# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

# ON COMMUTATIVE ENDOMORPHISM RINGS

WOLMER VASCONCELOS

Vol. 35, No. 3 November 1970

# ON COMMUTATIVE ENDOMORPHISM RINGS

## Wolmer V. Vasconcelos

This note deals with a finitely generated faithful module E over a commutative semi-prime noetherian ring R, with commutative endomorphism ring  $\operatorname{Hom}_R(E,E)=\mathcal{Q}(E)$ . It is shown that E is identifiable to an ideal of R whenever  $\mathcal{Q}(E)$  lacks nilpotent elements; a class of examples with  $\mathcal{Q}(E)$  commutative but not semi-prime is discussed.

1. Main result. Throughout R will denote a commutative noetherian ring and modules will be finitely generated. In order to use the full measure of the ring, we shall consider mostly faithful modules. As for notation, unadorned  $\otimes$  and Hom are taken over the base ring.

In case R is semi-prime (meaning here: no nilpotent elements distinct from 0) we recall that its total ring of quotients K is semi-simple, and thus a direct sum of fields  $K = \bigoplus \sum K_i$ ,  $1 \le i \le n$ . Any ideal I of R has the property that  $\operatorname{Hom}(I, I)$  is commutative and semi-prime: for if S denotes the set of regular elements of R,

$$\operatorname{Hom}(I, I) \subseteq \operatorname{Hom}(I, I)_{S} = \operatorname{Hom}_{R_{S}}(I_{S}, I_{S})$$
.

But this last is a subring of K. The content of the next theorem is precisely a converse to this observation.

THEOREM 1.1. Let E be a finitely generated faithful module over the semi-prime ring R. Then, if  $\operatorname{Hom}(E,E)$  is commutative and semi-prime, E is isomorphic to an ideal of R.

*Proof.* Denote by T the torsion submodule of E, i.e., let T be the set of elements of E annihilated by a regular element of R. If T=0, then  $\operatorname{Hom}(E,E) \subseteq \operatorname{Hom}(E,E)_S = \operatorname{Hom}_{R_S}(E_S,E_S)$ ; using the decomposition of  $R_S = K$  as a direct sum of fields,

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{K}(E \bigotimes K, E \bigotimes K) = \bigoplus \sum \operatorname{Hom}_{K_{i}}(E \bigotimes K_{i}, E \bigotimes K_{i})$$
 .

Since  $\operatorname{Hom}_{K}(E \otimes K, E \otimes K)$  is commutative, we must have, for each i,  $E \otimes K_{i} = 0$  or isomorphic to  $K_{i}$ . This allows identification of  $E_{s}$  to a submodule of K and consequently of E to an ideal of R, since E is finitely generated.

Assume then, by way of contradiction,  $T \neq 0$  and consider the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow T \longrightarrow E \xrightarrow{\pi} F \longrightarrow 0$$
.

It yields

$$(1) 0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(E, T) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(E, E) \stackrel{\pi_*}{\longrightarrow} \operatorname{Hom}(F, F)$$

as T is a characteristic submodule of E; observe also that  $\pi_*$  is an R-algebra homomorphism. Let P be a prime ideal of R minimal over the annihilator J of T. Then  $T_P \neq 0$  and can be viewed as a  $R_P/J_P$ -module; by the choice of P this last ring is artinian [2; Chap. IV, p. 147] and  $T_P$  has finite length as an  $R_P$ -module. On the other hand, localization at P does not introduce nilpotent elements in either  $R_P$  or  $\Omega = \operatorname{Hom}_{R_P}(E_P, E_P)$  (=Hom  $(E, E)_P$ ). Let I denote Hom  $(E, T)_P$ ; since  $T_P$  has finite length, I also has finite length and the sequence

$$I \supseteq I^2 \supseteq \cdots \supseteq I^n \supseteq \cdots$$

must eventually become stationary. Say  $I^n = I^{2n}$  for some n; by [2; Chap. I, p. 83]  $I^n$  is generated by an idempotent e of  $\Omega$ . Actually,  $I = \Omega e$ , for  $\Omega$  lacks nilpotent elements and  $(I(1-e))^n = 0$ . The idempotent e induces the direct sum decomposition  $M = eM \oplus (1-e)M$ , with  $M = E_P$ . Thus

$$\label{eq:omega_p} \mathcal{Q} = \begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{Hom}_{R_p}\left(eM,\,eM\right) & \operatorname{Hom}_{R_p}\left(eM,\,(1-e)M\right) \\ \operatorname{Hom}_{R_p}\left((1-e)M,\,eM\right) & \operatorname{Hom}_{R_p}\left(1-e\right)m,\,(1-e)M ) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since is semi-prime,  $\mathrm{Hom}_{R_p}\left((1-e)M,eM\right)=0$ . Observe that  $eM\subseteq T_p$  and thus (1-e)M is a faithful  $R_p$ -module. To conclude we need the

LEMMA 1.2. If A is a finitely generated faithful module over the commutative ring R, then every simple R-module is a homomorphic image of A.

*Proof.* Just note that for each maximal ideal P,  $PA \neq A$  [2; Chap. I, p. 83 again].

Returning to the proof of the theorem, observe that eM must contain a simple submodule, unless e=0. Then I=0 and again by the lemma,  $T_P=0$ .

2. Examples. In order to construct examples of faithful modules E with commutative  $\Omega(E)$  but not isomorphic to ideals, by the preceding it will be necessary to waive the requirement that  $\Omega(E)$  be semi-prime.

We shall need a special case of the following result, which has various amusing consequences. Let R, as before, be a commutative noetherian ring and E a finitely generated R-module. Assume that E is faithful; then R can be viewed as a subring of the center C of

Hom (E, E). E is said to be balanced if R = C. A mild homological hypothesis will imply that torsion-less modules (i.e., submodules of direct products of R) are, very often, balanced.

To state this condition we recall the notion of grade of an ideal I: it is the smallest integer n such that I contains no R-sequence of length n+1 [3].

PROPOSITION 2.1. Let E be a finitely generated, torsion-less, faithful R-module. Then if  $E_P$  is  $R_P$ -free for each prime ideal P with grade  $PR_P \leq 1$  (as  $R_P$  ideal), then E is balanced.

*Proof.* Consider the exact sequence

$$(2) 0 \longrightarrow R \longrightarrow C \longrightarrow L \longrightarrow 0$$

induced by the inclusion of R into C. With the present finiteness conditions, "C localizes", i.e., for each prime ideal P,  $C_P$  is the center of Hom  $(E,E)_P=\operatorname{Hom}_{R_p}(E_P,E_P)$ . Thus for each prime ideal P, with grade  $PR_P \leq 1$ ,  $L_P=0$  as  $E_P$  is then  $R_P$ -free. Let J be the annihilator of L. The preceding says that J has grade  $\geq 2$ . Applying  $\operatorname{Hom}(R/J,-)$  to the sequence (2) we get

$$0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(R/J, R) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(R/J, C)$$
$$\longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(R/J, L) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Ext}(R/J, R).$$

Since C is torsion-free, Hom (R/J, C) = 0, while by [3]

$$\operatorname{Ext}(R/J,R)=0.$$

Thus Hom (R/J, L) = 0, which evidently leads to L = 0.

The following are cases where the proposition applies:

- (i) I ideal of R of grade 2; then Hom (I, I) = R.
- (ii) Serre's normality criterion [4; III-13].
- (iii) E is a finitely generated, torsion-less, faithful R-module of finite projective dimension; then E is balanced.
- (iv) Commutative noetherian rings of finite global dimension are integrally closed.

EXAMPLE 2.3. Let P be a maximal ideal of a commutative domain R, such that grade  $P \ge 2$ . Then  $\operatorname{Ext}(P, R/P) \ne 0$ , as otherwise  $R_P$  would be a discrete valuation ring, which is not the case [1]. Let E be a nontrivial extension of P by R/P, that is, consider a nonsplitting sequence

$$(3) 0 \longrightarrow R/P \longrightarrow E \xrightarrow{\pi} P \longrightarrow 0.$$

The exact sequence corresponding to (1) is

$$0 \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(E, R/P) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(E, E) \stackrel{\pi_*}{\longrightarrow} \operatorname{Hom}(P, P)$$
.

By (i) above, Hom (P, P) = R and  $\pi_*$  is actually a surjection with the endomorphisms of E induced by multiplication by elements of R mapping injectively onto Hom (P, P). Thus

$$\operatorname{Hom}\left(E,E\right)=R+I$$

with I = Hom(E, R/P). By Lemma 1.2 we know that  $I \neq 0$ . Hom (E, E) will be commutative if  $I^2 = 0$ . If  $I^2 \neq 0$ , there would be  $f, g \in I$ , with  $f \circ g \neq 0$ . This however says that  $f: E \longrightarrow R/P$  is nontrivial on R/P. We could then modify f by multiplication by an element in R - P, and thus accomplish a splitting of (3), against the assumption.

In the example above the projective dimension of E is at least 2; it would be interesting to find an example with similar properties but lower projective dimension (=1).

If R is no longer noetherian, then Theorem 1.1 looks still plausible if E is assumed of finite presentation.

As a final remark, in a lighter vein, it should be of interest to determine all commutative rings R in which endomorphism rings of ideals are always commutative. In the noetherian case, we conjecture that the total ring of quotients of R is quasi-Frobenius.

The author is grateful to the referee for various constructive suggestions.

### REFERENCES

- 1. M. Auslander and D. Buchsbaum, Homological dimensions in local rings, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 85 (1957), 390-405.
- 2. N. Bourbaki, Algèbre Commutative, Hermann, Paris, 1961-1965.
- 3. D. Rees, The grade of an ideal or module, Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. 53 (1957), 28-42.
- 4. J.-P. Serre, Algèbre Locale, Multiplicités, Lectures Notes 11 Springer, 1965.

Received March 3, 1970. This research was partially supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant GP-8619.

RUTGERS UNIVERSITY

# PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

# **EDITORS**

H. SAMELSON Stanford University Stanford, California 94305

RICHARD PIERCE
University of Washington
Seattle, Washington 98105

J. DUGUNDJI
Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, California 90007

RICHARD ARENS
University of California
Los Angeles, California 90024

# ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLE

K. Yoshida

## SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO UNIVERSITY OF UTAH WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON

AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY CHEVRON RESEARCH CORPORATION TRW SYSTEMS NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

The Supporting Institutions listed above contribute to the cost of publication of this Journal, but they are not owners or publishers and have no responsibility for its content or policies.

Mathematical papers intended for publication in the Pacific Journal of Mathematics should be in typed form or offset-reproduced, (not dittoed), double spaced with large margins. Underline Greek letters in red, German in green, and script in blue. The first paragraph or two must be capable of being used separately as a synopsis of the entire paper. The editorial "we" must not be used in the synopsis, and items of the bibliography should not be cited there unless absolutely necessary, in which case they must be identified by author and Journal, rather than by item number. Manuscripts, in duplicate if possible, may be sent to any one of the four editors. Please classify according to the scheme of Math. Rev. Index to Vol. 39. All other communications to the editors should be addressed to the managing editor, Richard Arens, University of California, Los Angeles, California, 90024.

50 reprints are provided free for each article; additional copies may be obtained at cost in multiples of 50.

The Pacific Journal of Mathematics is published monthly. Effective with Volume 16 the price per volume (3 numbers) is \$8.00; single issues, \$3.00. Special price for current issues to individual faculty members of supporting institutions and to individual members of the American Mathematical Society: \$4.00 per volume; single issues \$1.50. Back numbers are available.

Subscriptions, orders for back numbers, and changes of address should be sent to Pacific Journal of Mathematics, 103 Highland Boulevard, Berkeley, California, 94708.

PUBLISHED BY PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS, A NON-PROFIT CORPORATION Printed at Kokusai Bunken Insatsusha (International Academic Printing Co., Ltd.), 7-17, Fujimi 2-chome, Chiyoda-ku, Tokyo, Japan.

# **Pacific Journal of Mathematics**

Vol. 35, No. 3 November, 1970

| John D. Arrison and Michael Rich, On nearly commutative degree one algebras         | 533 |
|---|-----|
| Bruce Alan Barnes, Algebras with minimal left ideals which are Hilbert spaces       | 537 |
| Robert F. Brown, An elementary proof of the uniqueness of the fixed point index     | 549 |
| Ronn L. Carpenter, <i>Principal ideals in F-algebras</i>                            | 559 |
| Chen Chung Chang and Yiannis (John) Nicolas Moschovakis, <i>The Suslin-Kleene</i>   | 00) |
| theorem for $V_{\kappa}$ with cofinality $(\kappa) = \omega$                        | 565 |
| Theodore Seio Chihara, <i>The derived set of the spectrum of a distribution</i>     | 000 |
| function  | 571 |
| Tae Geun Cho, On the Choquet boundary for a nonclosed subspace of $C(S)$            | 575 |
| Richard Brian Darst, <i>The Lebesgue decomposition, Radon-Nikodym derivative</i> ,  | 0.0 |
| conditional expectation, and martingale convergence for lattices of sets            | 581 |
| David E. Fields, Dimension theory in power series rings                             | 601 |
| Michael Lawrence Fredman, Congruence formulas obtained by counting                  | 001 |
| irreducibles  | 613 |
| John Eric Gilbert, On the ideal structure of some algebras of analytic functions    | 625 |
| G. Goss and Giovanni Viglino, Some topological properties weaker than               | 023 |
| compactness   | 635 |
| George Grätzer and J. Sichler, On the endomorphism semigroup (and category) of      | 000 |
| bounded lattices  | 639 |
| R. C. Lacher, <i>Cell-like mappings. II</i>   | 649 |
| Shiva Narain Lal, On a theorem of M. Izumi and S. Izumi                             | 661 |
| Howard Barrow Lambert, Differential mappings on a vector space                      | 669 |
| Richard G. Levin and Takayuki Tamura, <i>Notes on commutative power joined</i>      | 009 |
| semigroups  | 673 |
| Robert Edward Lewand and Kevin Mor McCrimmon, Macdonald's theorem for               | 075 |
| quadratic Jordan algebras   | 681 |
| J. A. Marti, On some types of completeness in topological vector spaces             | 707 |
| Walter J. Meyer, Characterization of the Steiner point                              | 717 |
| Saad H. Mohamed, Rings whose homomorphic images are q-rings                         | 727 |
| Thomas V. O'Brien and William Lawrence Reddy, Each compact orientable surface       | 121 |
| of positive genus admits an expansive homeomorphism                                 | 737 |
| Robert James Plemmons and M. T. West, <i>On the semigroup of binary relations</i>   | 743 |
|   | 755 |
| Calvin R. Putnam, Unbounded inverses of hyponormal operators                        | 133 |
| William T. Reid, Some remarks on special disconjugacy criteria for differential     | 763 |
| C. Ambrose Rogers, The convex generation of convex Borel sets in euclidean          | 703 |
|   | 773 |
| S. Saran, A general theorem for bilinear generating functions                       | 783 |
|   |     |
| S. W. Smith, Cone relationships of biorthogonal systems                             | 787 |
| Wolmer Vasconcelos, On commutative endomorphism rings                               | 795 |
| Vernon Emil Zander, Products of finitely additive set functions from Orlicz         | 700 |
| spaces  | 799 |
| G. Sankaranarayanan and C. Suyambulingom, Correction to: "Some renewal              | 205 |
| theorems concerning a sequence of correlated random variables"                      | 805 |
| Joseph Zaks, Correction to: "Trivially extending decompositions of E <sup>n</sup> " | 805 |
| Dong Hoon Lee, Correction to: "The adjoint group of Lie groups"                     | 805 |
| James Edward Ward, Correction to: "Two-groups and Jordan algebras"                  | 806 |