy the conclusions of the lemma (observe that

$$|\varphi_k|^2 + |\psi_k|^2 = 2(|\varphi_{k-1}|^2 + |\psi_{k-1}|^2) = \cdots = 2^{k+1}|\varphi_0|^2)$$

with  $C = \|\varphi_0\|_1, D = \|\varphi_0\|_2$ .

REMARK. If  $G$  is discrete,  $X = \Omega$  and  $\varphi_0 = 1$ , the function  $\hat{\varphi}_n$  takes the values  $0, \pm 1$  and has precisely  $2^n$  points in its support.

**3. Multipliers whose transforms are not measures.** Hörmander [10, Th. 1.9] proved that when  $G = R^n$  and  $1 \leq p < 2 < q \leq \infty$ , there are multipliers of type  $(p, q)$  whose Fourier transforms are not measures. His proof depends on the crucial Lemma 1.2, which is in turn heavily dependent on the fact that the underlying group is  $R^n$ . Our first main result is that Hörmander's theorem continues to hold when  $R^n$  is replaced by any noncompact LCA group. This has previously been established ([3, Th. 2.5]; [7, Th. 6.6]) in the case where  $p = 1$  by methods which are quite different from those employed here to establish the more general result.

Before proceeding, it will be useful to recall that if  $p'$  denotes the index conjugate to  $p$ , then  $L_p^q = L_{q'}^{p'}$  with equality of norms. Further, in the triangle  $x \geq y, 0 \leq x \leq 1, \log \|T\|_{p,q}$  is a convex function of  $(1/p, 1/q)$ . For these facts we refer the reader to [10, Th. 1.3] or [1, Chapter 16].

**THEOREM 3.1.** *Let  $G$  be a noncompact LCA group,  $1 \leq p < 2 < q \leq \infty$ . Then there are multipliers of type  $(p, q)$  whose Fourier transforms are not measures.*

*Proof.* Suppose the contrary: then if  $\Omega$  is any open relatively compact set in  $X$ , the mapping  $T \rightarrow \hat{T}|_\Omega$  (the restriction of  $\hat{T}$  to  $\Omega$ ) carries  $L_p^q(G)$  into  $M(\Omega)$ , the space of (bounded) Radon measures on  $\Omega$ . Then by the closed graph theorem, there is a constant  $K$  with

$$(4) \quad \int_\Omega d|\hat{T}|_\Omega \leq K \|T\|_{p,q}$$

for all  $T \in L_p^q(G)$ . To show that the graph of the mapping is closed, one uses for example the fact that the mapping  $T \rightarrow \hat{T}$  is continuous from  $L_p^q(G)$  into the space of quasimeasures on  $X$ , the latter space being endowed with its weak\* topology. For this, see [6]. By the

duality result referred to above, we may suppose without loss of generality that the point  $(1/p, 1/q)$  lies in the triangle bounded by the lines  $x = 1, y = 1/2, x + y = 1$ . Join the points  $(1/p, 1/q)$  and  $(1/2, 1/2)$  by a straight line, and let it meet the line  $x = 1$  in the point  $(1, 1/s)$ . Then  $2 < s$ . By convexity,

$$\|T\|_{p,q} \leq \|T\|_{2,2}^\alpha \|T\|_{1,s}^{1-\alpha}$$

where  $1/p = \alpha/2 + (1 - \alpha)/1$ ,  $1/q = \alpha/2 + (1 - \alpha)/s$ . But it is known [10, Ths. 1.4, 1.5] that  $L_2^2(G) = L^\infty(X)$  with  $\|T\|_{2,2} = \|\hat{T}\|_\infty$  and that  $L_1^s(G) = L^s(G)$  with  $\|T\|_{1,s} = \|T\|_{L^s(G)}$  if  $1 < s \leq \infty$ , in the first case  $T$  being defined by pointwise multiplication of Fourier transforms by the element of  $L^\infty(G)$  with which it is identified, and in the second case the operation being ordinary convolution. So (4) yields

$$(5) \quad \int_\Omega |d\hat{T}|\Omega| \leq K \|\hat{T}\|_\infty^\alpha \|T\|_{L^s(G)}^{1-\alpha}$$

for all  $T \in L^1(G)$  with  $\hat{T} \in C_c(X)$  say.

Choose  $\varphi = \varphi_0$  to be a function in  $C_c(X)$  with support in  $\Omega$ ,  $\|\varphi\|_\infty = 1$ , and  $\hat{\varphi} \in L^1(X)$ . For each positive integer  $n$ , let  $\varphi_n$  be the function defined in Lemma 2.1 with  $\delta = \delta_n = 1/n$ . Since  $\hat{\varphi}_n \in L^1 \cap L^\infty(G)$ , it follows that  $\varphi_n \in M_p^q(X)$ . Define  $\rho_n = \varphi_n/2^{(n+1)/2}$ . Then (a)  $\|\rho_n\|_\infty \leq 1$ ; (b)  $\|\hat{\rho}_n\|_\infty \leq C(1 + 1/n)^n 2^{-1/2(n+1)} \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ; and (c)

$$\|\hat{\rho}_n\|_2 \geq (1 - 1/2n)^{n/2} D 2^{-1/2} \rightarrow \exp(-1/4) D 2^{-1/2} \neq 0$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Since  $\rho_n$  is supported by the fixed relatively compact set  $\Omega$ , it follows from (a) and (c), Plancherel's theorem and Hölder's inequality that  $\int_\Omega |\rho_n(\chi)| d\chi$  does not tend to zero as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . On the other hand, since  $\|\hat{\rho}_n\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$  and  $\|\hat{\rho}_n\|_2$  is bounded, it follows from Hölder's inequality that  $\|\hat{\rho}_n\|_s \rightarrow 0$ . Substituting  $\hat{T} = \rho_n$  in (5) and letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we have a contradiction.

REMARK 3.2. If  $1 \leq p \leq q \leq 2$  (equivalently  $2 \leq p \leq q \leq \infty$ ), it is easy to show that  $M_p^q \subset L_{\text{loc}}^{q'}(X)$  (resp.  $L_{\text{loc}}^p(X)$ ). For this see [10, Th. 1.6].

4. Proper inclusion relations. It is known [10, §1.2] that  $L_1^1 = M_{b,d}(G)$ , that  $L_2^2(G) = L^\infty(X)$ , and that if  $1 < p_1 < p_2 < 2$ , then  $L_1^1 \subset L_{p_1}^{p_1} \subset L_{p_2}^{p_2} \subset L_2^2$ . It has recently been shown ([3], [12]) that when  $G$  is infinite, the above inclusions are all strict. In this final section, we wish to prove results of a similar type. It should be noted that the elementary techniques used below can be applied to establish the results on proper inclusions contained in [3] and [12].

Young's inequality, restated, yields the information that if  $1 <$

$p < q < \infty$  and  $1/p - 1/q = 1 - 1/r$ , then  $L^r(G) \subset L_p^q(G)$ . By using the fact that  $L_p^q = L_{q'}^{p'}$  for all pairs of indices  $(p, q)$  and applying the Riesz convexity theorem, we see that if  $1 < p_0 < q_0 < \infty$ ,  $p_0 = q'_0$ ,  $1/p_0 - 1/q_0 = 1 - 1/r$ ,  $1 < p_1 < p_2 < p_0 < 2$  and  $1/p_i - 1/q_i = 1 - 1/r$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ), then  $L^r \subset L_{p_1}^{q_1} \subset L_{p_2}^{q_2} \subset L_{p_0}^{q_0}$ . It is our aim to show that whenever  $G$  is infinite, the inclusions are all strict.

Let us remark once for all that since for all pairs of indices  $(p, q)$ ,  $L_p^q = L_{q'}^{p'}$ , there is another set of results which can be obtained immediately from those below simply by passing to the conjugate pairs of indices.

The proof that all the inclusions are strict proceeds step by step as follows.

**THEOREM 4.1.** *Let  $G$  be an infinite LCA group,  $1 < p < q < \infty$ . and  $1/p - 1/q = 1 - 1/r$ . Then the inclusion  $L^r(G) \subset L_p^q(G)$  is strict.*

*Proof.* The proof divides into two cases.

(i)  $G$  is discrete. If  $L_p^q(G) = L^r(G)$ , then there is a constant  $K$  with

$$(6) \quad \|\hat{t}\|_r \leq K \|\hat{t}\|_{p,q}$$

for all trigonometric polynomials  $t$  on  $X$  (closed graph theorem). We suppose without loss of generality that the point  $(1/p, 1/q)$  lies in the triangle bounded by the lines  $x = 1$ ,  $x + y = 1$ ,  $x = y$ . Define the point  $(1, 1/s)$  as in the proof of Theorem 3.1. Then by convexity,

$$\|\hat{t}\|_{p,q} \leq \|t\|_\infty^\alpha \|\hat{t}\|_s^{1-\alpha}$$

where  $1/q = \alpha/2 + (1 - \alpha)/s$ , so that (6) implies

$$(7) \quad \|\hat{t}\|_r \leq K \|t\|_\infty^\alpha \|\hat{t}\|_s^{1-\alpha}$$

for all trigonometric polynomials  $t$  on  $X$ . Since  $G$  is discrete, we may define the Rudin-Shapiro sequence  $(\varphi_n)$  on  $X$  as in the lemma with  $X = \Omega$  so as to satisfy  $\|\hat{\varphi}_n\|_\infty = 1$ ,  $\|\varphi_n\|_\infty \leq 2^{(n+1)/2}$ ,  $\|\hat{\varphi}_n\|_s = 2^{n/s}$ ,  $\|\hat{\varphi}_n\|_r = 2^{n/r}$ , each  $\varphi_n$  being a trigonometric polynomial. Substituting in (7), we get

$$(8) \quad 2^{n/r} \leq K 2^{n\alpha/2} 2^{\alpha/2(1-\alpha)n/s} = K 2^{n/q} 2^{\alpha/2}.$$

But  $1/p + 1/r - 1 = 1/q$  and  $p > 1$ . So  $1/q < 1/r$ , and (8) is contradicted when  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

(ii)  $G$  is nondiscrete; i.e.,  $X$  is noncompact. As before, if we assume that  $L_p^q = L^r(G)$ , we get an inequality

$$(9) \quad \|\varphi\|_r \leq K \|\hat{\varphi}\|_\infty^\alpha \|\varphi\|_s^{1-\alpha}$$

for all  $\varphi \in C_c(G)$  say. Now manufacture a sequence  $(\varphi_n)$  of functions, supported this time by a fixed open relatively compact subset of  $G$ , with  $\|\varphi_n\|_\infty$  bounded,  $\|\widehat{\varphi_n}\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$  and  $\|\varphi_n\|_2 \not\rightarrow 0$ . Each  $\varphi_n$  is in  $C_c(G)$ . For such a sequence  $(\varphi_n)$ ,  $\|\varphi_n\|_r$  does not tend to zero if  $r < 2$  since if it did, Hölder's inequality would imply that  $\|\varphi_n\|_2 \rightarrow 0$ . Again, if  $r \geq 2$ ,  $\|\varphi_n\|_r$  does not tend to zero since all the functions  $\varphi_n$  are supported by a fixed compact set and  $\|\varphi_n\|_2$  does not tend to zero. In either case, we have a contradiction of (9).

**COROLLARY 4.2.** *If  $G$  is an infinite LCA group and*

$$1 < p < q < \infty, 1/p - 1/q = 1 - 1/r,$$

*then  $L^p * L^r(G) \neq L^q(G)$ .*

*Proof.* Actually more than this is true. For if the space  $A_p^{r'}$  is defined as in [4], then the dual of  $A_p^{r'}$  is  $L_p^{r'}$  [4, Th. 2]. However,  $L^p * L^r(G) \subset A_p^{r'} \subset L^q(G)$ , and since  $L_p^{r'}(G) \neq L^q(G)$ , it is easy to deduce that  $A_p^{r'}(G) \neq L^q(G)$ .

**REMARKS 4.3.** (i) When  $G = R^n$ , there are well-known examples of functions which are in  $L_p^q$  but not in  $L^r(G)$ , for example  $\varphi(x) = (1 + |x|)^{-n/r}$ . See [10, Th. 2.4].

(ii) Corollary 4.2 is a strong form of a special case of a theorem due to Yap [14].

The second step in the program is to show that if  $1 < p_i < p_0 < q_0 < \infty$ ,  $p_0 = q'_0$ , and  $1/p_i - 1/q_i = 1 - 1/r$  ( $i = 0, 1$ ), and  $G$  is infinite, then  $L_{p_1}^{q_1} \neq L_{p_0}^{q_0}$ . We shall treat the noncompact case first, since it is simpler.

**THEOREM 4.4.** *Let  $G$  be an infinite (noncompact) LCA group,  $1 < p_0 < q_0 < \infty$ ,  $p_0 = q'_0$ ,  $q_1 < q_0$ ,  $1/p_i - 1/q_i = 1 - 1/r$  ( $i = 0, 1$ ). Then  $L_{p_1}^{q_1} \not\subseteq L_{p_0}^{q_0}$ .*

*Proof.* If the two spaces in question were identical, an application of the closed graph theorem would yield the existence of a positive constant  $K$  for which

$$(10) \quad \|T\|_{p_1, q_1} \leq K \|T\|_{p_0, q_0}.$$

We now interpolate in much the same kind of way as we did in the proof of Theorem 4.1. Define  $\alpha$  by the relations

$$(11) \quad \begin{aligned} 1/p_0 &= \alpha/2 + (1 - \alpha)/1 \\ 1/q_0 &= \alpha/2. \end{aligned}$$

Then by convexity [10, Th. 1.3] for all  $\varphi \in C_c(G)$ , we have

$$(12) \quad \|\varphi\|_{p_0, q_0} \leq \|\hat{\varphi}\|_{\infty}^{\alpha} \|\varphi\|_{\infty}^{1-\alpha}.$$

(Recall that for  $1 < s \leq \infty$ ,  $L_1^s(G) = L^s(G)$ , with equality of norms.) (10) and (12) taken together yield the inequality

$$(13) \quad \|\varphi * f\|_{q_1} \leq K \|\hat{\varphi}\|_{\infty}^{\alpha} \|\varphi\|_{\infty}^{1-\alpha} \|f\|_{p_1}$$

for all  $\varphi, f \in C_c(G)$ . Choose and fix  $\varphi, f \in C_c(G)$ . We now manufacture two sequences  $(\varphi_n)$  and  $(\psi_n)$  of functions much as in the proof of Lemma 2.1, but with a few modifications. Define

$$(14) \quad \begin{cases} \varphi_0 = \psi_0 = \varphi \\ \varphi_n = \varphi_{n-1} + \psi_{n-1, x_{n-1}} \\ \psi_n = \varphi_{n-1} - \psi_{n-1, x_{n-1}} \end{cases} \quad (n = 1, 2, \dots)$$

where the point  $x_{n-1}$  is chosen so that the supports of  $\varphi_{n-1}$  and  $\psi_{n-1, x_{n-1}}$  are disjoint ( $\psi_{n-1, x_{n-1}}$  is the  $x_{n-1}$ -translate of  $\psi_{n-1}$ ) and so that the supports of  $f * \varphi_{n-1}$  and  $(f * \psi_{n-1})_{x_{n-1}}$  are disjoint. Then (14) leads to the further relation

$$(15) \quad \begin{cases} f * \varphi_n = f * \varphi_{n-1} + (f * \psi_{n-1})_{x_{n-1}} \\ f * \psi_n = f * \varphi_{n-1} - (f * \psi_{n-1})_{x_{n-1}} \end{cases}.$$

Arguing as in the proof of Lemma 2.1, we get that

$$\|\varphi_n * f\|_{q_1} = 2^{n/q_1} \|\varphi * f\|_{q_1};$$

$\|\hat{\varphi}_n\|_{\infty} \leq 2^{(n+1)/2} \|\hat{\varphi}\|_{\infty}$ ;  $\|\varphi_n\|_{\infty} = \|\varphi\|_{\infty}$ . Then substituting  $\varphi_n$  for  $\varphi$  in (13), we deduce

$$(16) \quad 2^{n/q_1} \|\varphi * f\|_{q_1} \leq K' \|\hat{\varphi}\|_{\infty}^{\alpha n/2} \|\varphi\|_{\infty}^{1-\alpha} \|f\|_{p_1}.$$

Now  $\alpha n/2 = n/q_0$  and  $1/q_0 < 1/q_1$ . So we have a contradiction when  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

In order to be able to establish Theorem 4.4 for the case of a general infinite compact Abelian group, we shall construct modified Rudin-Shapiro polynomials for the group  $G = \Pi_1^{\infty} Z(r)$  (complete direct product) where  $r$  is a prime, and  $Z(r)$  is as usual the cyclic group of order  $r$ . Our construction is itself a modification of an argument due to Daniel Rider [13]. {We are grateful to Alessandro Figà-Talamanca for drawing our attention to Rider's paper.}

**LEMMA 4.5.** *Let  $r$  be a prime integer,  $G = \Pi_1^{\infty} Z(r)$ , and  $X = {}^* \Pi_1^{\infty} Z(r)$  (weak direct product). Write  $\chi_0, \chi_1, \dots$  for the characters of  $G$  induced by the elements  $(0, 0, \dots)$ ,  $(1, 0, 0, \dots)$ ,  $(0, 1, 0, 0, \dots)$ ,  $\dots$  of  $X$ . Write  $\zeta = \exp(2\pi i/r)$ . Then there exists a sequence  $(\varphi_k)_{k=0}^{\infty}$*



of trigonometric polynomials on  $G$  with the following properties.

- (i)  $\hat{\varphi}_k$  has precisely  $r^k$  points in its support.
- (ii)  $\hat{\varphi}_k$  is supported by the subgroup of  $X$  generated by  $\chi_0, \dots, \chi_k$ .
- (iii)  $\hat{\varphi}_k$  takes only the values  $0, 1, \zeta, \dots, \zeta^{r-1}$ .
- (iv)  $\|\varphi_k\|_\infty \leq r^{(k+1)/2}$ .

Moreover,  $\varphi_k^{*(r-1)} = \varphi_k * \dots * \varphi_k$ , the  $(r-1)$ th convolution power of  $\varphi_k$ , also has the properties (i)–(iv).

*Proof.* Observe first of all that if  $s$  is an integer, then

$$\sum_{j=0}^{r-1} \zeta^{sj} = \begin{cases} r & (s \equiv 0 \pmod{r}) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Therefore if  $c_0, \dots, c_{r-1}$  are arbitrary complex numbers,

$$(17) \quad \sum_{s=0}^{r-1} \left| \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} \zeta^{sj} c_j \right|^2 = r \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} |c_j|^2.$$

Now define the sequence  $\{P_k^0, \dots, P_k^{r-1}\}$   $k = 0, 1, \dots$  of  $r$ -tuples of polynomials on  $G$  as follows. Define  $P_0^0 = \dots = P_0^{r-1} = 1$ . Then define  $P_{k+1}^s$  inductively as follows:

$$P_{k+1}^s = \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} \chi_{k+1}^j \zeta^{sj} P_k^j \quad (s = 0, 1, \dots, r-1).$$

It is easy to check that each of the functions  $P_k^s$  ( $s = 0, 1, \dots, r-1$ ) has as its spectrum the subgroup of  $X$  generated by  $\chi_0, \dots, \chi_k$  and has Fourier coefficients taking the values  $0, 1, \dots, \zeta^{r-1}$  only. Now by virtue of (17),

$$\sum_{s=0}^{r-1} |P_{k+1}^s|^2 = r \sum_{s=0}^{r-1} |P_k^s|^2$$

since  $|\chi_{k+1}| = 1$ . Therefore  $|P_{k+1}^s| \leq r^{(k+2)/2}$  ( $s = 0, 1, \dots, r-1; k = 0, 1, \dots$ ). Define  $\varphi_k = P_k^0$ . Then the sequence  $(\varphi_k)$  enjoys properties (i)–(iv).

Now it is not hard to see that the  $(r-1)$ th convolution power  $\varphi_k * \dots * \varphi_k$  of  $\varphi_k$  also satisfies conditions (i)–(iv). For its Fourier transform is just the complex conjugate of that of  $\varphi_k$ .

We shall need one further result, namely a simple lemma relating the space of multipliers on a group  $G$  to the corresponding space of multipliers on a quotient group of  $G$ .

**LEMMA 4.6.** *Let  $G$  be a compact Abelian group with dual  $X$ . Suppose that  $X_0$  is a subgroup of  $X$ , and that  $1 \leq p \leq q \leq \infty$ . Let  $\psi$  be a bounded function on  $X_0$ , and  $\psi'$  the function on  $X$  which coincides with  $\psi$  on  $X_0$ , and is zero off  $X_0$ . Then  $\psi \in M_p^q(X_0)$  if and only if  $\psi' \in M_p^q(X)$ .*

*Proof.* Write  $G_0$  for the annihilator of  $X_0$  in  $G$ , so that the dual of  $G/G_0$  is  $X_0$ . Suppose first that  $\psi \in M_p^q(X_0)$ . If  $f' \in L^p(G)$ , then it is well known that the function  $\nu$  on  $X_0$  obtained by restricting  $\hat{f}'$  to  $X_0$  is the Fourier transform of a function in  $L^p(G/G_0)$ . On the other hand, it is easy to see that the function on  $X$  obtained by extending  $\psi\nu$  so that it is 0 off  $X_0$ , is the Fourier transform of a function (constant on cosets of  $G_0$ ) in  $L^q(G)$ . We conclude that  $\psi' \in M_p^q(X)$ . The converse is proved in a similar manner.

The compact case of Theorem 4.4 now follows.

**THEOREM 4.7.** *Let  $G$  be an infinite compact Abelian group,*

$$1 < p_0 < q_0 < \infty, p_0 = q'_0, q_1 < q_0, 1/p_i - 1/q_i = 1 - 1/r \quad (i = 0, 1).$$

*Then  $L_{p_1}^{q_1} \subseteq L_{p_0}^{q_0}$ .*

*Proof.* Lemma 4.6 shows that it suffices to prove the theorem for a suitable quotient of  $G$ .

There are several cases to consider, depending on the group theoretic structure of  $X$ , the dual of  $G$ .

*Case (i).*  $X$  is not a torsion group. Then  $X$  contains a copy of  $Z$ , the additive group of the integers. To establish this case, it suffices to prove the theorem when  $G = T$ , the circle group.

If  $L_{p_1}^{q_1} = L_{p_0}^{q_0}$ , it follows that  $L_{p_0}^{q_0} = L_{q'_1}^{p'_1}$ , and as in the proof of Theorem 4.4, we deduce the inequality

$$(13') \quad \|\varphi * f\|_{p'_1} \leq K \|\hat{\varphi}\|_\infty^\alpha \|\varphi\|_\infty^{1-\alpha} \|f\|_{q'_1}$$

for all trigonometric polynomials  $f$  and  $\varphi$ , where  $1/q_0 = \alpha/2$ . Now manufacture a sequence  $(\varphi_n)$  of Rudin-Shapiro polynomials with  $\hat{\varphi}_n(k) = \pm 1$  for  $0 \leq k \leq 2^n - 1$ ,  $\hat{\varphi}_n(k) = 0$  for  $k \geq 2^n$ , and  $\|\varphi_n\|_\infty \leq 2^{(n+1)/2}$ . Replace both  $f$  and  $\varphi$  in (13') by  $\varphi_n$ . Now  $|\varphi_n * \varphi_n - \exp(2^n - 1)ix| = |D_{2^n-1-1}|$ , where  $D_k$  denotes the Dirichlet kernel of order  $k$ . Now [1, Exercise 7.5]  $\|D_k\|_{p'_1} \sim k^{1/p_1}$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  when  $1 < p_1 < \infty$ . (13') yields the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \|D_{2^n-1-1}\|_{p'_1} &\leq K' \cdot 1 \cdot 2^{n(1-\alpha)/2} 2^{n/2} + 1 \\ &= K' 2^{n/q'_0} + 1 \\ &= K' 2^{n/p_0} + 1, \end{aligned}$$

so that as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $2^{n/p_1} \leq M 2^{n/p_0}$  for some constant  $M$ . But  $1/p_1 > 1/p_0$ ; so we have a contradiction.

*Case (ii).*  $X$  is a torsion group, but contains elements of arbi-

trarily large order. We may therefore assume that there is a sequence  $X_1, X_2, \dots$  of cyclic subgroups of  $X$  of orders  $n_1, n_2, \dots$  where  $n_k \rightarrow \infty$ . For each  $k$ , define the positive integer  $s_k$  by the condition  $2^{s_k} \leq n_k < 2^{s_k+1}$ . Now manufacture a trigonometric polynomial  $t_k$  on  $G$  (as in the proof of Lemma 2.1) with  $t_k = \sum_{j=0}^{2^{s_k}-1} c_j \chi_k^j$  where  $c_j = \pm 1$  and  $\chi_k$  is the generator of  $X_k$ . Notice that the support of  $\hat{t}_k$  contains precisely  $2^{s_k}$  points.

If  $L_{p_0}^{q_0} = L_{p_1}^{q_1}$ , we deduce (13') as before. Now  $(t_k * t_k)^\wedge$  is the characteristic function of the subset  $\{\chi_k^j: j = 0, \dots, 2^{s_k} - 1\}$  of  $X_k$ . If  $G_k$  is the annihilator of  $X_k$ , then there are precisely  $n_k$  cosets of  $G_k$  in  $G$ , each having measure  $1/n_k$ . On one of these cosets, namely  $G_k$  itself,  $t_k * t_k = 2^{s_k}$ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \|t_k * t_k\|_{p_1'} &\geq \left[ \frac{2^{s_k p_1'}}{n_k} \right]^{1/p_1'} \\ &\geq \left[ \frac{2^{s_k p_1'}}{2^{s_k+1}} \right]^{1/p_1'} \\ &= 2^{s_k(1-1/p_1')} 2^{-1/p_1'} \\ &= 2^{s_k/p_1} 2^{-1/p_1'}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,  $\|t_k\|_{q_1'} \leq 2^{(s_k+1)/2}$ ,  $\|\hat{t}_k\|_\infty = 1$ , and  $\|t_k\|_\infty \leq 2^{(s_k+1)/2}$ . Substituting  $t_k = \varphi = f$  in (13'), we derive the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} 2^{s_k/p_1} 2^{-1/p_1'} &\leq \|t_k * t_k\|_{p_1'} \\ (18) \quad &\leq K' 2^{s_k(1-\alpha+1)/2} \\ &= K' 2^{s_k(1-1/q_0)} \\ &= K' 2^{s_k/p_0} \end{aligned}$$

since  $1/q_0 = \alpha/2$  and  $p_0 = q_0'$ . Now if  $1/q_0 < 1/q_1$ , it follows that  $1/p_0 < 1/p_1$ . Since  $s_k \rightarrow \infty$  as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , (18) leads to a contradiction.

*Case (iii).*  $X$  is a group of bounded order. In this case, appeal to a known structure theorem [8, A.25] allows us to claim that  $X$  contains a subgroup isomorphic to the weak direct product  $*\Pi_1^\infty Z(r)$  where  $r$  is a prime integer. It therefore suffices to prove the theorem in the case where  $X = *\Pi_1^\infty Z(r)$ . We seek, as before, to contradict the inequality (13'). By Lemma 4.5, there exists a sequence  $(\varphi_k)$  of trigonometric polynomials on  $G$ , having the properties (i)–(iv); further, the sequence of  $(r-1)$ th powers  $(\varphi_k * \dots * \varphi_k)$  also have properties (i)–(iv). Observe now that the Fourier transform of the  $r$ th convolution power  $\varphi_k^{*r}$  is precisely the characteristic function of the group  $X_k$  generated  $\chi_0, \dots, \chi_k$ . Denote by  $G_k$  the annihilator of  $X_k$  in  $G$ . Then  $G_k$  has precisely  $r^k$  distinct cosets in  $G$ , each of measure  $1/r^k$ . Substituting  $\varphi = \varphi_k$ ,  $f = \varphi_k^{*(r-1)}$  in (13'), we derive the inequality

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma^{k/p_1} &= \gamma^{k(1-1/p_1')} = \|\varphi_k * (\varphi_k^{*r-1})\|_{p_1} \\
(19) \quad &\leq K \|\varphi_k^{*r-1}\|_{q_1'} \|\hat{\varphi}_k\|_{\infty}^{\alpha} \|\varphi_k\|_{\infty}^{1-\alpha} \\
&\leq M \gamma^{k/2} \gamma^{k(1-\alpha)/2} = M \gamma^{k(1-\alpha/2)} \\
&= M \gamma^{k/p_0}
\end{aligned}$$

where  $M$  is a constant, since  $\varphi_k$  and  $\varphi_k^{*r-1}$  both have property (iv) of Lemma 4.5,  $1/q_0 = \alpha/2$ , and  $p_0 = q_0'$ . Since  $1/p_1 > 1/p_0$ , (19) is contradicted when  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . The proof is now complete.

The final step in the train of argument puts Theorems 4.1, 4.4 and 4.7 together and interpolates so as to give the complete chain of proper inclusions.

**THEOREM 4.8.** *Let  $G$  be any infinite LCA group,  $1 < p_1 < p_2 < p_0 < 2$ ,  $p_0 = q_0'$ ,  $1/p_i - 1/q_i = 1 - 1/r$  ( $i = 0, 1, 2$ ). Then the inclusions  $L^r \subset L_{p_1}^{q_1} \subset L_{p_2}^{q_2} \subset L_{p_0}^{q_0}$  are all proper.*

*Proof.* We have already shown that  $L^r \subsetneq L_{p_1}^{q_1}$  and that  $L_{p_2}^{q_2} \subsetneq L_{p_0}^{q_0}$ . It remains to show that  $L_{p_1}^{q_1} \subsetneq L_{p_2}^{q_2}$ .

If the last two spaces are equal, then the topologies on them must be the same. (The spaces are both Banach, and [10, Th. 1.3] shows that the embedding of  $L_{p_1}^{q_1}$  into  $L_{p_2}^{q_2}$  is continuous.) Since, however,  $1 < p_1 < p_2 < p_0$ , there is an index  $\alpha$  with  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , such that

$$1/p_2 = \alpha/p_1 + (1 - \alpha)/p_0,$$

and

$$(20) \quad \|T\|_{p_2, q_2} \leq \|T\|_{p_1, q_1}^{\alpha} \|T\|_{p_0, q_0}^{1-\alpha}$$

for all  $T \in L_{p_1}^{q_1}$ , by the Riesz convexity theorem. On the other hand, since  $L_{p_1}^{q_1} \neq L_{p_0}^{q_0}$ , there is a sequence  $(T_n)$  of elements of  $L_{p_1}^{q_1}$  with  $\|T_n\|_{p_0, q_0} \rightarrow 0$  and  $\|T_n\|_{p_1, q_1} = 1$ ; substituting in (20), we deduce that  $\|T_n\|_{p_2, q_2} \rightarrow 0$ . Since  $\|T_n\|_{p_1, q_1} = 1$  and the norms on the spaces  $L_{p_1}^{q_1}$  and  $L_{p_2}^{q_2}$  are equivalent, we have arrived at a contradiction.

## REFERENCES

1. R. E. Edwards, *Fourier Series: A Modern Introduction*, Vol. I and Vol. II, Holt, Rinehart and Winston, New York, 1967 and 1968.
2. Alessandro Figà-Talamanca, *On the subspace of  $L^p$  invariant under multiplication of transform by bounded continuous functions*, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova (1965), 176-189.
3. Alessandro Figà-Talamanca and Garth I. Gaudry, *Multipliers and sets of uniqueness of  $L^p$* , Michigan Math. J, **17** (1970), 179-191.
4. Alessandro Figà-Talamanca and Garth I. Gaudry, *Density and representation theorems for multipliers of type  $(p, q)$* , J. Austral. Math. Soc., **7** (1967), 1-6.

5. G. I. Gaudry, *Quasimeasures and operators commuting with convolution*, Pacific J. Math. **18** (1966), 461-476.
6. ———, *Multipliers of type  $(p, q)$* , Pacific J. Math. **18** (1966), 477-488.
7. ———, *Topics in harmonic analysis*, Yale lecture notes (mimeographed), 1969.
8. Edwin Hewitt and Kenneth A. Ross, *Abstract Harmonic Analysis*, Vol. I, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1963.
9. Edwin Hewitt and Kenneth A. Ross, *Abstract Harmonic Analysis*, Vol. II, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1970.
10. Lars Hörmander, *Estimates for translation invariant operators in  $L^p$  spaces*, Acta Math. **104** (1960), 93-140.
11. Y. Katznelson, *An Introduction to Harmonic Analysis*, Wiley, New York, 1968.
12. J. F. Price, *Some strict inclusions between spaces of  $L^p$  multipliers* (to appear)
13. Daniel Rider, *Transformations of Fourier coefficients*, Pacific J. Math. **19** (1966), 347-355.
14. Leonard Y. H. Yap, *On Young's inequality on locally compact groups* (to appear)

Received October 24, 1969. Research partially sponsored by the Air Force Office of Scientific Research, Office of Aerospace Research, U. S. Air Force, under AFOSR grant No. SAR F-44620-67-C-00090.

YALE UNIVERSITY  
NEW HAVEN

# PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

## EDITORS

H. SAMELSON  
Stanford University  
Stanford, California 94305

J. DUGUNDJI  
Department of Mathematics  
University of Southern California  
Los Angeles, California 90007

RICHARD PIERCE  
University of Washington  
Seattle, Washington 98105

RICHARD ARENS  
University of California  
Los Angeles, California 90024

## ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

## SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA  
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY  
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA  
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA  
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY  
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON  
OSAKA UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO  
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH  
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY  
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON  
\* \* \*  
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY  
CHEVRON RESEARCH CORPORATION  
TRW SYSTEMS  
NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 35, No. 1

September, 1970

B. D. Arendt and C. J. Stuth, <i>On the structure of commutative periodic semigroups</i> .....	1
B. D. Arendt and C. J. Stuth, <i>On partial homomorphisms of semigroups</i> ....	7
Leonard Asimow, <i>Extensions of continuous affine functions</i> .....	11
Claude Elias Billigheimer, <i>Regular boundary problems for a five-term recurrence relation</i> .....	23
Edwin Ogilvie Buchman and F. A. Valentine, <i>A characterization of the parallelepiped in <math>E^n</math></i> .....	53
Victor P. Camillo, <i>A note on commutative injective rings</i> .....	59
Larry Jean Cummings, <i>Decomposable symmetric tensors</i> .....	65
J. E. H. Elliott, <i>On matrices with a restricted number of diagonal values</i> ...	79
Garth Ian Gaudry, <i>Bad behavior and inclusion results for multipliers of type <math>(p, q)</math></i> .....	83
Frances F. Gulick, <i>Derivations and actions</i> .....	95
Langdon Frank Harris, <i>On subgroups of prime power index</i> .....	117
Jutta Hausen, <i>The hypo residuum of the automorphism group of an abelian <math>p</math>-group</i> .....	127
R. Hrycay, <i>Noncontinuous multifunctions</i> .....	141
A. Jeanne LaDuke, <i>On a certain generalization of <math>p</math> spaces</i> .....	155
Marion-Josephine Lim, <i>Rank preservers of skew-symmetric matrices</i> .....	169
John Hathway Lindsey, II, <i>On a six dimensional projective representation of the Hall-Janko group</i> .....	175
Roger McCann, <i>Transversally perturbed planar dynamical systems</i> .....	187
Theodore Windle Palmer, <i>Real <math>C^*</math>-algebras</i> .....	195
Don David Porter, <i>Symplectic bordism, Stiefel-Whitney numbers, and a Novikov resolution</i> .....	205
Tilak Raj Prabhakar, <i>On a set of polynomials suggested by Laguerre polynomials</i> .....	213
B. L. S. Prakasa Rao, <i>Infinitely divisible characteristic functionals on locally convex topological vector spaces</i> .....	221
John Robert Reay, <i>Caratheodory theorems in convex product structures</i> ....	227
Allan M. Sinclair, <i>Eigenvalues in the boundary of the numerical range</i> .....	231
David R. Stone, <i>Torsion-free and divisible modules over matrix rings</i> .....	235
William Jennings Wickless, <i>A characterization of the nil radical of a ring</i> .....	255