

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

**EACH COMPACT ORIENTABLE SURFACE OF POSITIVE
GENUS ADMITS AN EXPANSIVE HOMEOMORPHISM**

THOMAS V. O'BRIEN AND WILLIAM LAWRENCE REDDY

EACH COMPACT ORIENTABLE SURFACE OF POSITIVE GENUS ADMITS AN EXPANSIVE HOMEOMORPHISM

THOMAS O'BRIEN AND WILLIAM REDDY

It is known that the torus and the orientable surface of genus 2 admit expansive homeomorphisms. In this paper it is shown that all compact orientable surfaces of positive genus admit such homeomorphisms. It remains unknown whether S^2 admits such a map. By taking products expansive homeomorphisms on higher dimensional manifolds are exhibited. Finally dynamical properties of these examples are discussed. Among these are occurrence and nature of periodic points, topological entropy and existence of interesting minimal sets.

A homeomorphism f of a compact metric (d) space X onto itself will be called *expansive with expansive constant* $c > 0$ (or just *expansive*) provided that for each pair of distinct points x, y in X there is an integer n such that $d[f^n(x), f^n(y)] > c$. We denote by M_k the compact orientable surface of genus k . In [8] Reddy exhibited an expansive homeomorphism, f , on the torus, M_1 . This mapping is that induced on the torus by the linear mapping of the plane whose matrix is:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

In [6] O'Brien exhibited an expansive homeomorphism, g , on M_2 . This map was obtained by lifting f^3 through a branched covering mapping, ϕ , from M_2 onto the torus. If we consider these spaces as spheres with handles imbedded in R^3 then in each horizontal plane ϕ is the mapping which sends z into z^2 (z a complex number). Thus the expansive homeomorphism g is a lift of the expansive homeomorphism on M_1 induced by the matrix.

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}^3 = \begin{pmatrix} 5 & 8 \\ 8 & 13 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The triple iterate of the torus homeomorphism has a fixed point, m , which is not a branch point image. In [6] this point is chosen as a base point. The lift to M_2 can be chosen to leave $n \in \phi^{-1}(m)$ fixed. We will use n as base point for the fundamental group of M_2 in §2.

2. The examples. In this section we prove the existence of expansive homeomorphisms on many compact manifolds. The technique

is to exhibit, for any $n > 2$, an expansive homeomorphism of M_2 (which will be an iterate of the above-mentioned map on M_2) which lifts through a covering map to M_k . According to [5, Corollary 3.5] the lift will be expansive. Then since the product of expansive homeomorphisms is expansive, it will follow that any product of orientable surfaces of positive genus admits an expansive homeomorphism.

THEOREM 2.1. *Each compact orientable surface of positive genus admits an expansive homeomorphism.*

Proof. Let f, g and ϕ be the maps mentioned in the preliminaries. Choose generators α, β for $\pi_1(M_1, m)$ where α is covered by the segment from $(0, 0)$ to $(1, 0)$ in the plane and β by the segment from $(0, 0)$ to $(0, 1)$. This represents $\pi_1(M_1, m)$ as $Z \oplus Z$ (where Z denotes the integers) in such a way that $f_*: \pi_1(M_1, m) \rightarrow \pi_1(M_1, m)$ is given by the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

An easy induction shows that, for each positive integer j , f_*^{3j} is given by the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} f_{6j-1} & f_{6j} \\ f_{6j} & f_{6j+1} \end{pmatrix},$$

where f_i denotes the i^{th} Fibonacci number ($f_1 = 1, f_2 = 1, f_{i+1} = f_i + f_{i-1}$). Now choose generators $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2$ for $\pi_1(M_2, n)$ such that $\phi_*(\alpha_i) = \alpha$ and $\phi_*(\beta_i) = \beta$. Define a homomorphism $P: \pi_1(M_2, n) \rightarrow Z \oplus Z$ by $P(\gamma) = (a, b)$ where a is the sum of the exponents of α_1 and α_2 and b is the sum of the exponents of β_1 and β_2 in a word representing γ . Because of the defining relation for the group $\pi_1(M_2, n)$, P is independent of the word representing γ . Now if $P(\gamma) = (a, b)$ then $\phi_*(\gamma) = \alpha^a \beta^b$. Also

$$f_*^{3j}(\alpha^a \beta^b) = (\alpha^{f_{6j-1}a + f_{6j}b} \beta^{f_{6j}a + f_{6j+1}b}).$$

Thus by commutativity ($f_*^{3j}\phi = \phi g^j$) and the fact that kernel $P =$ kernel ϕ_* it follows that $P(g_*^j(\gamma)) = (f_{6j-1}a + f_{6j}b, f_{6j}a + f_{6j+1}b)$.

Let a surface M_{k+1} ($k \geq 2$) be given. Consider the normal subgroup G_k of $\pi_1(M_2, n)$ given by

$$G_k = \{\gamma \in \pi_1(M_2, n) : P(\gamma) = (ka, b)\}.$$

The index of G_k is k . Thus $g_*^j(G_k)$ is also a subgroup of index k in $\pi_1(M_2, n)$. We now determine conditions on k such that $g_*^j(G_k) = G_k$. When this happens, g^j lifts to the covering space of M_2 associated

with the subgroup G_k . Through consideration of the Euler characteristic, we infer that this space is M_{k+1} .

If $P(\gamma) = (ka, b)$ then $P(g_*^j \gamma) = (f_{6j-1}ka + f_{6j}b, f_{6j}ka + f_{6j+1}b)$. Thus a necessary and sufficient condition for $g_*^j(\gamma)$ to be in G_k is that k divide f_{6j} . Therefore, for existence of a lifting of an expansive homeomorphism on M_2 to the surface of genus $k + 1$ it is sufficient that k divide f_{6j} for some j . According to [9], for any k , the Fibonacci sequence mod k is periodic and if j is the period then $f_j \equiv 0 \pmod{k}$. Thus, by the periodicity, $f_{6j} \equiv 0 \pmod{k}$. Therefore k does divide some $6j^{\text{th}}$ Fibonacci number. It follows that g^j lifts to a homeomorphism on M_{k+1} . This homeomorphism is expansive by [5, Corollary 3.5].

COROLLARY 2.2. *Let M be a topological product whose factors are compact orientable surfaces of positive genus. Then M admits an expansive homeomorphism.*

3. Properties. In this section we prove several propositions concerning dynamical properties of the examples just constructed.

PROPOSITION 3.1. *In all of the examples the set of periodic points is dense.*

Proof. For the torus case this is well known. See [7, p. 758]. Any lift to M_n through a pseudo-covering map must have dense periodic points since the fibre over a periodic point will consist of periodic points. For the higher dimensional manifolds the set of periodic points is just the product of the periodic sets in the factors and is therefore dense.

DEFINITION 3.2. A fixed point, x , of an expansive homeomorphism, ϕ , is called a saddle point if there exist $p \neq x$ and $q \neq x$ such that p is positively asymptotic to x and q is negatively asymptotic to x . If x is a periodic point with period m and x is a saddle point of f^m we will say that x is of saddle type.

PROPOSITION 3.3. *For the examples in §2, all periodic points are of saddle type.*

Proof. According to Theorem 9 in [3] all periodic points will be of saddle type if the homeomorphism preserves a continuous Borel probability measure which is positive on open sets. Since an automorphism of an abelian group space preserves Haar measure we have our result in the toral case. We can use the pseudo-covering mapp-

ings to lift the measure on the torus to the higher genus spaces so that the lifted expansive homeomorphism preserves the measure. Thus all periodic points on the M_k are of saddle type. Clearly when we take products all periodic points will be of saddle type.

Next we show that all of our examples have nonzero topological entropy. See [1] for definitions and results about topological entropy.

According to K. R. Berg [2] the entropy of our toral maps is not 0. We wish to show that all of our examples have non-zero topological entropy. The following is a special case of Theorem 5 in [1].

THEOREM 3.5. *Suppose $f: M \rightarrow M$ and $g: N \rightarrow N$ are continuous, M and N are compact and $\phi: M \rightarrow N$ is open and onto and that $g\phi = \phi f$. Then $h(g) \leq h(f)$.*

PROPOSITION 3.6. *The examples of §2 all have nonzero topological entropy.*

Proof. It follows from the construction in Theorem 2.1., Theorem 3.5. and Berg's result that this conclusion holds for the homeomorphisms constructed on each of the surfaces M_k . Since entropy satisfies the relation $h(f \times g) = h(f) + h(g)$ ([1]), the proposition is valid.

Finally we consider minimal sets. All of our examples have nonperiodic minimal sets. For each space M we exhibit an expansive homeomorphism (an iterate of one of those given §2) such that some subspace restriction is a Sturmian minimal set [4; pp. 111-113].

PROPOSITION 3.7. *Each space considered in §2 admits an expansive homeomorphism f with nonperiodic minimal sets.*

Proof. Each of our examples f on M_k projects through a pseudo-covering mapping, ϕ , onto a torus automorphism, g . According to [7, Th. 5.5] there is a Cantor set $A \subset M_1$ and an integer m such that $g^m(A) = A$ and g^m restricted to A is topologically a shift automorphism. There is a subset L of A which is totally minimal with respect to g^m [4, 12.63]. L is compact and contains no fixed points. In particular $\phi(B_\phi) \cap L$ is empty. Thus L is contained in a simply connected subset U of $M_1 - \phi(B_\phi)$. Each arc component of $\phi^{-1}(U)$ in $M_k - B_\phi$ maps homeomorphically onto U . There are $2k - 2$ such arc components. Thus there are $2k - 2$ copies, L_i , of L in M_k . The set $\bigcup_{i=1}^{2k-2} L_i$ is invariant under f . We can cover L by a finite collection of disjoint elementary neighborhoods U_j . These lift to open sets

V_{ij} such that $L_i \subset \bigcup_j V_{ij}$. The effect of f on the collection $\{V_{ij}\}$ is, essentially, to permute these sets. Thus for some iterate f^n of f there is an invariant copy of L and f^n is topologically an iterate of the shift automorphism. Since the Sturmian minimal sets are totally minimal we have exhibited nonperiodic minimal sets for each M_k . For a product $M \times N$ we can choose a subset $L \times \{x_0\}$ where L is a minimal orbit closure of M and x_0 is a fixed point. Thus all of our examples contain nonperiodic minimal sets.

REFERENCES

1. R. L. Adler, A. G. Konheim, M. H. McAndrew, *Topological entropy*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **114** (1965), 309-319.
2. K. R. Berg, *Entropy of torus automorphisms*, Topological Dynamics, An International Symposium, Ed. J. Auslander and W. Gottschalk, W. A. Benjamin (1968).
3. B. F. Bryant, P. Walters, *Asymptotic properties of expansive homeomorphisms*, Math. Systems Theory **3** (1969), 60-66.
4. W. H. Gottschalk and G. A. Hedlund, *Topological Dynamics*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ., vol. 36, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I., 1955.
5. E. Hemmingsen and W. L. Reddy, *Lifting and projecting expansive homeomorphisms*, Math. Systems Theory **2** (1968), 7-15.
6. T. O'Brien, *Expansive homeomorphisms on compact manifolds*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **24** (1970), 767-771.
7. S. Smale, *Differentiable dynamical systems*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **73** (1967), 747-817.
8. W. Reddy, *The existence of expansive homeomorphisms on manifolds*, Duke Math. J. **32** (1965), 627-632.
9. D. D. Wall, *Fibonacci series modulo m* , Amer. Math. Monthly **67** (1960), 525-532.

Received March 20, 1970. The second author was supported in part by Army Research Office—Durham Grant 31-124-G892, S2, and NSF Grant GP-23105.

BOWLING GREEN STATE UNIVERSITY

INSTITUTE FOR ADVANCED STUDY AND WESLEYAN UNIVERSITY

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

H. SAMELSON
Stanford University
Stanford, California 94305

J. DUGUNDJI
Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, California 90007

RICHARD PIERCE
University of Washington
Seattle, Washington 98105

RICHARD ARENS
University of California
Los Angeles, California 90024

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLE

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

* * *

AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
CHEVRON RESEARCH CORPORATION
TRW SYSTEMS
NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. The editorial "we" must not be used in the synopsis, and items of the bibliography should not be cited there unless absolutely necessary, in which case they must be identified by author and Journal, rather than by item number. Manuscripts, in duplicate if possible, may be sent to any one of the four editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Rev. Index to Vol. 39. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, Richard Arens, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

50 reprints are provided free for each article; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* is published monthly. Effective with Volume 16 the price per volume (3 numbers) is \$8.00; single issues, \$3.00. Special price for current issues to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: \$4.00 per volume; single issues \$1.50. Back numbers are available.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley, California, 94708.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION

Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), 7-17, Fujimi 2-chome, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan.

| | |
|--|-----|
| John D. Arrison and Michael Rich, <i>On nearly commutative degree one algebras</i> | 533 |
| Bruce Alan Barnes, <i>Algebras with minimal left ideals which are Hilbert spaces</i> | 537 |
| Robert F. Brown, <i>An elementary proof of the uniqueness of the fixed point index</i> | 549 |
| Ronn L. Carpenter, <i>Principal ideals in F-algebras</i> | 559 |
| Chen Chung Chang and Yiannis (John) Nicolas Moschovakis, <i>The Suslin-Kleene theorem for V_κ with cofinality $(\kappa) = \omega$</i> | 565 |
| Theodore Seio Chihara, <i>The derived set of the spectrum of a distribution function</i> | 571 |
| Tae Geun Cho, <i>On the Choquet boundary for a nonclosed subspace of $C(S)$</i> | 575 |
| Richard Brian Darst, <i>The Lebesgue decomposition, Radon-Nikodym derivative, conditional expectation, and martingale convergence for lattices of sets</i> | 581 |
| David E. Fields, <i>Dimension theory in power series rings</i> | 601 |
| Michael Lawrence Fredman, <i>Congruence formulas obtained by counting irreducibles</i> | 613 |
| John Eric Gilbert, <i>On the ideal structure of some algebras of analytic functions</i> | 625 |
| G. Goss and Giovanni Viglino, <i>Some topological properties weaker than compactness</i> | 635 |
| George Grätzer and J. Sichler, <i>On the endomorphism semigroup (and category) of bounded lattices</i> | 639 |
| R. C. Lacher, <i>Cell-like mappings. II</i> | 649 |
| Shiva Narain Lal, <i>On a theorem of M. Izumi and S. Izumi</i> | 661 |
| Howard Barrow Lambert, <i>Differential mappings on a vector space</i> | 669 |
| Richard G. Levin and Takayuki Tamura, <i>Notes on commutative power joined semigroups</i> | 673 |
| Robert Edward Lewand and Kevin Mor McCrimmon, <i>Macdonald's theorem for quadratic Jordan algebras</i> | 681 |
| J. A. Marti, <i>On some types of completeness in topological vector spaces</i> | 707 |
| Walter J. Meyer, <i>Characterization of the Steiner point</i> | 717 |
| Saad H. Mohamed, <i>Rings whose homomorphic images are q-rings</i> | 727 |
| Thomas V. O'Brien and William Lawrence Reddy, <i>Each compact orientable surface of positive genus admits an expansive homeomorphism</i> | 737 |
| Robert James Plemmons and M. T. West, <i>On the semigroup of binary relations</i> | 743 |
| Calvin R. Putnam, <i>Unbounded inverses of hyponormal operators</i> | 755 |
| William T. Reid, <i>Some remarks on special disconjugacy criteria for differential systems</i> | 763 |
| C. Ambrose Rogers, <i>The convex generation of convex Borel sets in euclidean space</i> | 773 |
| S. Saran, <i>A general theorem for bilinear generating functions</i> | 783 |
| S. W. Smith, <i>Cone relationships of biorthogonal systems</i> | 787 |
| Wolmer Vasconcelos, <i>On commutative endomorphism rings</i> | 795 |
| Vernon Emil Zander, <i>Products of finitely additive set functions from Orlicz spaces</i> | 799 |
| G. Sankaranarayanan and C. Suyambulingom, <i>Correction to: "Some renewal theorems concerning a sequence of correlated random variables"</i> | 805 |
| Joseph Zaks, <i>Correction to: "Trivially extending decompositions of E^n"</i> | 805 |
| Dong Hoon Lee, <i>Correction to: "The adjoint group of Lie groups"</i> | 805 |
| James Edward Ward, <i>Correction to: "Two-groups and Jordan algebras"</i> | 806 |