

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

LACUNARY SERIES AND PROBABILITY

ROBERT P. KAUFMAN

LACUNARY SERIES AND PROBABILITY

R. KAUFMAN

In this note we continue some investigations connecting a lacunary series A of real numbers

$$A: 1 \leq \lambda_1 < \dots < \lambda_k < \dots, q\lambda_k \leq \lambda_{k+1} \quad (1 < q)$$

and a probability measure μ on $(-\infty, \infty)$ satisfying

$$(1) \quad \mu([a, a+h]) \ll h^\beta$$

for all intervals $[a, a+h]$ of length $h < 1$, and a fixed exponent $0 < \beta < 1$. (The notation $X \ll Y$ is a substitute for $X = O(Y)$.) Measures μ occur in the theory of sets of fractional Hausdorff dimension.

In the following statements S is a subset of $(-\infty, \infty)$ of Lebesgue measure 0, depending only on μ and A .

THEOREM 1. For $r = 2, 4, 6, \dots$ and $t \notin S$, there is a constant $B_r(t)$ so that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\sum a_k \cos(\lambda_k tx + b_k)|^r \mu(dx) \leq B_r(t) (\sum |a_k|^2)^{r/2}.$$

Here $B_r(t)$ is independent of the sequences (a_j) and (b_k) .

THEOREM 2. For $t \notin S$ the normalized sums

$$\left(\frac{1}{2}N\right)^{-1/2} \sum_{k \leq N} \cos(\lambda_k tx + b_k)$$

tend in law (with respect to the probability μ) to the normal law. Here the convergence is uniform for all sequences (b_k) .

Theorem 1 is a random form of a fact apparently known from the advent of the study of lacunary series; Theorem 2 bears the same relation to the work of Salem and Zygmund [4]. Probability enters critically in the theorems because $\beta < 1$: for any increasing sequence A there is a measure μ fulfilling (1) for every $\beta < 1$ and such that the t -set defined in Theorem 1 is of first category.

1. In this section and later we use the notations

$$e(y) \equiv e^{iy}, \mu(y) \equiv \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e(yx) \mu(dx),$$

$-\infty < y < \infty$. In the following estimation $|y| > 1$.

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \int_1^2 |\hat{\mu}(ty)|^2 dt = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_1^2 e(tyx_1 - tyx_2) dt \cdot \mu(dx_1) \mu(dx_2) \\ &\leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \inf(1, 2|yx_1 - yx_2|^{-1}) \mu(dx_1) \mu(dx_2). \end{aligned}$$

Let $r > 0$ be the integer defined by $2^{-r} < |y|^{-1} \leq 2^{1-r}$; we sum the integrand over the sets

$$(|x_1 - x_2| > 1), (1 > |x_1 - x_2| \geq \frac{1}{2}), \dots, (2^{1-r} > |x_1 - x_2| > 2^{-r})$$

and finally over the set $(2^{-r} > |x_1 - x_2|)$. In each case the product measure can be estimated by (1) and Fubini's Theorem; summing up we obtain $I \ll |y|^{-\beta}$. A more convenient form is valid for all real y :

$$(2) \quad \int_1^2 |\hat{\mu}(ty)| dt \ll (1 + |y|)^{-1/2\beta}.$$

2. To prove Theorem 1 we require an elementary lemma.

LEMMA. Let $(v_k)_1^\infty$ be a sequence of real numbers and r a positive integer. Let T be the sum of the moduli of all Fourier-Stieltjes coefficients

$$\hat{\mu}(d_1 v_{k_1} + d_2 v_{k_2} + \dots)$$

where $1 \leq k_1 < k_2 < \dots$, d_1, d_2, \dots are integers $\neq 0$, and

$$|d_1| + |d_2| + \dots \leq 2r;$$

the number of integers d_1, d_2, \dots varies between 1 and $2r$.

Then

$$\int |\sum a_k e(v_k x)|^{2r} \mu(dx) \leq (1 + T)(r!)^2 (\sum |a_k|^2)^r.$$

Proof. We first expand $(\sum a_k e(v_k x))^r$ by the multinomial formula, obtaining a sum of terms

$$r!(e_1!e_2! \dots e_r!)^{-1} a_{k_1}^{e_1} \dots a_{k_r}^{e_r} e(e_1 v_{k_1} x + \dots + e_r v_{k_r} x).$$

Of course $1 \leq k_1 < \dots < k_r$, and the r -tuple (e_1, \dots, e_r) is variable, subject to the equality $e_1 + \dots + e_r = r$. Next to this expansion we place that of the conjugate, using exponents f_1, \dots, f_r . Multiplying these expansions and integrating with respect to μ , we collect the integrals in two steps.

First we consider terms in the product in which $(e_1, \dots, e_r) = (f_1, \dots, f_r)$. Making a term-by-term comparison with $(\sum |a_k|^2)^r$, we find a sum $\leq r! (\sum |a_k|^2)^r$.

For the remaining terms we note the factor $\hat{\mu}(e_1 v_{k_1} - f_1 v'_{k_1} + \dots)$ attached to the number $|a_{k_1}|^{e_1+f_1} \dots$, and note that the former number is counted in T . Thus the sum here is $\leq (r!)^2 \max |a_k|^{2r}$, and the proof is complete.

To prove Theorem 1 it will be enough to give a proof for sequences A with a gap $q \geq 2r$, for in any case A is a union of $1 + [\log q / \log 2r]$ sequences with gaps of this size. According to the lemma, it is sufficient to show that for almost all t , the sum T is finite, where T is calculated for the sequence $v_k \equiv t\lambda_k$. Thus T is a sum of numbers

$$|\hat{\mu}(td_1\lambda_{k_1} + \dots + td_s\lambda_{k_s})|,$$

where $d_1 \neq 0, \dots, d_s \neq 0, |d_1| + \dots + |d_s| \leq 2r$. Because $q \geq r$ and $|d_1| + \dots + |d_{s-1}| \leq 2r - 1$,

$$|d_1\lambda_{k_1} + \dots + d_s\lambda_{k_s}| \geq \frac{1}{r}\lambda_{k_s},$$

whence

$$\int_1^2 |\hat{\mu}(td_1\lambda_{k_1} + \dots + td_s\lambda_{k_s})| dt \ll \lambda_{k_s}^{-1/2\beta}.$$

But the number of forms $d_1\lambda_{k_1} + \dots + d_s\lambda_{k_s}$ having a certain $k = k_s$ is $\ll k^{2r}$. Thus $\int_1^2 T dt < \infty$ because $\sum_1^\infty k^{2r}\lambda_k^{-1/2\beta} < \infty$. This proves Theorem 1 for the interval $1 < t < 2$ and the same argument is plainly valid for $(-\infty, \infty)$.

3. In the proof of Theorem 2 it is again necessary to estimate sums like T , but it is no longer possible to make such sums converge. Instead, we must estimate their rate of increase.

LEMMA. Let $d_1 \neq 0, \dots, d_s \neq 0$ be integers and

$$p = |d_1| + \dots + |d_s|.$$

The number of s -tuples $1 \leq k_1 < \dots < k_s \leq N$ for which

$$(3) \quad |d_1\lambda_{k_1} + \dots + d_s\lambda_{k_s} - \lambda| \leq 2^j \quad (j = 1, 2, 3, \dots)$$

is bounded as follows for all real λ and $N \geq 1$:

- (a) $\leq B(p, q)j^p$ if $p = 1$ or $p = 2$.
- (b) $\leq B(p, q)j^p N^{1/2(p-1)}$ if $p > 2$.

Proof. The argument for $s = 1$ is very simple and is contained implicitly in that now given for $s = 2, p \geq 2$. Here we distinguish two cases, according as $|d_1\lambda_{k_1}| \leq q^{-1}|d_2\lambda_{k_2}|$, or not. In the first case we can write

$$d_1\lambda_{k_1} + d_2\lambda_{k_2} = (1 + \theta)d_2\lambda_{k_2}, \quad |\theta| \leq q^{-1} < 1.$$

Let $k < k^*$ be two values of k_2 occurring in this case. Then

$$|\lambda_k(1 + \theta) - \lambda_{k^*}(1 + \theta^*)| \leq 2^{j+1}$$

$$\lambda_{k^*} \leq (\lambda_k + 2^{j+1})(1 - q^{-1})^{-2}.$$

From this it follows that $k^* - k \ll j$, so that k_2 is restricted to $\ll j$ values. Once k_2 is chosen, k_1 is similarly confined, and so the first case distinguished before gives a contribution $\ll j^2$. Moreover this case always obtains when $|d_1| \leq |d_2|$, and in particular when $s = 2$, $p = 2$; thus (a) is proved. Again, if $|d_1 \lambda_{k_1}| > q^{-1} d_2 \lambda_{k_2}$ then

$$k_1 < k_2 \leq k_1 + \log |d_1| / \log q$$

and (k_1, k_2) is restricted to $\ll N$ values. Because $p > 2$, this is consistent with (b).

When $s \geq 3$ we choose an integer $A = A_{q,s}$ so that $2A^{-q} p \leq 1$ and first estimate the number of solutions of (3) wherein $k_{s-1} + A < k_s$. Then

$$d_1 \lambda_{k_1} + \dots + d_s \lambda_{k_s} = (1 + \theta) d_s \lambda_{k_s}, \quad |\theta| \leq \frac{1}{2}.$$

We find as above that k_s can assume $\ll j$ different values, and once k_s is fixed we find by induction (on p or on s) that the remaining choices are $\ll j^{p-1} N^{1/2(p-2)}$ in number. Finally, if $k_{s-1} < k_s \leq k_{s-1} + A$, then (k_1, k_2) has at most AN values, and for each one of these the number of choices is $\ll j^{p-2} N^{1/2(p-3)}$. This proves the lemma.

Much more precise estimates are given by Erdős and Gál, but these don't seem to be applicable [1].

4. In the proof of Theorem 2 we use the multinomial expansion of $(\sum_{k \leq N} \cos(t\lambda_k x + b_k))^r$ into a finite combination of sums (with coefficients to be considered later)

$$\sum_{1 \leq k_1 < \dots < k_s \leq N} \cos^{e_1}(t\lambda_{k_1} x + b_{k_1}) \dots \cos^{e_s}(t\lambda_{k_s} x + b_{k_s}).$$

Here $e_1 \geq 1, \dots, e_s \geq 1$, and $e_1 + \dots + e_s = r$. This sum is $\leq N^s$ in modulus, and so it can be neglected if $s < \frac{1}{2}r$. When r is even, say $r = 2v$, there occurs a *dominant* contribution determined by the choice $s = v, e = \dots = e_v = 2$. This requires closer argument and we exclude it for the moment; in every s -tuple (e_1, \dots, e_s) remaining at least one component must be *odd*.

To exploit the last remark we expand

$$\cos^{e_1}(t\lambda_{k_1} x + b_{k_1}) \dots \cos^{e_s}(t\lambda_{k_s} x + b_{k_s})$$

into a linear combination of exponentials $e((tx)(d_1 \lambda_{k_1} + \dots + d_r \lambda_{k_r}))$, wherein $1 \leq |d_1| + \dots + |d_s| \leq r$.

We can handle the dominant term in almost the same way, using the identity $2 \cos^2 u = 1 + \cos 2u$. In the multinomial formula there

occurs the factor $r! 2^{-v} (v = \frac{1}{2}r)$. Hence the dominant term contains the constant 1 with a coefficient

$$2^{-v} \cdot r! 2^{-v} \cdot \binom{N}{v} = 2^{-r} r! (v!)^{-1} N^v + o(N^{v-1}) .$$

Now the r^{th} moment

$$m_r = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u^r e^{-1/2u^2} du = 2^{-v} r! (v!)^{-1} .$$

Thus the constant term is $2^{-v} N^v m_r + o(N^{v-1})$, and this is correct because the ‘norming’ constant is $(\frac{1}{2}N)^{-1/2}$.

In the dominant term there occur other exponentials, but each of them is of the type considered above. It remains now to be proved that the random error, say R_N , encountered in the moment of

$$\sum_{k \leq N} \cos (t\lambda_k x + b_k)$$

is almost surely $o(N^v)$ as $N \rightarrow +\infty$. But in fact these errors are Fourier-Stieltjes coefficients

$$|\hat{\mu}(td_1\lambda_{k_1} + \dots + td_s\lambda_{k_s})|$$

where $1 \leq k_1 < \dots < k_s \leq N$ and $1 \leq |d_1| + \dots + |d_s| \leq r$. From the previous lemma and from the estimation (2), we find that

$$\int_1^2 R_N dt \ll N^{v-1/2}$$

and therefore, by Chebyshev’s inequality, $R_{N^3} = o(N^{3v})$ almost surely. Because $(N + 1)^3 = N^3 + o(N^3)$ this completes the proof.

It is not difficult to formulate and prove a similar theorem for the union of sequences $tA \cup sA$, where (t, s) is a point in the plane. When μ is absolutely continuous, however, we can suppress one of the variables and obtain a central-limit theorem for sums

$$\sum_{k \leq N} \cos (\lambda_k x + b_k) + \sum_{k \leq N} \cos (\lambda_k t x + b'_k) .$$

The central-limit phenomenon here is false for certain sequences A and certain values of t : $\lambda_k = 2^k$ and $t = 2$. The existence of even one $t > 1$ rendering the central-limit theorem false is presumably a strong restriction on a lacunary sequence.

5. We conclude by stating a theorem and a conjecture related to it. As before S is a set of measure 0 in $(-\infty, \infty)$ depending only on A and μ .

THEOREM 3. For each $t \notin S$, each closed set E , and each $\varepsilon > 0$,

there is an integer $N = N(t, \varepsilon, E)$ such that

$$\left| \int_E \left| \sum_{k \geq N} a_k e(\lambda_k t x) \right|^2 \mu(dx) - \mu(E) \sum_{k \geq N} |a_k|^2 \right| \leq \varepsilon \sum_{k \geq N} |a_k|^2.$$

The proof is very similar to that of Theorem 1, and to some extent depends upon Theorem 1; however, it is necessary here to use the estimate (a) of the lemma in § 3.

COROLLARY. *If $\sum |a_k|^2 = +\infty$, then $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k e(\lambda_k t x)$ diverges almost everywhere with respect to μ .*

It is natural to conjecture that $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k e(\lambda_k t x)$ converges almost everywhere, provided $\sum |a_k|^2 < \infty$.

Added in proof. This follows from theorems on orthogonal series.

REFERENCES

1. P. Erdős and I. S. Gal, *On the law of the iterated logarithm*, Indag. Math. **17** (1955), 65-84.
2. R. Kaufman, *A problem on lacunary series*, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) **29** (1968), 313-316.
3. ———, *A random method for lacunary series*, J. Analyse Math, **22** (1969), 171-175.
4. R. Salem and A. Zygmund, *On lacunary trigonometric series, I, and II*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. **33** (1947), 333-338; Ibidem **34** (1948), 54-62.

Received February 21, 1969.

UNIVERSITY OF ILLINOIS

PACIFIC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS

EDITORS

H. SAMELSON
Stanford University
Stanford, California 94305

J. DUGUNDJI
Department of Mathematics
University of Southern California
Los Angeles, California 90007

C. R. HOBBY
University of Washington
Seattle, Washington 98105

RICHARD ARENS
University of California
Los Angeles, California 90024

ASSOCIATE EDITORS

E. F. BECKENBACH

B. H. NEUMANN

F. WOLF

K. YOSHIDA

SUPPORTING INSTITUTIONS

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA
MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY
OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF OREGON
OSAKA UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA

STANFORD UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO
UNIVERSITY OF UTAH
WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
* * *
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
CHEVRON RESEARCH CORPORATION
TRW SYSTEMS
NAVAL WEAPONS CENTER

Pacific Journal of Mathematics

Vol. 36, No. 1

November, 1971

Norman Larrabee Alling, <i>Analytic and harmonic obstruction on nonorientable Klein surfaces</i>	1
Shimshon A. Amitsur, <i>Embeddings in matrix rings</i>	21
William Louis Armacost, <i>The Frobenius reciprocity theorem and essentially bounded induced representations</i>	31
Kenneth Paul Baclawski and Kenneth Kapp, <i>Topisms and induced non-associative systems</i>	45
George M. Bergman, <i>The index of a group in a semigroup</i>	55
Simeon M. Berman, <i>Excursions above high levels for stationary Gaussian processes</i>	63
Peter Southcott Bullen, <i>A criterion for n-convexity</i>	81
W. Homer Carlisle, III, <i>Residual finiteness of finitely generated commutative semigroups</i>	99
Roger Clement Crocker, <i>On the sum of a prime and of two powers of two</i>	103
David Eisenbud and Phillip Alan Griffith, <i>The structure of serial rings</i>	109
Timothy V. Fossum, <i>Characters and orthogonality in Frobenius algebras</i>	123
Hugh Gordon, <i>Rings of functions determined by zero-sets</i>	133
William Ray Hare, Jr. and John Willis Kenelly, <i>Characterizations of Radon partitions</i>	159
Philip Hartman, <i>On third order, nonlinear, singular boundary value problems</i>	165
David Michael Henry, <i>Conditions for countable bases in spaces of countable and point-countable type</i>	181
James R. Holub, <i>Hilbertian operators and reflexive tensor products</i>	185
Robert P. Kaufman, <i>Lacunary series and probability</i>	195
Erwin Kreyszig, <i>On Bergman operators for partial differential equations in two variables</i>	201
Chin-pi Lu, <i>Local rings with noetherian filtrations</i>	209
Louis Edward Narens, <i>A nonstandard proof of the Jordan curve theorem</i>	219
S. P. Philipp, Victor Lenard Shapiro and William Hall Sills, <i>The Abel summability of conjugate multiple Fourier-Stieltjes integrals</i>	231
Joseph Earl Valentine and Stanley G. Wayment, <i>Wilson angles in linear normed spaces</i>	239
Hoyt D. Warner, <i>Finite primes in simple algebras</i>	245
Horst Günter Zimmer, <i>An elementary proof of the Riemann hypothesis for an elliptic curve over a finite field</i>	267