

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**A NECESSARY AND SUFFICIENT CONDITION FOR THE
EMBEDDING OF A LINDELOF SPACE IN A HAUSDORFF $\mathcal{H}\sigma$
SPACE**

BRENDA MACGIBBON

A NECESSARY AND SUFFICIENT CONDITION FOR
THE EMBEDDING OF A LINDELOF SPACE IN
A HAUSDORFF \mathcal{H}_ω SPACE

BRENDA MACGIBBON

It is known that complete regularity characterizes the Hausdorff topological spaces which are embeddable in a compact Hausdorff space. The theory of \mathcal{H} -analytic and \mathcal{H} -Borelian sets leads naturally to the search for an analogous criterion for the embedding of a Hausdorff space in a Hausdorff \mathcal{H}_ω space. (A Hausdorff \mathcal{H}_ω space is a Hausdorff space which is equal to a countable union of its compact subsets.) We shall give an answer to this problem for Lindelof spaces.

Strong regularity and strong normality of a closed subspace with respect to a given Hausdorff space are defined. It is shown that a Hausdorff Lindelof space is embeddable in a Hausdorff \mathcal{H}_ω if and only if X is equal to a union of an increasing sequence of its strongly regular closed subspaces. An example is given of a nonregular space which is equal to a union of an increasing sequence of its strongly normal subspaces.

One might think that if a Hausdorff space were equal to a union of an increasing sequence of its closed completely regular subspaces, it would be embeddable in a Hausdorff \mathcal{H}_ω . However, in [3] an example of a Hausdorff space which is equal to a union of an increasing sequence of its closed normal subspaces and which is not embeddable in a Hausdorff \mathcal{H}_ω is given.

In 1959 in [1], Professor G. Choquet proved that a \mathcal{H} -analytic space is embeddable in a space in which it is \mathcal{H} -Souslin if and only if it is embeddable in a Hausdorff \mathcal{H}_ω space. Since all \mathcal{H} -analytic spaces are Lindelof, it is desirable to characterize Lindelof spaces which are embeddable in Hausdorff \mathcal{H}_ω spaces.

2. Preliminaries. We will need the following definitions.

DEFINITION 2.1. Let Y be a closed subspace of a Hausdorff topological space X . Y is said to be *strongly regular with respect to* X if for all subspaces A closed in Y and for all $x \in (Y \setminus A)$, there exist O, P open in X such that:

$$A \subset O; x \in P; O \cap P = \emptyset .$$

Clearly such a subspace Y is a regular topological space in the subspace topology. The converse is false, because there exist closed

and regular subspaces Y of a Hausdorff space X that are not strongly regular with respect to X . For example, let $[0, 1]$ have the following topology \mathcal{T} :

$$0 \in \mathcal{T} \text{ if and only if } 0 = 0' \cup (0'' \cap Q)$$

where $0', 0''$ are open in the usual topology and Q is the set of rationals in $[0, 1]$.

Denote by X the Hausdorff topological space $([0, 1], \mathcal{T})$. Let I be the set of irrationals in $[0, 1]$; and let $Y = I \cup \{q\}$, where q is a rational in $[0, 1]$. Now Y is a closed and regular subspace of X , but Y is not strongly regular with respect to X because every open set in X containing I is everywhere dense in X .

DEFINITION 2.2. Let Y be a closed subspace of a Hausdorff topological space X . Y is *strongly normal with respect to X* if for every two closed subspaces A, B of Y , there exist open sets $0, P$ in X such that:

$$A \subset 0; B \subset P; \text{ and } 0 \cap P = \emptyset .$$

Obviously, such a Y is a normal topological space in the subspace topology. But note in the example given above, Y is a closed and normal subspace of X , but Y is not strongly normal with respect to X .

Now several lemmas concerning these properties will be given.

LEMMA 2.1. *Let Y be a closed subspace of a Hausdorff space X . Then the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (1) Y is strongly regular with respect to X .
- (2) For each $y \in Y$ and for each 0 open in X and containing y , there exists an open set P in X such that:

$$y \in P \subset \bar{P}^x \subset 0 ,$$

where $\bar{P}^x =$ the closure of P in X .

- (3) For each closed $A \subset Y$ and each $y \in (Y \setminus A)$, there exists an open 0 in X such that:

$$y \in 0 \text{ and } \bar{0}^x \cap A = \emptyset .$$

Proof. (The same proof as used to prove regularity in the classical sense.)

LEMMA 2.2. *Let Y be a closed subspace of a Hausdorff Lindelof space X . If Y is strongly regular with respect to X , Y is strongly normal with respect to X .*

Proof. Let A, B be two closed disjoint subspaces of Y . After Lemma 2.1 we have:

(1) for each $x \in A$, there exists an open subspace of $X, 0_x$ containing x , such that:

$$\bar{0}_x \cap B = \emptyset; (\bar{0}_x^x = \text{closure of } 0_x \text{ in } X);$$

(2) for each $y \in B$, there exists an open subspace of X, P_y containing y , such that:

$$\bar{P}_y^x \cap A = \emptyset .$$

Since X is Lindelof, the open cover of X consisting of

$$\{\{0_x\}_x \in A, \{P_y\}_y \in B \text{ and } X \setminus (A \cup B)\}$$

has a countable subcover. That is, there exist sequences $\{0_n\}_{n=1}^\infty, \{P_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ of open subsets of X such that:

$$A \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty 0_n; B \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty P_n; \text{ with } \bar{0}_n^x \cap B = \bar{P}_n^x \cap A = \emptyset \text{ for each } n.$$

Define $0'_1 = 0_1$ and $P'_1 = P_1$; and by induction for each n

$$0'_n = 0_n \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^n \bar{P}_j^x, P'_n = P_n \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^n \bar{0}_j^x .$$

Now $0'_n \cap P_j = \emptyset$ for all $j \leq n$ implies that $0'_n \cap P'_j = \emptyset$ for all $j \leq n$. Similarly $0_j \cap P'_n = \emptyset$ for all $j \leq n$ implies that $0'_j \cap P'_n = \emptyset$ for all $j \leq n$. Thus, $0'_j \cap P'_n = \emptyset$ for all j and n . Then

$$\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^\infty 0'_j\right) \cap \left(\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty P'_n\right) = \emptyset .$$

For each $n, \bar{0}_n^x \cap B = \bar{P}_n^x \cap A = \emptyset$. Therefore, $A \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^\infty 0'_j$ and $B \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty P'_n$ and these unions are disjoint. Thus, Y is strongly normal with respect to X .

3. Embedding in Hausdorff \mathcal{K}_σ spaces.

THEOREM 3.1. *Let X be a Hausdorff Lindelof space. Then a necessary and sufficient condition for X to be embeddable in a Hausdorff \mathcal{K}_σ space is the following: there exists a sequence $\{X_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ of subspaces of X such that:*

- (1) $X = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty X_n$, and for each n
- (2) $X_n \subset X_{n+1}$
- (3) X_n is closed and strongly regular with respect to X .

Proof. Necessity. By hypothesis, X is embeddable in a Hausdorff $E = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty K_n$, where K_n is a compact subspace of E . Without loss of generality, we can suppose that $K_n \subset K_{n+1}$ for each n .

Let $X_n = K_n \cap X$. Obviously, $X = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty X_n; X_n \subset X_{n+1}$ for all n ;

and X_n is a closed and regular subspace of X . Moreover, X_n is strongly regular with respect to X ; for suppose that A is closed and contained in X_n and $x \in (X_n \setminus A)$. Then $x \notin \bar{A}^{K_n}$. Thus, there exist open sets U, V in E such that $x \in U; \bar{A}^{K_n} \subset V$; and $U \cap V = \emptyset$. Let $0 = U \cap X$ and $P = V \cap X$. Then $x \in 0$ and $A \subset P$ and $0 \cap P = \emptyset$.

Sufficiency. By hypothesis, X is a Hausdorff Lindelof space; $X = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} X_n$; and for all $n, X_n \subset X_{n+1}$, where X_n is closed and strongly regular with respect to X .

After Lemma 2.2, X_n is strongly normal with respect to X . Let βX_n be the Stone-Cech compactification of X_n (for $n = 1, 2, \dots$). For all $n, \beta X_n$ has a canonical embedding in βX_{n+1} . Since X_n is strongly normal with respect to X for all n , then $\beta X_n = \text{closure of } X_n \text{ in } \beta X_{n+1}$. (This is a consequence of the theorem of Tietze [2].)

For all n , let $K_n = \beta X_n$. Using the canonical embedding of βX_n in βX_{n+1} , we can consider $K_n \subset K_{n+1}$ for each n . Let $E = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} K_n$ (or more precisely, the inductive limit of the K_n 's).

To define the required topology on E , it is necessary to prove the following lemma.

LEMMA 3.2. *Let $X = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} X_n$ be a Hausdorff Lindelof space, where X_n satisfies conditions (1), (2), and (3) of Theorem 3.1 for each n . Let $K_n = \beta X_n$ for each n (from which it follows that $K_n \subset K_{n+1}$). For any open subspace 0 of X and for each index n , there exists a subset 0_n^* of K_n such that:*

- (i) 0_n^* is an open subspace of K_n ,
 - (ii) $0_n^* \cap X_n = 0 \cap X_n$,
- and (iii) $0_n^* \cap K_{n-1} = 0_{n-1}^*$.

Proof. Let $A = (X \setminus 0)$. Then A is closed in X . Let $A_n = A \cap X_n$ for all n . Then $A = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$ and $A_{n+1} \cap X_n = A_n$ for all A_n .

Consider $\bar{A}_1^{K_1} = \text{the closure of } A_1 \text{ in } K_1$. Obviously, $\bar{A}_1^{K_1} \cap X_1 = A_1$ and $\bar{A}_1^{K_1} \cap (X_2 \setminus X_1) = \emptyset$ (since $K_1 \cap (X_2 \setminus X_1) = \emptyset$).

If we consider $\bar{A}_2^{K_2}$, then $\bar{A}_1^{K_1} \subset \bar{A}_2^{K_2}$ and $\bar{A}_2^{K_2} \cap X_2 = A_2$. It is necessary to show that $\bar{A}_2^{K_2} \cap K_1 = \bar{A}_1^{K_1}$. Obviously, $\bar{A}_1^{K_1} \subset (K_1 \cap \bar{A}_2^{K_2})$. Suppose that there exists a $y \in K_1 \cap (K_1 \setminus \bar{A}_1^{K_1}) = K_1 \cap (K_2 \setminus \bar{A}_1^{K_1})$. Then there exists an open neighbourhood U of y in K_2 such that:

$$\bar{U}^{K_2} \cap \bar{A}_1^{K_1} = \emptyset .$$

That is

$$\bar{U}^{K_2} \cap \overline{(A_2 \cap X_1)^{K_2}} = \emptyset .$$

This implies that $(\bar{U}^{K_2} \cap A_2) \cap (\bar{U}^{K_2} \cap X_1) = \emptyset$.

Since X_2 is strongly normal with respect to X and since $K_2 = \beta X_2$, then:

$$\overline{(\bar{U}^{K_2} \cap A_2)^{K_2}} \cap \overline{(\bar{U}^{K_2} \cap X_1)^{K_2}} = \emptyset .$$

(This is a consequence of the fact that two closed disjoint subspaces in a normal space Y have disjoint closures in βY ; and this is a consequence of Urysohn's lemma [2].)

Since $y \in K_1$, then $y \in \overline{(\bar{U}^{K_2} \cap X_1)^{K_2}}$. Thus $y \notin \overline{(\bar{U}^{K_2} \cap A_2)^{K_2}}$. Thus, $y \notin \bar{A}_2^{K_2}$. This shows that $\bar{A}_2^{K_2} \cap K_1 \subset \bar{A}_1^{K_1}$; from which it follows that $\bar{A}_2^{K_2} \cap K_1 = \bar{A}_1^{K_1}$.

In the same way, it can be shown that for all n :

$$\bar{A}_n^{K_n} \cap K_{n-1} = \bar{A}_{n-1}^{K_{n-1}} .$$

If we let $0_n^* = K_n \setminus \bar{A}_n^{K_n}$; the sequence $\{0_n^*\}_{n=1}^\infty$ has the required properties.

The end of the proof of Theorem 3.1. For each open set 0 in X , let $0^* = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty 0_n^*$, where the 0_n^* were defined above. To show that the 0^* 's define a base for a topology on $\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty K_n$, it is necessary to show that $(0 \cap P)^* = 0^* \cap P^*$ for any two open subspaces $0, P$ of X . Since $0^* \cap P^* = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty (0_n^* \cap P_n^*)$, it suffices to show for each n that:

$(0_n \cap P_n)^* = 0_n^* \cap P_n^*$, where $0_n = 0 \cap X_n$ and $P_n = P \cap X_n$ for each n .

Let $A_n = X_n \setminus 0_n$ and $B_n = X_n \setminus P_n$. Then $0_n^* = K_n \setminus \bar{A}_n^{K_n}$ and $P_n^* = K_n \setminus \bar{B}_n^{K_n}$.

Then $0_n^* \cap P_n^* = K_n \setminus (\bar{A}_n^{K_n} \cup \bar{B}_n^{K_n}) = K_n \setminus \overline{(A_n \cup B_n)^{K_n}}$.

Since $A_n \cap B_n = X_n \setminus (0_n \cup P_n)$; then, by definition $(0_n \cap P_n)^* = K_n \setminus \overline{(A_n \cup B_n)^{K_n}}$.

Thus, the 0^* 's define a base for a topology τ on $\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty K_n$. From now on, let E designate the topological space $(\bigcup_{n=1}^\infty K_n, \tau)$. By the definition of τ , X is embedded in E .

To show that E is Hausdorff, let x, y be in E . Then there exists an n such that $x, y \in K_n$. Without loss of generality, let us suppose that $n = 1$. Let us choose U, V open in K_1 such that $x \in U; y \in V$ and $\bar{U}^{K_1} \cap \bar{V}^{K_1} = \emptyset$. Let $0_1 = U \cap X_1, P_1 = V \cap X_1$; then $\bar{0}_1^{X_1} \cap \bar{P}_1^{X_1} = \emptyset$.

From Lemma 2.2, it follows that X_1 is strongly normal with respect to X . Thus, there exist $0, P$ open in X such that $\bar{0}_1^{X_1} \subset 0'; \bar{P}_1^{X_1} \subset P'$ and $0' \cap P' = \emptyset$. Thus, there exist $0, P$ open in X such that $0 \cap P = \emptyset; 0 \cap X_1 = 0_1$ and $P \cap X_1 = P_1$.

Let $A = (X \setminus 0); B = (X \setminus P)$; and for each n :

$$\begin{aligned} A_n &= X_n \setminus (0 \cap X_n) , \\ B_n &= X_n \setminus (P \cap X_n) . \end{aligned}$$

For each n , define $0_n^* = K_n \setminus \bar{A}_n^{K_n}; P_n^* = K_n \setminus \bar{B}_n^{K_n}$. Let $0^* = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty 0_n^*$

and $P^* = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} P_n^*$. Since $(K_1 \setminus U) \supset \overline{A_1^{K_1}}$; then $U \subset (K_1 \setminus \overline{A_1^{K_1}})$; then 0^* is an open neighborhood of x in E . In the same way, it can be shown that P^* is a neighborhood of y in E . We have already shown that $0^* \cap P^* = (0 \cap P)^*$. Since $0 \cap P = \emptyset$ and X is dense in E , then $0^* \cap P^* = \emptyset$. Thus, E is a Hausdorff space.

Since E is Hausdorff and $0^* \cap K_n = 0_n^*$ for each n ; then K_n is a compact subspace of E . Thus X is embeddable in a Hausdorff \mathcal{H}_c .

4. An example of a nonregular space satisfying the conditions of the theorem. To show that the theorem is not trivial, we shall give an example of a space satisfying the hypotheses of Theorem 3.1 without being regular.

Let $[0, 1]$ have the topology \mathcal{S} already used in the example that follows Definition 2.1. From now on, let Y denote the topological space $([0, 1], \mathcal{S})$; I the set of irrationals in $[0, 1]$; and C the Cantor set in $[0, 1]$.

Consider the following subspace X of Y , defined by $X = Z \cup A \cup B$ where:

$$Z = C \cap I,$$

$$A = Q \cap ([0, 1] \setminus C),$$

$B =$ a subset of I which is countable and dense in $[0, 1]$ with respect to the usual topology.

For convenience, let $B = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \{b_n\}$ and $A = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \{a_n\}$. (Note that A is not finite.)

Now, let $X = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} X_n$, where

$$X_n = Z \cup \left(\bigcup_{j=1}^n \{b_j\} \right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{j=1}^n \{a_j\} \right).$$

It can be shown that X is Lindelof and that X_n is strongly normal with respect to X . But X is not regular, since $(Z \cup B)$ is closed in X and all open sets that contain $(Z \cup B)$ are everywhere dense in X .

I would like to acknowledge my thanks to Professor G. Choquet for reading the manuscript and for his helpful suggestions.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. G. Choquet, *Ensemble \mathcal{K} -analytiques et \mathcal{K} -Sousliniens. Cas général et cas métrique*, Ann. Inst. Fourier **9** (1959), 74-86.
2. J. L. Kelley, *General Topology*, New York, 1955.
3. B. MacGibbon, *Exemple d'espace \mathcal{K} -analytique qui n'est \mathcal{K} -Souslinien dans aucun espace*, Bull. des Sci. Math. **94** (1970), 3-4.

Received January 14, 1970.

MCGILL UNIVERSITY
MONTREAL, CANADA

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

H. SAMELSON
Stanford University
Stanford, California 94305

J. DUGUNDJI
Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, California 90007

RICHARD PIERCE
University of Washington
Seattle, Washington 98105

RICHARD ARENS
University of California
Los Angeles, California 90024

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLE

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
* * *
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
CHEVRON RESEARCH CORPORATION
TRW SYSTEMS
NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. The editorial "we" must not be used in the synopsis, and items of the bibliography should not be cited there unless absolutely necessary, in which case they must be identified by author and Journal, rather than by item number. Manuscripts, in duplicate if possible, may be sent to any one of the four editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Rev. Index to Vol. 39. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, Richard Arens, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

50 reprints are provided free for each article; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is published monthly. Effective with Volume 16 the price per volume (3 numbers) is \$8.00; single issues, \$3.00. Special price for current issues to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: \$4.00 per volume; single issues \$1.50. Back numbers are available.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley, California, 94708.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), 7-17, Fujimi 2-chome, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan.

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 35, No. 2

October, 1970

Valentin Danilovich Belousov and Palaniappan L. Kannappan, <i>Generalized Bol functional equation</i>	259
Charles Morgan Biles, <i>Gelfand and Wallman-type compactifications</i>	267
Louis Harvey Blake, <i>A generalization of martingales and two consequent convergence theorems</i>	279
Dennis K. Burke, <i>On p-spaces and $w\Delta$-spaces</i>	285
John Ben Butler, Jr., <i>Almost smooth perturbations of self-adjoint operators</i>	297
Michael James Cambern, <i>Isomorphisms of $C_0(Y)$ onto $C(X)$</i>	307
David Edwin Cook, <i>A conditionally compact point set with noncompact closure</i>	313
Timothy Edwin Cramer, <i>Countable Boolean algebras as subalgebras and homomorphs</i>	321
John R. Edwards and Stanley G. Wayment, <i>A v-integral representation for linear operators on spaces of continuous functions with values in topological vector spaces</i>	327
Mary Rodriguez Embry, <i>Similarities involving normal operators on Hilbert space</i>	331
Lynn Harry Erbe, <i>Oscillation theorems for second order linear differential equations</i>	337
William James Firey, <i>Local behaviour of area functions of convex bodies</i>	345
Joe Wayne Fisher, <i>The primary decomposition theory for modules</i>	359
Gerald Seymour Garfinkel, <i>Generic splitting algebras for Pic</i>	369
J. D. Hansard, Jr., <i>Function space topologies</i>	381
Keith A. Hardie, <i>Quasifibration and adjunction</i>	389
G. Hochschild, <i>Coverings of pro-affine algebraic groups</i>	399
Gerald L. Itzkowitz, <i>On nets of contractive maps in uniform spaces</i>	417
Melven Robert Krom and Myren Laurance Krom, <i>Groups with free nonabelian subgroups</i>	425
James Robert Kuttler, <i>Upper and lower bounds for eigenvalues by finite differences</i>	429
Dany Leviatan, <i>A new approach to representation theory for convolution transforms</i>	441
Richard Beech Mansfield, <i>Perfect subsets of definable sets of real numbers</i>	451
Brenda MacGibbon, <i>A necessary and sufficient condition for the embedding of a Lindelof space in a Hausdorff $\mathfrak{K}\sigma$ space</i>	459
David G. Mead and B. D. McLemore, <i>Ritt's question on the Wronskian</i>	467
Edward Yoshio Mikami, <i>Focal points in a control problem</i>	473
Paul G. Miller, <i>Characterizing the distributions of three independent n-dimensional random variables, X_1, X_2, X_3, having analytic characteristic functions by the joint distribution of $(X_1 + X_3, X_2 + X_3)$</i>	487
P. Rosenthal, <i>On the Bergman integral operator for an elliptic partial differential equation with a singular coefficient</i>	493
Douglas B. Smith, <i>On the number of finitely generated O-groups</i>	499
J. W. Spellmann, <i>Concerning the domains of generators of linear semigroups</i>	503
Arne Stray, <i>An approximation theorem for subalgebras of H_∞</i>	511
Arnold Lewis Villone, <i>Self-adjoint differential operators</i>	517