

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

ISOMORPHISMS OF $C_0(Y)$ ONTO $C(X)$

MICHAEL JAMES CAMBERN

ISOMORPHISMS OF $C_0(Y)$ ONTO $C(X)$

MICHAEL CAMBERN

The well known Banach-Stone theorem states that if X and Y are locally compact Hausdorff spaces, then the existence of an isometry φ of $C_0(Y)$ onto $C_0(X)$ implies that X and Y are homeomorphic. This result has been generalized by showing that the same conclusion holds if the requirement that φ be an isometry is replaced by the requirement that φ be an isomorphism with $\|\varphi\| \|\varphi^{-1}\| < 2$. However, the author knows of no valid examples in the literature which show that 2 is the largest number for which this generalization is true. Here such an example is provided, and it is shown that the reason for the apparent scarcity of examples is not that they need be complicated, but rather, at least in the case where X is compact and Y noncompact, that there is essentially just one way to construct them.

If X is a locally compact Hausdorff space, we denote by $C_0(X)$ the Banach space of continuous, complex-valued functions vanishing at infinity on X , provided with the usual supremum norm. If X is actually compact, so that $C_0(X)$ consists of all continuous complex functions on X , we will, whenever it is convenient to do so, represent this function space by the more customary notation $C(X)$. Now if X and Y are two locally compact Hausdorff spaces and if there exists a continuous isomorphism φ of $C_0(Y)$ onto $C_0(X)$ satisfying $\|\varphi\| \|\varphi^{-1}\| = \alpha$, we write $X \overset{\alpha}{\sim} Y$. This is easily seen to be equivalent to the existence of a norm-increasing isomorphism φ of $C_0(Y)$ onto $C_0(X)$ —i.e., $\|g\| \leq \|\varphi(g)\|$ for $g \in C_0(Y)$ —satisfying $\|\varphi\| = \alpha$ and $\|\varphi^{-1}\| = 1$. Employing this notation, the Banach-Stone theorem states that if $X \overset{1}{\sim} Y$, then X and Y are homeomorphic.

In [1] this theorem was strengthened by showing that if $X \overset{\alpha}{\sim} Y$, where α is any real number less than 2, then X and Y are homeomorphic. The following example, announced in [2], displays two locally compact Hausdorff spaces X and Y , with X compact and Y noncompact, such that $X^2 \overset{2}{\sim} Y$. Hence 2 is the greatest number for which the formulation of the Banach-Stone theorem given in [1] is valid.

EXAMPLE. Let $Y = \{y_k: k = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots\}$ be a sequence of distinct points y_k , where $\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} y_{-k} = y_0$, y_0 is the only accumulation point of Y , and the set $\{y_k: k \geq 1\}$ has no accumulation point in Y . Let $X = \{x_k: k = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots\}$ be a sequence of distinct points x_k , where $\lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} x_k = \lim_{k \rightarrow +\infty} x_{-k} = x_0$, and x_0 is the only accumulation

point of X . Define an isomorphism φ of $C_0(Y)$ onto $C(X)$ by

$$\begin{aligned}(\varphi(g))(x_k) &= g(y_k) + g(y_{-k}), & k > 0, \\(\varphi(g))(x_{-k}) &= -g(y_k) + g(y_{-k}), & k > 0, \\(\varphi(g))(x_0) &= g(y_0), & \text{for } g \in C_0(Y).\end{aligned}$$

Then $\|\varphi\| = 2$ and $\|\varphi^{-1}\| = 1$.

Aside from showing that the number 2 is exact for the formulation of the Banach-Stone theorem cited above, the example is of interest in that it provides a prototype of all examples which one can construct of pairs X, Y with X compact and Y noncompact, for which there exists a norm-increasing map φ of $C_0(Y)$ onto $C(X)$ with $\|\varphi\| = 2$. We wish to show that, provided X and Y are first countable spaces, in any such example which can be constructed the isomorphism φ must behave very much as does that in the example given in this paper.

To this end we first note that if we represent the elements of the dual spaces $C_0(Y)^*$ and $C(X)^*$ as measures via the Riesz representation theorem, and employ the customary notation for unit point masses, then the isomorphism φ of the example is completely determined by the following equations involving the adjoint mappings:

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi^{*-1}\mu_{y_k} &= \frac{1}{2}\mu_{x_k} - \frac{1}{2}\mu_{x_{-k}}, & k > 0, \\ \varphi^*\mu_{x_k} &= \mu_{y_k} + \mu_{y_{-k}}, & k > 0.\end{aligned}$$

We will prove the following:

THEOREM. *Let X and Y be first countable locally compact Hausdorff spaces with X compact, Y noncompact, and $X \stackrel{2}{\sim} Y$. Let φ be any norm-increasing isomorphism of $C_0(Y)$ onto $C(X)$ with $\|\varphi\| = 2$. Then for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a compact set $K_\varepsilon \subseteq Y$ such that for each $y \in Y - K_\varepsilon$ there are points $x, x' \in X$ and $y' \in Y$, with*

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi^{*-1}\mu_y &= \beta\mu_x + \beta'\mu_{x'} + \nu, \\ \varphi^*\mu_x &= \alpha\mu_y + \alpha'\mu_{y'} + \mu,\end{aligned}$$

where $\beta, \beta', \alpha, \alpha'$ are scalars with $|\beta| \geq \frac{1}{2}$, $|\beta'| > \frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon$, $|\alpha| \geq 1$, $|\alpha'| > 1 - \varepsilon$, and $\nu(\{x\}) = \nu(\{x'\}) = \mu(\{y\}) = \mu(\{y'\}) = 0$; hence $\|\nu\| < \varepsilon$ and $\|\mu\| < \varepsilon$.

Before beginning the proof of the theorem, we establish some conventions regarding notation. If $y \in Y$, we will say that a sequence $\{g_{y,n}: n = 1, 2, \dots\} \subseteq C_0(Y)$ is *regularly associated* with the point

y if $\|g_{y,n}\| = g_{y,n}(y) = 1$ for all n , and the support of $g_{y,n}$ is contained in U_n , where $\{U_n: n = 1, 2, \dots\}$ is some neighborhood base at y with $U_{n+1} \subseteq U_n$ for all n . We write $\{g_{y,n}\} \leftrightarrow y$ to denote that $\{g_{y,n}\}$ is regularly associated with y . The definition of sequences $\{f_{x,n}\} \subseteq C(X)$ regularly associated with a point $x \in X$ is analogous, and we use the corresponding notation: $\{f_{x,n}\} \leftrightarrow x$.

If $y \in Y$, and $\{g_{y,n}\} \leftrightarrow y$, then

$$\lim_n (\varphi(g_{y,n}))(x) = \lim_n \int \varphi(g_{y,n}) d\mu_x = \lim_n \int g_{y,n} d(\varphi^* \mu_x)$$

exists for all $x \in X$, and is equal to $\varphi^* \mu_x(\{y\})$. Thus for fixed $x \in X$,

$$(1) \quad \lim_n (\varphi(g_{y,n}))(x) = \alpha \Leftrightarrow \varphi^* \mu_x = \alpha \mu_y + \mu,$$

where $\mu \in C_0(Y)^*$ and $\mu(\{y\}) = 0$. The scalar α is unchanged if the sequence $\{g_{y,n}\}$ is replaced by any other sequence regularly associated with y . Similarly, if $\{f_{x,n}\} \leftrightarrow x \in X$, then for fixed $y \in Y$,

$$(2) \quad \lim_n (\varphi^{-1}(f_{x,n}))(y) = \beta \Leftrightarrow \varphi^{*-1} \mu_y = \beta \mu_x + \nu,$$

where $\nu \in C(X)^*$ and $\nu(\{x\}) = 0$.

Proof of the Theorem. We may suppose that $\varepsilon < 1/6$. Denote by $\mathbf{1}$ that function which is identically equal to $\mathbf{1}$ on X and let $K_\varepsilon = \{y \in Y: |(\varphi^{-1}(\mathbf{1}))(y)| \geq \varepsilon/8\}$. Suppose that $y \in Y - K_\varepsilon$. Then the conclusion of the theorem will follow if we establish the validity of the following statements (I)-(IV):

(I) If $x \in X$ is such that $|\varphi^* \mu_x(\{y\})| > 1/(1 + \varepsilon)$, then $|\varphi^{*-1} \mu_y(\{x\})| > \frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon$.

(II) There exists at least one $x \in X$ such that $|\varphi^* \mu_x(\{y\})| \geq 1$, and this point x can be chosen so that $|\varphi^{*-1} \mu_y(\{x\})| \geq \frac{1}{2}$.

(III) There exists a second point $x' \in X$, $x' \neq x$, such that $|\varphi^{*-1} \mu_y(\{x'\})| > \frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon$.

(IV) If x is a point associated with y by (II), there exists a second point $y' \in Y$ such that $|\varphi^* \mu_x(\{y'\})| > 1 - \varepsilon$.

Now if $\{g_{y,n}\} \leftrightarrow y$ then, by (1), a point $x \in X$ will be such that

$$(3) \quad \varphi^* \mu_x = \alpha \mu_y + \mu, \quad |\alpha| > 1/(1 + \varepsilon), \quad \mu(\{y\}) = 0,$$

if and only if x belongs to the set

$$\{x \in X: |\lim_n (\varphi(g_{y,n}))(x)| > 1/(1 + \varepsilon)\}.$$

This set is nonvoid. For (using the fact that $\|\varphi^{*-1}\| = \|\varphi^{-1}\| = 1$)

we have

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} 1 &= \lim_n \int g_{y,n} d\mu_y = \int \left(\lim_n \varphi(g_{y,n}) \right) d(\varphi^{*-1}\mu_y) \\ &\leq \sup_{x \in X} \lim_n |(\varphi(g_{y,n}))(x)|. \end{aligned}$$

We next note that for all $x \in X$, we have

$$(5) \quad \lim_n |(\varphi(g_{y,n}))(x)| \leq 1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{4}.$$

For if not, we could find a point $x' \in X$ and an integer m such that $|(\varphi(g_{y,m}))(x')| > 1 + \varepsilon/4$, and such that the support of $g_{y,m}$ is contained in $\{y' \in Y: |(\varphi^{-1}(\mathbf{1}))(y')| < \varepsilon/8\}$. Define a scalar λ by $|\lambda| = 1$, and $\arg \lambda = -\arg(\varphi(g_{y,m}))(x')$. Then $\varphi^{-1}(\mathbf{1}) + \lambda g_{y,m}$ is an element of $C_0(Y)$ with norm less than $1 + \varepsilon/8$, while

$$\|\varphi(\varphi^{-1}(\mathbf{1}) + \lambda g_{y,m})\| \geq 1 + \lambda(\varphi(g_{y,m}))(x') > 2 + \frac{\varepsilon}{4},$$

contradicting the fact that $\|\varphi\| = 2$.

Now suppose that x is any point of X which satisfies (3). We then write $\varphi^{*-1}\mu_y = \beta\mu_x + \nu$, where $\nu(\{x\}) = 0$, and claim that $|\beta| > \frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon$. For if $\{g_{y,n}\} \leftrightarrow y$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= \lim_n \int g_{y,n} d\mu_y = \lim_n \int \varphi(g_{y,n}) d(\varphi^{*-1}\mu_y) \\ &\leq \sup_{x' \in X} \lim_n |(\varphi(g_{y,n}))(x')| \|\varphi^{*-1}\mu_y\| < (1 + \varepsilon)(|\beta| + \|\nu\|). \end{aligned}$$

Now if we suppose that $|\beta| \leq \frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon$, the previous inequality gives $\|\nu\| > \frac{1}{2}$.

Next from (3), and the fact that $\|\varphi^*\| = 2$, we obtain $\mu = \varphi^*\mu_x - \alpha\mu_y$, and $\|\mu\| \leq 2 - |\alpha|$. Thus $\varphi^{*-1}\mu = (1 - \alpha\beta)\mu_x - \alpha\nu$, and since φ^{*-1} is norm-decreasing, it follows that

$$(6) \quad 2 - |\alpha| \geq \|\varphi^{*-1}\mu\| \geq 1 - |\alpha||\beta| + |\alpha|\|\nu\|,$$

a quantity which, since $|\beta| < \frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon$ and $\|\nu\| > \frac{1}{2}$, is strictly greater than $1 + \varepsilon|\alpha|$. But this implies that $1/(1 + \varepsilon) > |\alpha|$, contradicting our choice of the point x . This proves (I).

We next note that if $\{g_{y,n}\} \leftrightarrow y$, then by what has been proven, $\{x \in X: \lim_n |(\varphi(g_{y,n}))(x)| > 1/(1 + \varepsilon)\} \subseteq \{x \in X: |\varphi^{*-1}\mu_y(\{x\})| > \frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon\}$, a finite set. It thus follows that the function $|\lim_n \varphi(g_{y,n})|$ has a maximum on X . And since we may now replace ‘sup’ by ‘max’ in (4), this maximum is necessarily greater than or equal to one. Thus if we choose a point $x \in X$ at which the function attains its supremum,

then by (1) we have $\varphi^*\mu_x = \alpha\mu_y + \mu$, where $|\alpha| \geq 1$ and $\mu(\{y\}) = 0$.

For this point x write $\varphi^{*-1}\mu_y = \beta\mu_x + \nu$, where β is a scalar and $\nu(\{x\}) = 0$. We then have

$$1 = \lim_n \int g_{y,n} d\mu_y = \lim_n \int \varphi(g_{y,n}) d(\varphi^{*-1}\mu_y) = \alpha\beta + \lim_n \int \varphi(g_{y,n}) d\nu.$$

Hence

$$(7) \quad \lim_n \int \varphi(g_{y,n}) d\nu = 1 - \alpha\beta.$$

We thus have

$$|\alpha| \|\nu\| = \max_{x' \in X} \left| \lim_n (\varphi(g_{y,n}))(x') \right| \|\nu\| \geq \left| \lim_n \int \varphi(g_{y,n}) d\nu \right| \geq 1 - |\alpha|\beta|,$$

so that

$$(8) \quad \|\nu\| \geq (1 - |\alpha|\beta|)/|\alpha|.$$

Combining (6) and (8) we obtain $2 - |\alpha| \geq 2 - 2|\alpha|\beta|$, which gives $|\beta| \geq \frac{1}{2}$ and completes the proof of (II).

Now let x be a fixed point of X whose existence is guaranteed by (II)—i.e., $\varphi^*\mu_x = \alpha\mu_y + \mu$, where $|\alpha| \geq 1$ and $\mu(\{y\}) = 0$, and $\varphi^{*-1}\mu_y = \beta\mu_x + \nu$, where $|\beta| \geq \frac{1}{2}$ and $\nu(\{x\}) = 0$. If $\{g_{y,n}\} \leftrightarrow y$, then by (1) $\alpha = \lim_n (\varphi(g_{y,n}))(x)$, so (5) provides an upper bound for $|\alpha|$: $|\alpha| < (4 + \varepsilon)/4$.

We wish to find an upper bound for $|\beta|$.

To this end note that

$$\frac{\varepsilon}{8} > |(\varphi^{-1}(1))(y)| = \left| \int \varphi^{-1}(1) d\mu_y \right| = \left| \int 1 d(\varphi^{*-1}\mu_y) \right| \geq |\beta| - \|\nu\|$$

Combining this with $1 \geq \|\varphi^{*-1}\mu_y\| = |\beta| + \|\nu\|$ gives $|\beta| < (8 + \varepsilon)/16$. Now using (7) we obtain

$$(9) \quad \sup_{x' \in X - \{x\}} \left| \lim_n (\varphi(g_{y,n}))(x') \right| \|\nu\| \geq \left| \lim_n \int \varphi(g_{y,n}) d\nu \right| \geq 1 - |\alpha|\beta| > (32 - 12\varepsilon - \varepsilon^2)/64.$$

But we have

$$(10) \quad (32 - 12\varepsilon - \varepsilon^2)/64 > 1/(2 + \varepsilon) > 1/2(1 + \varepsilon),$$

the first inequality holding since $0 < \varepsilon < 1/6$, while the second is valid for all positive values of ε . Thus since $1 \geq |\beta| + \|\nu\|$ and $|\beta| \geq \frac{1}{2}$ imply together that $\|\nu\| \leq \frac{1}{2}$, it follows from (9) and (10) that there exists a point $x' \in X - \{x\}$ such that $|\lim_n (\varphi(g_{y,n}))(x')| >$

$1/(1 + \varepsilon)$. Hence by (I) we must have $\varphi^{*-1}\mu_y = \beta'\mu_x + \nu'$, where $|\beta'| > \frac{1}{2} - \varepsilon$ and $\nu'(\{x'\}) = 0$. Thus (III) is proved.

Next, for this point $x \in X$, if $\{f_{x,n}\} \leftrightarrow x$ then (2), and a computation exactly analogous to that preceding (7), (with the $f_{x,n}$ replacing the $g_{y,n}$ and μ_x replacing μ_y), yield

$$\lim_n \int \varphi^{-1}(f_{x,n}) d\mu = 1 - \alpha\beta.$$

Thus, noting that $\|\mu\| = \|\varphi^*\mu_x\| - |\alpha| \leq 1$, an argument paralleling that of (9), and an application of the first inequality in (10) provide the existence of a point $y' \in Y - \{y\}$ such that

$$|\lim_n (\varphi^{-1}(f_{x,n}))(y')| > 1/(2 + \varepsilon).$$

Hence by (2), we have

$$(11) \quad \varphi^{*-1}\mu_{y'} = \gamma\mu_x + \tilde{\nu}, \quad |\gamma| > 1/(2 + \varepsilon), \quad \tilde{\nu}(\{x\}) = 0.$$

Now write $\varphi^*\mu_x = \alpha\mu_y + \alpha'\mu_{y'} + \hat{\mu}$, where $\hat{\mu}(\{y\}) = \hat{\mu}(\{y'\}) = 0$. We show that $|\alpha'| > 1 - \varepsilon$. First note that $\tilde{\nu} = \varphi^{*-1}\mu_{y'} - \gamma\mu_x$, so that $\varphi^*\tilde{\nu} = (1 - \alpha'\gamma)\mu_{y'} - \gamma(\alpha\mu_y + \hat{\mu})$. Next observing that

$$\|\alpha\mu_y + \hat{\mu}\| \geq |\alpha| \geq 1,$$

and that (by (11)) $\|\tilde{\nu}\| \leq 1 - |\gamma|$, we obtain

$$2 - 2|\gamma| \geq \|\varphi^*\tilde{\nu}\| \geq 1 - |\alpha'|\gamma + |\gamma|.$$

This is equivalent to $|\alpha'|\gamma \geq 3|\gamma| - 1$ which, together with (11), gives $|\alpha'| > 1 - \varepsilon$. This completes the proof of (IV).

REFERENCES

1. M. Cambern, *On isomorphisms with small bound*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **18** (1967), 1062-1066.
2. ———, *Mappings of continuous function spaces*, Notices Amer. Math. Soc. **16** (1969), 317.

Received June 12, 1969.

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, SANTA BARBARA

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

H. SAMELSON
Stanford University
Stanford, California 94305

J. DUGUNDJI
Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, California 90007

RICHARD PIERCE
University of Washington
Seattle, Washington 98105

RICHARD ARENS
University of California
Los Angeles, California 90024

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLE

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
* * *
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
CHEVRON RESEARCH CORPORATION
TRW SYSTEMS
NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. The editorial "we" must not be used in the synopsis, and items of the bibliography should not be cited there unless absolutely necessary, in which case they must be identified by author and Journal, rather than by item number. Manuscripts, in duplicate if possible, may be sent to any one of the four editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Rev. Index to Vol. 39. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, Richard Arens, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

50 reprints are provided free for each article; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is published monthly. Effective with Volume 16 the price per volume (3 numbers) is \$8.00; single issues, \$3.00. Special price for current issues to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: \$4.00 per volume; single issues \$1.50. Back numbers are available.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley, California, 94708.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), 7-17, Fujimi 2-chome, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan.

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 35, No. 2

October, 1970

| | |
|---|-----|
| Valentin Danilovich Belousov and Palaniappan L. Kannappan, <i>Generalized Bol functional equation</i> | 259 |
| Charles Morgan Biles, <i>Gelfand and Wallman-type compactifications</i> | 267 |
| Louis Harvey Blake, <i>A generalization of martingales and two consequent convergence theorems</i> | 279 |
| Dennis K. Burke, <i>On p-spaces and $w\Delta$-spaces</i> | 285 |
| John Ben Butler, Jr., <i>Almost smooth perturbations of self-adjoint operators</i> | 297 |
| Michael James Cambern, <i>Isomorphisms of $C_0(Y)$ onto $C(X)$</i> | 307 |
| David Edwin Cook, <i>A conditionally compact point set with noncompact closure</i> | 313 |
| Timothy Edwin Cramer, <i>Countable Boolean algebras as subalgebras and homomorphs</i> | 321 |
| John R. Edwards and Stanley G. Wayment, <i>A v-integral representation for linear operators on spaces of continuous functions with values in topological vector spaces</i> | 327 |
| Mary Rodriguez Embry, <i>Similarities involving normal operators on Hilbert space</i> | 331 |
| Lynn Harry Erbe, <i>Oscillation theorems for second order linear differential equations</i> | 337 |
| William James Firey, <i>Local behaviour of area functions of convex bodies</i> | 345 |
| Joe Wayne Fisher, <i>The primary decomposition theory for modules</i> | 359 |
| Gerald Seymour Garfinkel, <i>Generic splitting algebras for Pic</i> | 369 |
| J. D. Hansard, Jr., <i>Function space topologies</i> | 381 |
| Keith A. Hardie, <i>Quasifibration and adjunction</i> | 389 |
| G. Hochschild, <i>Coverings of pro-affine algebraic groups</i> | 399 |
| Gerald L. Itzkowitz, <i>On nets of contractive maps in uniform spaces</i> | 417 |
| Melven Robert Krom and Myren Laurance Krom, <i>Groups with free nonabelian subgroups</i> | 425 |
| James Robert Kuttler, <i>Upper and lower bounds for eigenvalues by finite differences</i> | 429 |
| Dany Leviatan, <i>A new approach to representation theory for convolution transforms</i> | 441 |
| Richard Beech Mansfield, <i>Perfect subsets of definable sets of real numbers</i> | 451 |
| Brenda MacGibbon, <i>A necessary and sufficient condition for the embedding of a Lindelof space in a Hausdorff $\mathfrak{K}\sigma$ space</i> | 459 |
| David G. Mead and B. D. McLemore, <i>Ritt's question on the Wronskian</i> | 467 |
| Edward Yoshio Mikami, <i>Focal points in a control problem</i> | 473 |
| Paul G. Miller, <i>Characterizing the distributions of three independent n-dimensional random variables, X_1, X_2, X_3, having analytic characteristic functions by the joint distribution of $(X_1 + X_3, X_2 + X_3)$</i> | 487 |
| P. Rosenthal, <i>On the Bergman integral operator for an elliptic partial differential equation with a singular coefficient</i> | 493 |
| Douglas B. Smith, <i>On the number of finitely generated O-groups</i> | 499 |
| J. W. Spellmann, <i>Concerning the domains of generators of linear semigroups</i> | 503 |
| Arne Stray, <i>An approximation theorem for subalgebras of H_∞</i> | 511 |
| Arnold Lewis Villone, <i>Self-adjoint differential operators</i> | 517 |