

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**UPPER AND LOWER BOUNDS FOR EIGENVALUES BY FINITE
DIFFERENCES**

JAMES ROBERT KUTTLER

UPPER AND LOWER BOUNDS FOR EIGENVALUES BY FINITE DIFFERENCES

J. R. KUTTNER

Upper and lower bounds for the eigenvalues of elliptic partial differential equations associated with fixed membranes and clamped plates are given in terms of corresponding eigenvalues of their finite difference analogues. The upper bounds are found by interpolating piecewise polynomials through the solutions to the difference equations and substituting into the variational principle associated with the differential equations. The lower bounds are found by averaging the solutions to the differential equations and substituting into the discrete variational principle.

In this paper we are concerned with the following eigenvalue problems:

the vibration of a fixed membrane,

$$(1) \quad \Delta u + \lambda u = 0 \text{ in } R, \quad u = 0 \text{ on } \partial R;$$

the vibration of a clamped plate,

$$(2) \quad \Delta^2 v - \Omega v = 0 \text{ in } R, \quad v = \frac{\partial v}{\partial n} = 0 \text{ on } \partial R;$$

the buckling of a clamped plate,

$$(3) \quad \Delta^2 w + \Lambda \Delta w = 0 \text{ in } R, \quad w = \frac{\partial w}{\partial n} = 0 \text{ on } \partial R.$$

Here R is a bounded region of Euclidean n -space with boundary ∂R , Δ is the Laplacian, $\partial/\partial n$ the normal derivative.

Each of these problems has a positive sequence of eigenvalues having no finite accumulation point:

$$0 < \lambda^{(1)} \leq \lambda^{(2)} \leq \dots, \quad 0 < \Omega^{(1)} \leq \Omega^{(2)} \leq \dots, \quad 0 < \Lambda^{(1)} \leq \Lambda^{(2)} \leq \dots.$$

These eigenvalues may be characterized by the following minimax principles:

$$(4) \quad \lambda^{(k)} = \min_{a_1, \dots, a_k} \max \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n \int_R \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (a_1 u_1 + \dots + a_k u_k) \right]^2 dx}{\int_R [a_1 u_1 + \dots + a_k u_k]^2},$$

where the minimum is over linearly independent sets of functions

u_1, \dots, u_k which are continuous, have piecewise continuous first derivatives, and have support in R ;

$$(5) \quad \Omega^{(k)} = \min_{a_1, \dots, a_k} \max \frac{\int_R [\Delta(a_1 v_1 + \dots + a_k v_k)]^2 dx}{\int_R [a_1 v_1 + \dots + a_k v_k]^2 dx},$$

$$(6) \quad A^{(k)} = \min_{a_1, \dots, a_k} \max \frac{\int_R [\Delta(a_1 w_1 + \dots + a_k w_k)]^2 dx}{\sum_{i=1}^n \int_R \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} (a_1 w_1 + \dots + a_k w_k) \right]^2 dx},$$

where the minima are over linearly independent sets of functions v_1, \dots, v_k and w_1, \dots, w_k , respectively, which are continuous, have continuous first derivatives, piecewise continuous second derivatives, and have support in R .

We will obtain explicit upper and lower bounds for these eigenvalues in terms of the corresponding eigenvalues of the finite difference analogues:

$$(7) \quad \Delta_h U + \lambda_h U = 0 \text{ on } R_h, \quad U = 0 \text{ off } R_h;$$

$$(8) \quad \Delta_h^2 V - \Omega_h V = 0 \text{ on } R_h, \quad V = 0 \text{ off } R_h;$$

$$(9) \quad \Delta_h^2 W + A_h \Delta_h W = 0 \text{ on } R_h, \quad W = 0 \text{ off } R_h.$$

Here R_h is a bounded subset of the mesh

$$S_h \equiv \{(i_1 h, \dots, i_n h) : i_1, \dots, i_n \text{ are integers}\}$$

for $h > 0$, and $\Delta_h \equiv \sum_{i=1}^n \partial_i \bar{\partial}_i$ is the $(2n + 1)$ -point approximation of the Laplacian, where $\partial_i, \bar{\partial}_i$ are forward and backward i -th difference operators:

$$\partial_i U(x_1, \dots, x_n) = h^{-1} [U(x_1, \dots, x_i + h, \dots, x_n) - U(x_1, \dots, x_i, \dots, x_n)],$$

$$\bar{\partial}_i U(x_1, \dots, x_n) = h^{-1} [U(x_1, \dots, x_i, \dots, x_n) - U(x_1, \dots, x_i - h, \dots, x_n)].$$

Each difference problem has a finite positive sequence of eigenvalues:

$$0 < \lambda_h^{(1)} \leq \lambda_h^{(2)} \leq \dots \leq \lambda_h^{(\nu)}, \quad 0 < \Omega_h^{(1)} \leq \Omega_h^{(2)} \\ \leq \dots \leq \Omega_h^{(\nu)}, \quad 0 < A_h^{(1)} \leq A_h^{(2)} \leq \dots \leq A_h^{(\nu)},$$

where ν is the number of points in R_h . These eigenvalues also may be characterized by minimax principles:

$$(10) \quad \lambda_h^{(k)} = \min_{a_1, \dots, a_k} \max_{\substack{i=1 \\ S_h}}^n \frac{h^n \sum_{S_h} [\partial_i(a_1 U_1 + \dots + a_k U_k)]^2}{h^n \sum_{S_h} [a_1 U_1 + \dots + a_k U_k]^2},$$

$$(11) \quad \Omega_h^{(k)} = \min_{a_1, \dots, a_k} \max_{S_h} \frac{h^n \sum_{S_h} [\Delta_h(a_1 V_1 + \dots + a_k V_k)]^2}{h^n \sum_{S_h} [a_1 V_1 + \dots + a_k V_k]^2},$$

$$(12) \quad A_h^{(k)} = \min_{a_1, \dots, a_k} \max_{\substack{i \\ S_h}} \frac{h^n \sum_{S_h} [\Delta_h(a_1 W_1 + \dots + a_k W_k)]^2}{\sum_i h^n \sum_{S_h} [\partial_i(a_1 W_1 + \dots + a_k W_k)]^2},$$

where the minima are over linearly independent sets of mesh functions U_1, \dots, U_k and V_1, \dots, V_k and W_1, \dots, W_k , respectively, which vanish off R_h .

2. The lower bounds. To obtain lower bounds we take the continuous eigenfunctions of problems (1), (2), (3), and average them over cubes of sides h about mesh points. The resulting mesh functions are then admissible candidates for the minimax principles (10), (11), (12). The technique is due to Weinberger [4], who applied it to problems (1) and (3), among others.

To simplify notation, let $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$, let e_i be the unit vector in the i -th coordinate direction, and let

$$C_h(x) = \{(y_1, \dots, y_n) : |y_i - x_i| \leq \frac{1}{2}h, i = 1, \dots, n\}$$

be the cube of side h about x .

If u is a continuous and piecewise differentiable function with support in R , then

$$(13) \quad U(x) = h^{-n} \int_{C_h(x)} u(y) dy, \quad x \in S_h,$$

is a mesh function which vanishes off R_h , the subset of S_h consisting of points x for which $C_h(x) \cap R$ is not empty. Then,

$$(14) \quad \int_R u^2 dx - h^n \sum_{R_h} U^2 = \sum_{x \in R_h} \int_{C_h(x)} [u(y) - U(x)]^2 dy.$$

Now since

$$\int_{C_h(x)} [u(y) - U(x)] dy = 0,$$

each integral on the right of (14) is bounded by the integral of the square of the gradient of u times the reciprocal of the second free membrane eigenvalue for the cube of side h , and

$$(15) \quad \int_R u^2 dx - h^n \sum_{R_h} U^2 \leq \frac{h^2}{\pi^2} \sum_i \int_R \left[\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right]^2 dx .$$

We also have, by integration by parts,

$$(16) \quad \partial_i U(x) = h^{-n-1} \int_{C_h(x+e_i h) \cup C_h(x)} \psi(y_i - x_i) \frac{\partial u(y)}{\partial y_i} dy ,$$

where

$$\psi(\xi) = \begin{cases} \xi + \frac{1}{2}h , & -\frac{1}{2}h \leq \xi \leq \frac{1}{2}h , \\ \frac{3}{2}h - \xi , & \frac{1}{2}h \leq \xi \leq \frac{3}{2}h , \\ 0 , & \text{otherwise .} \end{cases}$$

It follows that

$$(17) \quad \int_R \left[\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right]^2 dx - h^n \sum_{S_h} [\partial_i U]^2 = h^{-1} \sum_{x \in S_h} \int_{C_h(x+e_i h) \cup C_h(x)} \psi(y_i - x_i) \left[\frac{\partial u(y)}{\partial y_i} - \partial_i U(x) \right]^2 dy ,$$

$i = 1, \dots, n .$

Therefore, since the right side is positive,

$$(18) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n h^n \sum_{S_h} [\partial_i U]^2 \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \int_R \left[\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right]^2 dx .$$

If the function u is continuous, has continuous first derivatives and piecewise continuous second derivatives, each integral on the right side of (17) is bounded by the integral of the square of the gradient of $\partial u / \partial y_i$ times the reciprocal of the second eigenvalue η_2 of the weighted free membrane problem

$$(19) \quad \begin{cases} \Delta \varphi(y) + \eta \psi(y_i - x_i) \varphi(y) = 0 , & y \in C_h(x + e_i h) \cup C_h(x) , \\ \frac{\partial \varphi(y)}{\partial n} = 0 , & y \in \partial [C_h(x + e_i h) \cup C_h(x)] . \end{cases}$$

The eigenvalue here is the second one because

$$\int_{C_h(x+e_i h) \cup C_h(x)} \psi(y_i - x_i) \left[\frac{\partial u(y)}{\partial y_i} - \partial_i U(x) \right] dy = 0 .$$

Since $\psi(y_i - x_i) \leq h$, a lower bound for η_2 is the second eigenvalue of the problem obtained by replacing ψ with h in (19), i.e.,

$$\eta_2 \geq \frac{1}{4} \pi^2 h^{-3} .$$

Therefore,

$$(20) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n h^n \sum_{S_h} [\partial_i U]^2 \geq \sum_{i=1}^n \int_R \left[\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \right]^2 dx - 8 \frac{h^2}{\pi^2} \int_R [\Delta u]^2 dx .$$

Still assuming u is continuous, has continuous first derivatives, and piecewise continuous second derivatives, we have, by integration by parts,

$$\partial_i \bar{\partial}_i U(x) = h^{-n-2} \int_{C_h(x-e_i h) \cup C_h(x) \cup C_h(x+e_i h)} \tilde{\psi}(y_i - x_i) \frac{\partial^2 u(y)}{\partial y_i^2} dy , \quad i = 1, \dots, n ,$$

where

$$\tilde{\psi}(\xi) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}(\xi + \frac{3}{2}h)^2 , & -\frac{3}{2}h \leq \xi \leq -\frac{1}{2}h , \\ \frac{3}{4}h^2 - \xi^2 , & -\frac{1}{2}h \leq \xi \leq \frac{1}{2}h , \\ \frac{1}{2}(\xi - \frac{3}{2}h)^2 , & \frac{1}{2}h \leq \xi \leq \frac{3}{2}h , \\ 0 , & \text{otherwise .} \end{cases}$$

Then

$$(21) \quad \int_R \left[\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i^2} \right]^2 dx - h^n \sum_{S_h} [\partial_i \bar{\partial}_i U]^2 = h^{-2} \sum_{x \in S_h} \int_{C_h(x-e_i h) \cup C_h(x) \cup C_h(x+e_i h)} \tilde{\psi}(y_i - x_i) \left[\frac{\partial^2 u(y)}{\partial y_i^2} - \partial_i \bar{\partial}_i U(x) \right]^2 dy \geq 0 ,$$

$i = 1, \dots, n .$

We also have, for $i \neq j$,

$$(22) \quad \int_R \left[\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \right]^2 dx - h^n \sum_{x \in S_h} [\partial_i \partial_j U]^2 = h^{-2} \sum_{x \in S_h} \int_{C_h(x) \cup C_h(x+e_i h) \cup C_h(x+e_j h) \cup C_h(x+e_i h+e_j h)} \psi(y_i - x_i) \Psi(y_j - x_j) \times \left[\frac{\partial^2 u(y)}{\partial y_i \partial y_j} - \partial_i \partial_j U(x) \right]^2 dy \geq 0 ,$$

$i, j = 1, \dots, n .$

Combining (21) and (22), we have

$$(23) \quad h^n \sum_{S_h} [\Delta_h U]^2 \leq \int_R [\Delta u]^2 dx .$$

Now we obtain the desired lower bounds. Let $u^{(j)}$ be the eigenfunction associated with $\lambda^{(j)}$ in (1). We may assume

$$\int_R u^{(i)} u^{(j)} dx = \delta(i, j) ,$$

where $\delta(i, j)$ is the Kronecker delta. Let

$$U_j(x) = h^{-n} \int_{C_h(x)} u^{(j)}(y) dy , \quad x \in R_h .$$

We employ (15) and (18) with $u = a_1 u^{(1)} + \dots + a_k u^{(k)}$, $U = a_1 U_1 + \dots + a_k U_k$ in (10) and see that

$$\lambda_h^{(k)} \leq \frac{\lambda^{(k)}}{1 - \frac{h^2}{\pi^2} \lambda^{(k)}},$$

or, what is the same thing,

$$(24) \quad \frac{\lambda_h^{(k)}}{1 + \frac{h^2}{\pi^2} \lambda_h^{(k)}} \leq \lambda^{(k)}.$$

Next, let $v^{(j)}$ be the eigenfunction associated with $\Omega^{(j)}$ in (2), [also such that

$$\int_R v^{(i)} v^{(j)} dx = \delta(i, j).$$

Let

$$V_j(x) = h^{-n} \int_{C_h(x)} v^{(j)}(y) dy, \quad x \in R_h.$$

Employing (15) and (23) with $u = a_1 v^{(1)} + \dots + a_k v^{(k)}$, $U = a_1 V_1 + \dots + a_k V_k$ in (11), we see that

$$\Omega_h^{(k)} \leq \frac{\Omega^{(k)}}{1 - \frac{h^2}{\pi^2} \Omega^{(k)}},$$

or, equivalently,

$$(25) \quad \frac{\Omega_h^{(k)}}{1 + \frac{h^2}{\pi^2} \Omega_h^{(k)}} \leq \Omega^{(k)}.$$

(Inequalities (24) and (25) correspond to (2.25) and (8.10) of [4].) Next, let $w^{(j)}$ be the eigenfunction associated with $\Lambda^{(j)}$ in (3), such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \int_R \frac{\partial w^{(j)}}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial w^{(l)}}{\partial x_i} dx = \delta(j, l).$$

Let

$$W_j(x) = h^{-n} \int_{C_h(x)} w^{(j)}(y) dy, \quad x \in R_h.$$

Employing (20) and (23) with $u = a_1 w^{(1)} + \dots + a_k w^{(k)}$, $U = a_1 W_1 + \dots + a_k W_k$ in (12), we see that

$$A_h^{(k)} \leq \frac{A^{(k)}}{1 - 8\frac{h^2}{\pi^2}A^{(k)}} ,$$

or,

$$(26) \quad \frac{A_h^{(k)}}{1 + 8\frac{h^2}{\pi^2}A_h^{(k)}} \leq A^{(k)} .$$

This inequality is new.

3. The upper bounds. To obtain upper bounds we take the mesh eigenfunctions of problems (7), (8), (9) and interpolate to obtain admissible candidates for the minimax problems (4), (5), (6).

Pólya [3] has applied this technique to problem (1) using piecewise linear interpolation. Specifically, he considered the mesh domain R_h consisting of points x in S_h such that $C_{2h}(x) \subset R$. Each mesh square with vertices at points of S_h he divided into two triangles by a diagonal through two vertices. Given a mesh function U which vanishes off R_h , he interpolated a function u , linear on each triangle and agreeing with U at the vertices. He then proved the estimates

$$\int_R u^2 dx \geq h^2 \sum_{x \in R_h} U^2 - \frac{1}{2} h^2 \sum_{i=1}^2 h^2 \sum_{x \in R_h} [\partial_i U]^2 ,$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^2 \int_R \left[\frac{\partial u}{\partial v_i} \right]^2 dx = \sum_{i=1}^2 h^2 \sum_{x \in S_h} [\partial_i U]^2 ,$$

from which it follows that, for $n = 2$,

$$(27) \quad \lambda^{(k)} \leq \frac{\lambda_h^{(k)}}{1 - \frac{1}{2} h^2 \lambda_h^{(k)}} .$$

Weinberger [4] indicates how this may be extended to higher dimensions.

For the problems (2) and (3), however, piecewise linear functions are not smooth enough to be admissible in (5) and (6). We must interpolate with functions which are cubic polynomials in each space variable in each mesh cube, and such that the function is continuous with continuous first derivatives across the sides of the cube.

Let us first consider the one-dimensional case ($n = 1$). Given a mesh function U , we uniquely define the interpolating function, $P_h U$, by requiring that for $x \in S_h$

$$P_h U(x) = U(x), \quad \frac{d}{dx} [P_h U(x)] = \frac{1}{2} [\partial U(x) + \bar{\partial} U(x)] .$$

By linearity,

$$P_h U(x) = \sum_{y \in S_h} U(y) P_h \delta(x, y),$$

so it suffices to define

$$k_h(x - y) \equiv P_h \delta(x, y)$$

$$= \begin{cases} 1 - \frac{5}{2} \left| \frac{x - y}{h} \right|^2 + \frac{3}{2} \left| \frac{x - y}{h} \right|^3, & |x - y| \leq h, \\ 2 - 4 \left| \frac{x - y}{h} \right| + \frac{5}{2} \left| \frac{x - y}{h} \right|^2 - \frac{1}{2} \left| \frac{x - y}{h} \right|^3, & h \leq |x - y| \leq 2h, \\ 0, & 2h \leq |x - y|. \end{cases}$$

For general n , then, we define

$$P_h U(x) = P_{h, x_1} P_{h, x_2} \dots P_{h, x_n} U(x_1, \dots, x_n)$$

$$= \sum_{y \in R_h} U(y) \prod_{i=1}^n k_h(x_i - y_i).$$

Let us assume R_h consists of point x of R_h such that $C_{4h}(x) \subset R$. Then, for U vanishing off R_h , $P_h U$ will vanish off R . We now wish to estimate

$$\int_R [P_h U]^2 dx.$$

Let us again first do the case $n = 1$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} [P_h U]^2 dz &= \sum_{x, y \in S_h} U(x) U(y) \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} k_h(x - z) k_h(y - z) dz \\ &= \sum_{x, y \in S_h} U(x) U(y) \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} k_h(z) k_h(z + x - y) dz \\ &= \sum_{x \in S_h} U(x) \left\{ U(x) \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} [k_h(z)]^2 dz + [U(x - h) + U(x + h)] \right. \\ &\quad \times \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} k_h(z) k_h(z + h) dz + [U(x - 2h) + U(x + 2h)] \\ &\quad \times \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} k_h(z) k_h(z + 2h) dz + [U(x - 3h) + U(x + 3h)] \\ &\quad \left. \times \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} k_h(z) k_h(z + 3h) dz \right\} \\ &= h \sum_{x \in S_h} U(x) \left\{ \frac{57}{70} U(x) + \frac{71}{560} [U(x - h) + U(x + h)] \right. \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{28} [U(x - 2h) + U(x + 2h)] \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{560} [U(x - 3h) + U(x + 3h)] \right\} \\ &= h \sum_{x \in S_h} U(x) \left\{ I - \frac{1}{40} h^4 \partial^2 \bar{\partial}^2 + \frac{1}{560} h^6 \partial^3 \bar{\partial}^3 \right\} U(x). \end{aligned}$$

Then, for general n , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_R [P_h U]^2 dz &= \sum_{x, y \in S_h} U(x)U(y) \prod_{i=1}^n \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} k_h(x_i - z_i)k_h(y_i - z_i) dz_i \\
 (28) \qquad &= h^n \sum_{x \in S_h} U(x) \prod_{i=1}^n \left[I - \frac{1}{40} h^4 \partial_i^2 \bar{\partial}_i^2 + \frac{1}{560} h^6 \partial_i^3 \bar{\partial}_i^3 \right] U(x) .
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{i=1}^n \int_R \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} P_h U \right]^2 dz &= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{x, y \in S_h} U(x)U(y) \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} k'_h(x_i - z_i)k'_h(y_i - z_i) dz \\
 (29) \qquad &\times \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} k_h(x_j - z_j)k_h(y_j - z_j) dz \\
 &= - \sum_{i=1}^n h^n \sum_{x \in S_h} U(x) \left[\partial_i \bar{\partial}_i - \frac{1}{12} h^2 \partial_i^2 \bar{\partial}_i^2 - \frac{1}{120} h^4 \partial_i^3 \bar{\partial}_i^3 \right] \\
 &\times \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n \left[I - \frac{1}{40} h^4 \partial_j^2 \bar{\partial}_j^2 + \frac{1}{560} h^6 \partial_j^3 \bar{\partial}_j^3 \right] U(x) .
 \end{aligned}$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_R [\Delta P_h U]^2 dz &= \sum_{x, y \in S_h} U(x)U(y) \left[\sum_{i=1}^n \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} k''_h(x_i - z_i)k''(y_i - z_i) dz \right. \\
 &\times \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} k_h(x_j - z_j)k_h(y_j - z_j) dz_j \\
 &+ \sum_{\substack{i, j=1 \\ i \neq j}}^n \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} k'_h(x_i - z_i)k'_h(y_i - z_i) dz_i \\
 &\times \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} k'_h(x_j - z_j)k'_h(y_j - z_j) dz_j \\
 &\times \left. \prod_{\substack{l=1 \\ l \neq i, j}}^n \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} k_h(x_l - z_l)k_h(y_l - z_l) dz_l \right] \\
 (30) \qquad &= h^n \sum_{x \in S_h} U(x) \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n \left[\partial_i^2 \bar{\partial}_i^2 - \frac{1}{2} h^2 \partial_i^3 \bar{\partial}_i^3 \right] \right. \\
 &\times \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n \left[I - \frac{1}{40} h^4 \partial_j^2 \bar{\partial}_j^2 + \frac{1}{560} h^6 \partial_j^3 \bar{\partial}_j^3 \right] \\
 &+ \sum_{\substack{i, j=1 \\ i \neq j}}^n \left[\partial_i \bar{\partial}_i - \frac{1}{12} h^2 \partial_i^2 \bar{\partial}_i^2 - \frac{1}{120} h^4 \partial_i^3 \bar{\partial}_i^3 \right] \\
 &\times \left[\partial_j \bar{\partial}_j - \frac{1}{12} h^2 \partial_j^2 \bar{\partial}_j^2 - \frac{1}{120} h^4 \partial_j^3 \bar{\partial}_j^3 \right] \\
 &\times \left. \prod_{\substack{l=1 \\ l \neq i, j}}^n \left[I - \frac{1}{40} h^4 \partial_l^2 \bar{\partial}_l^2 + \frac{1}{560} h^6 \partial_l^3 \bar{\partial}_l^3 \right] \right\} U(x) .
 \end{aligned}$$

The desired inequalities are obtained from (28), (29), (30) by using the summation by parts formula

$$\sum_{S_h} U \bar{\partial}_i V = - \sum_{S_h} V \partial_i U,$$

for functions with compact support. We consider the case $n = 2$. From (28) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}} [P_h U]^2 dx &= h^2 \sum_{S_h} \left\{ U^2 - \frac{1}{40} h^4 ([\partial_1^2 U]^2 + [\partial_2^2 U]^2) \right. \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{560} h^6 ([\partial_1^3 U]^2 + [\partial_2^3 U]^2) + \frac{1}{1600} h^8 [\partial_1^2 \partial_2^2 U]^2 \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{22400} h^{10} ([\partial_1^2 \partial_2^3 U]^2 + [\partial_1^3 \partial_2 U]^2) + \frac{1}{313600} h^{12} [\partial_1^2 \partial_2^3 U]^2 \right\} \\ &\geq h^2 \sum_{S_h} \left\{ U^2 - \frac{1}{40} h^4 ([\partial_1^2 U]^2 + 2[\partial_1 \partial_2 U]^2 + [\partial_2 U]^2) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{1}{560} h^6 ([\partial_1^3 U]^2 + 3[\partial_1^2 \partial_2 U]^2 + 3[\partial_1 \partial_2^2 U]^2 + [\partial_2^3 U]^2) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$(31) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} [P_h U]^2 dx \geq h^2 \sum_{S_h} U \left[U - \frac{1}{40} h^2 \Delta_h^2 U + \frac{1}{560} h^6 \Delta_h^3 U \right].$$

Similarly, from (29), we have

$$(32) \quad \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} P_h U \right]^2 dx \geq h^2 \sum_{S_h} U \left[-\Delta_h U + \frac{1}{120} h^4 \Delta_h^3 U - \frac{1}{2240} h^6 \Delta_h^4 U \right],$$

$$(33) \quad \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} P_h U(x) \right]^2 dx \leq h^2 \sum_{S_h} U \left[-\Delta_h U + \frac{1}{12} h^2 \Delta_h^2 U \right. \\ \left. - \frac{1}{168000} h^8 \Delta_h^5 U + \frac{1}{1334000} h^{10} \Delta_h^6 U \right],$$

and from (30), we have

$$(34) \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} [\Delta P_h U]^2 dx \leq h^2 \sum_{S_h} U [\Delta_h^2 U - \frac{1}{2} h^2 \Delta_h^3 U].$$

Now we obtain the upper bounds. Let $U_h^{(j)}$ be the eigenfunction associated with $\lambda_h^{(j)}$ in (7) such that

$$h^n \sum_{S_h} U_h^{(i)} U_h^{(j)} = \delta(i, j).$$

Let $u_j = P_h U_h^{(j)}$. We use (31) and (33) in (4) with $U = a_1 U_h^{(1)} + \dots + a_k U_h^{(k)}$ to see that, for $n = 2$,

$$(35) \quad \lambda^{(k)} \leq \frac{\lambda_h^{(k)} + \frac{1}{12} h^2 \lambda_h^{(k)2} + \frac{1}{168000} h^8 \lambda_h^{(k)5} + \frac{1}{1334000} h^{10} \lambda_h^{(k)6}}{1 - \frac{1}{40} h^4 \lambda_h^{(k)2} - \frac{1}{560} h^6 \lambda_h^{(k)3}}$$

$$= \lambda_h^{(k)} + \frac{1}{12} h^2 \lambda^{(k)2} + O(h^4 \lambda_h^{(k)3}),$$

which, for h sufficiently small, is a better bound than (27). Let $V_h^{(j)}$ be the eigenfunction associated with $\Omega_h^{(j)}$ in (8) such that

$$h^n \sum_{S_h} V_h^{(i)} V_h^{(j)} = \delta(i, j).$$

Let $v_j = P_h V_h^{(j)}$. Use (31) and (34) in (5) with $U = \alpha_1 V_h^{(1)} + \dots + \alpha_k V_h^{(k)}$ to see that, for $n = 2$,

$$(36) \quad \Omega^{(k)} \leq \frac{\Omega_h^{(k)} + \frac{1}{2} h^2 \Omega_h^{(k)3/2}}{1 - \frac{1}{40} h^4 \Omega_h^{(k)} - \frac{1}{560} h^6 \Omega_h^{(k)3/2}}$$

(where the Schwarz inequality was employed).

Finally, let $W_h^{(j)}$ be the eigenfunction associated with $A_h^{(j)}$ in (9) such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n h^n \sum_{S_h} \partial_i W_h^{(j)} \partial_i W_h^{(l)} = \delta(j, l).$$

Let $w_j = P_h W_h^{(j)}$. Use (32) and (34) in (6) with $U = \alpha_1 W_h^{(1)} + \dots + \alpha_k W_h^{(k)}$ to see that, for $n = 2$,

$$(37) \quad A^{(k)} \leq \frac{A_h^{(k)} + \frac{1}{2} h^2 A_h^{(k)2}}{1 - \frac{1}{120} h^4 A_h^{(k)2} - \frac{1}{2240} h^6 A_h^{(k)3}}.$$

Explicit upper bounds for higher dimensions may be obtained in the same fashion from (28), (29), and (30). It is clear that, in general,

$$(38) \quad \lambda^{(k)} \leq \lambda_h^{(k)} + O(h^2 \lambda_h^{(k)2}),$$

$$(39) \quad \Omega^{(k)} \leq \Omega_h^{(k)} + O(h^2 \Omega_h^{(k)3/2}),$$

$$(40) \quad A^{(k)} \leq A_h^{(k)} + O(h^2 A_h^{(k)2}).$$

4. Conclusion. We notice that the lower bounds (24), (25), (26) are in terms of difference problems on an R_h such that

$$R \subset \bigcup_{x \in R_h} C_h(x),$$

while the upper bounds (38), (39), (40) are in terms of difference problems on an R_h such that

$$\bigcup_{x \in R_h} C_{4h}(x) \subset R.$$

However, the problems (1), (2), (3) depend continuously on the domain

R in such a way that if R, R' are domains whose boundaries are within $O(h)$, then, for each k , the eigenvalues $\lambda^{(k)}, \Omega^{(k)}, A^{(k)}$ for R are within $O(h)$ of the eigenvalues $\lambda'^{(k)}, \Omega'^{(k)}, A'^{(k)}$ for R' , respectively. With this consideration, we can combine the bounds (24) and (38), (25) and (39), (26) and (40), to say that if R_h is such that $\bigcup_{x \in R_h} C_h(x)$ has boundary within $O(h)$ of the boundary of R , then

$$(41) \quad |\lambda^{(k)} - \lambda_h^{(k)}| = O(h) ,$$

$$(42) \quad |\Omega^{(k)} - \Omega_h^{(k)}| = O(h) ,$$

$$(43) \quad |A^{(k)} - A_h^{(k)}| = O(h) .$$

Estimates like (41), (42), (43) can be used in proving convergence of more accurate finite difference schemes which may be regarded as perturbations of the schemes (7), (8), (9). See the paper [2] for details.

Upper and lower bounds for eigenvalues of free membranes by similar techniques may be found in [1]. Further references may be found in [1], [2] and [4].

REFERENCES

1. B. E. Hubbard, *Bounds for eigenvalues of the free and fixed membrane by finite difference methods*, Pacific J. Math. **11** (1961), 559-590.
2. J. R. Kuttler, *Finite difference approximations for eigenvalues of uniformly elliptic operators*, SIAM J. Numer. Analysis, **7** (1970), 206-232.
3. G. Pólya, *Sur une interprétation de la méthode des différences finies qui peut fournir des bornes supérieures ou inférieures*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **235** (1952), 995-997.
4. H. F. Weinberger, *Lower bounds for higher eigenvalues by finite difference methods*, Pacific J. Math. **8** (1958), 339-368,

Received June 19, 1969. This work supported by the Department of the Navy, Bureau of Naval Weapons, under Contract NOw 62-0604-c.

THE JOHNS HOPKINS UNIVERSITY
APPLIED PHYSICS LABORATORY

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

H. SAMELSON
Stanford University
Stanford, California 94305

J. DUGUNDJI
Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, California 90007

RICHARD PIERCE
University of Washington
Seattle, Washington 98105

RICHARD ARENS
University of California
Los Angeles, California 90024

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLE

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

* * *
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
CHEVRON RESEARCH CORPORATION
TRW SYSTEMS
NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. The editorial "we" must not be used in the synopsis, and items of the bibliography should not be cited there unless absolutely necessary, in which case they must be identified by author and Journal, rather than by item number. Manuscripts, in duplicate if possible, may be sent to any one of the four editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Rev. Index to Vol. 39. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, Richard Arens, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

50 reprints are provided free for each article; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is published monthly. Effective with Volume 16 the price per volume (3 numbers) is \$8.00; single issues, \$3.00. Special price for current issues to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: \$4.00 per volume; single issues \$1.50. Back numbers are available.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley, California, 94708.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), 7-17, Fujimi 2-chome, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan.

Valentin Danilovich Belousov and Palaniappan L. Kannappan, <i>Generalized Bol functional equation</i>	259
Charles Morgan Biles, <i>Gelfand and Wallman-type compactifications</i>	267
Louis Harvey Blake, <i>A generalization of martingales and two consequent convergence theorems</i>	279
Dennis K. Burke, <i>On p-spaces and $w\Delta$-spaces</i>	285
John Ben Butler, Jr., <i>Almost smooth perturbations of self-adjoint operators</i>	297
Michael James Cambern, <i>Isomorphisms of $C_0(Y)$ onto $C(X)$</i>	307
David Edwin Cook, <i>A conditionally compact point set with noncompact closure</i>	313
Timothy Edwin Cramer, <i>Countable Boolean algebras as subalgebras and homomorphs</i>	321
John R. Edwards and Stanley G. Wayment, <i>A v-integral representation for linear operators on spaces of continuous functions with values in topological vector spaces</i>	327
Mary Rodriguez Embry, <i>Similarities involving normal operators on Hilbert space</i>	331
Lynn Harry Erbe, <i>Oscillation theorems for second order linear differential equations</i>	337
William James Firey, <i>Local behaviour of area functions of convex bodies</i>	345
Joe Wayne Fisher, <i>The primary decomposition theory for modules</i>	359
Gerald Seymour Garfinkel, <i>Generic splitting algebras for Pic</i>	369
J. D. Hansard, Jr., <i>Function space topologies</i>	381
Keith A. Hardie, <i>Quasifibration and adjunction</i>	389
G. Hochschild, <i>Coverings of pro-affine algebraic groups</i>	399
Gerald L. Itzkowitz, <i>On nets of contractive maps in uniform spaces</i>	417
Melven Robert Krom and Myren Laurance Krom, <i>Groups with free nonabelian subgroups</i>	425
James Robert Kuttler, <i>Upper and lower bounds for eigenvalues by finite differences</i>	429
Dany Leviatan, <i>A new approach to representation theory for convolution transforms</i>	441
Richard Beech Mansfield, <i>Perfect subsets of definable sets of real numbers</i>	451
Brenda MacGibbon, <i>A necessary and sufficient condition for the embedding of a Lindelof space in a Hausdorff $\mathfrak{K}\sigma$ space</i>	459
David G. Mead and B. D. McLemore, <i>Ritt's question on the Wronskian</i>	467
Edward Yoshio Mikami, <i>Focal points in a control problem</i>	473
Paul G. Miller, <i>Characterizing the distributions of three independent n-dimensional random variables, X_1, X_2, X_3, having analytic characteristic functions by the joint distribution of $(X_1 + X_3, X_2 + X_3)$</i>	487
P. Rosenthal, <i>On the Bergman integral operator for an elliptic partial differential equation with a singular coefficient</i>	493
Douglas B. Smith, <i>On the number of finitely generated O-groups</i>	499
J. W. Spellmann, <i>Concerning the domains of generators of linear semigroups</i>	503
Arne Stray, <i>An approximation theorem for subalgebras of H_∞</i>	511
Arnold Lewis Villone, <i>Self-adjoint differential operators</i>	517